

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

IAOPS-H-S
SUBJECT: SPECIAL ANALYTIC REPORT INFORMATION (U)

10 Dec 80
(Date)

*WRONG
Coordinate
provided*

SECTION I
REPORT REQUIREMENT

1. (U) The information provided as enclosure to this report was obtained in response to a collection requirement provided by your office. The information is from an unconfirmed source, therefore caution is warranted in its use. This office has been tasked by the Commanding General, Intelligence and Security Command, to evaluate and comment on the operational value of the information provided, as well as to ascertain the accuracy of the source.

2. (U) In order to comply with this directive, request the information provided as an enclosure be evaluated and comments be provided this office no later than _____. This should be accomplished by responding to questions provided within Section III of this report.

3. (U) Section II contains special instructions for the analysts.

4. (U) Project Number: 8032.

5. (U) Requestor: SRD, JTAC, INSCOM.

6. (S) Source Number: 11.

7. (S) Interrogator Number: 66.

8. (S) Date Information was Obtained: 8 OCT 80 Times: FM 0900/TO _____.

9. (S) Specific information provided by the requestor in support of required source targeting is:

SG1B



10. (U) Specific information provided to the interrogator to aid in source targeting was:

SAME PARA 9

11. (U) Specific information provided to the source for target orientation was:

SAME PARA 9

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, HQDA (DAMI-ISH)
Dtd: 051630Z JUL 7

Review On: _____

~~SECRET~~

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

12. (U) Specific information requested by analyst:

SEE PARA 9

13. (U) Date information forwarded: 800580

14. (U) Has additional data been requested: YES NO

15. (U) Priority: URGENT ROUTINE

16. (U) Remarks:

[REDACTED]

ANALYST WAS PROVIDED A TRANSCRIPT OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE SOURCE (SEE ANNEX A) ANALYST PROVIDED HIS COMMENTS BASED ON THE TRANSCRIPT.

SG1B

SECTION II

INSTRUCTIONS TO ANALYSTS

1. (U) The material you have been given to review has been acquired from a new and potentially valuable source of intelligence. Work is currently being conducted on how to improve the accuracy and reliability of the data. Your attention to the evaluation sheet and your remarks will be the basis for our assessment of this new collection technique. Therefore, the effort you expend will greatly assist us and will ultimately result in you receiving more information of increasing accuracy and reliability.

2. (U) While formulating your judgements concerning the information provided, the following comments concerning this new source of intelligence may be helpful. Foremost, the information is likely to consist of a mixture of correct and incorrect elements. Specifically:

a. (S) The descriptive elements are generally of higher reliability than judgements or labels as to what is being described (recreational swimming pool may be mistaken for water purification pools, an aircraft hull may be mistaken for a submarine hull, etc.). Therefore, seemingly appropriate descriptive elements should not be rejected because of mislabeling.

b. (S) The data often contains gaps (in a 3-building complex, for example, perhaps only two of the buildings may be described, and an airfield may be added that isn't there). Such gaps or additions should not be taken to mean that the rest of the data is necessarily inaccurate.

3. (S) Therefore, a recommended approach is to first examine the entire information packet to obtain an overall flavor of the response, reserving final judgement even in the face of certain errors, and then go back through for a more detailed analysis.

4. (U) If you have questions regarding the data you have received, or its evaluation please contact this office (IAOPS-H-S) at any time.

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

SECTION III

TARGET EVALUATION

1. (U) For the summary evaluation, please check the following boxes as to the ACCURACY* of the submitted material.

	UNK	0	1	2	3	N/A
a. (S) Geographical locale description (terrain, water, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. (S) Large-scale manmade elements (cities, buildings, silos, docks, railroad lines, airfields, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. (S) Small-scale manmade elements (antennas, computers, tanks, missiles, offices, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. (S) General target ambience (research, production, administration, storage, troop movements, naval activity, air activity, weapons testing, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. (S) Relevant specific activities (nuclear testing, missile firing, CBW storage, ELINT monitoring, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. (S) Personality (physical descriptions, actions, responsibilities, plans, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. (S) Overall Utility: NONE MARGINAL USEFUL VERY USEFUL
 CANNOT BE DETERMINED

~~SECRET~~

*Definitions for the accuracy scale are as follows:
 0=Little correspondence (Self explanatory.)
 1=Mixed results (Mix of correct and incorrect elements, but enough of the former to indicate source did collect against target.)
 2=Good (Good correspondence with several elements matching, some incorrect information.)
 3=Excellent (Good correspondence with unambiguous unique matchable elements and relatively little incorrect information.)
 UNK=Unknown. N/A=Not Applicable.

3. (U) Detailed evaluation sheet:

	<u>Specific Transcript/Drawing Items</u>	<u>ACCURACY*</u>	<u>Source</u>
a. ()	<i>SEE ANALYST'S COMMENTS.</i>		
b. ()			
c. ()			
d. ()			
e. ()			
f. ()			
g. ()			
h. ()			
i. ()			
j. ()			

4. () Any additional comments which you wish to provide should be noted here:

5. () Any positive recommendations which you wish to provide should be noted here:

~~SECRET~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

6. (S) Is additional information desired?

YES

NO

a. (S) Priority:

URGENT

ROUTINE

(Date)

SG1B

b. (S) Items:

(1.) TRY THE CORRECT GRAD:



SOURCE SHOULD DESCRIBE A COMMUNICATION FACILITY

(2.) _____

(3.) _____

(4.) _____

Paul S. Feyereisen

(Signed) SIGNATURE

PAUL S. FEYEREISEN, MAJ

(Printed) NAME, GRADE

SRA, ITAC, INSCOM

(Printed) TITLE, or OFFICE

~~SECRET~~