

DOD Evaluation Committee Briefing - 27 September 1979

1. Introduction/Background

- a. Tasking and my background
- b. Early visits to SRI and AMSAA

2. Mission

3. SRI Visit: 15-17 Nov

- a. Initial orientation
- b. Work statement/training
- c. Criteria for selection of subjects

Well thought of	Adventurous
Above average intel	Open minded
Thinks well of himself	Successful
Articulate	Mature and stable
"Artistic"	

- d. Witnessed session

4. SRI Visit: 12-15 Dec

- a. Screening potential subjects
- b. Review recent SRI experiments
- c. Review of tapes
- d. Relationship of subject/interviewer
- e. Role of outbound team
- f. Factors that inhibit success

- (1) Prior knowledge of target possibilities
- (2) Absence of feedback
- (3) Doing trivial tasks
- (4) Use of repetitive target sequences

- g. Factors that enhance success

- (1) Interest factor
- (2) Seriousness of purpose
- (3) Monitor/interviewer to ask questions
- (4) Practice with feedback

5. Selection of Individuals: Target: 3 individuals

- a. Considered - 251
- b. Interviewed - 117
- c. Initial Results - 30-35 potential candidates
- d. 14 Feb - SRI visited - results
- e. Intelligence background of individuals

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

~~SECRET~~

WORKING PAPER

Approved For Release 2001/04/02 : CIA-RDP96-00788R002000240026-7

6. Began training late Feb - stopped March
7. Training with SRI - 13 May
Second phase of training just started
8. Training problems
 - a. Environment
 - b. Work related situations
 - c. Availability
 - d. Frequency
 - e. Time of day
9. Target Selection Process
10. Current Program(s)
 - a. Training at SRI
 - b. "Beacon" situations
 - c. Coordinate work - 3 levels
 - d. "Real" situations
 - e. CDEC training
11. Development of TCC
12. Future Objectives:
 - a. Continue development of individual abilities
 - b. Complete training at SRI (Dec 79)
 - c. Apply RV techniques to "live problems"
 - d. Determine tasking procedures
 - e. Align resources properly
13. Evaluation Report

Approved For Release 2001/04/02 : CIA-RDP96-00788R002000240026-7

~~SECRET~~

WORKING PAPER

~~SECRET~~

①

MISSION STATEMENT

(S/ORCON) To establish a program using psychoenergetics for intelligence applications. Specifically, utilizing that field of psychoenergetics referred to as REMOTE VIEWING. The program encompasses the following:

- Establishing a training program in REMOTE VIEWING utilizing selected INSCOM personnel.
- Establishing procedures for intelligence collection techniques utilizing REMOTE VIEWING.
- Establishing a mechanism for responding to intelligence collection requirements (tasking) using REMOTE VIEWING.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA
DECLASSIFY ON: 17 Mar 99
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

Attachment A
to INC 2

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

TARGET SELECTION PROCESS

A. TARGETS

1. Location
2. Activity
3. Time

B. METHOD OF TARGETING

1. Beacon (Human and objects)
 - a. Initial target pool
 - b. Random (local)
 - c. Operational designate (i.e. A6E)
2. Geographic Coordinates
 - a. Target Pool
 - (1) "Fun Targets"/Initial Orientation
 - (2) Series 200 Map Targets
 - (3) TK Targets
 - b. Operational Designate
3. UTM Coordinates

C. DEVELOPMENT OF TARGETS

1. Beacon - Initial Target Pool
 - a. 50 Targets selected by individual outside project.
 - b. Secured by another individual.
 - c. How utilized.
2. Beacon - Random
3. Operational Designate
4. Geographic Coordinates -- "Fun Targets"
 - a. Pool designed by NCOIC
 - b. Stored in Safe -- Access limited
5. Geographic Coordinates - Series 200 Map Targets
 - a. Pool designed by Project Manager
 - b. Stored in Safe - Access limited

~~SECRET~~

- 6. Geographic Coordinates - TK Targets
 - a. Pool designed by IIPD
 - b. Stored in SSO Area -- Access controlled by SSO.

- 7. Geographic Coordinates -- Operational Designate
 - a. "Customer" Request
 - b. Data stored in safe - access controlled by Project Manager.

