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17 January 67
TRIP REPORT

Date: 21 December 1966

Subject

Visit to Implementing Project for the purpose of discussing possibilities of

Time and Place

19 December 1966

Attendance

Summary

The purpose of the meeting was to review the history and the development of Project. This was reviewed for Dr. and the others present. A point at issue was the fact that the principal investigator failed to keep the department chief and hospital director informed concerning the research plans associated with the Project. This issue was resolved and it was further decided not to sponsor Project at this time. Meanwhile, Dr. offered to undertake consideration of arranging for the proposed research to be carried out at under his general approval and sponsorship but with a different principal investigator.

Actions

A letter stating the Agency's interest in having the proposed research work accomplished is to be sent to Dr. in all probability this will be sent on stationery.
Discussion

By way of background concerning the development of Project research proposal, developed jointly by Dr. (and Dr.), the proposal was first submitted to the about a year and a half ago. This proposal was then reviewed and commented favorably upon by Dr. Consultant to Subsequent to this, the passed the proposal to being unable to fund the project themselves. then contacted Dr. for discussions of the project objectives and a revision of the project proposal. The revised version was subsequently received in through. About this time Dr. went to Where he undertook a year's research work. On a return visit to the U.S. the proposed work was rediscussed with him and at that time it became evident that Dr. had lost real interest in the project and he suggested that someone else undertake the work. Meanwhile, Dr. was asked to inform Drs. about the existence of the proposal and to discuss methods of its possible implementation. Drs. were both quite concerned that the proposal had been crafted, revised and submitted to us through without their having been aware of its existence. I then made arrangements to visit Dr. to review the history of this proposal and to clear up any possible misunderstandings. Drs. agreed that the failure to properly coordinate the proposal in had not been due to any fault of this office or the were informed that as a result of our meeting with Dr. in Washington on 5 November 1966, the Agency had decided not to support the proposed research action. All three gentlemen thoroughly agreed with this judgment. Subsequent to the above discussion Dr. offered to take a serious look at reactivating the proposal if the Agency was interested in having it accomplished. Dr. suggested that if there were such an interest we should send him a short letter so stating.
Project Summary
19 December 1966

6. Status still pending. [on 19 December.]
   to discuss possible actions with.