1		
2	*** CONFIDENTIAL INTERVIEW ***	
3		
4	SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE	
5	UNITED STATES SENATE	
6	WASHINGTON, D.C.	
7		
8		
9	INTERVIEW OF:	
10		
11	Supervisory Special Agent 1	
12		
13	THURSDAY, AUGUST 27, 2020	
14		
15		
16	The interview in this matter was held at the Senate Dirksen	
17	Building, Hearing Room 226, commencing at 10:14 a.m.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

## The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com** 

1 APPEARANCES: 2 SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE: 3 ZACHARY N. SOMERS, ESQ. 4 Chief Investigative Counsel 5 6 ARTHUR RADFORD BAKER, ESQ. 7 Senior Investigative Counsel 8 9 LEE HOLMES, ESQ. 10 Chief Counsel to Chairman Graham 11 12 SARA ZDEB, ESQ., Senior Counsel 13 JOSEPH CHARLET, ESQ. for 14 Ranking Member Feinstein 15 16 FOR THE WITNESS: 17 LAWRENCE BERGER, ESQ. 18 Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association 19 20 VANSHIKA VIJ, ESQ. 21 JAMES M. KOUKIOS, ESQ 22 Morrison & Foerster, LLP

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

20006

Washington, D.C.

(202) 887-1590

23

24

```
1
    APPEARANCES (Continued):
 2
    On behalf of the Federal Bureau of Investigation:
 3
 4
            , ESQ.
 5
                   , ESQ.
 6
                     , ESQ.
 7
       Office of General Counsel
 8
 9
    On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice:
10
         , ESQ.
11
       Senior Counsel,
12
       U.S. Department of Justice
13
14
       , ESQ.
       Counsel, U.S. Department of Justice,
15
16
       Legislative Affairs
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1		INDEX
2	EXAMINATION BY	
3	COUNSEL FOR THE MAJORITY:	PAGE
4	By Mr. Somers:	10, 79, 141
5		
6	COUNSEL FOR THE MINORITY:	
7	By Ms. Zdeb:	62, 130, 187, 192
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

## 1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 MR. SOMERS: Good morning. This is a transcribed
- 3 interview of . Chairman Graham requested this
- 4 interview as part of an investigation by the Senate Judiciary
- 5 Committee into matters related to the Justice Department's and
- 6 the Federal Bureau of Investigation's handling of the Crossfire
- 7 Hurricane investigation, including the application for and
- 8 renewals of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act warrant
- 9 of Carter Page.
- Will the witness please state his name and current
- 11 position with the FBI for the record.
- 12 THE WITNESS: and I'm the Assistant
- 13 Special Agent in Charge for Counterintelligence at the FBI
- 14 Field Office.
- 15 MR. SOMERS: Thank you. On behalf of Chairman Graham,
- 16 I want to thank you for appearing today and we appreciate your
- 17 willingness to appear voluntarily.
- 18 My name is Zachary Somers. I'm the Majority's chief
- 19 investigative counsel for the Judiciary Committee, and I would
- 20 now like to ask everyone else who's here in the room to introduce
- 21 themselves for the record other than your personal counsel, who
- 22 I'll get to in a few moments.
- MR. BERGER: Thanks.
- 24 MR. BAKER: Arthur Baker, senior investigative counsel
- 25 for Majority staff, Chairman Lindsey Graham.

- 1 MS. ZDEB: Sara Zdeb, senior counsel for the Minority
- 2 staff, Ranking Member Feinstein.
- 3 MR. CHARLET: Joe Charlet, counsel for the Minority
- 4 staff, Ranking Member Feinstein.
- 5 MR. Brian Zimmerman with Chairman Johnson's office.
- 6 MR. SCHRAM: Zach Schram, Homeland Security Government
- 7 Affairs Committee, Minority chief counsel.
- senior counsel, Department of
- 9 Justice Office of Legislative Affairs.
- : , FBI OGC.
- : FBI OGC.
- : FBI OGC.
- : FBI OGC.
- MR. SOMERS: The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do
- 15 not apply in this setting, but there are some guidelines that
- 16 we follow that I'd like to go over.
- Our questioning will proceed in rounds. The Majority
- 18 will ask questions for an hour and the Minority will have the
- 19 opportunity to ask questions for an equal period of time. We
- 20 will go back and forth in this manner until there are no more
- 21 questions and the interview is over.
- 22 Typically, we take a short break at the end of each hour
- 23 of questioning, but if you'd like to take a break apart from
- 24 that, please let us know.
- 25 As I noted earlier, you are appearing today

- 1 voluntarily. Accordingly, we anticipate that our questions
- 2 will receive complete responses. To the extent that you
- 3 decline to answer our questions or if counsel instructs you not
- 4 to answer, we will consider whether a subpoena is necessary.
- 5 As you can see, there is an official reporter here
- 6 taking down everything that is said to make a written record.
- 7 So we ask you to give verbal responses to all our questions.
- 8 Do you understand that?
- 9 THE WITNESS: I understand.
- 10 MR. SOMERS: So that the reporter can take down a clear
- 11 record, it is important that we don't talk over one another or
- 12 interrupt each if we can help it.
- The Committee encourages witnesses who appear for
- 14 transcribed interviews to freely consult with counsel if they
- 15 so choose, and you are appearing today with counsel.
- 16 Counsel, please state your names for the record.
- MR. BERGER: Sure. Lawrence Berger.
- 18 MR. KOUKIOS: James Koukios.
- 19 MS. VIJ: Vanshika Vij, Morrison Foerster.
- MR. SOMERS: We want you to answer our questions in the
- 21 most complete and truthful manner possible. So we'll take our
- 22 time. If you have any questions or if you don't understand one
- 23 of our questions, please let us know.
- If you honestly don't know the answer to a question or
- 25 do not remember it, it is best not to guess. Please give us

- 1 your best recollection and it is okay to tell us if you learned
- 2 the information from someone else.
- 3 If there id anything you don't know or can't remember,
- 4 just say so and please inform us who, to the best of your
- 5 knowledge, might be able to provide a more complete answer to
- 6 the question.
- 7 You should also understand that although this interview
- 8 is not under oath, you are required by law to answer questions
- 9 of Congress truthfully. Do you understand that?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I understand.
- 11 MR. SOMERS: This also applies to questions posed by
- 12 congressional staff in an interview. Do you understand this?
- 13 THE WITNESS: I understand.
- 14 MR. SOMERS: Witnesses who knowingly provide false
- 15 testimony can be subject to criminal prosecution for perjury
- 16 and for making false statements. Do you understand this?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I understand.
- 18 MR. SOMERS: Is there any reason you are unable to
- 19 provide truthful answers to today's questions?
- THE WITNESS: No.
- MR. SOMERS: Finally, we ask that you not speak about
- 22 what we discuss in this interview with anyone else outside of
- 23 who is here in the room today in order to preserve the integrity
- 24 of our investigation.
- 25 That is the end of my preamble. Do you have any

- 1 questions before we begin?
- : Not a question, just one comment: The FBI
- 3 wants to note for the record that these are extraordinary
- 4 circumstances in which a non-SES current onboard FBI agent is
- 5 appearing for a voluntary transcribed interview.
- 6 MR. SOMERS: Thank you for making him available under
- 7 the circumstances of this investigation.
- 8 It is now 10:20 and we'll begin our first hour of
- 9 questioning.
- 10 EXAMINATION BY THE MAJORITY
- 11 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 12 Q. , have you had a chance to review the
- 13 IG's December 2019 report on the Carter Page FISA application?
- 14 A. I have.
- 15 O. And, for the record, are you the individual
- 16 that's identified as SSA 1 in the IG report?
- 17 A. I am.
- 18 Q. Other than your personal attorneys and the
- 19 attorneys here from DOJ, did you speak with anyone in
- 20 preparation for today's interview?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. The FBI's Crossfire Hurricane investigation, as
- 23 you are aware, was opened on July 31, 2016. What was your
- 24 position at the FBI when the investigation was opened?
- 25 A. I was supervisory special agent assigned to the

- 1 Washington Field Office.
- Q. What was your specialization or role, however
- 3 you would characterize it, in that position you had?
- 4 A. I was supervisor of the
- 6 BY MR. BAKER:
- 7 Q. Is your career primarily as a
- 8 counterintelligence agent?
- 9 A. It is.
- 10 Q. You've been in the FBI as a special agent how
- 11 long?
- 12 A. Eighteen years.
- 13 O. And how much of that time was
- 14 counterintelligence, to the best of your recollection?
- 15 A. Sixteen years.
- Q. So you are a counterintelligence agent; that's
- 17 your expertise for lack of a better term?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. BAKER: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. Do you recall exactly when in relation to July
- 22 31st you were assigned to the Crossfire Hurricane
- 23 investigation?
- 24 A. I believe I was contacted by Peter Strzok on the
- 25 Friday prior. I think it was July 29th.

- Q. When you say "contacted", what were you
- 2 contacted about?
- 3 A. Peter Strzok asked me to come talk to him and
- 4 asked me enter into a TDY.
- 5 Q. Did you need approval from the Washington Field
- 6 in order to enter into that TDY?
- 7 A. I did.
- 8 Q. When did you receive that?
- 9 A. I believe immediately after I spoke with Peter
- 10 Strzok.
- 11 Q. Immediately after. So you started Crossfire
- 12 Hurricane at its inception, maybe a couple of days prior to the
- 13 official electronic communication opening of the
- 14 investigation?
- 15 A. I agreed to support FBI Headquarters in a TDY
- 16 for whatever they needed at the time.
- 17 Q. And when did you rotate off? When did that TDY
- 18 end?
- 19 A. I ended my TDY on January 6, 2017.
- 20 BY MR. BAKER:
- Q. When you say "TDY", for the record, you're
- 22 talking about what?
- A. A temporary duty assignment.
- Q. And that's an assignment away from your normal
- 25 place of assignment?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. To another place of assignment for a period
- 3 determined between you and that other place?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And the agreement for this was for how long?
- 6 A. Sixty to ninety days.
- 7 Q. Did you know Mr. Strzok previously? What were
- 8 the circumstances that he calls you for this?
- 9 A. I knew Peter Strzok when he was a supervisor at
- 10 the Washington Field Office for the SBI Squad and I was a case
- 11 agent in another squad.
- 12 Q. So you had a professional relationship with him
- 13 prior to his call?
- 14 A. We did.
- 15 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 16 Q. So what did you do?
- 17 You went back to the Washington Field on January 6th
- 18 when the TDY ended. What was your role at FBI then?
- 19 A. I resumed my role as the supervisor of the
- 20
- 21 Q. And did you keep any involvement in Crossfire
- 22 Hurricane after January 6th?
- 23 A. Only when contacted by Headquarters, not
- 24 official. I was not assigned there.
- Q. And you physically moved your location from

- 1 Headquarters -- I'm sorry -- from Washington Field to
- 2 Headquarters when you -- at some point after July 29th?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And moved back to Washington Field on somewhere
- 5 around January 6th, physically?
- 6 A. Physically, yes. That's correct.
- 7 BY MR. BAKER:
- 8 Q. And it's from Washington Field that you were
- 9 promoted to your current assignment?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 12 Q. And what types of things were you asked to do
- 13 after January 6th when you said you were contacted?
- 14 What were those contacts about, the continuing contacts
- 15 about Crossfire Hurricane?
- 16 A. Sure. I was contacted and requested to do a
- 17 subject interview of an individual that we will testify today
- 18 about that matter. I also was contacted and requested to make
- 19 contact with an individual in the Washington, D.C. area that
- 20 could provide information on Crossfire Hurricane. That was
- 21 also in January.
- 22 Q. Is that someone other than Bruce Ohr?
- 23 A. That was someone other than Bruce Ohr.
- Q. Who was that individual?
- 25 A. We're in an unclassified setting. I don't

- 1 think I can give the name.
- 2 Q. Can you give us any general characterization?
- 3 Was it a confidential source?
- 4 A. It was an individual that I understood at the
- 5 time to be a subsource of Christopher Steele.
- 6 Q. Okay. Any other things you were tasked with?
- 7 A. I received information from an individual that
- 8 wished to provide information to the FBI. I interviewed that
- 9 individual in April, I think, or in May of 2017 and then later,
- 10 during the Special Counsel efforts, I supported my squad,
- 11 provided my squad support to the search of Paul Manafort's
- 12 residence in Alexandria.
- 13 Q. Did you have any interactions with the agents
- 14 preparing -- so you left on January 6th. I think there would
- 15 have been three FISAs applied for or renewed --
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. -- after January 6th. Did you have any
- 18 interaction with the agents preparing those FISAs in relation
- 19 to --
- 20 A. I had no interaction with agents preparing those
- 21 FISAs.
- 22 Q. In relation to preparing those FISAs?
- A. Correct.
- 24 O. That was after your Crossfire Hurricane move.
- 25 So before you were on Crossfire Hurricane, did you have -- so

- 1 let's just start this way: What was your understanding in the
- 2 early days as to what it was you were going to be investigating
- 3 as part of Crossfire Hurricane?
- 4 A. On July 29th, I reviewed an email from a leg.
- 5 att. that provided lead information to the FBI. I reviewed that
- 6 email and it was the determined that the FBI needed to interview
- 7 the original source of that information, and that was the extent
- 8 of what I did prior to Crossfire Hurricane opening.
- 9 Q. And what was your understanding of what, say,
- 10 like the first two or three weeks of the Crossfire Hurricane
- 11 or when it was opened, like what it was that the FBI was
- 12 investigating?
- 13 A. Sure. So we had a full investigation, typical
- 14 factual basis that there was a threat to national security based
- on the information that we received from a friendly foreign
- 16 government, and then, separately, I interviewed individuals
- 17 from the friendly foreign government to establish that from the
- 18 original source. Based on that information, the friendly
- 19 foreign government provided Crossfire Hurricane or the FBI with
- 20 information that George Papadopolous had received, that George
- 21 Papadopolous provided to the friendly foreign government that
- 22 the Trump team received a suggestion from the Russians that the
- 23 Russians had damaging information on Barack Obama and Hillary
- 24 Clinton and planned to release it anonymously.

That was the information at the time that we had and

- 1 the team was working on an investigative strategy in the weeks
- 2 that we're talking about.
- 3 Q. So you traveled to a European city on August 1st
- 4 to talk to this friendly foreign government official; is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. Do you know what day it was? Was it August 1st
- 8 you talked to them or was it shortly thereafter?
- 9 A. I'll have to refer to my 302. I don't recollect
- 10 the time.
- 11 Q. But you didn't stay in this European city for
- 12 days waiting to talk to somebody?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. What explanation, if any, were you given as to
- 15 why you opened Crossfire Hurricane based on the friendly foreign
- 16 government official's information on Sunday, July 31st and
- 17 traveled on August 1st to go interview that friendly government
- 18 official? Why not wait until after the official had been
- 19 interviewed to open the investigation?
- 20 A. It's common practice. You receive lead
- 21 information and then you go out and you can open an investigation
- 22 based on lead information and then you can go out and
- 23 substantiate what you heard from the source. Sometimes in the
- 24 Bureau, you might not have that opportunity. In this case, we
- 25 did.

- 1 Q. I didn't hear that last part. You said we did?
- 2 BY MR. BAKER:
- 3 Q. Is your mic off?
- 4 A. The mic was off, yes.
- 5 MR. BAKER: Thank you.
- 6 THE WITNESS: So in this case, the last part that you
- 7 might not have heard is sometimes you do not have the opportunity
- 8 to get at the original source to interview him or her. In this
- 9 case, we did.
- 10 BY MR. SOMERS:

- 11 Q. I guess my question is since you had that
- 12 opportunity, obviously, since you traveled on the 1st, you knew
- 13 you were going to have that opportunity. What would have been
- 14 lost by waiting until the 4th or the 5th or whenever it was that
- 15 you interviewed the source?
- I read the EC and the EC is largely the information from
- 17 that source, largely what the investigation was predicated on.
- 18 So I'm wondering why not wait five days instead of opening it
- on a Sunday afternoon, evening, whatever?
- 20 A. So I'm not willing to speculate on that. It's
- 21 common practice. There wasn't anything wrong with it.
- 22 Q. Yeah. I was not asking whether there was
- 23 anything wrong with it. I was just asking if you were given
- 24 any reasons why.
- 25 A. No.

- 1 BY MR. BAKER:
- Q. But it wouldn't be unusual?
- 3 A. It was not unusual.
- 4 Q. You had said earlier, just to get it on the
- 5 record, that you opened a full investigation. What is a full
- 6 investigation? What distinguishes that from a less than full?
- 7 A. A full investigation, you need an articulable
- 8 factual basis that a threat to national security exists. A
- 9 preliminary investigation, you need information or an
- 10 allegation.

- I thought that we had a pretty articulable factual basis
- 12 as opposed to the lesser standard.
- 13 Q. So you could go right to the full?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. And what is the distinction between the two?
- 16 What does a full get you that a preliminary doesn't?
- 17 A. I would have to pull up the dialogue. It's a
- 18 laundry list of all of the techniques. The full investigation
- 19 gives you additional investigative techniques.
- Q. And a preliminary can eventually go to a full
- 21 to use more enhanced techniques?
- 22 A. It can.
- Q. You also used the term that you had a
- 24 conversation with or you got a call from the leg. att. For
- 25 the record, what is that?

- 1 A. Legal attache. The FBI has legal attaches that
- 2 are our representatives.
- 3 Q. And that would be an FBI agent or --
- 4 A. Yes. It was an FBI agent.
- 5 Q. And they are situated in embassies?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. So they are liaisons, for a lack of a
- 8 better word, for that country's law enforcement needs in the
- 9 FBI?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 BY MR. BAKER:
- Q. Were you, if you know, the first member of the
- 13 Crossfire Hurricane team?
- I mean not from Headquarters.
- 15 A. As a special agent, I believe so.
- 16 Q. Did you help select any of the other members of
- 17 the Crossfire Hurricane team?
- 18 A. I did.
- 19 Q. What were you looking for? Why were people
- 20 selected, just generally?
- 21 I'm not asking why any particular individual was
- 22 selected, but what was the search?
- 23 A. Their professional experience.
- Q. And they were selected from different field
- 25 offices or from Headquarters?

- 1 A. Different field offices.
- 2 BY MR. BAKER:
- 3 Q. Was there a Bureau-wide canvass to look for
- 4 interest in this or did you or Mr. Strzok or whoever have an
- 5 idea based on the program that this case would fall under or
- 6 you had an idea what the talent in the Bureau was that you
- 7 personally reached out to?
- 8 A. It was your latter answer to that. We were
- 9 looking for skill sets and subject matter expertise in
- 10 particular areas.
- 11 Q. Do you know -- I'm just curious. If you know,
- 12 what would be the average time in the Bureau or
- 13 counterintelligence experience? Was there anybody really
- 14 junior that came on or you were actually looking for people that
- 15 had proven track records in that program?
- 16 A. We were looking for people that had subject
- 17 matter expertise in Russian foreign counterintelligence. I
- 18 don't think we specifically said they need to be a certain time
- 19 there.
- 20 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. Were these people that you knew or you were just
- 22 looking for -- or was it a combination? Were you just looking
- 23 for particular expertise, you said there's a counterintel agent
- 24 in "X" field office and let's pull them in, or were these people
- 25 that you actually knew and had worked with before, you or Mr.

- 1 Strzok?
- 2 A. It was a mix of both. There was some that I knew
- 3 and some that I didn't.
- 4 Q. How many FISA applications have you worked on
- 5 in your career at the Bureau?
- 6 A. Fifty, just a generalization, a ballpark.
- 7 Q. Yeah. And what's your -- what were the
- 8 different roles you have been when preparing those FISA
- 9 applications?
- 10 MR. BERGER: You can answer. If you can answer, go
- 11 ahead.
- 12 THE WITNESS: As an agent and then as a supervisor most
- 13 recently.
- 14 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 15 Q. And these were on -- these FISA applications
- 16 were for investigations you were working?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Are you familiar with the Woods procedures?
- 19 A. I am.
- Q. How did you gain that familiarity?
- 21 A. Training.
- 22 Q. What type of training? Specific training on a
- 23 Woods file or training more generally -- I'm sorry -- Woods
- 24 procedures or training more generally?
- 25 A. The FBI has various training in the Virtual

- 1 Academy that prepares you for FISA applications as well as Woods
- 2 procedures.
- 3 BY MR. BAKER:
- 4 Q. Did you -- you're an ASAC now. You were an SSA.
- 5 As part of your career development, did you ever travel with
- 6 an inspection team to audits of national security files and a
- 7 Woods file?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And how many of them do you guesstimate you did?
- 10 A. I believe I did three inspections.
- 11 Q. And that would be part of the training,
- 12 knowledge, and expertise you developed in the Woods procedures?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And you acted, I believe, as an assistant
- inspector and actually checked these files for compliance?
- 16 A. In two of the inspections I did of the three,
- 17 yes.
- 18 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 19 Q. You signed the Woods form in the Carter Page FISA
- 20 application. Correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. And how many times prior to that had you signed
- 23 a Woods form, ballpark?
- A. Forty prior to that.
- Q. So there are a few FISAs that you worked on that

- 1 you were not the agent signing the Woods form as well?
- 2 A. Can you rephrase that question?
- 3 Q. Yeah. I'm sorry. That probably was not the
- 4 greatest question.

- So you said you worked on, roughly, 50 FISA
- 6 applications. You signed the Woods form 40 or so FISA
- 7 applications. So there's a subset there where you didn't sign
- 8 the Woods form. Was that because you had a lesser role in those
- 9 FISA applications?
- 10 A. No. I went down to 40 thinking there was
- 11 probably 10 more after this FISA.
- 12 Q. Okay. So you signed the Woods form, you
- 13 believe, in almost all of the FISAs that you previously worked
- on, in the ballpark of 50?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. And why were you the one signing the Woods form
- in Carter Page's FISA application?
- 18 A. My role at the time was squad supervisor.
- 19 MR. BERGER: Could we just make it clear that we're
- 20 talking about the FISA 1, the initial application only?
- 21 MR. SOMERS: Yes. Sorry.
- 22 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 23 Q. So as the squad supervisor, it would have been
- 24 your role to sign the Woods form?
- 25 Maybe we should break that down. The case agent also

- 1 signed the Woods form. Correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And what's your role as the squad -- what
- 4 was -- you also signed the Woods form. You're signing over the
- 5 case agent's signature?
- 6 What's the rationale for you signing, just in general,
- 7 the Woods form after the case agent signs it?
- 8 MR. BERGER: Can I just ask for clarification? Are you
- 9 talking about initiating the application or any application?
- 10 MR. SOMERS: Any. I'm just asking in general. The
- 11 case agent signs the Woods form.
- MR. BERGER: Understood.
- 13 MR. SOMERS: Then the supervisor signs the form.
- MR. BERGER: You can answer that.
- 15 THE WITNESS: So I would sign it after the case agent.
- 16 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 17 Q. I don't know what a Woods form looks like.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. I guess that's why I'm asking.
- 20 So it has a line for both -- I'm trying to -- sorry.
- It's a very basic question. There is a line for the
- 22 case agent to sign and for the squad supervisor to sign as well?
- 23 A. It is, yes. There is.
- Q. And prior to signing that Woods form -- now we're
- 25 talking about FISA Application No. 1, Carter Page -- had you

- 1 reviewed the Woods file?
- 2 MR. BERGER: You can answer that.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I had. I did.
- 4 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 5 Q. You did?
- 6 A. Um-hum.
- 7 Q. What was the -- then I believe the IG report
- 8 discusses that you and Case Agent 1 -- do you know who Case Agent
- 9 1 is?
- 10 I'm not asking for his name. I just want to make sure
- 11 we're both talking about the same person.
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. You and Case Agent 1 somehow went over the Woods
- 14 file or the FISA application with the Woods file next to you
- and then you signed the form? Could you walk me through a little
- 16 bit more specifically how that happened, how you came to finally
- 17 sign the Woods form?
- MR. BERGER: I need a clarification here, because we
- 19 have to determine which FISA application he actually reviewed.
- 20 The FISA application he actually reviewed is lost as far as
- 21 the -- upon information and belief from the Bureau.
- 22 We have been shown subsequently what is represented to
- 23 be a FISA application that was reconstructed by Case Agent No.
- 24 1. We don't have any reliability that that reconstructed FISA
- 25 application is the same one that ASAC looked at at the



- 1 time of his Woods review.
- 2 So I'm reluctant to have him answer questions regarding
- 3 that process, because he would need to have that FISA
- 4 application in order to refresh his recollection.
- 5 MR. SOMERS: I'm just asking him a more general
- 6 question about he signed the Woods form. The IG report
- 7 describes that he and Case Agent 1 sat down and reviewed a FISA
- 8 application and I'm guessing a Woods file and verified that all
- 9 the facts that were in that FISA application were also in the
- 10 Woods file, and I'm just asking him to kind of describe that
- 11 interaction, that meeting or whatever it was, between he and
- 12 Case Agent 1.
- 13 MR. BERGER: I understand.
- : Before he answers, can we go off and have a
- 15 conversation?
- MR. BERGER: Yes. I understand that, but I want to
- 17 make one thing clear and that is that the FISA application that
- 18 the OIG relied upon was the reconstructed -- I learned, we
- 19 learned, was reconstructed, the reconstructed one and not the
- 20 original one.
- : We need to make a correction for the
- 22 record. The FISA application and the Woods file are two
- 23 distinct things. There's no indication that I'm aware of that
- 24 the FISA application has been lost.
- I have been made aware that there is an issue with

- 1 respect to the Woods file. What we are talking about here, as
- 2 I understand it, globally, is the first FISA application and
- 3 the first FISA application only. That was one that this witness
- 4 was involved in as far as I understand it.
- 5 MR. BAKER: So, , you're saying the FISA
- 6 application itself is not at issue, but, Mr. Berger, you're
- 7 saying that's been lost, the original one?
- 8 MR. BERGER: Yes. That is my understanding.
- 9 : Let's go off.
- 10 [Counsel confer.]
- : Subject to any comments or corrections
- 12 from co-counsel, what we're talking about here is the FISA
- 13 application, the actual document that's filed with the court.
- 14 That's the FISA application. That is not lost to my knowledge.
- 15 That's not been misplaced to my knowledge. That is, I suspect,
- on file with the court, fully signed and executed and endorsed
- 17 by the court.
- 18 What the witness was talking about and counsel was
- 19 talking about is the Woods file itself, the original Woods file,
- 20 is missing. A working draft, probably a final draft, of the
- 21 FISA application which is now on file with the court would have
- 22 been worked on by the witness, would have been reviewed between
- 23 the witness and the case agent. They would have been looking
- 24 at that final draft, working off of that to correlate it with
- 25 the Woods file.

- 1 The witness', I believe, understanding now is that that
- 2 final draft that they used during the Woodsing process along
- 3 with the Woods file has been misplaced or lost.
- 4 Any corrections?
- 5 I think that's the clarification of what
- 6 actually may be missing.
- From So it's the final draft that the witness
- 8 looked at that's missing.
- 9 Is that your understanding?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- MR. BAKER: Okay.
- 12 THE WITNESS: What I understand is the final draft as
- 13 well as the supporting document.
- 14 BY MR. BAKER:
- 15 Q. And that would have been the exact copy of
- 16 whatever that you looked at to do whatever you do before you
- 17 sign?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that's missing?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I would be curious and Mr. Somers can enhance
- 22 this, but in just general terms, for any FISA where you, as an
- 23 SSA, are working with a case agent, what does the SSA do, I guess,
- 24 after the agent does something?
