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MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: CENTER LANE Interview Summary - CL-1018/8305/01 (U)

1. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) On 260800 May 1983, source \#01 was interviewed by \#66 in an attempt to obtain information relevant to a meeting scheduled for $26-27$ May 1983. The project 8305 sponsor had provided the names of the parties of the scheduled meeting and the name of the meeting location.
2. (S/CL-3/NOFORN) For this interview the participants at the meeting were unknown to source and were identified only as Person $A$ and Person B. The names of these individuals were sealed in envelopes and the envelopes were labled $A$ and $B$. The location name and map showing the location were sealed in an envelope and the envelope was labled C. Source was asked to describe the meeting between $A$ and $B$ at location $C$ on 26-27 May 1983. No further information was provided to source as the collection plan called for the use of this information as "feedback" and cuing for possible subsequent interviews.
3. (U) A transcript of the interview was prepared (see Incl l).
4. (U) A DA Form 341 (Agent Report) was prepared for the sponsor. This report related the salient points of the interview (see Incl 2).
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TRANSCRIPT

This will be a CENTER LANE Interview for 0800 hours 26 May 1983. Relax and concentrate now. Focus your attention, your awareness on the $A, B, C$ event of today, 26 May 1983 and describe this $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ event to me.

## PAUSE

\#01: See a..person walking along the a...flat area of a...a...this old wharf area. With cranes and things on the left. Walking towards a....some kind of small building. See a..cargo or something. Just see loading equipment and cargo. Got two...three people walking....right into a...small office area. ...They're getting into a car now and they're... driving through some gates....It's a city by water.
\#66: All right. I'm interested, as you know, I'm interested particularly in the event of person $A$ and person $B$. Check and be sure those personalities are present.
\#01: Um..just $B$ is present. Driving.....driving in a car, just a minute. .......The meeting place is a...much concrete, a lot of concrete,..it's tall walls of concrete, ..open...a...open raised area, like..a mall or a....it's giant that's concrete, big buildings, tall.
\#66: Focus on the meeting.
\#Ol: ...Still looking for "A"..
\#66: I will wait.
\#01: $\quad$....Going into the tall building and....going to like the third floor...passing into a large room. ..See A..he's got some people with him too. ....See....see A and B, perhaps 6 or 7 others. Meeting is a...first time. Appears to be friendly.
\#66:
Tell me about the meeting.
\#01: They're in this room and it's sealed..no windows. It's being guarded by other people.

All right. Now take a minute to go deeper, so that you can be there at the meeting. You've given me a lot of good observational things. Take a minute now to go deeper into the meeting itself. I will wait.
...........It's all a preliminary meeting. It's like a..conditional meeting. They're laying ground work for later meetings. ..See a....some form of..discussion or..negotiation for exchange....Talking about....changes in a position. ..Ah..this involves a third party. ...Ah..has to do with a....a..elimination of a. .power base of a third party. Great deal of money involved. ...Exchange for taking something from a third party. ..But it's not an object, it's a....it's a power of some kind..effectiveness. It's like person $B$ is selling out person not present..to person A.

Okay. Continue through the meeting and describe the attitude of the person $A$, the person $B$.

Just a minute. ..........Person A is...a a...very..um...manipulative...soft spoken; $B$ is...almost a spilt personality..type person....His attitude is...a....one of pretension a...portrayal, whatever is necessary.

Okay. Rather than a personality profile, I'm interested in the ebb and flow of the tide of the meeting itself.

Okay, just a minute. ...A..much discussion, much argument about..third person and degree of power..desired to be maintained. Ah..lot of give and take proposals..but a..I don't...I get an impression of...longer they discuss it, the more farther apart they become. It becomes more disagreeable. Person $B$ is..extremely aggressive.. fanatical...a...see a culmination of severe spilt...pending a, pending a...aggressive..pending deterioration of a..agreement. I don't see any success. Constant tugging and pulling..this meeting.

Describe the end of the meeting to me.

## matire <br> Approved For Release 2000/08\%8:C4A-RDP96-00788R001900170001-4

All right. I have a couple of questions for you.
\#01: Okay.
\#66: Focusing on person $A$, and only on person $A$, describe person $A$ to me.
U.S. Intelligence would know $A$ by this description, by this characteristic.
Small..a dark skin, black hair, silver in hair..thick mustache,..very well dressed...Wait, he's a...scratch the mustache, there is no mustache. It's overlay, it's got many people with him that have those, but he doesn't. ...Has a...very disciplined appearance. ....Delicate hands, ..even though he's the one threaten, I think..he's more dangerous than B....more cunning.

All right. A is recognized, is distinguished by a characteristic.
................eep getting something about the...about the face. .... Keep wanting to say scars, but I don't see any.

