

~~SECRET~~

No Feedback
y HOK

INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION SHEET

IES Control Number: F

Source Number: 101

101

SECTION I

Collection Requirement: Conduct Utility Assessment "F" to determine the extent of Source's remote viewing capability and propensity to collect foreign intelligence information against a "suspected", [REDACTED]

SG1B

SG1B

Collection Requirement Generated By: DIA (DT-5)

Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement?	YES	IN PART	NO	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

A narrative explanation is required: See comments entered in SECTION IV.

NOTE:

Possible 'OVERLAP' WITH "D" MAY HAVE LED TO ANALYTICAL OVERLAY + DATA REJECTION PROBLEMS.

Use a continuation sheet if necessary.

~~SECRET~~

(classification)

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

~~SECRET~~

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided, not its usefulness. Responses are scaled from 0-3 utilizing the following explanatory criteria. If a source has not addressed a particular item then select UNKNOWN. If a source describes an item which cannot be verified then select CANNOT BE DETERMINED.

0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

	0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
IIa - Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
IIb - Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, rail yards, silos, docks, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
IIc - Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles, small buildings, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
IIId.- General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

~~SECRET~~
(classification)

~~SECRET~~

0- Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

	0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
IIe - Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage/research, missile testing etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
IIf - Personalities at site, i.e., descriptions, activities, responsibilities, political proclivity, support for government.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
IIg - Data of predictive importance, i.e., plans, preparations hostile intentions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

A narrative elaboration is requested:

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

~~SECRET~~

(classification)

~~SECRET~~

SECTION III

Please check which best describes the intelligence value of the information provided.

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VERY USEFUL	USEFUL	MARGINAL VALUE	NO VALUE	CANNOT BE DETERMINED

Narrative comment required. Explain how information provided will be used (i.e. confirm other intelligence sources, targeting, etc.). If information is of marginal or no value, explain why.

See SECTION IV.

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

~~SECRET~~

(classification)

~~SECRET~~

SECTION IV

This organization solicits your frank and informal comment on any aspect of this project which could better aid us in providing high quality intelligence to the consumer. Please feel free to use the space below or additional sheets of paper as necessary to convey your thoughts.

Source 101's inability to access the target during the course of two sessions was an intriguing dilemma. Source, an experienced viewer, appeared unable to grasp the full nature or scope of the target. The following comments surrounding possible explanation for Source's apparent failure to access the target are submitted for your consideration:

---A "suspected target" should not be used to conduct a utility assessment for obvious reasons. The requirement ^{of} Utility Assessment "F" should have been submitted as an operational tasker.

---In Session 01, Source 101 was tasked to identify the purpose of two vertical objects (suspected air shafts?). There is no apparent mention or levy of the "special target" or the "target of intelligence interest." There could be several "two vertical" objects at the suspected site.

---In Session 02, Source 101 was allowed to "cruise around" prior to accessing the "suspected" target. This strategy could encourage the subconscious to roam about freely. The use of sanctuary may have been more appropriate in this instance.

SG1J

SIGNATURE: 

DATE OF EVALUATION: *13 April 1987*

~~SECRET~~

(classification)