

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

13 December 2018

Mr. John Greenwald, Jr.
27305 W. Live Oak Road
Suite #1203
Castaic, CA 91384

Reference: EOM-2018-00486

Dear Mr. Greenwald:

This is a final response to your correspondence of 22 March 2018 wherein you requested an Executive Order 13526 mandatory declassification review of the following document:
Soviet Plans for a Manned Flight to Mars, May 1985.

We have completed a thorough search of our records and determined that one document may be released in sanitized form. We have deleted material that must remain classified on the basis of Section 3.3(b)(1) of the Order. Additional information must be withheld because withholding is authorized and warranted under applicable law as provided by Section 3.5(c) of the Order. Enclosed is a copy showing our deletions and citing our exemptions.

As the CIA Information and Privacy Coordinator, I am the CIA official responsible for these determinations. You have the right to appeal this response to the Agency Release Panel in my care, within 90 days from the date of this letter. Should you choose to do this, please include the basis of your appeal.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Allison Fong", written in a cursive style.

Allison Fong
Information and Privacy Coordinator

Enclosure

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: **<http://www.theblackvault.com>**



Directorate of
Intelligence

~~Secret~~

EO 13526 3.5(c)

PERMANENT File Copy

DO NOT REMOVE

Soviet Plans for a Manned Flight to Mars (U)

An Intelligence Assessment

~~Secret~~


SW 85-10061
May 1985

Copy 276

**National Security
Information**

Unauthorized Disclosure
Subject to Criminal Sanctions

3.5(c)



All material on this page
is Unclassified.

~~Secret~~

Soviet Plans for a Manned Flight to Mars (U)

An Intelligence Assessment

This paper was prepared by [redacted]
[redacted] Office of Scientific and
Weapons Research, with a major contribution from
James R. Shaw, NASA. Comments and queries are
welcome and may be addressed to the Chief, Space
Missions Branch, OSWR, [redacted] (U)

3.5(c)

3.5(c)

~~Secret~~

SW 85-10061
May 1985

~~Secret~~

Soviet Plans for a Manned Flight to Mars (U)

Key Judgments

*Information available
as of 1 March 1985
was used in this report.*

[] Soviet statements indicate that the Soviets are planning a manned Mars mission from which they hope to derive world acclaim and prestige. Because all the technologies needed for such a flight have not yet been perfected, the Soviets have not committed themselves to a launch date. Our strongest, current indicators of Soviet plans for a manned mission to Mars are the long-duration stays in space by cosmonauts and the Soviet program to develop magnetoplasma dynamic thrusters for long-duration space propulsion. []

3.3(b)(1)

The Soviets' first attempt almost assuredly will be a flyby, one year in duration, and probably have a three-person crew. We believe they could attempt such a mission in the mid-to-late 1990s. The fact that such a mission depends on successful Soviet development of a new booster, propulsion systems, on-orbit assembly techniques, and other advanced systems argues against an earlier date. []

3.5(c)

3.5(c)

The manned Mars spacecraft and propulsion system will have to be assembled in low-Earth orbit. Such an undertaking will require the Soviets to use their heavy-lift launch vehicles, now in development; a manned space station to support the assembly in orbit; and probably a space tug, now under development, to move large components into position for assembly. (U)

[] the Soviets are investigating using nuclear energy to propel the spacecraft from Earth orbit. As an alternative, they could use conventional engines with cryogenic propellants. Either option would minimize the number of support launches and components to assemble in space. Storable-liquid engines such as they use in their current launch vehicles and liquid-fueled ICBMs would require considerably more fuel and, hence, support launches. Both the nuclear propulsion (which would require liquid hydrogen for the thrusters) and the cryogenic propellant conventional engine options will require the development of advanced refrigeration techniques and insulation capabilities to maintain the cryogenic materials in the liquid state. Although we are uncertain of the current status of Soviet efforts in these areas, the Soviets possibly could have both available by the mid-1990s. []

3.3(b)(1)

3.5(c)

~~Secret~~

SW 85-10061
May 1985

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
Key Judgments	iii
Background	1
Mars Mission Essentials	1
Mission Requirements	2
Spacecraft Options	2
Intelligence Indicators of Soviet Intentions and Progress	4
Likelihood and Timing	4

Boxed Text

Chronology of Important US Initiatives Supporting a Manned Mars Mission	1
Mars Launch Windows Through 2001	4

~~Secret~~

Soviet Plans for a Manned Flight to Mars (U)

Background

In the past several years, Soviet academicians, cosmonauts, political figures, and news media have indicated that a manned spaceflight to Mars is being considered and could be accomplished in the mid-to-late 1990s. Public comments in 1982 by the Soviet S&T attache assigned to Washington and in 1984 by the president of the Soviet Academy of Sciences suggest that the Soviets have confidence in their ability to conduct such a mission and probably believe that the United States is not in a position to compete with them in this endeavor for the world acclaim and the prestige they would expect to attain. Their interest in conducting such a mission may stem from the fact that they lost the race to the moon and may have been stimulated by past US interest in a manned Mars mission (see chronology of related US initiatives). (U)

