

2.13.84

S. S.

- Post, public?

1. Do DETAILED EVALUATIONS ON MAJORITY(?) OF UTILITY ASSESSMENTS, & OPX (UA) PROJECTS, + SOME TRAINING (ADV TR? ... )
  - BASIC & CORRELATION, for types (a-f).
  - Concept / Error Set ANALYSIS
  - Show how degree of feedback & timing, nature of target, viewer used, Target instructions ... etc... may have correlated to performance ...
  - In of time, control (degree of gen → specific analytical... )  
(Set up!)
2. EVALUATE OPTIMUM No. OF SESSIONS for VARIOUS objects.
  - ESTABLISH CLEAR CUT-off
  - IF TOO LONG, PERFORMANCE MAY fall (boredom), or irrelevant feedback may be trouble..
3. Review control of UA, O while it progresses.
4. Complete ADDITIONAL OVER-ALL STATS (General APPRAISAL)
  - ALL TRAINING, for known, type(?).
  - for viewers, target type, degree of feedback, extent of Monitor knowledge, no session, etc.....
5. FILES. IMPROVE! (INCLUDES TIMELY feedback & EVAL... )

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)  
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are  
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages  
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

S.S., cont.

5. FROM 1 & 4, prepare charts/tables that show what we can expect to do operationally & to what degree of confidence or reliability.. (may be to viewer, other..)
- Also indicate low conf types, or types not yet tried.
  - what conditions seem to be necessary for taking on a project, & expecting some success:
    - viewer dependence, extent of flow loading, degree of need(?); type of targeting strategy, or methodology.... etc...
  - within given project type, is there a variation in degree of conf or tn of type of data? (ie, general description higher than specific function?). Show estimate of this variation when possible; & how derived?
  - is this tied to training as well? Newberry?
    - (ie, is it the training procedure, the practice, combination, or even the instructor(!) (ie, experimenter effect..). Can we tell?

\* this should show a type of "limited" operational

Task may not be possible (or sufficiently accurate)  
for <sup>reliable</sup> applications. Need to show what is reasonable  
to take on, & see how this fits in with other info.

7. AND, how to integrate (?) with other info -

8. People issues:

- current issues (motivation, tasks... trans, mix of TA/UA/ops...)
- How to follow-on (for those that leave)
- screening / selection?
- How to pick up from down-out.
- Need more sensitivity for members. (without going too far...)
- 'transfers' (when leave...)
- 

9. Do some review of CR & info, data base -  
- w/ low tab. record, historical

- End

SRI ACTIONS

1. SUMMARIZE ALL FINDINGS TO date (R/D, AS, other):

□ TO SUPPORT NEW AND/OR FOLLOW ON WORK (NEED ANYWAY FOR BACKUP TO SOW)

- CLEARLY SHOW WHAT WE KNOW, DO NOT KNOW AFTER ALL THOSE YEARS

□ FOR AS, ESTIMATE LIMITATIONS, PROBLEMS, ETC FOR ~~THE~~ INT. APPLICATIONS PROJECTS .....

o

- CLEARLY SHOW WHAT NEW/FU WORK IS EXPECTED TO ACHIEVE (IN 1 YEAR!)...

□ INCLUDE WORK OF OTHER LABS, IF APPLICABLE TO PREP DOD SPONSORED WORK...

2. For "ops" part of sow:

a. - Do "joint" Long Dist Projects

b. - Do Projects THAT ADDRESS ONLY "CONTENTS" OF BUILDINGS (Bio share "Security"):

(a). ABSTRACT / CRV

(b). a, + PICTURE (WITH VARIOUS SELF-EVIDENT INDICATORS)

(c). a+b + known person (B.P.)

(1) B.P WHO KNOWS CONTENT

(2) B.P WHO IS KEPT BLIND

TO CONTENT (? -- How to say?)

(d.) For "local" + Long Dist...

(1) some under joint Proj

c. VARIOUS SEARCH PROJECTS..

- w/wd B.P. ?

- SPATIAL + BEARING TO LOCATION

- PERSON, object

- VGNR, EAR-FIELD.

d. People? (1) (Bio, .....); Debrief (T, F), (2)

"ADDITIONAL NOISE" (UNINT. LEAKS)

e. PREDICTIVE:

- FUTURE LOCATION

- FUTURE EVENT (FIX. LOCATION)

(can take data be blocked)

(CM)

f. training: IS IT the method, practice or some combination? (or even the instructor.. i.e., exp. effect?)

3. OVER-ALL, FOR CTS RELATER:

- MATRIX OUT SET OF DIVERSE TARGETS, CAPABILITIES, STRATEGIES, PEOPLE (VIEWER...)

- VARIOUS "DEGREES-OF-DIFFICULTY"

4. MORE BASIC:

- what do we want to do with rel? what are best applications? what are best req. requirements to go for? can we go to them, or back off to secondary requirement. (show us req. vs. act. applicability & to what degree)

5. MISC ISSUES (OVER-ALL DATA)

- FORM VS CONTENT ISSUE? . . . . .

- Role of Booca person (WITTING, OR WITTING)

- Role of guidance/recommendation, to interest of "need" (importance... etc...)

- Mr. Taffelberg's main (CRV(?) or -> Targeting/Booca Res. -> new

-> OTS/ops ISSUES: List of "limitations" as experienced / deduced from all previous SRI, worked out work (what can be done, not done; what qualifies for what can be done?)

6. CM: (see Noise (people)) -- (See 4. + boocans, I boocans, = boocans)

9. NEW PROJECTS:

2. SEARCH / LOCATION:

- a. General (incl. all features, bearing(?))
- b. Specifics (spatial; near, far-field, bearing(?))
- c. Type (Person, object, event..)

2. PREDICTIVE:

- a. Search / Location ( FUTURE SITE, event . . . )
- b. TYPE "EVENTS", & TIMING (near → far TERM) . . . (to name by category)
  - (1). Terrorist attacks, hijackings
  - (2).
  - (3).
  - (4).
  - ⋮
  - (N) \_

3. BIO:

- STATE of health.
- LOCATION(?)
- PHYSICAL DESCRIPTIONS...



9. NEW PROJECTS, CTD

4... "VT. ASSESSMENT" TYPE.

— Police case (missing person, description of — ... ~~Event~~ report;

—

5. LOCAL PICTORIAL TARGETS

— TRAINING

— CIVIC TO FID

— LOOK-~~ER~~ - ?

— SCENES / calibration

—

10. New Procedures / Protocols:

- ERV, + ...
- WRV + ...
- PA STATE - -
- Hypothesis
  
- TARGETING:
  - (
    - "AREA" (Photo, CARD...)
    - PERSON KNOWLEDGEABLE (USSR LAB chief, Designer, OFFICIAL,)
  
- TARGETING INSTRUCTIONS + "who knows what" (10, UNWIT BEEN? .....

11.

10. Potential Difficulties

- Natural "biasing" toward Tech S/T issues by  
nature of Tech int. interest & Tech int. application!

□ overcomes it U.A. by MIX (even, %, times...?)  
of a variety of Non-Tech projects...

- TND TO ADV TR., or Later to ~~TR~~ Targets.

□