- 25

What is it that each of you are doing to that Woods file?

- 1 Are you going back through it to look at everything line for
- 2 line that the case agent did or are you, as the supervisor,
- 3 making sure he did that or some summary of that?

I'm just curious what the difference in

- 5 responsibilities is.
- 6 A. Per policy, the obligation of the squad
- 7 supervisor at that time is to look at the -- take that draft
- 8 application that we now know is missing. The case agent has
- 9 already either highlighted or underlined facts in that
- 10 application. They're usually tabbed and with numbers, and then
- 11 there is supporting documentation to each one of those facts;
- 12 and in that supporting documentation, it will also be underlined
- or highlighted in tabs with regard to how it links back to that
- 14 draft application.
- 15 O. What would qualify as acceptable supporting
- 16 documentation, just any example you can think of?
- 17 I mean, you made an assertion in the application.
- 18 You're looking to put something in the Woods file that supports
- 19 that assertion. Would it be like a 302 or some sort of other
- 20 document?
- 21 A. Sure. 302s, ECs, tech cuts.
- 22 Q. You're looking, you and your case agent, you're
- 23 looking to see that there is such documentation; you're not
- 24 diving into the documentation to see if it's true or doing a
- 25 separate investigation --



- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. -- into what resulted in that being generated
- 3 in the first place?
- 4 A. At that point in the review, by policy and by
- 5 obligation, it was just to ensure that there was that fact there.
- 6 Q. So are you looking, as the SSA, are you looking
- 7 at exactly what your agent did and just redoing that or are you
- 8 doing something together?
- 9 What is the result -- what happens to result in a finding
- 10 that this documentation is in our Woods file, we'd done this
- 11 task and we can move to something else? What do you both come
- 12 to agreement on that that's been done?
- 13 A. I've done it a few ways and it is done in a few
- 14 ways. Sometimes you're sitting with the agent. Sometimes the
- 15 agent prepares it in advance and brings it into your office and
- 16 you review it on your own. You're looking for that one-to-one
- 17 correlation, and then once that's complete, you sign the Woods
- 18 verification form.
- 19 Q. And if you have a question, you can go back to
- 20 your agent and say, you know, I don't understand why you're
- 21 saying this supports this; you might have a difference of
- 22 opinion whether something is in there or not at a big level or,
- 23 at a more micro level, whether what's in there really supports
- 24 what the assertion in the application is and you could go back
- 25 to your agent for clarification and you have that back and forth?

- 1 A. That's the practice and policy, yes, sir.
- 2 MR. BAKER: Thank you.
- 3 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 4 Q. So do you recall how you did it in this case,
- 5 the first Carter Page FISA application?
- 6 A. It was four years ago. I'll say that, but I
- 7 don't remember it being different than any other FISA I've done.
- 8 Q. So you sat down with Case Agent 1 and went over
- 9 the Woods file and a Carter Page FISA application?
- 10 A. What I know is I believe I signed it at eight,
- 11 nine o'clock at night. That's what I remember. I was there
- 12 late, and I know this was no different than any other Woods
- 13 verification that I've done.
- 14 Q. Now, we had some discussion about versions of
- 15 the Carter Page FISA application. Do you have any reason to
- 16 believe the version that you ran through the Woods process, that
- 17 you just walked us through, was substantially different than
- 18 the version that was filed with the court?
- 19 MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer that.
- 20 We don't have enough evidence to judge the reliability of the
- 21 reconstructed application that he was shown.
- 22 : I think we need to huddle up again.
- 23 [Counsel confer and discussion off the record.]

25 BY MR. SOMERS:

- 1 Q. Before I go back to the last question we were
- 2 asking, just a point of clarification on everything: You only
- 3 signed the Woods form on the initial Carter Page FISA
- 4 application?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. Did you do any work on the second -- the first,
- 7 second, or third Carter Page FISA application renewals?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay. Back to -- so if I refer to a Carter Page
- 10 FISA application, I'll be referring to the initial Carter Page
- 11 FISA application. If I want to talk about one of the renewals,
- 12 I'll specifically say "renewal".
- Getting back to doing the Woods process for the FISA
- 14 application, the first FISA application, I just basically want
- 15 to understand. You and Case Agent 1 sat down at some point in
- 16 time with the FISA application and the Woods file and verified
- 17 that the allegations -- and you can rephrase this -- that were
- in the FISA application were supported by documents in the Woods
- 19 file; is that correct?
- 20 A. That's my recollection.
- Q. And at the end of that, was it a meeting? Did
- 22 you guys sit down together?
- 23 A. He was in a bullpen. I was in an office. He
- 24 was working late at night and I was in my office. So we worked
- 25 together in the same SCIF.

- 1 Q. So you could ask him a question if you had a
- 2 question?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. But you did the reviewing on your own?
- 5 A. I did.
- 6 Q. Did you ask him any questions? I'm not asking
- 7 what they were, but do you think you asked him any questions?
- A. I don't remember.
- 9 Q. So at the end of that review, you then signed
- 10 the Woods form?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And his signature was already on the Woods form?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, I asked you at the beginning if you had
- 15 reviewed the IG report. As part of your review -- and you said
- 16 yes. As part of your review of the IG report, did you
- 17 review -- there's an appendix at the end of the report that has
- 18 50 or so errors in the Woods process for the Carter Page FISA
- 19 application that the IG identified.
- 20 Did you review that at all?
- MR. BERGER: You can answer whether you reviewed it.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I reviewed it.
- 23 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. Do you agree with the IG's findings?
- MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer

- 1 because we don't have the original Woods file and the original
- 2 FISA application that he reviewed in the Woods process, and
- 3 whatever he was shown by the OIG is a reconstructed document
- 4 and there's no reliability that it accurately portrays and
- 5 represents what he looked at in the original process.
- 6 So he's not going to answer that.
- 7 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 8 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the FISA
- 9 application that you reviewed as part of this Woods review that
- 10 we just discussed was substantially different than that FISA
- 11 application that was submitted to the court?
- MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer that.
- 13 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 14 Q. Are you saying that it's possible that you
- 15 reviewed a substantially different FISA application than what
- 16 was submitted to the court?
- 17 MR. BERGER: When you say "reviewed", are you talking
- 18 about when he was with -- reviewed by virtue of the OIG?
- 19 MR. SOMERS: No. I'm talking about when he sat down
- 20 with the case agent or when he sat down in his office, Case Agent
- 21 1 presents him with the FISA application, a FISA application,
- 22 and a Woods file and he reviewed the Woods file and FISA
- 23 application. What I'm asking is does he have any reason to
- 24 believe that the FISA application he was given to review for
- 25 the Woods process was substantially different than the

- 1 application that was ultimately submitted to the court.
- 2 MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer that.
- 3 He's not in a position to do so, because he does not have the
- 4 original to review and he has no basis to make a comparison.
- 5 He's a fact witness. He's not here to give expert
- 6 opinion and he has no basis for comparison. I'm going to direct
- 7 him not to answer.
- 8 BY MR. BAKER:
- 9 Q. Did you, if you recall, after reviewing the IG
- 10 report make a statement to the effect that you believed you
- 11 and/or others involved with the case, that their performance
- 12 should be evaluated in the totality of the responsibilities that
- 13 they had in the overall investigation and that the Carter Page
- 14 aspect was a very small slice or a portion of it?
- 15 MR. BERGER: I'm sorry. Could you repeat the
- 16 question? I'm sorry.
- 17 BY MR. BAKER:
- 18 Q. Were there other things that you did as an SSA
- 19 on the case that were not necessarily related to Carter Page
- 20 that were not taken into account or that you felt were not taken
- 21 into account in the IG report?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 O. Could you elaborate on what they were?
- 24 A. Sure. I was in charge of investigations and
- 25 operations throughout the Carter Page. FISA was one component

- 1 of what I was responsible for.
- 2 Just generally at the time in the summer of the 2016,
- 3 I was trying to work the Crossfire Hurricane investigation, also
- 4 looking at the threat from Russia, maligned foreign influence.
- 5 I was given a monumental task of evaluating a lot of information
- 6 that was coming in from indirect streams and trying to evaluate
- 7 what is relevant for our information and what is not, which is
- 8 constant work in that manner.
- 9 Q. Were you also, as the SSA, were you burdened,
- 10 for lack of a better word, with administrative duties like
- 11 evaluating the agents and other employees below you? Were you
- 12 doing performance plans? Were you dealing with the routine
- 13 things that go with being a supervisor that don't relate to the
- 14 investigation per se?
- 15 A. Absolutely, sir. I still conducted the
- 16 evaluations of agents that I had at Washington Field Office
- 17 at the time. I was also responsible -- I was the program
- 18 coordinator for , and we have
- 19 an integrated program management process in the Bureau that is
- 20 a yearly process.
- We examine threats and the AOR. I was heavily involved
- 22 in that, firearms qualifications to physical readiness tests.
- We have a lot of other duties administratively as well
- 24 as a supervisor, whether it's parking passes for people at
- 25 Headquarters, T.R. numbers for travel. There's a lot of



- 1 additional work.
- Q. Were you still doing things for your desk at
- 3 Washington Field even though, I'm assuming, somebody stepped
- 4 up or was put in there to cover the desk while you were on your
- 5 TDY, but were you still answering questions from that person?
- 6 You mentioned some sort of AOR reporting. Were you
- 7 still kind of doing that desk as well or were you completely
- 8 divorced of that while you were TDY to work at Headquarters?
- 9 A. I have a lot of ownership on my squad. We had
- 10 a primary relief who was very capable. He ran the day-to-day
- 11 operations we had.
- 12 There are some things that you can't leave to an acting
- 13 supervisor, to include performance reviews. That was solely
- on my watch for that fiscal year as well as, like I said, the
- 15 TRB process. At times, if there was a major decision for him,
- 16 he would consult with me.
- 17 Q. So you're still doing the evaluations and maybe
- 18 some administrative stuff for your Washington Field desk, but
- 19 you're also doing the same kind of administrative things in your
- 20 Crossfire Hurricane desk?
- 21 A. That's accurate.
- 22 Q. And how many people were on your squad or however
- 23 you broke it down at Headquarters; how many people were under
- 24 you as the SSA?
- 25 A. It was myself and four other agents.

- 1 Q. And did you have support employees as well?
- 2 A. We had an SOS assigned to that.
- 3 Q. And SOS is what?
- 4 A. Staff Operations Specialist. It's more of a
- 5 tactical analyst.
- 6 Q. Then you as the SSA, who did you answer to?
- 7 A. Peter Strzok.
- 8 Q. And he was, what, a section chief at the time?
- 9 A. He was a section chief and later promoted to
- 10 deputy assistant director.
- MR. BAKER: Okay. Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. Did you ever read the initial FISA application
- 14 that was submitted to the court before you rotated off Crossfire
- 15 Hurricane?
- 16 A. I believe so, yes.
- 17 Q. So you read the one that was submitted to the
- 18 court?
- 19 A. I don't remember going back and reading the one
- 20 that was signed by the court.
- Q. Well, the one that was signed by the director
- 22 and deputy attorney general, did you read that application?
- 23 [Witness confers with counsel.]
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
- 25 BY MR. SOMERS:

- 1 Q. I asked you about the -- and we'll move a little
- 2 bit past the Woods process questions. I had asked you the 50
- 3 or so Woods errors that the IG identified as an appendix to the
- 4 report. The bulk of the report is about 17 errors, significant
- 5 errors and omissions identified by the IG.
- 6 Are you familiar -- have you read the report and are
- 7 you familiar generally with those 17 errors or omissions?
- 8 A. I have generally.
- 9 MR. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait.
- When you say familiar with the 17 errors, you mean
- 11 familiar with what's reported by the OIG as 17 errors?
- 12 MR. SOMERS: Yes.
- MR. BERGER: You can answer that.
- 14 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 15 O. As reported by the IG?
- 16 A. As reported by IG.
- Q. Do you have any issues with any of those 17
- 18 errors and omissions?
- 19 MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer for
- 20 the reasons I articulated already. He's not in a position to
- 21 make such an assessment without a review of that original FISA
- 22 application. He doesn't have enough knowledge and we don't
- 23 have any reliability with respect to the reconstructed
- 24 application.
- He can't answer that. He doesn't have the document he

- 1 looked at. He cannot make an assessment as to whether the
- 2 OIG-reported deficiencies is accurate.
- MR. SOMERS: Because, I mean, he can't testify about
- 4 whether it was substantially different. So you're objecting
- 5 that this document could have been so substantially different
- 6 than what was actually submitted to the court, that he can make
- 7 no representation as to whether he has issues with any of the
- 8 17 errors or omissions?
- 9 MR. BERGER: Yeah. Those 17 alleged errors are due to
- 10 allegations that there may have been no document to support a
- 11 material fact or maybe there was a document and the document
- 12 did not support a material fact, and the case agent's position
- and duty was to alert a supervisor such as as to
- 14 what those material facts are, the language in the draft.
- We don't know what he omitted, and so I cannot attribute
- 16 any alleged deficiencies to without looking at that
- 17 original document that he looked at. It's impossible. He
- 18 could be looking -- he was looking at a reconstructed item that
- 19 may have more alerts than the original one for all I know. I
- 20 have no idea.
- 21 MR. SOMERS: But we're told the Woods file was
- 22 reconstructed, not the FISA application.
- 23 MR. BERGER: Well, there was the draft that he looked
- 24 at. There's a draft of that application that he looked at that
- 25 the case agent, as I understand it, would have made certain

- 1 notations and flagged for him to look at so that he could
- 2 determine independently de novo whether there was a document
- 3 in the Woods file to support it.
- 4 I have no idea whether those flags or notations existed
- 5 in that original file. It was reconstructed.