The importance the Soviets are placing on long-term manned spaceflights, such as the recent 238-day record stay in space on Salyut 7, is a clear indication of interest in a planetary mission, because such long stays are not required for space stations or lunar flights. (U)

Mars Mission Essentials

A manned mission to Mars is a complex undertaking. Mission duration for even just a Mars flyby would be one year, putting a stress on component reliability and crew temperament. Because of the long duration, the spacecraft complex would have to be large, requiring multiple launches from Earth and on-orbit assembly. (U)

Orbital mechanics place inviolable constraints on a Mars mission. The motions of Mars and Earth about the sun dictate launch windows and trajectories (see figure 1). The choice of a particular launch time and trajectory is determined by a trade-off between mission duration and the amount of propellants needed. For example, the trajectory that would require the least amount of propulsion to reach Mars, the so-called minimum energy trajectory, would result in a 30-month voyage (including a 12-month time in orbit

Chronology of Important US Initiatives Supporting a Manned Mars Mission

- | | |
|------|---|
| 1952 | <i>Werner von Braun published plan for manned exploration of Mars.</i> |
| 1963 | <i>American Astronautical Society held what is believed to be first conference on manned Mars missions; NASA-sponsored conference on manned Mars mission held.</i> |
| 1965 | <i>NASA, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, and the Air Force's Cambridge Research Laboratories sponsored conference on manned Mars mission; Senate hearings held on same topic.</i> |
| 1967 | <i>President's Science Advisory Committee recommended study of effectiveness of manned and unmanned planetary flybys and orbiters.</i> |
| 1969 | <i>Vice President Agnew proposed goal of manned Mars flight by end of century; US conducts successful manned lunar landing.</i> |
| 1978 | <i>Senate bill proposed to develop capability by 2010 to establish permanent settlement on Mars.</i> |


Unclassified

around Mars waiting for a return opportunity). Launch opportunities for such a minimum energy flight would occur about once every 26 months. (U)

~~Secret~~

~~Secret~~

Figure 1
Diagram of One-Year Flyby Orbit



Mars at spacecraft launch

At the points 0, 69, 251.5, and 365 days, the Earth occupies in turn the positions I₁, I₂, I₃, and I₄, while the spacecraft finds itself successively at I₁ (launching into the solar system), P (after having traversed the orbit of Venus, not shown in this diagram, and eventually overflew the planet), B (where it regains Earth orbit but not the Earth itself), M (overflight of Mars), and I₁ (return to the Earth).

Unclassified

305562 5-85

We believe a more reasonable choice for the Soviets would be a one-year flyby mission as their first step. Such a mission would not require much more propellant than a minimum energy flight. The reduced mission duration, however, would decrease the impact of weightlessness on cosmonauts' health and would require substantially less ground support and life support supplies. Launch windows for a one-year flyby occur about once a year. We have chosen this option as the basis for assessing mission requirements in this paper. (U)

Mission Requirements

The Soviets will need their heavy-lift launch vehicle (HLLV)—now in development—for placing the Mars components into low-Earth orbit. The HLLV will be capable of placing into low-Earth orbit about five times the payload of the present largest Soviet space

launch vehicle, thereby significantly reducing the number of launch vehicles required. They probably will need a space tug to move the large components into position for the required assembly in low-Earth orbit and a manned space station to support these construction activities. (U)

the Soviets began developing the HLLV in the mid-1970s. This vehicle—a central core with four strap-on boosters—will be capable of delivering payloads of up to 100,000 kilograms (kg) to low-Earth orbit. Future variants of the vehicle using six strap-on boosters could place up to 150,000 kg in orbit. Launch and support facilities for the HLLV at Tyuratam are almost complete, and we believe they could support flight-testing as early as late 1985. (U)

3.3(b)(1)

3.5(c)

High-level Soviet officials have stated that they are developing a space tug. Both the Progress-series spacecraft and a multipurpose spacecraft (Cosmos 1443) have been used for orbital correction and stabilization of the Salyut space station complex. According to the Soviet media, Cosmos 1443 was designed as a "multipurpose vehicle," and variants of it can function as a freighter, an element of an orbital module, or as a space tug. (U)

Space station support could be provided by current or future Salyut space stations. The Soviets have discussed openly their plans to have a modular space station complex in the mid-to-late 1980s that will be formed by docking multiple Salyut-class spacecraft to a core vehicle. Their HLLV, if successfully developed, will be able to place large, Skylab-sized space stations into orbit by the late 1980s. Multiple, large space stations probably will be docked to form space bases in the 1990s. (U)

Spacecraft Options


Figure 2 shows the various propulsion and life support system options that are available to the Soviets for a one-year mission. It gives estimated weights of the spacecraft and propellant and total gross weight, as well as the number of support launches required for each option. (U)

~~Secret~~

3.5(c)

