

WIRE PAPER

POINTS FOR DISCUSSION

A. TRAINING

1. What have we learned from previous winners who have done well? What sort of approach did they employ that may have been relevant for success?
2. Perhaps we should make a clear definition of "needs" (i.e., what type of applications should we pursue, and in what priority?). Our requirements may in fact specify what type of training (or training modification) are appropriate. Conversely, we should critically examine currently available training procedure and evaluate them relative to what they can be expected to do, what type of applications can they solve? What are their application limits? (See attached chart on "RV applications" for reference to possible RV problem-sets).
Perhaps a matrix like the following could be developed:

PROBLEM SET	TRAINING METHOD			
	A	B	C	...
A ₁	+ 0 -	-		
B ₁	-	-		
...				?

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

3. What are all relevant issues that need to be considered for viewer selection?

- Viewer Background (associated to problem set?)
- Psychological profile (type of RV skill, stability, etc)
- Personal preference (associated to problem set?)
- other (IQ, targeting preference, physical state of health, ...)

30. IS THE TRAINING PROGRAM per se, or simply practice, that is important? (What is the training for?)

4. Define anticipated training pace, and other particulars (i.e., session time, estimated number of sessions per stage, related activities such as drawing, sketching). How do we know when training is "complete"? How are training sessions evaluated? Does the current training approach limit application to a 'CRV' targeting speed?

5. There is a pressing need to define "operational readiness" criteria. (both individual and unit). This must relate to priority of problem sets, problem set viewers 'qualify' for, degree of reliability/accuracy.... and various other issues (TRAINING procedure, person background, ...), this needs to be related to targeting methods, and possibly other factors.

6. Related to item 5, is a pressing need to define how the transition from "Training (whatever method)" to "operations" can occur? Is this instantaneous? How do training programs now available hard-ware into the operational world? or do they not do this at all? If so, how are we going to fill that gap, or "operationally qualify" viewers? We need a "program, procedure, set of criteria, problem sets etc specifically for this.

then, both "training" and "operations" need to be... (faint handwritten notes)

7. Some possible operational qualifiers:

(1) "Add-in" ^{phase} re training, various type of operational, or "simulated operational" problems, possibly increasing such task re training progress, (or possibly, wait until after "formal" training ends).

(2) Suggested operational qualifying "packages":

- a. OPS-A . the real McCoy ... Targets of opportunity .. can be anything .. May be QRT or long term. Basic data of interest is unknown, though ^{other} aspects may be known.
- b. OPS-B . Simulated problems . For pre-ops calibration. Example include various foreign matter sets (possibly from maps, latest photos, other ..). The data is basically known (but not known by interviewee), or can be readily found.
- c. OPS-C . Simulated ^{other} problems . a variety of "other" problems can be examined if these matter sets relate to ^{relevant} intelligence applications. Example include:
 - c-1 : State of health (of ^{target} individuals)
 - c-2 : Police case work (limited trials regarding distinct police case work where resolution may be near at hand. There would be "concocting"; is not made part of anything other than in-house records for evaluation purposes. (i.e. not worked with police.)

C-3: Proccognition: can be anything. Examples include 'predicting the next terrorist strike'; the next ^{major} airplane accident, next major natural disaster (where & when)... such "practice" may improve reliability on certain "CPS-A" problems.

C-4 ~~also~~ Said/Track a variety of location problems can be worked... in local area... or with known travelers.

(3) above problem sets would need have a variety of targeting methods (not only those employed in training)

(4) complete ~~careful~~ records, evaluation procedure, etc are required for these problems/tasks.

(5) all data from about above "problems" must be kept from the interviewer... the interviewer only knows the questions... Others (specific individual) provide feedback & evaluation when known (or partially known).

8. Interviewer: we need a back-up interviewer now, what if he is sick, or T.O.G.?

9. TRAINING SESSION EVALUATION: Need a 2nd (or 2nd + 3rd) parts evaluator: Interviewer recommended -- one or other provide final conclusions. In all training sessions... C. J. H. ASAP!

BASIC DATA CATEGORIES

PTS THAT APPLY (of 36 MAIN CATEGORIES) (36)

- | | |
|--|----|
| 1. PRESENCE / ABSENCE | 8 |
| 2. LOCATION / DEPLOYMENT | 20 |
| 3. UNIT / FORCE STRUCTURE, COMPOSITION | 4 |
| 4. TEST / PROGRAM / FACILITY STATUS (PURPOSE, PROGRESS) | 12 |
| 5. FUNCTION NATURE | 12 |
| 6. CONFIGURATION / SIZE / CONTENTS | 10 |
| 7. TECHNOLOGY / SYSTEM CAPABILITIES | 15 |

CURRENT, NEW / PROJECTED / TIMING

ANOMALIES, LIMITATIONS / DEFECTS, FAILURES

- | | |
|--|---|
| 8. PEOPLE | 4 |
| · DESCRIPTIONS / IDENTITY / ASSOCIATIONS | |
| · MEDICAL ASPECTS (STATE OF HEALTH, DISEASE) | |

10 { Predictive intel

- | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| 10. (PREDICTIVE (ALL OF ABOVE) | None | ALL |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----|

· TIP-OFF / COERCION, CHANGES, NEW ACTIVITY

· PLANS / INTENTIONS

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 11. FOREIGN SECURITY DATA (OPRES...) | (?) |
|--------------------------------------|-----|

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| (12) NARRATING DOWN POSSIBILITIES | ALL |
|-----------------------------------|-----|