- 8. UTM Coordinates
 - a. Weekly targets provided by CDEC
 - b. Data controlled by COL Moses, CDEC

~~SECRET~~

PROJECT STATUS

Week Ending 1/1

CUT-OFF 18 SEP 79

3

SG1A

Target Identification	Date Assigned	Viewer	Interviewer	Analyst	No. of Sessions	TC Range	Target Cue or Beacon Type	Status			Remarks	
								Past	Present	Future		
[REDACTED]	10AUG	ALL	ALL	N/A	9	1-3	UTM/EVENT	X	X	ON-GOING	TRNG ONLY	
	1SEP	ALL	ALL	AS ASSIGNED	4		GEO	X		ON-GOING	TRNG ONLY	
	26SEP	8	TBD	TBD			TBD				OACSI SPECIAL	
		5										
	TBD	3	F	S			TBD				AWAIT INFO FROM	
		1									SSD, 902D MIE GP	
	10SEP	5	S	8	1		TBD				ON-GOING	(1) C51, 13 SEP 79
		7										
	3SEP	6	F	3	4		GEO/POLAR	X			DATA UNDERGOING ANALYSIS	(1) C47, 7SEP, (2) C53, 14SEP
												(3) C54, 14SEP, (4) C55, 14SEP
TBD	3,6	F&S	TBD			TBD				AWAITING INITIAL GUIDANCE		
	1,8											

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)*

ASSIGNED VALUE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
1	Absolutely no target correlation.	None (0%)
2	Drawings, narrative, and feedback reaction have minimal target correlation.	Low (15%)
3	Increased target correlation, identity of target could not be determined.	Low-Moderate (30%)
4	Many target correlation factors readily recognizable. Target identity possibilities narrowed.	Moderate (50%)
5**	RV data shows unmistakable correlation to the target. Target possibilities can now be typified.	Moderate-High (70%)
6	Little or no extraneous RV data present. Target identity can be readily matched.	High (80%)
7	Correct naming of the target.	Direct Hit (100%)

*Target Correlation Chart (TCC) was established not to prove or disprove Remote Viewing (RV), rather, it was designed to measure RV learning trends and to provide Project Management personnel a readily available management tool.

**Current state-of-the-art indicates this level of expertise is the norm for an experienced Remote Viewer.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA
DECLASSIFY ON: 31 May 99
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)

FILE NO. 1

5

DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	REMARKS
25 FEB - 3 MAR	?							RPT HAS NOT BEEN RATED
4 MAR - 10 MAR								
11 MAR - 17 MAR								
18 MAR - 24 MAR								
25 MAR - 31 MAR		○						
1 APR - 7 APR	○							
8 APR - 14 APR								
15 APR - 21 APR	○*		○					* NOISE FACTOR
22 APR - 28 APR								
29 APR - 5 MAY			○*					* NOISE FACTOR
6 MAY - 12 MAY								
13 MAY - 19 MAY								
20 MAY - 26 MAY								
27 MAY - 2 JUN	○							
3 JUN - 9 JUN								● NON-RATED SESSION
10 JUN - 16 JUN	○							
17 JUN - 23 JUN		○						
24 JUN - 30 JUN		○	○					
1 JUL - 7 JUL	○*							* NOISE FACTOR
8 JUL - 14 JUL	○*		○*	○*				* NOISE FACTOR
15 JUL - 21 JUL								TDY- W/OAST TRAINING
22 JUL - 28 JUL	○ ₁							25 JUL + NOT RATED YET
29 JUL - 4 AUG	○ ₁ ○ ₂ ○ ₃			○ ₂				* NOISE FACTOR * PERSONAL PROBLEMS
5 AUG - 11 AUG								
12 AUG - 18 AUG	○ ₂		○					
19 AUG - 25 AUG								
26 AUG - 1 SEP								
2 SEP - 8 SEP						● SPECIAL AGENT		6 SEP 6 SEP 7 SEP NOT RATED YET
9 SEP - 15 SEP								

Approved For Release 2001/04/02 : CIA-RDP96-00788R002000240026-7

Approved For Release 2001/04/02 : CIA-RDP96-00788R002000240026-7

TARGET CORRELATION RATINGS

<u>ASSIGNED VALUE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1	40
2	48
3	36
4	6
5	2
6	1
7	0
Not rated	9
Not graded	1
	<u>143</u>
	TOTAL

NOTE: 60 sessions adversely influenced by noise or work related problems.