- 6 MR. BAKER: When you say "reconstructed", what does
- 7 that mean?
- 8 MR. BERGER: Well, what I mean by that, and you can't
- 9 attest to whether the line-by-line text was reconstructed, but
- 10 the concern is that, according to policy, it was the role and
- 11 duty of the case agent to alert anyone reviewing that file, a
- 12 supervisor, to any facts that are material such that they need
- 13 to be correlated to a document.
- 14 So the case agent will flag a material fact either by
- 15 underlining it or notating it somehow or putting a number next
- 16 to it, and that alerts the supervisor that, Oh, this is -- he
- 17 can read it line by line and alerts the supervisor that, Oh,
- 18 this is a material fact that the case agent is pointing out to
- 19 me for a policy that I have to then determine whether there is
- 20 a corresponding document or evidence to support it.
- 21 So if we have what is reconstructed and it has s series
- 22 of those alerts, one through a hundred, let's say, Alert 27,
- 23 address, Alert 26, whatever it might be, what we don't know is
- 24 whether those alerts that existed on the reconstructed one were
- 25 the same alerts that were on the original document that the

- 1 supervisor reviewed so that if there wasn't an alert with
- 2 respect to a fact, he wouldn't necessarily be on notice that
- 3 he's to look for a document.
- 4 So OIG concludes that he didn't look for a document.
- 5 Well, we don't necessarily know that he was on notice that he
- 6 had to look for a document.
- 7 So we don't have that original file and that's what
- 8 creates the problem here, because at the heart of this entire
- 9 matter and personnel law is notice. Right? Notice, what is
- 10 expected of him.
- 11 You're demanding an accounting. How is he to know what
- 12 he's supposed to do unless he's told per policy what he's
- 13 supposed to look at? We don't know what that original file
- 14 alerted him to.
- 15 So I'm reluctant to have him answer those questions.
- 16 MR. BAKER: I'm still confused who this reconstructed
- one, who reconstructed it. Did he go back in and redo it?
- MR. BERGER: We learned and I believe I learned and we
- 19 learned on Sunday, August 24th that the file that the OIG relied
- 20 on and the file that we were being shown by the Bureau of Internal
- 21 Affairs Unit was reconstructed by the case agent. There is a
- 22 note in the file indicating that it was reconstructed from the
- 23 original file on May 18, 2018, and where is the original file?
- 24 We asked. No one knows. That's the one we want to look at.
- MR. BAKER: So it was reconstructed from the original

- 1 file?
- 2 MR. BERGER: There is a note. It's a non-sworn note
- 3 saying this file was reconstructed from an original file.
- 4 That's what it says in so many words, the same for Renewal No.
- 5 1, by the way, which is not involved here, but they run into
- 6 the same problem.
- 7 The thing is it was reconstructed. So it really is a
- 8 hearsay document. So we have Case Agent No. 1 who is saying
- 9 this is what the original document says and we're supposed to
- 10 accept that as hearsay with no reliability factor whatsoever.
- 11 MR. BAKER: I understand the evidentiary problem.
- 12 What I don't get is why is somebody reconstructing something
- 13 from the original when you have the original?
- 14 MR. BERGER: That is a mystery that is yet to be
- 15 resolved.
- 16 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 17 Q. The original -- the Woods file you reviewed in
- 18 this process that I said that we're going to move away from,
- 19 now we're back on, was that a hard copy or were you looking on
- 20 a computer?
- 21 A. It was a hard copy.
- Q. Was it a binder?
- 23 A. I don't recall how it was.
- Q. Was it a thick document? A thin document, to
- 25 best of your recollection?



- 2 A. I don't remember.
- 3 BY MR. BAKER:
- 4 Q. Just a general question, not specific to this
- 5 particular case: You indicated earlier you've had various
- 6 training. You had the Virtual Academy and you did a tour or
- 7 a couple of tours as an assistant inspector, going out and doing
- 8 Woods file audits.

9

- Do you recall, to best of your recollection, are
- 10 there -- because of the ex parte nature of this whole process,
- 11 are there errors that are just prone to come up in these
- 12 investigations because there's so many people in it and you're
- 13 pulling information from so many different places?
- 14 Are a lot of these errors common in these investigations
- or it's all over the board and you don't have an opinion on it?
- 16 MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer that.
- : I'm going to object to that speculation. If
- 18 you're asking him based on his personal experience, that's fine.
- 19 If you're asking him to speculate as to applications throughout
- 20 the Bureau, I don't think that's --
- 21 MR. BAKER: I'm asking him his personal experience
- 22 going around the Bureau as an assistant inspector doing these
- 23 audits.
- MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer that
- 25 question.



- 1 MR. BAKER: Okay.
- 2 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 3 Q. Hopefully the last Woods question, just this
- 4 procedural question: I guess I'd ask procedurally and ask it
- 5 specifically to this Woods file. Is this something, this form,
- 6 is it signed late in the process, like on the eve of an
- 7 application going to the court or is it done earlier in the
- 8 process, both generally and if you recall specifically in the
- 9 Carter Page FISA application?
- 10 A. It's not always the eve. It's the days prior.
- 11 In this case, it was, I believe, on the eve of it going to the
- 12 court.

15

- 13 Q. I think we have a few minutes left here. So
- 14 let's move on from that, from the whole Woods thing.
- You testified in the beginning, you came onto the
- 16 investigation about July 29th and you testified that George
- 17 Papadopolous was part of the predicate for opening Crossfire
- 18 Hurricane, his interactions. What was your understanding of
- 19 who George Papadopolous was in those early days of the Crossfire
- 20 Hurricane investigation?
- 21 A. On the 29th, I did not know who he was. I later
- 22 came to know that he was one of five individuals named as foreign
- 23 policy advisors to then Republican Candidate Trump that was
- 24 named in March 2016.
- 25 Q. And did you understand Carter Page was also on

- 1 that slate of individuals?
- 2 A. I learned later.
- 3 Q. How much later?
- 4 A. When his name was discussed as an individual to
- 5 consider for predication for the Crossfire Hurricane case.
- 6 Q. How did that come up? How did Carter Page's
- 7 name come up out of all the people associated with the Trump
- 8 Campaign?
- 9 George Papadopolous obviously came up as the friendly
- 10 foreign government information. How did you identify Carter
- 11 Page as part of the investigation?
- 12 A. The process for identifying individuals to
- 13 predicate, we stuck to that original predication that I
- 14 mentioned that we received from the friendly foreign
- 15 government. We were looking at individuals that were on the
- 16 Trump team, direct quote, from that friendly foreign government
- 17 as well as could reasonably be in a position to receive a
- 18 suggestion from the Russians.
- 19 In particular, the Carter Page, he was clearly one of
- 20 the five named foreign policy advisors for then Candidate Trump
- 21 and it became known that he was a subject of a Russian foreign
- 22 counterintelligence contact case out of New York at the time,
- 23 and based on that, that's how I remember his name coming up.
- 24 BY MR. BAKER:
- O. What does a "contact case" mean?

- 1 A. Contact case means there is an individual that
- 2 has ongoing continued contact with a -- this is very broad here
- 3 in the unclassified setting -- a Russian diplomat that's already
- 4 under investigation.
- 5 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 6 Q. As you're looking at Carter Page and George
- 7 Papadopolous, did you dig into what their roles were, what their
- 8 affiliations were with the campaign?
- 9 A. I did not do that.
- 10 Q. Did the team do that?
- 11 A. We had an intelligence cadre that was looking
- 12 at very broadly who they were, identifying them fully.
- 13 O. There were four individuals that Crossfire
- 14 cases were opened on: Paul Manafort, Michael Flynn, Carter
- 15 Page, George Papadopolous. Your responsibility on Crossfire
- 16 Hurricane, were you working on all four of those cases or were
- 17 you working on some of them in particular?
- 18 A. Working on all four of those.
- 19 Q. What was -- I'm just trying to maybe get through
- 20 it quickly here. What were the meetings like in Crossfire
- 21 Hurricane?
- 22 We've interviewed a number of different witnesses and
- they were involved in different meetings. So there were some
- 24 very broad meetings with people who have testified, we've heard
- 25 testimony from, that involved the director and there were more

- 1 narrow meetings that involved probably the team.
- Can you kind of take us through the range of meetings
- 3 you were involved in and starting with -- well, start at the
- 4 highest level. Were you involved with meetings with Director
- 5 Comey?

2

- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. So you never met with Director Comey about
- 8 Crossfire Hurricane?
- 9 A. I'll consult with counsel real quick.
- 10 [Witness confers with counsel.]
- 11 THE WITNESS: I spoke to Director Comey after I
- 12 interviewed Michael Flynn.
- 13 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 14 Q. After you interviewed Michael Flynn, but were
- 15 never like in general -- you were never in a general Crossfire
- 16 Hurricane meeting with Director Comey?
- 17 A. I was not.
- 18 Q. Same question for Andy McCabe.
- 19 A. I believe I briefed Andy McCabe three times.
- Q. And was that one on one or with other people in
- 21 the room?
- 22 A. There were other people in the room.
- Q. What, generally, did you brief him on?
- MR. BERGER: Hold on one second.
- 25 [Mr. Berger confers with the witness.]

- 1 THE WITNESS: So I briefed him on the progress of
- 2 Crossfire Hurricane cases.
- 3 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 4 Q. All three times was the progress?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Do you recall approximately when these three
- 7 briefings occurred?
- 8 A. I do. I won't have the exact dates for you.
- 9 Q. I know.
- 10 A. One was towards the end of August 2016. This
- one I remember, was November 10, 2016.
- 12 January 24, 2017.
- 13 BY MR. BAKER:
- 14 Q. Did Mr. Strzok go with you to these meetings as
- 15 your section chief?
- 16 A. He did.
- 17 Q. For all of them?
- 18 A. For all of them.
- 19 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 20 Q. You said you recall specifically one was
- 21 November 10th. Why do you recall November 10th?
- 22 A. Just a date that I remember.
- 23 Q. There's nothing specific about the meeting
- 24 that --
- 25 A. No, other than we were providing him with the

- 1 first real -- you know, obviously, I provided him the update
- 2 in August, but we now had more than 90 days on the investigation
- 3 and there was a lot to brief him on.
- 4 Q. Jim Baker?
- 5 A. He was in all three of those meetings that I
- 6 mentioned.
- 7 Q. Patricia Anderson?
- 8 A. I don't remember meeting her.
- 9 Q. Was Bill Priestap at these meetings?
- 10 A. He was at at least two of them.
- 11 Q. Now we're getting to -- so these meetings, these
- were group meetings?
- Sort of people like Peter Strzok, was he in these three
- 14 meetings?
- 15 A. He was.
- Q. Jonathan Moffa, was he in these meetings?
- 17 A. He was.
- 18 Q. Lisa Page?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Was Case Agent 1 in these meetings?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Were you the lowest ranking official in these
- 23 meetings?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Was the unit chief from the General Counsel's

- 1 Office that worked on this case, was she in these meetings?
- 2 A. She may have been in the first one.
- 3 Q. Was anyone from DOJ in these meetings?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Did you ever have a meeting with officials from
- 6 DOJ about Crossfire Hurricane or take part in a meeting?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. How frequently?
- 9 A. I mean at a certain point, there were -- they
- 10 were there all the time at least into October. We met with them
- 11 very frequently.
- 12 Q. Are these progress meetings like the McCabe
- 13 meeting or are these more specific meetings about a specific
- 14 topic?
- 15 A. In October of 2016, we initiated
- 16 counterintelligence and cyber meetings where we were -- both
- 17 divisions were briefing on the overall Russian threat. I was
- 18 providing Crossfire Hurricane updates and progress and DOJ
- 19 attended those meetings.
- Q. Who was the -- like you're briefing. You're
- 21 briefing someone in particular or are you briefing all of these
- 22 components?
- 23 A. In particular, the assistant directors of
- 24 counterintelligence and cyber division and whoever they thought
- 25 was appropriate, had a need to know, a need to collaborate and

- 1 share information. They invited staff, section chiefs, DADs
- 2 and such.
- 3 Q. How many people, roughly, would be in a meeting
- 4 like this?
- 5 A. Fifteen.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry. Fifteen?
- 7 A. Fifteen.
- 8 Q. Do you recall who at DOJ was attending these
- 9 meetings?
- 10 A. I didn't know any of their names.
- 11 Q. The National Security Division?
- 12 A. Yes. The National Security Division
- 13 representatives.
- 14 Q. Okay. Were there smaller meetings about
- 15 Crossfire Hurricane? Were you briefing -- how frequently did
- 16 you brief Peter Strzok, for instance, on Crossfire Hurricane?
- 17 A. I briefed Peter Strzok daily on Crossfire
- 18 Hurricane. We had a standing meeting, the smaller team of
- 19 agents that were under my control as well as our intelligence
- 20 counterparts, Monday, Wednesday, Friday at nine o'clock for the
- 21 duration of my TDY. I chaired all of those meetings.
- Q. And that's with the team?
- A. That was with the team.
- 24 O. Did Lisa Page attend those meetings?
- 25 A. At times, Lisa Page would.

- 1 Q. Now, you mentioned Peter Strzok started off as
- 2 the section chief. He was elevated to the deputy assistant
- 3 director.
- 4 When that elevation occurred, and you can read in the
- 5 IG report, there doesn't seem too much reference to a section
- 6 chief stepping in. Was there a section chief between you and
- 7 Peter Strzok involved in the investigation?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. A unit chief?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 BY MR. BAKER:
- 12 Q. So your next report in that scenario was to a
- 13 deputy assistant director, Peter Strzok?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you have meetings, just you and the squad
- 16 you supervised? For lack of a better way, I said squad, but
- 17 the folks you had underneath, did you hold meetings with them
- 18 just to go over progress of your specific unit that you
- 19 supervised?
- 20 A. That was the point of the nine o'clock meeting.
