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CEREBRAL LOCALIZATION AND THE PSI GYNDROME

JAN BIMRENWADI), M.I.'

On trying to correlate the psi syndrome with a neural substrate, it is necessary to distinguish between spontancous, "macropsychological" and experimental, "micropsychological" incidents of the card-calling type. On comparing telepathic drawings with drawings made by brain-injured patients sulfering from optical agnosia, the identical lendency to distortion and disorganzation of the target materials can be discerned. It suggests that the telepathic subject is "agnostice" in relation to psi impressions, and that his central processing takes place'in the right rather than the lefthemisphere. The capricious nature of extrasensory perception ( $\mathrm{FS} \mathrm{J}^{3}$ ) responses of the card-calling type points to fluctuations in the reticular and limbic midhrain system in warding of the intrusion into awareness of subliminal or irelevant perceptions from the outside world.

Among the reasons for the slow, uphill strugule of parapsychology for recognition by the scientific community are the built-in preconceptions with which many parapsechologists have approached their subject mateer. Psi phenomena, by contras to the ordinary, run-ofthe-mill sensory-motor transactions studied by the neurophesiologist, were supposed to he of a basically nonphysical, spiritual nature. They were deseribed in such negative terms as ESP or "extra"-sensory perception; as PK, or psy-chokinesis-that is, as a miraculously effective motor impulse without the aid of a detectable effector organ.

Such preconceived ideas contributed their share to the scientific ostracism of the phenomena and their relegation into the sphere of the supematural. Even today many behavioral scientists are inclined to brush aside experimental ESP or PK data as statistical artifacts, or frankly erroneous, fraudulent limdings, or outright delusional chams. On the ot her hand, the last thing parapsychologists were prepared to do was to give serious consideration to their correlations and apparent similarities to pseychiatric or neuropathological conditions.

[^0]This precisely is the purpose of the present paper. I propose to review, first, a few early experiments with telepathic drawings carried out by the French parapsychologist. Rene Warcollier (19), and by Mr. and Mrs. Upton Sinclair (16) in this country. 'This will be followed by a brief' discussion of the problems posed by the statistical ESP experiments ol the cardcalling type developed by Rhine and his associates (15). But instead of pointing 10 the few "striking" cases obtained in such tests, I will call attention to the apparent failures or "near misses" scored by the respective telepathic percipients.

Figure 1 illustrates the telepathic drawings of one of Warcollier's experimental subjects. It shows the distortion and disorganization of the target piciure, a dirigible which the subject failed to recognize. Instead, he sketched part of its oval shape, caught the impression of the propeller, repeated the motil twice, and placed one correctly at the lower part of the picture. Experments with telepathic drawings carried out by Upton Sinclair and his wite (Figure 2) show the same tendency to distort ion and disorganization of the target pietures. The same is true for more recent experiments with dream lelepathy carried out by Ullman and Krippner and their associates (18), using verbal descriptions or drawings of telepathic target pictures made by their subjects.
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IMPRESSION


Fig. 1. Toup. An airship, drawn by the agent. Bottium. Telepathic impression. Note the correct rendering of an oval shape. The propeller motif appurs twice. The seribble abowe the salal is unexphaned. (From Warcollier, R. Mind to Mind. 1948. Reprinted by permission.)

Critics have found fault with the imperfect match of targets and telepathic impressions in experiments of this order and are inclined altogether to reject the telepathic interpretation on these grounds. Parapsychologists, on the other hand, were quick to apologize for the poor showing of their subjects and peinted to the occasional "perfect hits" obtained to bolster their case.
I submit that these much vaunted perfect hits are in effect atypical and misleading, and that the imperfect cases or near misses are far more significant for our understanding of the psi syndrome. Figure 3 shows the drawings produced by a brain. injured patient of mine seen many years agn in the neuropsychiatric clinic of the University of Vienna (4). The patient was admitted to the huspital following a suicidal attempt with a penetrating gunshot
wound in the left parieto-occipital region. The bullet had been removed hy surgery but the patient was left with marked daiiage to both his personality and intellectual functions. His speed wats halting; at times he was at a luse to find the names of objects or persons; he showed evidence of amnestic aphasia. His handwriting was impaired, showing slight agraphic disturbances. He was unable to perform the simplest calculations; he lost his way in the hospital ward, and he was comfused about spatial relations. He showed the same confusion of up and down, risht and left in space. Figure 3 is a sample of his drawings which he verbally described as follows: al a French window in the hospital ward: bl a face on face; c) a window lat(h; d) a ship: e) a tree, drawn upside down, with the rom

 tern, drawn by agent. Hotlom. 'Pelepabhic impreasion. A mosin sickle with "star," resemhling nose in the targe drawing. Note that an eve ldrawn and inscribed upside demos) was adiled by the recipient as an afterthought. (From Sinclair. U. Mental Radio. p. 6! 1962.$)$


Fis. 3. Drawings of the Vienna patient, a) a French window; b) a face, or face; c) the window latch; d) a ship: e) a tree drawn upside down, with a) the root; h) the trunk; c) the crown. (From Ehrenwald, d. p. 525, 1931.)
at the top, the crown at the bottom and the trunk in the middle.

