

7/26 (1)

JTF-5



- Would be more useful if good briefing in all analysts (from a security viewpoint)
- Primary use: Individuals in eastern Pacific, dynamics of organizations (Pakistan, Afghanistan) Aligned by SW-Asia, SE Asia re heron.

[Also

- Al came out at briefed (w/ Mickey), reinvigorated interest.
- Think its a legitimate alternate source of information.
- Asked each deal analyst to structure requests for RV. Then evaluate inputs.
- Dropped earlier because no feedback mechanism had existed I believe that frequently no response was received from program. Frequent interaction both between Paul & Al helped to keep program alive.
- Tasking - re people, objects (shyis),

some kind of specific areas

Very specific targets

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

- PV reports fairly consistent in identifying the nature of the target, i.e., person or thing, but not always.
- After some testing, to develop confidence in process - would fold info into general intelligence.

SG1J

[] was aware of Soviet activities - not as skeptical as others, so needed to develop some confidence with his analysts.

- provided specifics in some instances re "associates", "activities".
- did not push hard collection due to security ~~at~~ restrictions on program
- could not use info in real-time sense for operational purposes; because:
 - not timely enough
- best info when asking for historical info (in terms of accuracy), time sensitive requests not so good.
- used info to fill in blanks from other sources - try to sort out wheat from chaff in terms of procedure order with drug organizers

- analysis to be... told them
about program. [] told them
to evaluate info, ignore personal
beliefs and be objective

SG1J

SG1J

- [] did some of evaluations but
some about 6 people did evals -
All evals done by a specific
individual, not by a group.

- How if got ^{into} corresponding of info to
RU data, would ask odd questions
of RU - but usually addl info was
not corroborated.

- Cited a case of info provided by RU
on an individual in Pakistan was
corroborated as very accurate.

- Use info as an "alternative" source -
~~rely~~ relied on only when it was
only source of info

- did not task anything, ^{as result of solo-RU info,} needs much
evidence of reliability to do these.

- all reports had some accurate info, e.g.
but seems obvious info (knowing the
source of the question) was
considered accurate. [] seemed

SG1J

to assume that [] did not
know that RU knew who was doing teching

SG1J

(4)

to provide detailed, specific data - he was satisfied with the type of info they ~~could~~ ^(or) could provide. Seems to accept any specific info as "probably accurate" - "I'd fit it into the data base and as one more piece of unclassified intelligence."

- Data made contributions to "target packages" - but no real audit trail of value ^{or contribution} of the data. No attempt ever made to do a post correlation of data provided versus other
- Would like to see program continued, more formalized.
- ~~#~~ Afraid that direct involvement of PW would ~~lose~~ lose their objectivity.
- To integrate into org they would have to be legitimized ^{in reasonable} in some way otherwise they ~~would~~ would be made fear of, etc.
- Would be willing to pay for PW info if had to give up source like, e.g. "

the ~~line~~ line would be difficult due to discussion above.