- Q. Oh, that was the nine o'clock meeting?
- 22 A. Monday, Wednesday, Friday, and then ad hoc as
- 23 needed to share information or updates came in.
- 24 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 25 Q. So how many people were you supervising in

- 1 these -- on the Crossfire Hurricane team?
- 2 A. Four agents.
- 3 Q. Four agents and then Jonathan Moffa was
- 4 supervising the analysts; is that correct?
- 5 Or did you supervise the analysts?
- 6 A. I did not supervise the analysts. There was an
- 7 SIA, a senior intelligence analyst, assigned under Jonathan
- 8 Moffa that was responsible for the supervision of analysts.
- 9 Q. So you were roughly on equal levels, then, with
- 10 the supervisory intel analyst?
- 11 A. He was my counterpart.
- 12 Q. Do you recall how many analysts he had working
- 13 for him?
- 14 A. I don't. He had some that were in our SCIF and
- 15 some were not.
- Q. So then he reported to Jonathan Moffa; is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And you reported to Peter Strzok?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- MR. SOMERS: I think, although we had some
- 22 interruptions, I think this would probably be a good time to
- 23 break for our first hour.
- 24 MS. ZDEB: We'll take about a five-minute break.
- 25 [Whereupon, at 11:31 a.m., a recess was taken,

- 1 reconvening at 11:48 a.m.]
- 2 MS. ZDEB: It is 11:48. We are back on the record.
- 3 EXAMINATION BY THE MINORITY
- 4 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 5 Q. , thank you for being here. We
- 6 introduced ourselves, but just as a reminder, my name is Sarah
- 7 Zdeb. I'm counsel along with Joe Charlet for Ranking Member
- 8 Feinstein and we're following up on some of the questions that
- 9 our colleagues with the Majority have asked you.
- 10 So I take it you cooperated with the Office of Inspector
- 11 General in his investigation?
- 12 A. I did.
- Q. Were you interviewed as part of his
- 14 investigation?
- 15 A. I was.
- Q. Once? Multiple times?
- 17 A. Multiple times.
- 18 Q. And just as a ballpark, can you give us a sense
- 19 of how long in total those multiple times took?
- 20 A. It was six times over thirty hours.
- Q. Did you or, to your knowledge, the FBI or Justice
- 22 Department provide documents to the Inspector General's Office?
- 23 A. I'm assuming they did, because they represented
- 24 it to me.
- Q. Did the OIG ever complain that it needed more

- 1 information from you?
- 2 A. I don't think so.
- 3 Q. I assume that you provided complete, truthful
- 4 answers to the Inspector General's Office over the course of
- 5 the six interviews.
- 6 A. Always.
- 7 Q. In addition to your six interviews with OIG, you
- 8 were, I believe, also interviewed by the Office of Special
- 9 Counsel or the Special Counsel's Office over the phone in
- 10 January of 2018. Did you provide complete, truthful answers
- 11 to the Special Counsel's Office?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And have you reviewed the 302 document in your
- 14 interview?
- I can -- I should take a step back. I'm not sure if
- 16 there was one interview or multiple interviews.
- 17 MR. BERGER: I would just like to talk to my client off
- 18 line for a moment.
- 19 MS. ZDEB: Okay.
- 20 [Mr. Berger confers with the witness.]
- 21 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that question?
- 22 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 23 Q. Sure. So you were interviewed by Special
- 24 Counsel's Office in January of 2018, and my question had,
- 25 simply, been whether you provided complete, truthful responses

- 1 when interviewed by the Special Counsel's Office.
- 2 MR. BERGER: You can answer.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 5 Q. Have you had an opportunity to review the 302
- 6 that was created from that interview?
- 7 A. I have not.
- 8 Q. So you talked a bit before the break about the
- 9 decision to open Crossfire Hurricane, the friendly foreign
- 10 government information, and the trip that you took shortly after
- 11 the investigation was opened in order to interview the source
- 12 of that information.
- MR. BERGER: Can we just take a moment to make one point
- of clarification on the opening?
- So I thank you for bringing that up.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Earlier, I was asked or it was
- 17 stated you opened the Crossfire Hurricane investigation. I
- 18 just wanted to make clear I did not open the Crossfire Hurricane
- 19 investigation.
- MR. BERGER: You can explain who did.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Peter Strzok, as I'm sure you know, wrote
- the opening communication, just for clarification.
- 23 BY MS. ZDEB:
- Q. And it was Bill Priestap who signed off on
- 25 opening Crossfire Hurricane?



- 1 A. I don't know, ma'am.
- 2 Q. So you had discussed a bit your understanding
- 3 at the time that a friendly foreign government had indicated
- 4 that George Papadopolous had learned that Russia had damaging
- 5 information on Hillary Clinton and planned to release it, and
- 6 I think you also characterized that as a threat to national
- 7 security.
- 8 Could you elaborate on that a bit? Why did you find
- 9 that to be a threat to national security?
- 10 A. I would think any time a foreign government is
- 11 going to insert influence in our political process at any level
- 12 is a threat to national security.
- 13 Q. So when this information is described as coming
- 14 from a friendly foreign government, what does that term signify
- 15 as a general matter? Does that mean that the government is a
- 16 trusted ally? That it's an intelligence partner?
- 17 Could you elaborate on that a bit?
- 18 A. I would say it's a country that is not hostile
- 19 to the United States, a country that we would be a partner with,
- 20 an ally with, and we share intelligence information with.
- Q. So, certainly, not a country that we would
- 22 suspect to be fabricating information in order to harm the
- 23 United States?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. So was the fact that the information came from

- 1 a friendly foreign government significant in terms of the
- 2 credibility that you assigned to it, the seriousness with which
- 3 the FBI took it?
- 4 A. I believe so.
- 5 Q. So the FBI, as you discussed earlier, first
- 6 learned of the friendly foreign government information in late
- 7 July 2016, but it was April of 2016 when George Papadopolous
- 8 first learned that Russia was willing to release dirt on Hillary
- 9 Clinton in the form of thousands of emails. I'm quoting the
- 10 Mueller report there.
- 11 To your knowledge, did Papadopolous report Russia's
- 12 apparent offer of help to the FBI when he first learned of it
- 13 in April 2016?
- 14 A. State that question again. What was that last
- 15 part there?
- 16 Q. To your knowledge, did George Papadopolous
- 17 report Russia's apparent offer of help from Russia to the FBI
- 18 when he first learned of it in April of 2016?
- 19 A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. And, to your knowledge, did he come forward to
- 21 the FBI in July of 2016 when Wikileaks began doing what
- 22 Papadopolous had been told Russia would do, in other words,
- 23 releasing emails on Hillary Clinton?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- 25 Q. To your knowledge, did Papadopolous ever come

- 1 forward to report what he had been told regarding Russia's
- 2 apparent willingness to help the Trump Campaign by releasing
- 3 emails on Hillary Clinton?
- 4 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 5 Q. Would you agree that the fact that Papadopolous
- 6 learned of Russia's willingness to help the Trump Campaign by
- 7 releasing thousands of emails related to Hillary Clinton saw
- 8 that release of emails that happened starting in January of 2016
- 9 and still didn't come forward to report that to the FBI, would
- 10 you agree that that raised a counterintelligence concern that
- 11 the FBI should have investigated?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. According to the Inspector General's report,
- 14 the foreign official, the friendly foreign government official,
- 15 who conveyed this information told the FBI that they weren't
- 16 aware of who else Papadopolous may have informed about Russia's
- offer to help the Trump Campaign; is that accurate?
- 18 A. Where are you getting that? From my 302?
- 19 Q. That's on page 59 of the Inspector General's
- 20 report.
- 21 A. I haven't -- not having that document in front
- of me and being able to know the source, I can't speak to that.
- 23 O. Fair enough. To your knowledge, at the time the
- 24 FBI learned of the friendly foreign government information, did
- 25 you have any indication as to who, if anyone else, on the Trump

- 1 Campaign Papadopolous had conveyed Russia's offer of assistance
- 2 to?
- 3 A. I don't think we did.
- Q. And, in fact, wasn't that one of the goals of
- 5 Crossfire Hurricane, was to determine who else may have known
- 6 of this information?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. You said earlier that you have about 16 years
- 9 of experience handling counterintelligence investigations.
- 10 Is it accurate to say that when the FBI opened Crossfire
- 11 Hurricane, there were essentially three possibilities?
- 12 The first possibility would have been that someone in
- 13 the campaign was wittingly coordinating with Russia?
- 14 A. The first possibility is that possibility, yes.
- 15 Q. And another possibility could be that someone
- on the campaign was unwittingly coordinating with Russia; is
- 17 that right?
- 18 A. That's fair.
- 19 Q. And then I suppose a third and final possibility
- 20 would be that no one on the campaign was coordinating, either
- 21 wittingly or unwittingly, with the Russians; is that right?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 O. And based on your experience handling
- 24 counterintelligence investigations, will you agree that that
- 25 is the norm at the outset of a counterintelligence

- 1 investigation; in other words, there might be witting
- 2 cooperation, unwitting cooperation, or nothing at all?
- 3 A. In my experience and with all Bureau
- 4 investigations, we remain objective and look at all of those
- 5 perspectives.
- 6 Q. And the FBI's objective when it is conducting
- 7 a counterintelligence investigation is to determine which of
- 8 those three possibilities is the case?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. As a general matter, in your 16 years of
- 11 experience handling counterintelligence investigations, have
- 12 you provided defensive briefings to U.S. persons in connection
- with counterintelligence investigations?
- 14 A. I have.
- 15 Q. Were you involved in any discussions or
- 16 decisions in Crossfire Hurricane as to whether to provide a
- 17 defensive briefing to either campaign or not?
- 18 A. I was not.
- 19 Q. Bill Priestap, and this is on page 55 of the
- 20 Inspector General's report, told OIG that at the outset of
- 21 Crossfire Hurricane, he considered whether to provide a
- 22 defensive briefing to the Trump Campaign in lieu of opening a
- 23 counterintelligence investigation and he decided not to, and
- 24 I'm quoting here. He said had we provided a defensive briefing
- 25 to someone on the Trump Campaign, we would have alerted the

- 1 campaign to what we were looking into, and if someone on the
- 2 campaign was engaged with the Russians, he or she would very
- 3 likely change his or her tactics or otherwise seek to cover up
- 4 his or her activities, thereby preventing us from finding the
- 5 truth. On the other hand, if no one on the Trump Campaign was
- 6 working with Russians, an investigation could prove that.
- Recognizing you don't have the report in front of you,
- 8 based on the quote I just read, do you have -- and based on your
- 9 experience providing defensive briefings, do you have a general
- 10 understanding of what Mr. Priestap meant by that?
- MR. BERGER: Can I?
- 12 [Mr. Berger confers with the witness.]
- 13 THE WITNESS: I understand what he was considering;
- 14 however, I was not through my role part of any of those
- 15 considerations or providing input and making decisions on that.
- 16 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 17 Q. Understood. Is it accurate to say as a general
- 18 matter that the FBI was concerned that a defensive briefing
- 19 might tip off the Russians?
- MR. BERGER: Hold on.
- 21 [Mr. Berger confers with the witness.]
- 22 THE WITNESS: It's speculation. I wasn't part of
- 23 those discussions. So I can't speak to that, ma'am.
- 24 BY MS. ZDEB:
- Q. Based on your experience providing defensive

- 1 briefings in other counterintelligence investigations, is it
- 2 a relevant consideration for the FBI whether the Bureau can rule
- 3 in or rule out witting participation by a U.S. person when it
- 4 makes a decision about whether to provide a defensive briefing
- 5 or not?
- 6 A. Ma'am, I can answer that question. My
- 7 experience with defensive briefings have been for FBI employees
- 8 that are traveling to another country, and that's the extent
- 9 of my experience.
- 10 Q. Let me switch gears. Our committee held a
- 11 six-hour hearing with Inspector General Horowitz in December
- 12 of last year after he released his report and there were a number
- 13 of allegations made during the course of that hearing and in
- 14 subsequent hearings that we've had, but I'm going to ask you
- 15 a series of questions about those allegations because we
- 16 continue to hear them from people who, unlike yourself, don't
- 17 have firsthand experience or knowledge actually working on the
- 18 Crossfire Hurricane investigation.
- 19 So for starters, although the IG found no document or
- 20 testimonial evidence of bias impacting the Bureau's work in
- 21 Crossfire Hurricane, we have heard allegations that there was,
- 22 quote, tons of evidence of bias. Did political bias impact any
- of your actions on Crossfire Hurricane?
- 24 A. Political bias impacted none of my actions on
- 25 Crossfire --



- 1 Q. And -- I'm sorry.
- A. On Crossfire Hurricane.
- 3 Q. Do you have any evidence that political bias
- 4 otherwise impacted the FBI's work on Crossfire Hurricane?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. It has been alleged the FBI, quote, engaged in
- 7 a, quote, massive criminal conspiracy over time to defraud the
- 8 FISA Court. Do you have evidence that the FBI engaged in a
- 9 massive criminal conspiracy to defraud the FISA Court?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. It has also been alleged that the FBI
- 12 purposefully used the power of the Federal Government to wage
- 13 a political war against a presidential candidate they despised.
- 14 Do you have any evidence that the FBI purposely used the power
- of the Federal Government to wage a political war against then
- 16 Candidate Trump?
- 17 A. No. If I would have detected that, I wouldn't
- 18 have been a part of it.
- 19 Q. You personally have been accused of being,
- 20 quote, in the middle of the most deep state corrupt and criminal
- 21 actions. Do you have any evidence that Crossfire Hurricane was
- 22 part of a deep state effort to take down Candidate or President
- 23 Trump?
- 24 A. It did not happen. There's no evidence of that.
- Q. And what's your response to the allegation that

- 1 you were in a middle of the most deep state effort to take down
- 2 President Trump?
