Volume 196, No.	4292		2			-		13
v oluline 190, 140.					ingenetian Secolution		Bankar in the second second	B∲¥4.ad
	ki British Basin - Angel Parish Basin - Angel Parish	a la transmission de la companya de	· ••• . ••	the state	1.1.1 s.,	, na se state	the second state	· ·
	LETTERS	Scientific Rivalries: A Fellowship: W. J E. R. Kennedy; (C. M. Haaland).	I. McKeachie; Š Civil Defense an	. <i>Yancy-Me</i> d Nuclear B	<i>Guire; M. S. C</i> Blackmail: <i>E</i> , P	<i>ollins;</i> . Wigner an		830
	EDITORIAL	Science and Technol	ogy Strategy for	the LDC's:	M.S.Wioncze	·k		837
	ARTICLES	Molecular Metal Clus	sters: E. L. Muei	terties				839
•		Science in the White House: A New Start: L. M. Branscomb						848
NEWS A	ND COMMENT	Cancer Institute Unil:	aterally Issues N	ew Restricti	ions on Mamm	ography		853
		Battle to Legitimize Laetrile Continues Unabated						854
		How the Swedes Live Well While Consuming Less Energy						856
		Smithsonian: ''The N						857
		Warnke Stuck with V	erification Task	• • • • • •				859
RES	EARCH NEWS	Critical Phenomena:	Experiments Sho	ow Theory o	on Right Track			861
	OOK REVIEWS	The Healers, <i>reviewe</i> <i>M. S. Mahoney</i> ; Morphology and Cells, <i>W. Plaut</i> ; Received and Bc	Tree Physiology Biology of Rept Atoms and Mole	/ and Yield I iles, D. B. W cules in Ele	Improvement, Vake; Reprodu	M. H. Zimi action of Eu	<i>mermann</i> ; Ikaryotic	864
	REPORTS (Geomorphic Degrada Venera 10 Data:	tions on the Surf C. P. Florensky,	face of Venu L. B. Ronc	1s: An Analysi a, A. T. Basile	s of Venera vsky	.9 and	869
	I	Determining the Gene C. Wunsch						871
n a sanan ya na sa	ana na isa 20, peruna ata - Mana - an ana ata ara	ur met de l'altra estateration de la composition de la serie d	en medinik kerintar ada dari dari dari	· ··· , · · · · · · · ·	an a			s gan -
AND OF DIRECTORS	WILLIAM D. MC ELROY Retiring President, Chaim	EMILIO Q. DADDARI	O EDWARD E President-E	E. DAVID, JPI. Elect	MARTIN B. CU RUTH M. DAVI	MMINGS S	RENÉE C. F MIKE MC CO	OX DHMACK
HAIRMEN AND ECNETARIES OF AAS SECTIONS	MATHEMATICS (A) Dorothy M. Stone Truman A, Botts	PHYSICS (B Norman Ram Rolf M. Sincla	isey	CHEMISTR Norman Ha Leo Schube	ckorman	Bever	IONOMY (D) Iy T. Lynds J. Landolt	
	PSYCHOLOGY (J) Donald B. Lindsley	SOCIAL AND ECONOM Matilda W. Riley	NC SCIENCES (K) HIS	STORY AND PHIL Ian McMullin	IOSOPHY OF SCIEN	ICE (L) ENGINE Ernst W	EERING (M) eber	
	Edwin P. Hollander	Daniel Rich DEN11STRY (R)	Ge PHARMACEUTICAL	orge Basalla	INFORMATION, C	Paul H. OMPUTING, ANI	Robbins	ATION (T)
	EDUCATION (Q)		Stuart Fribase		Surprova D 11-2	` n		
	Herbert A. Smith James T. Robinson	Hurold M. Fullmer Sholom Pearlman ALASKA DIVISION	Stuart Eriksen Raymond Jang	CIFIC DIVISION	Lawrence P. Heilpn Josoph Becker	in OUTHWESTERN		

1

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}$

Access in A second on the Maximum of Science Member inter on request Annual subscriptions \$60, foreign postage. Clinida \$10; other suitace \$13, air-surface wa Amsterdam \$30. Single copies \$2 that a coses Science Science is not a recent author is the function of the contract of the suitace state. Including your 7-digit account number. Postmester: Send Form 3579 to Science, 1515 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. Science is indexed in the Header Active Science For Release 2001/03/26 : CIA-RDP96-00787R0002000800036-5 This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

report observed Approved Toom Release 2001/03/26: CIA-RDP96-00782R00200080036051 mittees of its intent to purchase the collection of the Cooper Union museumeven though that was done with privately raised money. Stevens also thought Congress should have been in on the purchase, particularly since, as it turned out, some federal appropriations were required for operations and construction (total federal contributions have been about \$1.5 million). Smithsonian officials seem to have felt that the way they handled the purchase was all right since it had the approval of the Regents, six of whom are members of Congress.

Just what the Regents can approve on their own has not been cleared up. For example, Stevens appeared to be alarmed upon learning that the Smithsonian could-in theory, if not in practice-dispose of its research institute on the Chesapeake Bay (a private acquisition) without congressional sanction. "These must become federal properties if we are to continue to fund them with federal taxpayers' dollars," he opined.

Although the Smithsonian's top officials claim to be unruffled by the criticism, one official told Science that there is considerable concern that Congress is going to try to "federalize" the institution. Federalization, says another, would result in the termination of adventurous, public-oriented activities (such as the annual Folklife Festival and the magazine) that are supported with private funds; would result in the subordination of artistic and scholarly judgment to politics: and would constrict research. Officials also claim a change in status would violate the trust of many private donors who have made gifts with the understanding that the Smithsonian was a nonfederal institution.

However uneasy Congress may feel about the Smithsonian's independent ways, there have been few questions raised about the quality of the museum's collections or of the institution's core of research and scholarly endeavor.

The Smithsonian's scientific establishment, which includes 300 Ph.D.-level scientists, enjoys a solid reputation and, according to assistant secretary for science David Challinor, the institution is "fully competitive with universities" as an employer.

Smithsonian science is best known for its strength in systematics, which is founded on the collection of the Museum of Natural History, and astrophysics, which is done at the Smithsonian Astrophysical Laboratory in Cambridge, Mass., and at Mt. Hopkins, Arizona,

atte



Original Smithsonian building

where the institution has a telescope. Virtually all of the 106 museum scientists are also curators who do research on the collections and go on frequent field trips. The astrophysical lab has 100 scientists, the majority of whom work on government contracts. The rest are at other labs: the Chesapeake Bay Center for Environmental Studies, the Fort Pierce Bureau for marine research in Florida: the Radiation Biology Laboratory in Maryland; the Tropical Research Institute in Panama; and the National Zoo.

Challinor claims that Smithsonian scientists do well by any measure-ability to get research money, publications, and

Academy of Sciences. There are, in fact, ten NAS members in addition to Ripley himself (whose election is regarded by some as an honorific gesture, more in recognition of his position than the quality of his research), and the late astronomer Donald Menzel. The astrophysical lab's current director, George Field, turned down membership a couple of years ago to protest the fact that the NAS still takes on classified research.

していたので、「ない」のないないである」で、「いろう」

The institution however, gives the impression of being something of an ivory tower because so much of its work is in basic research. It has, for instance, been monitoring ultraviolet solar radiation since 1909-long before people generally recognized the purpose of such a study. "Only the Smithsonian is crazy enough to do a nonsexy long term thing like this," says Challinor.

Science absorbs about \$40 million of the total annual budget of about \$120 mil-

859

00200080036-5

Warnke Stuck with Verification Task

A little-noticed amendment to the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency (ACDA) authorization bill that passed the House on 3 May would require ACDA director Paul C. Warnke to file "timely" reports to Congress on the country's ability to verify all existing and proposed arms control agreements. He would also have to notify Congress of any "degradation" in that capability. But the motives and likely impact of the amendment are widely believed to signify further hard going for Mr. Warnke, whose Senate confirmation nearly foundered on charges he was too "soft."

In introducing the amendment, its sponsor, Edward J. Derwinski (R-Ill.), limited his explanation to a few colorless comments about the need for more "effective" verification reporting to the Congress. But Robert Lagomarsino (R-Calif.), rising to support the amendment, spoke what was probably on many of the congressmen's minds. He noted Warnke's decision, effective days before, to abolish the ACDA Verification Bureau, and, in a pointed disagreement, said the amendment was needed "so that there is no misunderstanding in anyone's mind that the United States has not downgraded the importance of verification."

The amendment's impact, some congressional liberals fear, could put Warnke into conflict with the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), which now performs verification on several treaties, coordinates the verification activities of other intelligence agencies, and reports on the subject to Congress. Liberals further fear that the lengthy, exhaustive ACDA reports which would be required on all arms control proposals could be used by his foes to harass Warnke, whose past statements on verification issues were subjected to lengthy, hostile cross-examination during the confirmation battle.

The amendment is given some chance of surviving House-Senate conference since the House passed it by a hefty margin of 259 to 148, and since Warnke and ACDA are lying low on the subject. "The Director feels that the agency can do the job if the Congress so wishes," says an agency official in a less-than-hair-raising comment. ACDA apparently sees the amendment's language as sufficiently vague that it could comply without creating too much of a stir. But whatever difference the amendment eventually makes, at the moment it indicates the hair-trigger sensitivity of congressional conservatives to Warnke's every move .--- D.S.

2001/103/261: CAA-RDP90-00787

20 MAY 1977