

DOD Evaluation Committee Briefing - 27 September 1979

1. Introduction/Background

- a. Tasking and my background
- b. Early visits to SRI and AMSAA

2. Mission

3. SRI Visit: 15-17 Nov

- a. Initial orientation
- b. Work statement/training
- c. Criteria for selection of subjects

Well thought of	Adventurous
Above average intel	Open minded
Thinks well of himself	Successful
Articulate	Mature and stable
"Artistic"	

- d. Witnessed session

4. SRI Visit: 12-15 Dec

- a. Screening potential subjects
- b. Review recent SRI experiments
- c. Review of tapes
- d. Relationship of subject/interviewer
- e. Role of outbound team
- f. Factors that inhibit success

- (1) Prior knowledge of target possibilities
- (2) Absence of feedback
- (3) Doing trivial tasks
- (4) Use of repetitive target sequences

- g. Factors that enhance success

- (1) Interest factor
- (2) Seriousness of purpose
- (3) Monitor/interviewer to ask questions
- (4) Practice with feedback

5. Selection of Individuals: Target: 3 individuals

- a. Considered - 251
- b. Interviewed - 117
- c. Initial Results - 30-35 potential candidates
- d. 14 Feb - SRI visited - results
- e. Intelligence background of individuals

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

~~SECRET~~

WORKING PAPER

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

6. Began training late Feb - stopped March
7. Training with SRI - 13 May  
Second phase of training just started
8. Training problems
  - a. Environment
  - b. Work related situations
  - c. Availability
  - d. Frequency
  - e. Time of day
9. Target Selection Process
10. Current Program(s)
  - a. Training at SRI
  - b. "Beacon" situations
  - c. Coordinate work - 3 levels
  - d. "Real" situations
  - e. CDEC training
11. Development of TCC
12. Future Objectives:
  - a. Continue development of individual abilities
  - b. Complete training at SRI (Dec 79)
  - c. Apply RV techniques to "live problems"
  - d. Determine tasking procedures
  - e. Align resources properly
13. Evaluation Report

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

~~SECRET~~

WORKING PAPER

~~SECRET~~

①

MISSION STATEMENT

(S/ORCON) To establish a program using psychoenergies for intelligence applications. Specifically, utilizing that field of psychoenergies referred to as REMOTE VIEWING. The program encompasses the following:

- Establishing a training program in REMOTE VIEWING utilizing selected INSCOM personnel.
- Establishing procedures for intelligence collection techniques utilizing REMOTE VIEWING.
- Establishing a mechanism for responding to intelligence collection requirements (tasking) using REMOTE VIEWING.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA  
DECLASSIFY ON: 17 Mar 99  
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA  
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

~~SECRET~~

ORILL PLANE

~~SECRET~~

2

## TARGET SELECTION PROCESS

### A. TARGETS

1. Location
2. Activity
3. Time

### B. METHOD OF TARGETING

1. Beacon (Human and objects)
  - a. Initial target pool
  - b. Random (local)
  - c. Operational designate (i.e. A6E)
2. Geographic Coordinates
  - a. Target Pool
    - (1) "Fun Targets"/Initial Orientation
    - (2) Series 200 Map Targets
    - (3) TK Targets
  - b. Operational Designate
3. UTM Coordinates

### C. DEVELOPMENT OF TARGETS

1. Beacon - Initial Target Pool
  - a. 50 Targets selected by individual outside project.
  - b. Secured by another individual.
  - c. How utilized.
2. Beacon - Random
3. Operational Designate
4. Geographic Coordinates -- "Fun Targets"
  - a. Pool designed by NCOIC
  - b. Stored in Safe -- Access limited
5. Geographic Coordinates - Series 200 Map Targets
  - a. Pool designed by Project Manager
  - b. Stored in Safe - Access limited

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

6. Geographic Coordinates - TK Targets
  - a. Pool designed by IIPD
  - b. Stored in SSO Area -- Access controlled by SSO.
7. Geographic Coordinates -- Operational Designate
  - a. "Customer" Request
  - b. Data stored in safe - access controlled by Project Manager.
8. UTM Coordinates
  - a. Weekly targets provided by CDEC
  - b. Data controlled by COL Moses, CDEC

