

26 Jan 95

ORD-0143-95

MEMORANDUM FOR: Associate Deputy Director for Military Affairs
Office of Military Affairs, DO

FROM:
Director of Research and Development, DS&T

SUBJECT: Request For Military Liaison Support

1. We have been tasked by Congress to transfer to CIA a sensitive program currently underway at DIA. In accordance with Congressional direction, it also is necessary to conduct a retrospective evaluation of this program. Five years ago the Army Research Institute (ARI) tasked the National Research Council (NRC) to conduct a program evaluation on one of its own programs. This Army program involved "new age" training techniques directed at enhancing human performance. During review of the Army program, NRC considered some of the core issues we are likely to encounter in evaluating the DIA program.

2. We would like to contact ARI in order to determine the success of the NRC program evaluation and to gain better insight as to how to conduct our own review. We would also like to know if ARI further pursued it's training program and what results might have been obtained. In addition, it might be useful to seek participation by appropriate personnel at ARI in the review of the DIA program. The original requester of the 1985 ARI study was Edgar M. Johnson, Technical Director of ARI. George Lawrence served as the ARI liaison to the NRC Committee on Techniques for the Enhancement of Human Performance. We would like to contact these two individuals directly.

SG11

SG11

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

what's best way to proceed

Jap efforts in commercial world to track efforts

-- focuses down to remote viewing. in remote areas.



people have placed themselves

SG1J

remote viewing / "prediction"

Operatives



to his credit said don't rely on his data by itself. use all

SG1J

else in combination. [] has struggled to develop consumer base contacted, considering etc. no customers, have come back for 2nd look joint task force, key west etc. There has been limited detail of utility - no val to high cal. There are training missions

SG1J

SAP -> LIMDIS -> ? GIGGLE FACTOR.

DIA Underground Structures - DIA.

"Access and Descibe" Target All these agree sometimes they go to a room he gives them guidance

Jude Verona. - product champion.

Our plan is to have people at DIA (BU) let us do our study. develop our transition plan.

Service sciences advisory refused to "peer-review" the program. No single thread to review, there is one DIA program.

40 Documents already declassified

3 op. views

Cognition

(1) Go to Ft. Meade - meet the team.

(2) Ed May SRI -> SAIC

(3) [] report

(4) [] of CSI - rep. - go see []

(5) NRC.

(6) Push the declassification issue.

No sources/methods No Threat to Wall Sec

SG1I

Controlled copy

FA

SECRET

SUBJECT: Request for Military Liaison Support

DS&T/ORD/EPG [redacted] (26 Jan 95)

SG1I

Distribution: (ORD-0143-95)

Orig. - Addressee

1 - O/DIR/ORD

1 - EPG Chrono File

1 - [redacted] EPG

1 - ISC Ames for logging

SG1I

PAGTA

SG1J

SG1J [redacted] - hard prog 1 yr. [redacted] @ Ft Meade.
[redacted] - retrospective.
FA, OPS, R;D.

R;D with SAIC. Dr. Ed May.
(not SRI... people got absorbed)

SG1J

Can't say what the R;D has produced.
couple of examples - - Ed's a Skeptic - not a
full time job for the viewers -

SG1J

[redacted] received informal report from SAIC
(unclassified) - [Ed May input]

CMS - has a copy. on it's way to Congress
it's supposed to be a retrospective DIA only

88,89 -> DIA; Army prog before that INSCOM
General Stubblebind.
not called

SG1J

SG1J

DIA's version is LIMOS. [redacted] crafted.

SG1A

[redacted] before
2nd part of report - is review for declassification

40 some documents have been declassified. But Ed May did this
and they were SRI/SAIC anyway. DIA was responding to CDA They
are fighting the 1 March deadline! DIA will say that they have taken steps
even before CDA asked. One informal recommendation was to strike US Spies
no sources or methods - no threat to NSA's Secy.
a single specific instance where prog has made a difference.

SG1J

[redacted] cannot identify

[redacted]

work done on Persim effort to development a machine to influence human
behavior. Report captures goals of R;D - not achievements.
Silent on

SG1B

Ed. May - he wants
contrast