

21 October 1966

EAB
Beal
EstetSubj: Cancelled Nike Site

On 18 October I received a 'phone call from (b)(6), EastDiv, stating that he had received a letter from NavFac requesting information on the disputed access to the former Nike Site (control area). This is the same area that was sold to (b)(6) and who has since claimed that the Navy is denying him the right to gain access to his property. The case was brought to a head when (b)(6) wrote to Representative Howard. Representative Howard forwarded the letter to GSA who supplied their comments and then forwarded the letter to NavFac. NavFac in turn requested that EastDiv supply additional information. (b)(6) is replying to NavFac stating that it is impossible for the Navy to grant an easement or any other permanent type of permission to (b)(6) to gain access to his property.

On Thursday, 20 October, (b)(6) unannounced, visited the Depot. He first talked to (b)(6) the Executive Officer, who in turn sent him to me and (b)(6), the Public Works Officer. (b)(6) and his representative, (b)(6) once again stated that they were unjustifiably being denied the right to their property. They had not realized that this Depot knew that they had written to Representative Howard. They were very insistent on gaining access and stated that somehow they will gain permanent access to their property. It is understood that they are in the process of a court fight with their neighbors in order to gain access over the utility easement that they have to their property.

Nothing new was developed except (b)(6) who met the two gentlemen for the first time, realized, along with the rest of us, that they are very aggravating people to talk to.

On Friday, 21 October, (b)(6) and I briefed the Executive Officer on the meeting. The Executive Officer stated that this man will never gain access over this land. However, he does have the right to frequent visits to the property for the purpose of maintaining the property.

It was decided that after the Depot receives a copy of the reply to NavFac from EastDiv that if it is not strong enough the Depot will write a letter to NavFac strongly advising that because of security requirements there is no means to allow (b)(6) to gain permanent access to his property through Navy property.

(b)(6)