- 3 A. I am an FBI agent and I took an oath to this
- 4 country. It's crushing to hear that.
- 5 Q. There have been allegations that Crossfire
- 6 Hurricane was composed of, quote, people who hated Trump and
- 7 who had, quote, an agenda to destroy him before he was elected
- 8 and after he was elected. Is it correct that you, along with
- 9 Peter Strzok and the individual referred to as the intel section
- 10 chief, had a hand in selecting other members of the Crossfire
- 11 Hurricane team?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And did you consider how those individuals felt
- 14 about President Trump or then Candidate Trump when you were
- 15 selecting them to serve on the Crossfire Hurricane team?
- 16 A. It was not a consideration.
- 17 Q. So you didn't consider political affiliation?
- 18 A. We did not.
- 19 Q. In fact, it would not have been proper for you
- 20 to consider political affiliation when making decisions about
- 21 who to staff on the case; is that right?
- 22 A. Correct. The men and women of the FBI are
- 23 objective.
- Q. So as you probably know and there's been some
- 25 discussion of the Hatch Act recently, but as it relates to this

- 1 case, under the Hatch Act, FBI and Justice Department employees
- 2 retain a right to express their opinions in an individual
- 3 capacity privately and publicly on political subjects and
- 4 candidates. So although FBI employees need to remain objective
- 5 in the course of their professional duties, you're allowed to
- 6 have and, in fact, you have a constitutional and a legal right
- 7 to have personal political views. Right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And the only requirement is that those views not
- 10 impact the job that you're doing?
- 11 A. As I understand it, yes.
- 12 Q. The OIG report describes steps that you and
- 13 others on the Crossfire Hurricane team took to ensure that the
- 14 investigation did not impact the 2016 election. So, for
- 15 example, you told the Inspector General, and this is a quote
- 16 from page 308 of the report, that one of the overriding concerns
- 17 was keeping information about the investigation out of the
- 18 public realm because the team did not want to impact the
- 19 presidential election in any way.
- Is that accurate?
- 21 A. That's very accurate.
- 22 Q. And why is it important to keep out of the public
- 23 realm information about an investigation that could impact a
- 24 presidential election?
- 25 A. All of our investigations should be

- 1 confidential. This one, due to the allegations we were looking
- 2 at, we wanted to ensure that we did not in any way adversely
- 3 affect the U.S. presidential election.
- 4 Q. Just to ask you about a couple of specific steps
- 5 that the Crossfire Hurricane team took in order to make sure
- 6 it wasn't impacting the election as they are described by the
- 7 IG report, in addition to prioritizing keeping information out
- 8 of the public domain, the IG report also describes how you
- 9 avoided inserting confidential human sources into the Trump
- 10 Campaign and for the purpose of gathering investigative
- 11 information.
- 12 Is that correct?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. And, in fact, I believe you told the OIG that
- 15 you declined to use a specific confidential human source who
- 16 knew Candidate Trump and had been in contact with the candidate
- 17 because, quote: This is not what we were looking to do. We
- 18 were looking for information about the predicate, but didn't
- 19 want it to be construed later as something other than what you
- 20 were really after.
- 21 Can you elaborate on that a bit?
- 22 A. First, that's an accurate statement. We were
- 23 examining our source base throughout the investigation, and on
- 24 case-by-case basis, we were looking to determine what sources
- 25 could help report on those initial allegations, full stop. We

- 1 were not looking for any other information other than could they
- 2 help corroborate the initial allegation.
- Q. And, in fact, I think you also told the OIG that
- 4 if you had at some point received politically-sensitive
- 5 information and recognized it for what it was, that your first
- 6 call would have been to the FBI General Counsel to talk to them
- 7 about how to handle it. Is that right?
- 8 A. That is absolutely correct.
- 9 Q. So, in general, is it fair to say that the
- 10 Crossfire Hurricane team sought to avoid doing anything that
- 11 could be construed, either then or now, as being political?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And why is that?
- 14 A. It's important that our work is objective and
- 15 that we don't adversely affect the political process.
- 16 MS. ZDEB: I think that's all we have for this round.
- 17 So it's a quarter after 12. We can go off the record.
- 18 [Discussion held off the record.]
- MR. SOMERS: It's 12:15. We'll go back on the record.
- 20 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY THE MAJORITY
- 21 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 22 Q. Other than the Carter Page FISA warrant that was
- 23 obtained, during your time on Crossfire Hurricane, did the FBI
- 24 ever use or consider using other evidence-gathering techniques
- 25 against Carter Page, like Title 3 warrants, national security

- 1 letters, pen registers, any of those techniques?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. I'm sorry. I asked a compound question.
- 4 Did you use those techniques?
- 5 A. We used some of those techniques.
- 6 Q. Did you ever consider interviewing Carter Page?
- 7 A. I think it's always a consideration; however,
- 8 we needed to run a full investigation first before we interview.
- 9 Q. So the decision was made not to interview Carter
- 10 Page while you were on Crossfire Hurricane?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. Did you seek or did you ever consider seeking
- 13 FISA coverage on George Papadopolous?
- 14 A. It was discussed.
- 15 O. Did it ever move past discussion?
- What do you mean by it was discussed, I guess is a better
- 17 way to ask it.
- 18 A. Well, whenever you're doing any information,
- 19 you talk about all investigative techniques that you have based
- 20 on your approval or what kind of investigation you have. So
- 21 I remember it being discussed by the team, but a FISA did not
- 22 get initiated during my TDY.
- 23 O. And Papadopolous was who the -- Crossfire
- 24 Hurricane was opened predicated on information involving George
- 25 Papadopolous specifically. What was the difference between

- 1 Carter Page, who you did seek FISA coverage on, and George
- 2 Papadopolous, who you did not seek FISA coverage on despite the
- 3 fact that Papadopolous was the individual named in the
- 4 predicated information?
- 5 A. I don't remember. You would have to ask the
- 6 attorneys that were evaluating the information we had for
- 7 probable cause.
- 8 Q. So it was a probable cause consideration?
- 9 A. That, usually, the attorneys were calling the
- 10 balls and strikes on that and that's what it would come down
- 11 to.
- 12 Q. Would you have liked FISA coverage on George
- 13 Papadopolous as an investigative matter?
- 14 A. Assuming that we had legal sufficiency to get
- 15 there, yes.
- 16 BY MR. BAKER:
- Q. Were there any other downsides? You said there
- 18 were discussions about Papadopolous. Were there any other
- 19 downsides by doing coverage on him other than lack of probable
- 20 cause?
- 21 Was there any operational reason not to pursue probable
- 22 cause for him?
- 23 Mr. Baker, to the extent that questions
- 24 doesn't call for the discussion of attorney-client privileged
- 25 information.



- 1 THE WITNESS: I don't know of any other than we,
- 2 obviously, do have a discussion on using the least intrusive
- 3 methods first, but I'm not aware of any other considerations.
- 4 I don't remember any.
- 5 MR. BAKER: Okay.
- 6 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 7 Q. Were other evidence-gathering techniques used
- 8 against Mr. Papadopolous, like Title 3 warrants, national
- 9 security letters, or pen registers?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- 11 Q. Did you ever consider seeking, during your time
- 12 on Crossfire Hurricane, FISA coverage on Michael Flynn?
- 13 A. I don't remember that.
- 14 Q. Did you consider using other evidence-gathering
- 15 techniques like Title 3 warrants, national security letters,
- 16 and pen registers against Michael Flynn?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you use them?
- 19 A. I don't remember. I would have to look in the
- 20 file.
- Q. Did you consider FISA coverage during your time
- 22 on Crossfire Hurricane for Paul Manafort?
- 23 A. I think it was discussed.
- 24 O. It was discussed. Do you know why it wasn't
- 25 sought? Was it a probable cause thing or was it other --

- 1 A. I don't remember. I'm sorry.
- Q. And the same question: Did you use other
- 3 evidence-gathering techniques against Paul Manafort during
- 4 your time on the Crossfire Hurricane investigation like Title
- 5 3 warrants, national security letters, and pen registers?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So the Carter Page FISA application goes in on
- 8 October 21, 2016. An investigation was open on July 31, 2016.
- 9 What was going on in the investigation between July 31st and
- 10 August 21st in terms of actual investigating of the four
- 11 subjects?
- I know that's a very broad question.
- 13 A. That is a very broad question.
- 14 Q. Let's start very broad and then we can take it
- 15 more specifically.
- 16 A. Sure. We were examining our human source base
- 17 and technical source base for human technical sources that could
- 18 report on the predicate. Then, also, once we identified either
- 19 helpful sources, sources that could support the investigation,
- 20 worked on various operations using those sources to determine
- 21 if the initial allegations were accurate or not.
- 22 Q. I'm not familiar with -- there's human source
- 23 and what was the other? Tactical source? You referred to
- 24 tactical course?
- 25 A. I don't know if I -- did I say "tactical source"?

- 1 Q. You referred to two -- I thought you referred
- 2 to two sources, confidential human source and --
- 3 A. Okay. Technical source.
- 4 O. Technical source.
- 5 Any further discussion of that is probably
- 6 not appropriate in this setting.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Got it.
- 8 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 9 Q. So you were -- and that was for all four
- 10 individuals, you were doing those types of investigations?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. In the last round, we spoke about, you know,
- 13 trying to, I guess, draw a line between getting -- I'll let you
- 14 rephrase, but let me put the question out there -- getting too
- 15 close to the campaign itself and any campaign decisions, but
- 16 at the same time, you needed to conduct an investigation that
- 17 involved the campaign.
- 18 How did you draw that line between the two?
- 19 A. We were investigating individuals that happened
- 20 to be members of the Trump team.
- Q. But, necessarily, I would think in order -- you
- 22 needed to figure out what people's roles were on the campaign.
- 23 Right?
- 24 That's part of the investigation. Correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.



- 1 Q. And you needed to know whether these four
- 2 individuals you had identified, some of them like Manafort
- 3 probably more obviously, what their access level was to the
- 4 campaign. Correct?
- 5 A. That's fair.
- 6 Q. I think one of the allegations in the Steele
- 7 Dossier was that Paul Manafort was Carter Page's like conduit
- 8 into the campaign. In order to figure out what Carter Page's
- 9 role was in the campaign, were you talking to or trying to
- 10 interview, talk to through the confidential human sources,
- 11 people outside of those four individuals who were involved in
- 12 the campaign?
- 13 A. Well, let me back up just to make it clear. The
- 14 distinction between team and campaign, it didn't matter that
- 15 he was part of the campaign. There are different connotations
- 16 to being central to the Trump team. The fact that he was on
- 17 the campaign was just the fact that he was on the campaign, and
- 18 we were able to establish that through the open source.
- 19 Q. Were you talking to other individuals on or
- 20 associated with the campaign in order to figure out what Carter
- 21 Page's role was on the campaign?
- 22 A. I don't remember doing so.
- 23 O. Do you think that it's possible you drew -- I
- 24 mean, how do you -- the allegation is Carter Page -- again, I'll
- 25 let you rephrase the allegation if I phrase it incorrectly.

- 1 The allegation is Carter Page is possibly a conduit for
- 2 taking information from Russia and feeding it to the Trump
- 3 Campaign. I guess I'm trying to figure out how do you assess
- 4 whether Carter Page actually had that access to the Trump
- 5 Campaign to be this conduit?
- 6 A. I'd have to think about that for a minute, how
- 7 to answer that.
- 8 Q. That's fine.
- 9 A. I think one of the techniques that we ended up
- 10 using was consensual monitoring with a source. So it's an
- 11 opportunity for him to speak freely and be challenged on these
- 12 subjects and see how he responds.
- 13 Q. Is that generally a good investigative
- 14 technique or a reliable investigative technique using
- 15 confidential human sources?
- 16 A. It is.
- 17 Q. Did you use confidential human sources to speak
- 18 to others on the campaign, other than the four targets, in order
- 19 to assess their roles on the campaign?
- 20 A. We used the confidential human source to talk
- 21 to another individual on the Trump team in an effort to determine
- 22 if they would be a subject and they would have either been on
- 23 the team or would be in a position to reasonably receive that
- 24 suggestion from the Russians.
- Q. What did you learn based on that strategy?

- 1 A. We learned that that individual was not somebody
- 2 we would predicate.
- 3 Q. Did that individual have any information on
- 4 Carter Page and his access to the campaign or George
- 5 Papadopolous and his access to the campaign?
- 6 A. As I sit here today, I don't remember.
- 7 Q. Would that have been something you would have
- 8 tasked a human source with asking?
- 9 A. We wouldn't have necessarily asked specifically
- 10 about the campaign. We're splitting hairs on this, but I think
- 11 it's an important distinction.
- We would be looking for, again, any information that
- would indicate that he had been or had received that suggestion
- 14 from the Russians.

12

- 15 O. Let's take George Papadopolous. There was no
- 16 FISA warrant on him. So the confidential human source, we have
- 17 transcripts of his interaction with confidential human sources,
- 18 but what I'm trying to understand is that's, obviously, direct
- 19 contact with George Papadopolous which could be valuable; but
- 20 what I'm trying to understand is what else you would have done
- 21 to see what George Papadopolous -- in order for him to give
- 22 information -- he could be a Russian agent, but might have no
- 23 access to the campaign or the team or however you want
- 24 characterize it. I'm trying to understand what type of steps
- 25 did you take to understand what George Papadopolous' access to

- 1 the Trump Campaign or the Trump team was.
- 2 A. I think using a source to engage directly with
- 3 a subject to get that subject to tell you directly is a pretty
- 4 significant step. I also remember we had open source
- 5 information. You know, we had our intel team, the entire
- 6 apparatus that were doing their jobs, looking for any additional
- 7 information and helping with the investigation.