Here, aram, the most striking feature is the utter disorganization of the material. It seems to be broken into pieces, jumbled like meat passed through a chopping machine. In short, displacement inversion and gross deviations from the horizontal and the vertical were characteristic features of his spatial orientation and motor behavior in space. My teacher, Professor Otto Poetzl (13), Poetzl and Hofl, and many others, have published a number of similar observations. 'They all point to lesions in the left parieto-oceipital region, sometimes extending to the corpus callosum and to the right hemisphere.

More recently, Professor A. R. Luria (9) of the University of Moseow has puhlished a series of similar observations in patients suffering from optio agnosia. Pigure 4 illustrates samples of their drawings. The pieture on the left represents the patient's jumbled impressions of an elephant. On the right can be seen equally disorganized impressions of a camel. It should be noted that the drawing of these bran-injured patients exhibit mud the same distortion and spatotemporal disorgmization as the samples of telepathic drawins produced
by normal subjects. It is also interesting to note that such patients, in addition to the imparment of their drawing ability, also tend to confuse the meaning of a given object or picture. They can perceive its part but do not graspit as a whole. One of Luria's patients, when shown the line drawing of spectacles, said: "There is a circle...and another...a stick...a cross. bar... Why, it must be a bicycle!" (p. 116).

Telepathic (or clairwoyant percipients frepuently have exactly the same difficulty in giving a correct verhal acoomt of a telepathic or claivoyant taket. Theyare both equally handicapped in trying lo organize their perceptions momemingtal wholes. Evidently, the difference between the two lies in the fact that in one case we are dealing with a patient suffering from optic anonsia; in the olher, with a momal subjects difliculty in the central proceso. ing of his telepathic impressions.

Two lentative conchasions sam be drawn from this state of affairs. First, it sumbests. that, as a general rule, the mopathis process operates withomit the ais of the dominant left hemisphere. Secondls. the rudimentary drawings of bool telepathic subjects and patients suffering from lesions



Fog. 4. Drawings of Professor Laria's patients with optic agnosia. Top teft. Elephant. Hottom left. Two attempts at copying the original. Top right, humbled drawing of a camel. Bottom righ. Figure of a math. Note disjonted bits and pieces of his anatomy. (Frm Drawing hy patients with optic monosiat In Lurin, A. R. The

 permission.)
in the dominant left hemisphere rellect the groping, faltering altempts of the right hemisphere to register, to process, and to help produce corred pictorial representations of perceptual stimuli impinging from the outside world.
Such an active, conceivably compensatory, role of the "other side" of the brain has been suggested by R. W. Sperry (17), E. Bogen (2), M. S. Gazzaniga (8), R. E. Ornstein (12), and whers. They suggest that the left hemisphere is the logician, the specialist in linear, analytical thinking, while the right hemisphere is the artist, the poet-the Listener with 'lhird Ear-presiding over the intuitive, monanalytical mode of consconosness. If this is true, we may well assign the central processing of psi impressions to the righ, wather than to the left side of the brain. This would well accome for the conspicuous atsence of the coordinates of both space and time on the psi level of functioning. By the same token. it is mo coincidence that pai phemomena dupheate in many respects the distortion of spationtemporal relationships seen in $m y$ Viemna patient, or in Professor Poetal's or Luria's cases of optic agnosia.

It should be recalled, furthermore, that telemathy and related phomomena are basically preconceptual and preverbal (5, 6). Telepathy operates independenty of the subject's linguistic skills, localized as they are in the speech centers of the dominant hemisphere. Professhr Luria has righty pointed out that it is precisely the higher. symbolic, grostie skills of this order which rend to he "hateralized," or relegated to the dominant side of the brain (9). If this is true, it would lend added support to the conjecture that psi phenomena are a function of central structures which have not. or not as yet, come under the sway of the dominant, culturally fasored hemisphere.

