~~SECRET~~

ORCON/NOFORN

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

IAFM-OPS-HUP   10 August 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Summary of West Coast Trip (6-8 Aug 79) (U)

1. (U) Purpose: This MFR highlights significant items
covered during the visit that COL Hamel and I made to SRI
International and to Hunter Liggett Army Base, California
from 6-8 August 1979. Schedule of events is contained in Incl 1.

2. (S) The primary reasons for the visit were to:

    a. Receive evaluation of first phase of training and then
select individuals for additional training at SRI.

    b. Coordinate requirements/tasking with CDR, Experimentation
Support Command, Hunter Liggett Army Base.

3. (S) Although all the sessions of the six trainees have not
been judged, SRI personnel were able to give us the following
preliminary evaluation. (Note: A formal written evaluation will
be submitted by SRI in the near future.):

    a. *#155 - Has to learn to say less. Must learn to
restrict viewpoint. Too much overlay. Of six sessions, individ-
ual gave good descriptions concerning four of them. However,
even then, three of the sessions had too much other data con-
tained therein. Embellish too much. Use on targets that you
already have knowledge about.

    b. #292 - "On is on - off is off." Demonstrated some
precognitive ability. Had three very good sessions. Overall,
did well -- has definite potential.

    c. #372 - The best! Good individual for detail. Did not
try precognitive session. Had four sessions that were judged
"1's" and two sessions that were rank ordered "2".

                                CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA
                                DECLASSIFY ON: 10 Aug 99
                                EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA
                                REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

GRILL FLAME

~~SECRET~~

IAFM-OPS-HUP   10 August 1979
SUBJECT: Summary of West Coast Trip (6-8 Aug 79) (U)

    d. #468 - Very nervous and up tight at beginning of week.
Started poorly but improved constantly throughout the week.
Drawings better than verbalization. Sorry to see individual
go because progress being made every session.

    e. #518 - Nervous, not relaxed. To some degree stayed
that way throughout the week. Didn't do as well as expected.
SRI personnel (Russell Targ) concerned about individual's "deep
concentration" ability and expressed worry that he (RT) did not
know how to handle situation. Hal Puthoff, on the other hand,
was very anxious to do further training with the individual.

    f. #690 - Always gave short, concise responses. Usually
very little "noise" in answers. Does not try to be analytical.
Shows great potential. Demonstrated ability to pick up emotion!

*Names withheld for security reasons; #'s are the ones assigned
 by SRI.

4. (S) Hal Puthoff and Russell Targ agreed that a decision
to drop any of the above personnel (from the program) would
probably be a mistake. Therefore, we agreed that all six
individuals would receive an additional two weeks of training
but that the training cycle would be expanded to finish up in
December 1979 vice October 1979 as originally agreed upon. We
also agreed that the two weeks' training cycle would be tailored
to meet the apparent abilities of the respective individuals
and that flexibility in the training program was very desirable.
However, we agreed that some of the following types of sessions
should be given to all trainees:

    a. Work with coordinates.

    b. Local "beacon" sessions. However, we insisted that once
a target was used it would not be repeated for any other individ-
ual. Further, SRI personnel agreed to put 30 new targets into
the target pool.

    c. Slide training -- include retest wherein the Remote
Viewer will be given some feedback as to accuracy of initial
response.

    d. Precognitive sessions.

IAFM-OPS-HUP   10 August 1979
SUBJECT: Summary of West Coast Trip (6-8 Aug 79) (U)

    e. Repeating target sessions for given individual.
Attempting to see if images and details can get better as the
day progresses.

    f. Tracking exercises.

5. (S) Hal Puthoff discussed recent visit by DOD Evaluation
Team headed by Mr. Manfred Gale. PUthoff and Targ were very
upset as they felt they had not been given the opportunity to
adequately present their side of the issue. They have prepared
a memorandum for the committee and we were given a copy (see
Inclosure 2).

6. (S) SRI suggested that it might be beneficial if we
provided them some copies of our reports so that they could
judge them using their own particular methodology. We agreed
to send them any six copies of reports already completed and
"graded" by our personnel (of course, we would not send them
results of our grading) and that we would also send them copies
of the last six reports generated by #372.

7. (S) We asked SRI to give some thought to developing a
program using some sort of biophysical monitoring techniques.
Such a program might give evidence of when data being provided
by a Remote Viewer is apt to be more accurate than at other
times. This request was in no way a formal requirement or
commitment for further contracting from INSCOM.

8. (S) On Tuesday, 7 August, COL Hamel and I drove to Hunter
Liggett Army Base for a meeting with COL Moses, CDR, Experimenta-
tion Support Command. COL Moses is already monitoring a program
for SRI in conjunction with a work contract from AMSAA. Since
this work is already being done, MAJ Stoner, OACSI, had suggested
INSCOM might like to satellite themselves onto the program. We
felt that the program offered another unique method of improving
our training program and the meeting with COL Moses was arranged
after I coordinated with John Kramar's office (AMSAA) to insure
that they posed no objections.

    COL Moses agreed to send us the necessary Test Event
Data using the format previously developed by AMSAA. After
the session is over, COL Moses will then mail us the necessary
feedback information. We will do our own judging and do not
have to forward data to COL Moses' office unless we desire to.
An example of an "Expected Test Event Information Sheet" is
attached as Inclosure 3.

IAFM-OPS-HUP   10 August 1979
SUBJECT: Summary of West Coast Trip (6-8 Aug 79) (U)

9. (S) Overall, the trip was very successful and we are
anxious to get on with the training--not only at SRI but to see
what develops from the very realistic challenges that will be
provided by the Hunter Liggett training program. Dr. Puthoff
had no objections to INSCOM GRILL FLAME project management
personnel being present during the upcoming training cycle
and we will probably have someone visit the West Coast once
or twice over the next five months.

3 Incl   MURRAY B. WATT
as   Major(P), MI
                                INSCOM GRILL FLAME
                                Project Manager

4

WEST COAST TRIP
(6 - 8 August 1979)

Schedule of Events:

Monday, 6 August 1979

0900-0930   Introductory Remarks (Hal Puthoff, MAJ Watt)

0930-1200   Evaluation presentation concerning trainees

1200-1300   Lunch

1300-1500   Evaluation presentation concerning trainees

1500-1730   Objectives for new training cycle

1730-1800   Presentation concerning Gale Committee

Tuesday, 7 August 1979

0630-1030   Enroute to Hunter Liggett Army Base (Rental Car)

1030-1200   Meeting with COL Moses, CDR, Experimentation Support
            Command

1200-1300   Lunch

1300-1530   Tour facilities and base exercise area

1530-1600   Requirements meeting with COL Moses

1600-1900   Enroute to Menlo Park (Rental Car)

Wednesday, 8 August 1979

0800-1015   General Discussions Concerning:

            a.   Time schedule for future training

            b.   Biophysical monitoring techniques

            c.   Report requirements

            d.   Transposing data into computer format

            e.   SRI evaluating some INSCOM reports