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Dearest
In reference to your letter of 27 July 1965 in regards to access to the -omer vine Battery site, this Command has no objection to your proposal of raaranging the frances. Mn altomata arrangement is that you could have permission to enter the Navy property thru the main entrance on Rowe 36 during the tine when the gate on womandy toad 1 b secured and then proceed south along Normandy Road to your property. If you intend to restore the fence in the vicinity of the south gate and install gate In place of the fence recently installed, this wort must be performed according to Navy specifications.

Th ether case, the peratssion to tue the wain entrance on Route 36 or to rearrange the fences whit expire on 1 September 1965. On this tate the temporary gate wast be removed and the rance restored to the condition that exits as of this date. The situation will be reviewed px how to 1 September 1965 if further extension of axtangendnts becona necessary.

It 18 not tho intent of this later to kingly that you will have a permanent permit to utility Navy property to gain access to your property, To give you a little background on why the road vas pealed off, th wat not to cause yon any undue hardship but simply to return the fences and gates to the condition a that prevailed before the Ax My constructed an ie St te. This was part of the agreement tooth the Army. The Depot was on iv aware that you were the purchaser of the former wake site when you objected to the fence being constructed. It we had known of your intentions, the contract to construct the fence could have been delayed.

Could you please let this Command know, by return correspondence. of your intention on thin tater so that we may inspect the fence. if that is your alterative, ow issue the necessary interactions to the security guards.


Commanding officer
BCD:
Security officer