Such considerations throw some light on at least one phase of the telepathic response-an the central processing of poi phenomena involving the complex targe materials used in telepathic drawing tests. The may be also applicable to spmande. ous incidents in everyday life, under crisis conditions, and to (w) servations in the psy. choanalytic siluation (5.7). But it is readily understood that they (amot pessibly account for such elementars, "micropsy. chological" psi events as are involved in

the statistical ESP incidents of the cardcalling type.
P'si responses of this order occur in a given series in occasional bursts or as isolated "hits" in a more or less random distribution. They are, however, subject to Rhine's position or decline effect, suggesting fatigue or flaysing interest on the part of the subject. They are usually unconscious and, in this respect, reminiscent of subliminal perceptions or subceptions studied by experimental psychologists.

This more elementary protolype of experimental ESP brings into focus another phase of the $p$ si syndrome. Yet instead of revealing more about its psychodynamics, it poses a new question. It challenges us to ask not how such filful, capricious psi perceptions come about. but why it is that we are not being flooded by such hit-andrun perceptions or subceptions all of the time? 'The philosopher Henri Bergson (1). in his theory of "attention to life" in conjunction with his filter hypothesis, has offered an intriguins, although highly specubative answer to this question. He proposed that the brain cortex serves as a screen to ward off biologically irrelevant or undesirable external stimuli from conscious awareness-among them telepathy and clairyoyance. Bergson could not foresee that the functions of the reticular formation, discovered by Maroun and his associates some 60 years later (10), were in good keeping with his thesis. We know today that the ascending and descending reticular tracts are indeed concerned with facilitating or inhibiting (he flow of sensory stimuli from both inside and outside the organism to the higher centers. They are responsible for regulating arousal, vigilance, sleep, wakefulness, and its fluctua-. tions in the rapid eye movement state.

In a similar vein, it may well be argued that it is the inhibitory function of the reticular formation which constitutes the first line of the organism's defense aganst the influx of surh biolugically indifferent or undesirable perceptual stimuli as ESP, or against the mobilization of such poten. tially wasteful motor impulses as PK. The concept of perceptual defenses or subceptions proposed by experimental psycholo-
gists, and Norman Dixon's (i3) study of subliminal percentions point in the same direction. According to Mclean (il), a secondary, limbic-midhrain system is like. wise concerned with regulatory functions of this order. Norman Dixon has suggested that the latter is particularly involsed in the processing of subliminal stimuli. Professor Luria (9), Karl Prihram and his co-workers (1.+) have moled, furthermore, that the frontal and temporal cortex likewise play an important part in the selective filtering or "afference" of iapul from outside.
What, then, is the relevance of these findings to the micropseychological, ESP type of psi incidents? They suggest that the fitful, capricious occurrence of correct hits in a series of cardealling tests of the Duke type may be due to the random occurence of minor flaws in the serecming or inhibitory functions of the reticular formation and higher eenters. 'They result in the intrusion of a few equally capricious bursts or clusters of pasi incidents into a subject's scoring pattern-conscinas or unconsctons. If this is true, psi respunsers of this order are essentially flaw determined: they are due to flaws in the operation of the sulbect's perceptual defenses, the sereening function of the Bergsonian filter or of what Freud described as the Reizschutz protecting the ego from being flooded by stimuli from the id. They can be contrasted with the essentially need-determined psi responses of the spontaneous type as can be studied in the psychoanalytic situation $(6,7)$.

It is needess to say, however, that these conjectures about the part played by the reticular formation or the right hemisphere as the neural substrate of the psi syndrome fall far short of solving the enigma of the ultimate origin of pai phenomena. They suggest- that psi-like all other aspects of our mental orgamization-hats its neural base in a hierarchical structure of the central nervous system withoul having at. tained the stage of strict lateralization characteristic of most "higher" functions. They provide some temative duce ans 10 their modus operandi, bul they camme tell us how, in the last analusis, a telepathic impression is turned into conscious aware.
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ness, or how a PK impulse is brought to bear on an external object without the aid of an effector organ.

It may be as well to recall, however, that we are likewise at a loss to explain how a certain wavelength of light is ultimately perceived as "red." Nor do we know how wolition, or an ordinary motor impulse originating in my brain, is converted into action. The last step in an extrasensory impression, or the first step in a volitional act (or PK) is equally mysterious. All we know is that both take place in the little black box of my skull. The difference between the two merely lies in the fact that in one case the gap in our understanding is small and inconspicuous, while the gap looms woefully large in the case of ESP or PK. Nevertheless, psychologists and behavioral scientists in general have learned to live comfortably with the gap and to go about their business as usual in life or laberatory without trying to fill it. It should be only fair to grant the same prisilege to their parapsychotugical confreres, without pressing them for ultimate answers which have so far cluded those engaged in more solidly establishod fields of scientific inguiry.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Consulting Psychiatrist, The Roosevelt Hospital, Now York City.
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