Okay. Just run through your description one more time and see what comes up. Just take a look at him and just give me a police like description of him as fast as you can.

| \#01: | Short, 5'5", black hair, dark skin and silver in hair..he's got glasses..wire rimmed glasses..a light frame,..some pock markinqs on the cheeks. That's all I get. |
| :---: | :---: |
| \# 66 : | How old would you say he is? |
| \#01: | ...44 or 45. |
| \#66: | You say he is well dressed? |
| \#01: | Very disciplined dresser, yes. |
| \# 66 : | All right. |
| \#01: | Wears a closed collar. Don't see any tie though. |
| \#66: | Now I have one other question. During the initial phases of this meeting, you described discussions surrounding further meetings. |
| \#01: | That's correct. |
| \#66: | This meeting then deteriorated away from some sort of an agreement. My question is, do you perceive a further meeting, a subsequent meeting? |
| \#01: | Just a minute. |
| \#66: | I will wait. |
| \#01: | ......I see a..meeting with a ..counter proposals.. 10 days, 2 weeks from the first meeting...but in a different location. See it occurring in a place..chosen by A..person. ....B is absolutely essential to A,..in some way, his capacity to provide something,..something esoteric. .......For the first meeting, A is unwilling.,to give what he has to give. |
| \#66: | Who is it that's unwilling? |
| \#01: | A....think there's a mutual distrust. B has more distrust for $A$ than $A$ for $B$. And $B$ is willing not to give what A wants,..for a price A offers. |
| \#66: | And the subsequent meeting? |


| \#01 | Things will be more fruitful. ...see a result of the..subsequent meeting is going to cause...wait a minute, $I$ lost it, just......be cause for great violence over the second meeting. |
| :---: | :---: |
| \#66: | Okay. Take a moment to collect your thoughts and try to explain that to me again. |
| \#01: | Try to, just a minute. .....I just see like, I see a spark of...violence occurring as a result of the second meeting. |
| \# 66 : | All right. |
| \#01: | Just this ignition of violence. |
| \#66: | Okay. The meeting is described as having fruitful results. |
| \#01: | That's correct. |
| \#66: | Results also include some spark of violence, some act of violence occurring? |
| \#01: | No. Results of the meeting...generate violence. |
| \#66: | All right. That's good the word generate, results of the meeting, generate violence. |
| \#01: | That's correct. |
| \#66: | Okay. Can you break out the term violence. What is the raw data perceived? |
| \#01: | .. See like a..time period of pause...and then a.. just a spark of violent action, explosion, exploding into violent action all over. I don't know how to explain that. |
| \#66: | Okay, that's fine. Okay, we've covered an encounter between $A$ and $B$ on the 26 th, today, 26 May 83, a meeting and then a subsequent meeting. I have no further questions, but $I$ would like you now to explore on your own. Take a few minutes now to explore on your own beyond the confines of my questions. |

```
#01: ........It's like the first meeting is..all
    maneuver...feeling each other out..lot of pushing
    and pulling to see limits of each other. To B,
    the second meeting is a...more fruitful....That's
    all I get.
#66: All right, fine.
```
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## AGENT REPORT

For use of this form, see FM 30-17(C); AR 381-20; the proponent agency is the office of the Ausistans Chief of Staff for intalligence.

1. NAME OF SUSIECT OR ITILE OF INCIDENY

MEETING
3. Date submithe
3. Comtrol symbor or fie nummer

CL-1018/8305/01
4. refort of fincinges
the meeting. person $A$ did not appear to be concerned about person B's threats but was frustrated at the inability to come to some agreement at the meeting.

Source reported that agreement at the meeting was necessary to stability, perhaps political stability (not further identified), somewhere (not further identified). Source also reported that both Person A and Person B brought other people (not further identified) to this meeting "to aid their arguments."

When asked about a possible subsequent meeting between person $A$ and Person $B$ because of the lack of agreement at this meeting, Source reported a meeting with counter proposals approximately 10 days to 2 weeks from the first meeting. Source reported that this later meeting was to take place at a different location chosen by Person A (location not further identified). Source stated that Person $B$ was absolutely essential to Person A in some way (not further identified), or in his capacity to provide something esoteric (not further identified). During the first meeting Person A was unwilling to give what he must, but at the subsequent meeting Source reported "more fruitful" (not further identified) results. In addition, Source reported violence or the ignition of violence (not further identified) generated by the results of the second meeting.

Source described person $A$ as follows:
44 or 45 years old
Small, short, 5'5' tall
Light framed
Black hair with some silver in it.
Dark skinned
Wore wire rimmed glasses
pock markings or scars on cheeks
Delicate hands
Very well dressed with closed collar, no tie Very disciplined appearance

Source did not describe person $B$.
(continued)

## AGENT REPORT



| 1. Name of sumici on mite of incioent | 2. Date sucmiteo |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 3. COmtrot symbot on file number |
| MEETING | $C L-1018 / 8305 / 01$ |

No further amplifying information concerning the meeting of Person $A$ and person $B$ was obtained from source during this interview.

AGENT'S NOTES: Information reported by Source may be accurate in its chronological sequence but inaccurate in the specific date/time referenced.