~~Secret~~

Figure 2
Estimated Requirements/Options for a
Manned, One-Year Mars Mission^a



Unclassified

305563 5-85

Soviet choice of engine and propellant combinations for their Mars spacecraft has the greatest impact on the number of HLLVs required to place components of the spacecraft into low-Earth orbit for assembly. Using currently available conventional engines with liquid oxygen and unsymmetrical dimethylhydrazine (LOX/UDMH) as propellants would require 14 or 15 HLLVs to orbit spacecraft components. Most of these components would be tanks of propellants. Assuming that more than one launchpad will be available and a 30-day turnaround time for each of these launchpads, at least several months would be required to orbit all components. (U)

We see two other Soviet choices for the Mars spacecraft propulsion system—a nuclear propulsion system or conventional engines with liquid oxygen and liquid hydrogen (LOX/LH₂) as propellants. Either would

reduce the number of HLLVs needed over that needed with LOX/UDMH to orbit components, and fewer components would simplify assembly in orbit. The Soviets will use LOX/LH engines on their HLLV, so such engines will be available.

3.5(c)

We know the Soviets were developing magnetoplasma dynamic (MPD) thrusters for use on a manned Mars mission, among other things. By 1978 the Soviets had begun development of 100- to 800-kilowatt MPD thrusters with an operating lifetime of 1,000 to 10,000 hours. Given the upper bound of lifetime hours and kilowatts, we believe that the Soviets almost certainly have considered nuclear generation of electricity to power the

3.3(b)(1)

~~Secret~~

~~Secret~~

thrusters. In addition to propulsion, the nuclear source could be used to satisfy the 2-kilowatt-per-person-per-day power requirement that a Soviet claimed in 1981 would be needed to support a closed ecological system on a manned Mars spacecraft. []

A major prerequisite in using cryogenic propellants for either nuclear or conventional engines would be the development of advanced on-orbit refrigeration techniques and a greatly improved insulation capability. Although we are uncertain of the current status of Soviet efforts in the areas of nuclear propulsion and on-orbit cryogenic propellant storage capability, the Soviets possibly could have both available by the mid-1990s. []

The Soviets could opt to use either an open- or a closed-cycle life support system. Choice of either one would have little impact on the required number of HLLVs. They have an open-cycle system and have been working on closed-cycle systems for over 15 years. In a Soviet test completed in early 1984, two people were totally self-sufficient for five months with the exception of electricity and television broadcasts. An article describing this experiment as a "complete success" stated that the closed biological system will be used in space "in the not too distant future." (U)

Indicators of Soviet

Intentions and Progress

Our strongest current indicators of Soviet plans for a manned mission to Mars are the long-duration stays in space by cosmonauts and the Soviet program to develop MPD thrusters. Other current indicators are ambiguous: the HLLV will be used to orbit their shuttle and other heavy payloads; a space tug can be used for assembly of other large structures in Earth orbit; and closed-cycle life support systems are desirable for space stations. []

Expected future indicators include: a cosmonaut stay in low-Earth orbit for one year, probably without being resupplied with consumables; space tests of MPD thrusters; advanced refrigeration and insulation; probably a space tug tested in low-Earth orbit; availability of HLLV launchpads; successful flight tests of the HLLV; assembly of a Mars spacecraft prototype in low-Earth orbit; and a flight to Mars of an unmanned prototype. []

Mars Launch Windows Through 2001

Minimum energy opportunity May 1986
 July 1988
 September 1990
 November 1992
 January 1995
 March 1997
 May 1999
 July 2001

3.5(c)

One-year flyby opportunity November 1986
 August 1987
 January 1989
 October 1989
 March 1991
 December 1991
 May 1993
 February 1994
 July 1995
 April 1996
 September 1997
 June 1998
 November 1999
 August 2000

3.5(c)

Unclassified

Likelihood and Timing

On the basis of Soviet statements and activities, we believe the Soviets have a long-term goal of a manned flight to Mars. The question is when. (U)

We believe the use of conventional spacecraft engines with LOX/UDMH is unlikely because of the large number of HLLVs required and the complexity of joining so many components in space, which would likely reduce reliability below that required for a manned spacecraft. Moreover, the expense involved probably would be prohibitive. Rather, we believe that the Soviets will opt for nuclear propulsion or conventional spacecraft engines with LOX/LH₂. On the basis of past Soviet research, development, testing, and evaluation practices, we judge space tests and

3.3(b)(1)

3.5(c)

3.5(c)

~~Secret~~

~~Secret~~

evaluation of new engines, advanced refrigeration, and advanced insulation will require several years. If these tests begin in 1985, we would not expect them to be completed before 1989 or 1990. (U)

It is conceivable that the Soviets could attempt a manned Mars mission in the early 1990s; ' however, we believe the mid-to-late 1990s is much more likely. The fact that such a mission depends on successful Soviet development of a new booster, propulsion systems, on-orbit assembly techniques, and other advanced technology systems argues against an earlier date. (U)

' 1992 has a certain attraction. It will be the 75th anniversary of the Bolshevik Revolution, and the Soviets could be planning to launch a manned Mars mission as part of their celebration. Also, the West will be celebrating the 500th anniversary of Columbus's discovery of America, and it could be the year the US space station reaches operational status—two events from which the Soviets may want to detract attention. (U)

~~Secret~~

~~Secret~~

~~Secret~~