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3


~~SECRET~~

PROJECT STATUS

Week Ending 1 / 1

CUT-OFF 18 SEP 79

3

Target Identification	Date Assigned	Viewer	Interviewer	Analyst	No. of Sessions	TC Range	Target Cue or Beacon Type	Status			Remarks
								Past	Present	Future	
HUNTER-LIGGETT	10AUG	ALL	ALL	N/A	9	1-3	UTM/EVENT	X	X	ON-GOING	TRNG ONLY
CDEC											
	1SEP	ALL	ALL	AS ASSIGNED	4		GEO	X		ON-GOING	TRNG ONLY
SAO											
	26SEP	8	TBD	TBD			TBD				OACSI SPECIAL
		5									
	TBD	3	F	S			TBD				AWAIT INFO FROM
OPSEC		1									SGD, 902D MIE GP
	10SEP	5	S	8	1		TBD			ON-GOING	(1) C51, 13 SEP 79
HUMINT		7									
SPECIAL *1	3SEP	6	F	3	4		GEO/POLAR	X			DATA UNDERGOING ANALYSIS (1) C47, 7SEP, (2) C53, 14SEP
ACSI											(3) C54, 14SEP, (4) C55, 14SEP
SPECIAL *2	TBD	3,6	F&S	TBD			TBD				AWAITING INITIAL GUIDANCE
ACSI		1,8									

SG1A

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)\*

ASSIGNED VALUE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
1	Absolutely no target correlation.	None (0%)
2	Drawings, narrative, and feedback reaction have minimal target correlation.	Low (15%)
3	Increased target correlation, identity of target could not be determined.	Low-Moderate (30%)
4	Many target correlation factors readily recognizable. Target identity possibilities narrowed.	Moderate (50%)
5**	RV data shows unmistakable correlation to the target. Target possibilities can now be typified.	Moderate-High (70%)
6	Little or no extraneous RV data present. Target identity can be readily matched.	High (80%)
7	Correct naming of the target.	Direct Hit (100%)

\*Target Correlation Chart (TCC) was established not to prove or disprove Remote Viewing (RV), rather, it was designed to measure RV learning trends and to provide Project Management personnel a readily available management tool.

\*\*Current state-of-the-art indicates this level of expertise is the norm for an experienced Remote Viewer.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA  
DECLASSIFY ON: 31 May 99  
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA  
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)



TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC) FILE NO. 1

	DATE	NONE (0%)	LOW (15%)	LOW-MODERATE (30%)	MODERATE (50%)	MODERATE-HIGH (70%)	HIGH (80%)	DIRECT HIT (100%)	REMARKS
	DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	REMARKS
1	25 FEB - 3 MAR	?							RPT HAS NOT BEEN RATED
2	4 MAR - 10 MAR								
3	11 MAR - 17 MAR								
4	18 MAR - 24 MAR								
5	25 MAR - 31 MAR		⊙						
6	1 APR - 7 APR	⊙							
7	8 APR - 14 APR								
8	15 APR - 21 APR	⊙*		⊙					* NOISE FACTOR
9	22 APR - 28 APR								
10	29 APR - 5 MAY			⊙*					* NOISE FACTOR
11	6 MAY - 12 MAY								
12	13 MAY - 19 MAY								
13	20 MAY - 26 MAY								
14	27 MAY - 2 JUN	⊙							
15	3 JUN - 9 JUN								● NON-RATED SESSION
16	10 JUN - 16 JUN	⊙							
17	17 JUN - 23 JUN		⊙						
18	24 JUN - 30 JUN		⊙	⊙					
19	1 JUL - 7 JUL	⊙*							* NOISE FACTOR
20	8 JUL - 14 JUL	⊙*		⊙*	⊙				* NOISE FACTOR
21	15 JUL - 21 JUL								TDY- W/POST TRAINING
22	22 JUL - 28 JUL	⊙							25 JUL - NOT RATED YET
23	29 JUL - 4 AUG	⊙*			⊙				* NOISE FACTOR * PERSONAL PROBLEMS
24	5 AUG - 11 AUG								
25	12 AUG - 18 AUG	⊙							
26	19 AUG - 25 AUG								
27	26 AUG - 1 SEP								
28	2 SEP - 8 SEP								SPECIAL 1 AGE
29	9 SEP - 15 SEP								6 SEP 6 SEP 7 SEP NOT RATED YET
30	16 SEP - 22 SEP								

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

TARGET CORRELATION RATINGS

<u>ASSIGNED VALUE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1	40
2	48
3	36
4	6
5	2
6	1
7	0
Not rated	9
Not graded	<u>1</u>
	TOTAL 143

NOTE: 60 sessions adversely influenced by noise or work related problems.