- 8 Q. And then you said that the human source was a
- 9 reliable technique, a good technique, but then Papadopolous was
- 10 interviewed or however you would phrase it. "Consensually
- 11 monitored", I think was the term, by a confidential human
- 12 source, by multiple confidential human sources. To at least
- 13 two of them, he denied having any interactions with Russians,
- 14 said it would be treason.
- What did you make of those comments?
- 16 A. I think at the time, we or I felt that they were
- 17 rehearsed prepared statements based on the difference between
- 18 reading a transcript and listening to it. His tone changed.
- 19 His demeanor changed when challenged on that when he made that
- 20 statement.
- 21 That was my assessment at the time.
- 22 Q. What about the -- there was a statement made
- 23 to -- I can't think of the individual's name -- to one
- 24 confidential human source, there was a more fulsome statement
- 25 involving treason, but he also made a statement to a second



- 1 confidential human source about denying any involvement in the
- 2 Russians.
- 3 Do you recall that?
- 4 A. Sir, it's been four years and I haven't had
- 5 access to the file. I don't remember that.
- 6 Q. I guess what I'm getting at is did you think that
- 7 Papadopolous thought he was being monitored and that's why you
- 8 thought the statement was canned?
- 9 A. I don't know if he thought he was being
- 10 monitored. It could have been that the source might have been
- 11 clumsy and asked him as well.
- 12 Q. Clumsy and asked him the question? You thought
- 13 Papadopolous was tipped to the question?
- 14 I'm trying to understand why you -- I'm not saying this
- in a pejorative way, but discounted those two denials that I've
- 16 seen transcripts of?
- 17 A. Again, I think if you look at the totality of
- 18 our investigation with him at that time, listening, not just
- 19 reading the transcript, listening to what he's saying, in my
- 20 experience and training, I felt it was a prepared statement.
- 21 O. In the second -- I think it was the second
- 22 denial. I think one denial that came first was in a very
- 23 free-flowing environment. The denial was made and he said all
- 24 sorts of things that I think we would consider embarrassing;
- and then he's asked a question about Russia, and in that context,

- 1 you still think it was a rehearsed response? Did you read the
- 2 entire transcript and everything else he had?
- 3 A. I have and I've listened to the entire
- 4 transcript, but that was four years ago. We're talking around
- 5 it and in different parts of it. I don't think my assessment
- 6 has changed.
- 7 BY MR. BAKER:
- 8 Q. When you talk about your assessment, you
- 9 mentioned a second ago based on your experience and training.
- 10 Is this your experience and training as a FBI agent through the
- 11 course of interviews and interrogations, you developed an
- 12 expertise, or do you have heightened expertise either maybe in
- 13 the behavioral science unit or some specialized interviewing
- 14 course where you're trained to look at body language and
- 15 nonverbal skills?
- Is it your totality of experience as an agent or do you
- 17 have extra beyond the normal experience?
- 18 A. The totality of experience as an agent.
- 19 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. Do you have extra training? Have you taken
- 21 behavorial science training?
- 22 A. I have not.
- 23 Q. So during this period -- well, let's just start
- 24 with the first period between July 31st and August 21st when
- 25 you get the FISA application and warrant application in. What

- 1 was your impression of the pace of the investigation?
- 2 A. I thought the pace was pretty quick. I mean,
- 3 we were conducting significant operations and investigations.
- 4 Q. Did that pace continue after the FISA warrant
- 5 was obtained on Carter Page?
- 6 A. No. It wasn't after Carter Page. It
- 7 was -- more in the November and December timeframe, it was
- 8 slower.
- 9 Q. But the investigation remained opened?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Would it surprise you that people at DOJ,
- 12 including Dana Boente and Stu Evans, thought the pace was -- Dana
- 13 Boente had the impression that the investigation had not been
- 14 moving with a sense of urgency. That's the IG report at page
- 15 73.
- 16 Stu Evans characterized the investigation as, quote,
- 17 pretty slow moving with not much changing week to week in terms
- 18 of updates the FBI was providing. That's on page 70 of the IG
- 19 report.
- Do their impressions surprise you?
- 21 A. I don't know if I read that about them or what
- 22 they had stated. I also didn't have any interaction with either
- 23 of those individuals.
- So I don't know how they got their information to make
- 25 that determination.



- 1 Q. But you were -- putting aside them or I'm just
- 2 quoting them as two people that had an impression of the pace.
- 3 You wouldn't agree with their characterization of the pace?
- 4 A. I'll go back to my original statement, that at
- 5 the time, based on my training and experience and what I know
- 6 about what a normal typical pace is in the field for
- 7 investigation, we were progressing with our operation and
- 8 investigations at a pace quicker than what I've seen in the
- 9 field.
- 10 O. What was the division of labor?
- I know that's a very tough question percentage-wise,
- 12 but between trying to verify the Steele Dossier and Steele
- 13 allegations and other investigations of these four subjects?
- 14 A. I can't give you a percentage on that. It is
- 15 a verification and a multilayered process. It involves many
- 16 people. We attempt to measure productivity of the source as
- 17 well as risk of the source.
- 18 Q. But you don't know how much was dedicated,
- 19 roughly, to verification of Steele versus human source
- 20 operations, other investigative techniques?
- 21 A. I can speak to the actions that I took.
- 22 Q. How was your division of labor between those
- 23 two?
- 24 A. Initially, upon -- we received the first Steele
- 25 report on September 19, 2016. Within three hours of receiving

- 1 those reports on my team, I emailed the case agent and asked
- 2 them for a source characterization statement. That's the first
- 3 place it starts, with the handling agent on that.
- 4 Through that process, there are annual checks that a
- 5 case agent does, a field office annual source report as well
- 6 as QSSRs the supervisor will do. So that's ongoing from the
- 7 inception of the source.
- 8 So that source characterization statement should be
- 9 based off of that. So I sought to get that.
- 10 Secondly, I determined we needed to send a team to
- 11 [European City] to work with the handling agent and speak to
- 12 the source. I later took other steps, but those are just a few.
- Q. Why did you not go on that trip to [European
- 14 City]?
- 15 A. I don't remember.
- 16 Q. Do you recall why the person identified as --
- : Can we interrupt for a moment?
- : Yeah.
- MR. SOMERS: We'll take it out of the record.
- : Take it out of the record and try to refrain
- 21 from saying the name of the city.
- MR. SOMERS: Yes.
- 23 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. Do you recall if the person identified as the
- 25 acting section chief went on the trip?



- 1 A. I don't remember.
- Q. I'm not asking the name, but do you recall that
- 3 person who --
- 4 A. I don't.
- 5 Q. Do you know what they were acting section chief
- 6 of?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 O. Which section?
- 9 A. They were acting in the section that Peter
- 10 Strzok had just vacated to become the DAD. So it was the
- 11 counterespionage section.
- 12 Q. Do you recall any consternation within the team
- 13 about why that individual was going and not someone else?
- 14 A. I don't remember that.
- 15 Q. I think we just referred to the Steele Dossier,
- 16 but we can refer to them differently if you want, but when did
- 17 you first see any of the reports that ultimately become known
- 18 as the Steele Dossier?
- 19 A. September 19, 2016.
- 20 BY MR. BAKER:
- Q. Was there any concern about the length of time
- 22 it was taking or that it took for those reports to actually reach
- 23 you or the entity at Headquarters that ultimate received them?
- 24 A. I didn't have concerns.
- Q. You did or did not?

- 1 A. I did not.
- 2 Q. Did anybody have concerns about the length of
- 3 time that it took from, I guess, the handling agent to the end
- 4 user at Headquarters?
- 5 A. I don't remember that. At the time that we
- 6 received them, they were dated, but we didn't know at the time
- 7 when the handling agent actually got them.
- 8 Q. Were you aware of any abnormal chain that they
- 9 flowed through from the handling agent to Headquarters or, as
- 10 far as you knew, they went from the handling agent to
- 11 Headquarters?
- 12 A. I received an email from the handling agent.
- 13 That was the flow on how I received them.
- MR. BAKER: Okay.
- 15 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 16 Q. On page 99 of the IG report, it says that on
- 17 August 25, 2016, during a briefing for then Deputy Director
- 18 Andrew McCabe on the investigation -- I guess that's the answer
- 19 on when the August meeting was.
- 20 "During a briefing for then Deputy Director Andrew
- 21 McCabe on the investigation, McCabe asked to contact
- 22 the New York Field Office about information that potentially
- 23 could assist the Crossfire Hurricane investigation."
- 24 Do you recall that?
- 25 A. I do.

- 1 Q. And by information that could potentially
- 2 assist the Crossfire Hurricane investigation, does that refer
- 3 to the Steele information or something else?
- 4 A. I believe that's the same. I later learned
- 5 that's what that was.
- 6 Q. But you said the delay between August 25th and
- 7 September 19th, that doesn't bother you in terms of the length
- 8 of time or that there wasn't an issue of the length of time it
- 9 took from you learning about the investigation to actually
- 10 receiving it?
- 11 A. At the time, I did not have an issue.
- 12 Q. On page 124 of the IG report, it says you sent
- 13 an email to Handling Agent 1 and others stating that: "Our team
- 14 is very interested in obtained a source symbol, number, slash,
- 15 characterization statement and specifics on the veracity of
- 16 past reporting, motivations, last validation, how long on the
- 17 books, how much paid, etc."
- 18 Why was all that information important to you?
- 19 A. It's always important to understand the
- 20 reliability of the source.
- Q. And what did you learn in regards to the
- 22 reliability of the source?
- 23 A. Then? I did not receive an email back from --
- Q. From the handling agent?
- 25 A. From the handling agent.

- 1 Q. Could you have looked in Steele's file to gain
- 2 the information?
- 3 A. At a certain point, we were able to obtain
- 4 access, and I don't remember when that was, but yeah. You could
- 5 go into a Delta file.
- 6 BY MR. BAKER:
- 7 Q. What is a Delta file?
- 8 A. Delta is the FBI's system of record for
- 9 maintaining source information.
- 10 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 11 Q. So you did not immediately have access to that
- 12 system with regards to Steele? Somebody had to grant you
- 13 access?
- 14 A. I would have had to have been granted access.
- 15 I don't remember anything else.
- Q. So you didn't get a response to the email, but
- 17 at some point, you did learn this type of information. Where
- 18 did you learn this type of information, the specifics on
- 19 veracity of past reporting, motivations, last validation, how
- long on the books, how much paid to date, etc.?
- 21 How did you learn that information?
- 22 A. So we had an intel team, as we discussed,
- 23 assigned to us on Crossfire Hurricane. They were working on
- 24 that.
- Q. And where did they get that information from?

- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. Did they get it from the handling agent or did
- 3 they get it somewhere else?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Were you satisfied with what you learned about
- 6 his reliability, Steele's?
- 7 I'm sorry. Were you satisfied with what you learned
- 8 about Steele's reliability?
- 9 A. Yes. The information, we thought was reliable.
- 10 O. What were the efforts to corroborate Steele's
- 11 reporting, what type of things?
- 12 A. Like I mentioned, on the intel side, I can't
- 13 speak to how they do their job. I spoke of, obviously, the case
- 14 agent and supervisors, their responsibility annually and
- 15 continually to do that. In particular, I mentioned I sent a
- 16 team to a foreign country to meet directly with the source to
- 17 try to glean that information directly.
- In addition, I initiated an asset validation review to
- 19 the director of intelligence and, later, met with Bruce Ohr.
- 20 That's one of the things I was trying to determine.
- Q. Was it -- did you try and determine Steele's
- 22 sources were?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you try and speak with others who had worked
- 25 with Steele in the past, clients or other governments?

- 1 A. Just Bruce Ohr.
- 2 Q. Just Bruce Ohr. Did you task anyone on the team
- 3 with talking to past clients of Steele or past employers?
- 4 A. I don't remember doing so.
- 5 Q. You rotated off January 6, 2017, you testified
- 6 to earlier. What was your understanding when you left the
- 7 investigation; what was your understanding of the level of
- 8 corroboration that the FBI had achieved of the Steele reporting?
- 9 A. I don't know if could I say what level of
- 10 corroboration that they had received. It was just an ongoing
- 11 effort. It was constantly ongoing.
- 12 Q. Had you verified anything from the reporting?
- 13 A. I don't remember.
- 14 Q. You don't remember. Would you say it was
- 15 largely unverified when you left, when you rotated off?
- 16 A. I don't remember. I would have to look at all
- 17 the reports and go back to that time and try to give you some
- 18 sort of weight to what was and what wasn't.
- 19 Q. What was your understanding of who Christopher
- 20 Steele was at the time?
- You're getting this information. You're told on -- you
- 22 get it on the 19th. You get a bunch of reports. What's your
- 23 understanding of where this is coming from and who this guy is
- 24 providing you this information?
- 25 A. So I can't remember what I knew when. I would

- 1 have to refer to those emails or just anything that could help
- 2 me remember what I knew at a particular time.
- 3 Q. Generally in that time period, what was your
- 4 understanding of Steele?
- 5 A. During which time period?
- 6 Q. Prior to October 21, 2016 when the FISA
- 7 application was submitted.
- 8 A. I believe we knew that he had at that timeframe
- 9 been a member of an intelligence service from another friendly
- 10 foreign government.
- 11 Q. And you understood that he was a private
- 12 contractor of some sort at this point in time?
- 13 A. I believe so.
- 14 Q. You mentioned earlier, I think you just
- 15 mentioned a few minutes ago, requesting a human source
- 16 validation review on Steele in November 2016. Do you recall
- 17 requesting that?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. Why did you request that review at that point
- 20 in time?
- 21 A. At that point in time, the "Mother Jones" news
- 22 article had come out on October 31st. We had closed a source
- 23 for cause, a source that was used in the FISA application of
- 24 Carter Page.
- Q. That's what caused you to request the review at

- 1 that point, the validation review at that point in time?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 BY MR. BAKER:
- 4 Q. What happened to your request for the validation
- 5 review?
