Approved For Release 2003/09/09- CIA-RDP96-00788R000400060004-9



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
UNITED STATES ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND THREAT ANALYSIS CENTER
ARLINGTON HALL STATION **ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22212**

	IAX-TA-R		SG1A 4 December 1980			
	SUBJECT:	Special Analytic Report				
	THRU:	Chief, Special Research Room B5E32, NSA FANX III Fort Meade, MD 20755	Division I			
	то:	Commander US Army Intelligence and Arlington Hall Station Arlington, VA 22212	d Threat Analysis Center			
SG1A	1. (S) The attached report forwards the evaluation of Grill Flame Special Analytic Report Information, The information which was provided on target size, type, and mission has a high degree of correlation with other sources of information. While there is no confirming data of the target's location, I believe the source to be accurate and recommend that the information be used to fill a known intelligence gap.					
SG1A SG1A	will be reliabil	rder of battle for published as a supplement ity of the information procession in the results of Ent. Use of this informat	(GOB) Task Force is updating the This update to the GOB project. Considering the rovided by the Special Actions Office, will be included in the tion will be an intelligence community	SG SG A		
	3. (U) Special	I recommend that this ev Actions, ADCSOPS, INSCOM.	valuation be forwarded to LTC Watt, Chief,			
	1 Incl as		PAUL S. FEYEREISEN MAI. INF C, GOB Task Force	. SG ² A		
			CLASSIFIED BYCdw, ITAC	شد المدد منط عمل نمات ليب عمد التال منط التا		
		Approved For Release 2003	ICRIT	و جدو الحدة الحدد ا		

This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

IAOPS-H-S 2 December 1980

SUBJECT: Special Analytic Report Information (U)

SECTION I

REPORT REQUIREMENT

- 1. (U) The information provided as enclosure to this report was obtained in response to a collection requirement provided by your office. The information is from an unconfirmed source; therefore, caution is warranted in its use. This office has been tasked by the Commanding General, Intelligence and Security Command, to evaluate and comment on the operational value of the information provided, as well as to ascertain the accuracy of the source.
- 2. (U) In order to comply with this directive, request the information provided as an enclosure be evaluated and comments be provided this office no later than

 This should be accomplished by responding to questions provided within Section III of this report.
- 3. (U) Section II contains special instructions for the analysts.

C	\sim	1	Λ
. `	l¬	1	А
$\overline{}$	\sim		, ,

- 4. (U) Project Number:
- 5. (U) Requestor: SRD, ITAC, INSCOM.
- 6. (S) Source Number: 08/46.
- (S) Interrogator Number: 14.
- 8. (S) Date Information was Obtained: 4 Sep 80.

Times: FM---/T0:---

SG1

SG1A

- 9. (S) Specific information provided by the requestor in support of required source targeting is:
- 10. (U) Specific information provided to the interrogator to aid in source targeting was: same as #9.
- 11. (U) Specific information provided to the source for target orientation was: same as #9.

CLASSIFIED BY: Msg, HQDA(DAMI-ISH)
Dated: 051630ZJUL78

REVIEW ON: 2 Dec 2000

Approved For Release 2003/00/09: CIA-PDP 6-00788R000400060004-9

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

	12.	(U)	Specific information requested by analyst:
	13.	(U)	Date information forwarded:
	14.	(U)	Has additional data been requested: no.
	15.	(U)	Priority: URGENT ROUTINE
SG1B		uatio	Remarks: Source 08/46 conducted two sessions on 4 Sep 80. This n is based on information provided by source in both sessions. The was addressed in both sessions.

SECTION II

INSTRUCTIONS TO ANALYSTS

- 1. (U) The material you have been given to review has been acquired from a new and potentially valuable source of intelligence. Work is currently being conducted on how to improve the accuracy and reliability of the data. Your attention to the evaluation sheet and your remarks will be the basis for our assessment of this new collection technique. Therefore, the effort you expend will greatly assist us and will ultimately result in you receiving more information of increasing accuracy and reliability.
- 2. (U) While formulating your judgements concerning the information provided, the following comments concerning this new source of intelligence may be helpful. Foremost, the information is likely to consist of a mixture of correct and incorrect elements. Specifically:
- a. (S) The <u>descriptive</u> elements are generally of higher reliability than <u>judgements or labels</u> as to what is being described (recreational swimming pool may be mistaken for water purification pools, an aircraft hull may be mistaken for a submarine hull, etc.). Therefore, seemingly appropriate descriptive elements should not be rejected because of mislabeling.
- b. (S) The data often contains gaps (in a 3-building complex, for example, perhaps only two of the buildings may be described, and an airfield may be added that isn't there). Such gaps or additions should not be taken to mean that the rest of the data is necessarily inaccurate.
- 3. (S) Therefore, a recommended approach is to first examine the entire information packet to obtain an overall flavor of the response, reserving final judgement even in the face of certain errors, and then go back through for a more detailed analysis.
- 4. (U) If you have questions regarding the data you have received, or its evaluation please contact this office (IAOPS-H-S) at any time.

Approved For Release 2003/09/09: CA-RD#96-00788R000400060004-9

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

SECTION III

TARGET EVALUATION

1. (U) For the summary evaluation, please c ACCURACY* of the submitted material.	heck th	ne foll	owing bo	xes as	to the)
	UNK	0	1	2	3	N/A
a. (S) Geographical locale des- cription (terrain, water, etc.)				de Mayor, des refer differences		****
<pre>b. (S) Large-scale manmade elements (cities, buildings, silos, docks, railroad lines, airfields, etc.)</pre>				X		
<pre>c. (S) Small-scale manmade elements (antennas, computers, tanks, missiles, offices, etc.)</pre>	dologogowika	deligende			X	,
d. (S) General target ambience (research, production, administration, storage, troop movements, naval activity, air activity, weapons testing, etc.)			Santanian Santa		<u>X</u>	graphing graph
e. (S) Relevant specific activities (nuclear testing, missile firing, CBW storage, ELINT monitoring, etc.)	meen deplete de me	addition for the second	Autopholosisch			X
f. (S) Personality (physical descriptions, actions, responsibilities, plans, etc.)	glaskelligenger-ing	dalikan sakirina	Strate distribution to the strategy of the str	annings-replicate annings-replicate	ademydyddiaeth a gaellyddiaeth	X
2. (S) Overall Utility: NONE MARGINAL	US	SEFUL	VERY	USEFUI	X	

NOTE: ITAC provided the following comments.

3

CANNOT BE DETERMINED


tively little incorrect information.)
UNK=Unknown. N/A=Not Applicable (CARD 26 UN 180 R000400060004-9

^{*}Definitions for the accuracy scale are as follows: O=Little correspondence (self-explanatory)

¹⁼Mixed results (mix of correct and incorrect elements, but enough of the former to indicate source did collect against target.)


²⁼Good (Good correspondence with several elements matching, some incorrect information.)
3=Excellent (Good correspondence with unambiguous unique matchable elements and rela-

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt



122mm multiple rocket launcher BM-21.


Figure 1



122mm howitzer D-30.

Figure 2

UNCLASE ONE



130mm field gun M-46.

Figure 3

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt