

~~SECRET~~

UPDATE BRIEFING: GRILL FLAME PROGRAM

- I. INTRODUCTION.
- II. THREE PHASES OF PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT.
  - A. THE SEARCH & IDENTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL FOR TRAINING.
  - B. PRELIMINARY TRAINING.
  - C. INTENSIVE TRAINING, INCLUDING ATTEMPTS TO IDENTIFY VARIOUS INDIVIDUAL ABILITIES AND THE ACCEPTANCE OF SOME OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- III. MISSION.
- IV. PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT.
  - A. SELECTING PERSONNEL.
  - B. PRELIMINARY TRAINING:
    - (1) SRI TRAINING.
    - (2) IN-HOUSE EFFORT
    - (3) TARGET SELECTION PROCESS
  - C. INTENSIVE TRAINING:
    - (1) SRI TRAINING
    - (2) IN-HOUSE EFFORT
      - (A) GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATES
      - (B) CDEC TARGETS
      - (C) OPERATIONAL TASKS
    - (3) DEVELOPMENT OF TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)
  - D. IDENTIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL CAPABILITIES
  - E. CURRENT PROGRAM
  - F. FUTURE OBJECTIVES
- V. SUMMARY.

~~SECRET~~

Inclsure 1

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)  
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are  
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages  
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

## MISSION STATEMENT

(S) To establish a program using psychoenergetics for intelligence applications. Specifically, utilizing that field of psychoenergetics referred to as REMOTE VIEWING. The program encompasses the following:

- Establishing a training program in REMOTE VIEWING utilizing selected INSCOM personnel.
- Establishing procedures for intelligence collection techniques utilizing REMOTE VIEWING.
- Establishing a mechanism for responding to intelligence collection requirements (tasking) using REMOTE VIEWING.

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)\*

ASSIGNED VALUE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
1	Absolutely no target correlation.	None (0%)
2	Drawings, narrative, and feedback reaction have minimal target correlation.	Low (15%)
3	Increased target correlation, identity of target could not be determined.	Low-Moderate (30%)
4	Many target correlation factors readily recognizable. Target identity possibilities narrowed.	Moderate (50%)
5**	RV data shows unmistakable correlation to the target. Target possibilities can now be typified.	Moderate-High (70%)
6	Little or no extraneous RV data present. Target identity can be readily matched.	High (80%)
7	Correct naming of the target.	Direct Hit (100%)

\*Target Correlation Chart (TCC) was established not to prove or disprove Remote Viewing (RV), rather, it was designed to measure RV learning trends and to provide Project Management personnel a readily available management tool.

\*\*Current state-of-the-art indicates this level of expertise is the norm for an experienced Remote Viewer.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA  
DECLASSIFY ON: 31 May 99  
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA  
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

~~SECRET~~

GRILL FLAME

TARGET CORRELATION RATINGS

<u>ASSIGNED VALUE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1	48
2	60
3	52
4	16
5	6
6	1
7	0
NOT RATED	15
AWAITING EVALUATION	39
NOT GRADED	1
NOT FINALIZED	13
	<hr/>
	244

- NOTE: a. 76 sessions may have been influenced by noise or work related problems
- b. 21 sessions on NK
- c. 39 sessions on Iran

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC) FILE NO. 1 SET CORRELATION CHART

WEEK	DATE	NONE (0%)	LOW (15%)	LOW-MODERATE (30%)	MODERATE (50%)	MODERATE-HIGH (70%)	HIGH (80%)	DIRECT HIT (100%)	REMARKS
	RPT - ZG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	25 FEB - 3 MAR	?							RPT HAS NOT BEEN RATED
2	4 MAR - 10 MAR								
3	11 MAR - 17 MAR								
4	18 MAR - 24 MAR								
5	25 MAR - 31 MAR								
6	1 APR - 7 APR	⊙							
7	8 APR - 14 APR								
8	15 APR - 21 APR	⊙*		⊙					* NOISE FACTOR
9	22 APR - 28 APR								
10	29 APR - 5 MAY			⊙*					* NOISE FACTOR
11	6 MAY - 12 MAY								
12	13 MAY - 19 MAY								
13	20 MAY - 26 MAY								
14	27 MAY - 2 JUN	⊙							
15	3 JUN - 9 JUN								● NON-RATED SESSION
16	10 JUN - 16 JUN	⊙							
17	17 JUN - 23 JUN		⊙						
18	24 JUN - 30 JUN		⊙	⊙					
19	1 JUL - 7 JUL	⊙*							* NOISE FACTOR
20	8 JUL - 14 JUL	⊙		⊙	⊙				* NOISE FACTOR
21	15 JUL - 21 JUL								Tdy - w/least training
22	22 JUL - 28 JUL	⊙							
23	29 JUL - 4 AUG	⊙							* NOISE FACTOR * PERSONAL PROBLEMS
24	5 AUG - 11 AUG								
25	12 AUG - 18 AUG	⊙							NOISE PROBLEM (WORK RELATED) PROBLEM
26	19 AUG - 25 AUG								
27	26 AUG - 1 SEP								
28	2 SEP - 8 SEP								SPECIAL PAGE NOISE PROBLEM
29	9 SEP - 15 SEP								
30	16 SEP - 22 SEP								
31	23 SEP - 29 SEP								
32	30 SEP - 6 OCT								
33	7 OCT - 13 OCT								
34	14 OCT - 20 OCT								
35	21 OCT - 27 OCT								
36	28 OCT - 3 NOV								* NOISE BIG PROBLEM ⊙ NOV - NON-RATED SESSION ⊙ NOV - NON-RATED SESSION
37	4 NOV - 10 NOV								
38	11 NOV - 17 NOV								
39	18 NOV - 24 NOV								* NOISE PROBLEM

SG1A

Target Identification	Date Assigned	Viewer	Interviewer	Analyst	No. of Sessions	IC Range	Target Cue or Beacon Type	Status			Remarks
								Post	Present	Future	
[REDACTED]	10 Aug	ALL	ALL	N/A	11	1-3		X	X	Complete	TRAINING only
	1 SEP	ALL	ALL	N/A	6	1-3		X		ON-GOING	TRAINING only
	26 SEP	1,3,8	F	LR	4	3-5		X	X	ON-GOING/ANALYSIS	ITAC
	TBD									AWAITING GUIDANCE FROM SSD, 902 MIG	
	3 SEP	6,1	F/R	T	10	2-5		X	X	ON-GOING/ANALYSIS	AWAITING FURTHER GUIDANCE
	19 Nov	3,8	TBD	DW							AWAITING TARGET PACKAGE
	5 Oct	8	F	W	1	3		X		ON-GOING	COORDINATE WITH ITAC
	1 Nov	1,3,5, 6,8	F, S, M	W, B	20	1-5		X	X	ON-GOING, MONITOR ON PERIODIC BASIS	COORDINATE WITH ITAC
Approved For Release 2001/04/02 : CIA-RDP96-00788R002000240014-0											