- 6 A. So the validation review initiated and it was
- 7 later turned off.
- 8 Q. What do you mean "it was turned off"?
- 9 A. I mean the validation review through the D.I.,
- 10 the Director of Intelligence. Just to be clear exactly what
- 11 I'm talking about, there was a constant validation review
- 12 ongoing by the Counterintelligence Division and the
- 13 intelligence analysts at the time. My request was to the FBI
- 14 director of intelligence for them to do what is considered an
- 15 enhanced validation review, something outside and independent
- 16 of the Counterintelligence Division.
- 17 Q. But that was turned off?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And why would it have been turned off?
- 20 A. I can give you the answers that I was provided
- 21 or the discussion that I had with Assistant Director Priestap
- 22 and DAD Strzok at the time. There were concerns about leaks.
- 23 The Bureau, obviously, was, as I understood and I testified
- 24 already, concerned about anyone outside of the Crossfire
- 25 Hurricane team being aware of the existence of the

- 1 investigation. So that was one of their concerns.
- 2 So that was -- to give an answer, they wanted to keep
- 3 it within the counterintelligence.
- 4 Q. When you say "to give an answer", that makes me
- 5 think that it's not necessarily what you thought. Is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Oh, no. Well, then I misspoke. You're taking
- 8 that out of context.
- 9 Q. That's the answer that you were given and that's
- 10 a reasonable answer in your view?
- 11 A. At the time, I understood the answer, but I
- 12 disagreed with it.
- 13 Q. So you did disagree with it?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 O. I'm curious, because you said earlier, a few
- 16 minutes ago, that this particular unit did an enhanced
- 17 validation, but yet, I mean, I assume, and correct me if I'm
- 18 wrong, that the individuals that are doing this enhanced
- 19 validation of sources, which there are probably a very special
- 20 category of techniques using sources in the Bureau -- this is
- 21 what I'm guessing. These people that are doing the validation
- 22 are trained and vetted, but there's a concern of leaks coming
- 23 out of this enhanced validation unit.
- 24 A. That was what I was -- I mean, maybe not that
- 25 unit, but there was concern about leaks overall in the Bureau

- of anybody outside the department and team being aware of the
- 2 existence of this investigation.
- 3 Q. So that would seem to me that if a decision is
- 4 made and it's not your decision, you're being told it's being
- 5 turned off, is what I'm hearing --
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And you disagree with that is what I'm hearing.
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Something so important that this unit is doing,
- 10 evaluating the credibility of a source that's going to be used
- 11 for some of the most sensitive, sophisticated things, I'm
- 12 guessing, that are in the Bureau's arsenal and they're going
- 13 to stop that because of leaks?
- I mean, that sounds like there's a very serious leak
- 15 problem at the FBI, either then or now. That just sounds
- 16 incredible to me, that you're willing to trade -- not you, but
- 17 there's a tradeoff of validating this source that's providing
- 18 this pretty interesting and incredible information, but yet,
- 19 the validation of that person is not going to be allowed to
- 20 continue because of, apparently, an internal problem at the FBI
- 21 regarding leaks.
- 22 Am I --
- A. Is that a question?
- Q. It's kind of a question and kind of maybe
- 25 restating what you said. Is that the understanding you had why

- 1 that was being turned off, this enhanced validation?
- 2 A. The reason it was turned off is they were
- 3 concerned about leaks. Anything more, you'd have to ask the
- 4 individuals that made that decision.
- 5 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 6 But Mr. Priestap was telling you that?
- 7 A. Through DAD Strzok.
- 8 Q. But it's coming down from the AD to the DAD to
- 9 you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 12 Q. Did they know about it because you informed them
- 13 that you had started this process or did they find out the
- 14 process had started in some other way?
- You said the process had started?
- 16 A. I notified them that I initiated the process.
- 17 Q. And they stopped it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What was your understanding of how Steele was
- 20 collecting the information that was contained in the dossier?
- 21 A. It was my understanding, generally, that he had
- 22 a subsource network. I don't want to get into too much detail,
- 23 but he had a subsource network that provided him the reporting.
- Q. So you understood that Steele, himself,
- 25 was -- I'll just read from the IG report and see if you have

- 1 this understanding. That way, you don't have worry about
- 2 revealing anything.
- 3 On Roman numeral five, the introduction to the IG
- 4 report, it says: "Steele, himself, was not the originating
- 5 source of any of the factual information in his reporting.
- 6 Steele, instead, relied on the primary subsource for
- 7 information who used his/her network of subsources to gather
- 8 information that was then passed to Steele."
- 9 Was that your understanding of how Steele was gathering
- 10 information?
- 11 A. That's fair.
- 12 Q. When did you become aware that -- was that always
- 13 your awareness or did you become aware at some point in time
- 14 that that's how this operation worked?
- 15 A. I don't know at what point in time, but I think
- once we received the initial reports, I believe it was clear
- 17 that there were multiple subsources, but exactly how he got it,
- 18 I don't remember when we knew that.
- 19 Q. I think you said earlier, but I'm going to ask
- 20 it again, was identifying Steele's source network important?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Why?
- 23 A. It was especially important to have probable
- 24 cause. For each one of those sources, we needed to -- for each
- one of them, we needed to also determine the veracity of their

- 1 employee as well.
- 2 Q. So was the credibility or reliability or
- 3 unreliability of the subsources important?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Would it be fair to say that maybe the primary
- 6 subsource's reliability is actually more important than
- 7 Steele's reliability?
- 8 A. Not necessarily. I mean, it was -- all the
- 9 subsources' reliability was important.
- 10 Q. I'm saying versus Steele, who wasn't -- Steele,
- 11 himself, was not the originating source of any of the factual
- 12 information in this reporting and you relied on the primary
- 13 subsource for information. Given that fact pattern, isn't that
- 14 primary subsource's reliability more important than Steele's
- 15 reliability?
- 16 A. I don't know if I ever looked at it that way.
- 17 That's a hypothetical that I'd have to sit down and think about,
- 18 but I just don't have an answer for you.
- 19 BY MR. BAKER:
- 20 Q. In your experience with dealing with sources in
- 21 any of your cases, is it common that there is a network of
- 22 subsources below the person who's actually reporting the
- 23 information?
- 24 A. It is.
- 25 BY MR. SOMERS:



- 1 Q. And you understood, I take it, that the level
- 2 of removal that Steele had from all the subsources was that he
- 3 was not talking to subsources; is that something you understood?
- 4 A. I don't know when I understood that, sir. I
- 5 don't know if it was after the fact. There's been so much media
- 6 about it, I couldn't color as to what I knew then and what I
- 7 know today.
- 8 Q. Do you know if you knew it while you were still
- 9 on the investigation or --
- 10 A. Sir, I'd have to refer to a document or something
- 11 to help refresh my memory. There's been a lot out there.
- 12 Q. I know this happened after you rotated off, but
- 13 were you aware that -- well, I don't know if part of it happened
- 14 before you rotated off. Did you become aware when the FBI
- 15 identified Steele's primary subsource?
- 16 A. I was.
- 17 Q. Were you aware they interviewed the primary
- 18 subsource?
- 19 A. I was, because --
- Q. Not now.
- 21 A. Right. So I was in the Washington Field Office
- 22 when that occurred and I knew that they had asked other agents
- 23 from Washington Field Office to participate.
- 24 O. In the --
- 25 A. In the interview.

- 1 Q. -- interview?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. This was an important -- was this an important
- 4 step in your mind if you had still been on the investigation
- 5 that they identified the primary subsource; would that have been
- 6 big news?
- 7 A. That would have been important to me at that
- 8 point.
- 9 Q. Was it a topic of discussion while you were still
- 10 on the investigation, identifying the primary subsource?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you have any awareness of the fact that there
- 13 were inconsistencies between Steele's reporting and what the
- 14 primary subsource told the interviewing agents?
- 15 A. I was not.
- 16 Q. Have you ever read the summary of the primary
- 17 subsource's interview?
- 18 A. I have not. I don't have a need to know and have
- 19 not read it.
- 20 Q. And you didn't read it at the time?
- 21 A. No. I wasn't part of the team. I wasn't
- 22 involved.
- 23 O. Were you aware of concerns like those expressed
- 24 in February 2017 about Strzok and an email he wrote that is on
- 25 page 247 of the IG report that "Recent interviews in the

- 1 investigation, however, reveal Steele may not be in a position
- 2 to judge reliability of the subsource network"?
- 3 A. I was unaware of that.
- 4 Q. Were you ever aware -- leaving aside press
- 5 reporting after the fact, were you ever aware that some of the
- 6 information Steele's primary subsource was giving Steele was
- 7 based on, quote, conversations with friends over beers, that
- 8 the primary subsource characterized the information he gave
- 9 Steele as word-of-mouth hearsay, that the primary subsource
- 10 told the FBI that the information was intended to be taken with
- 11 a grain of salt, and that the corroboration was zero?
- 12 A. Again, sir, my memory is colored with -- it's
- 13 hard to make a distinction on what I knew when.
- 14 Q. Versus reading about it in press accounts?
- 15 A. Absolutely.
- Q. So you don't recall, even though you weren't on
- 17 the investigation, you don't recall anyone on the investigation
- 18 saying, Hey, we have a problem with the primary subsource?
- 19 A. I don't remember that at all, no.
- Q. Were you aware while you were still on the
- 21 investigation that the primary subsource was actually a
- 22 contract employee of Steele's firm?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Were you aware that the primary subsource was
- 25 living in the United States?



- 1 A. I was.
- Q. At the time?
- 3 A. Well, I'll give you the timeline. So I left
- 4 Crossfire Hurricane on January 6, 2017. The following week,
- 5 I received -- I was asked to participate, was told that he was
- 6 identified, that the FBI had identified him and would I be
- 7 willing to go out with another agent to that individual's home
- 8 to try to make initial contact with who was the primary
- 9 subsource.
- 10 I ended up doing that, establishing that contact. That
- 11 was the extent. So yes. I did know that we had identified him.
- Q. And that was just establishing contact; that
- 13 wasn't --
- 14 A. Yes. It was just to establish contact, that's
- 15 correct.
- 16 Q. There was no 302 generated based on that
- 17 contact?
- 18 A. No. I spoke with him on the phone. He was not
- 19 home. I later spoke with his attorney.
- Q. That's what you were basically trying to get to,
- 21 was an attorney or set up an actual meeting with the individual?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. But you did not, just for the record, take part
- in the actual interview of the primary subsource?
- 25 A. No, I did not.

- 1 Q. Did you know why you were asked to contact him,
- 2 make the initial contact versus somebody else?
- 3 A. I don't know. Any time -- one of the
- 4 difficulties of this case at Headquarters, any time you're
- 5 operating in another AOR, Area of Responsibility, typically in
- 6 the Washington Field Office Region, you need to coordinate with
- 7 that office. I was an individual who was aware of the case and
- 8 they needed somebody to go out and knock on the door.
- 9 So I received a call, Can you assist? Sure. I can help
- 10 you.
- 11 Q. Were you asked to sit in on the interview?
- 12 A. I was not.
- 13 BY MR. BAKER:
- 14 Q. In your 16 years of working
- 15 counterintelligence, was this the first case that you had been
- 16 involved in that was worked out of Headquarters?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did that, in and of itself, seem unusual or the
- 19 circumstances justified? What are your thoughts on that?
- 20 A. The need for compartmentation, the need to have
- 21 access to high-level individuals in the FBI to make decisions,
- 22 with the overriding issues of compartmentation, I understood
- 23 that.
- Q. Are you aware of other cases, maybe ones you
- 25 didn't work, that were worked at Headquarters for the reasons

- 1 you just cited?
- 2 A. I am.
- 3 Q. Is it common? Extraordinary?
- 4 A. It happens. It happens when, again, there is
- 5 a need for compartmentation where I have seen it or you need
- 6 decision makers at the Headquarters level.
- 7 Q. I think at some point, and this may be after
- 8 you're gone, the individual pieces got farmed out to field
- 9 offices, which would, in my understanding, kind of be the norm.
- 10 You have one case that went to Chicago. One, I think, went to
- 11 New York. One went to Washington Field, and sort of it went
- 12 back to the model that I would think is the normal.
- 13 How was that able to happen when looking at the reasons
- 14 you said were to be centralized at Headquarters? What changes
- 15 that now allows it to be back at the field offices?
- 16 A. I don't know those considerations, sir.
- 17 MR. BAKER: Okay.
- 18 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 19 Q. Do you know why your temporary duty assignment
- 20 was allowed to expire, why you were rotated off?
- 21 A. I asked to go back to the Washington Field
- 22 Office.
- 23 Q. I think we just discussed how Steele was
- 24 collecting his information. What was your understanding of why
- 25 Steele was collecting the information that he had?



- 1 A. At a certain point, I was aware that he was
- 2 collecting this information for an entity that was conducting
- 3 opposition research.
- 4 Q. You weren't aware that he was collecting
- 5 information for the DNC?
- 6 A. I don't know when I knew that.
- 7 Q. If I could just read on Footnote 223, this is
- 8 long, but basically my question at the end is whether you recall
- 9 receiving this email, but let me read the email.
- 10 A. Sure.
- 11 Q. Footnote 223 of the IG report, this is on page
- 12 98. It says: "An FBI agent from another FBI Field Office sent
- 13 an email to his supervisor stating that he had been contacted
- 14 by a former CHS who, quote, was contacted recently by a colleague
- 15 who runs an investigative firm. The firm was hired by two
- 16 entities, the Democratic National Committee as well as another
- individual not named, to explore Donald J. Trump's longstanding
- 18 ties to Russia."
- 19 On or about August 2, 2016, this information was shared
- 20 by the supervisor with the section chief, intelligence analysis
- 21 section, intelligence section chief, which is going to be
- 22 Jonathan Moffa, who provided it to members of the Crossfire
- 23 Hurricane team, then Section Chief Peter Strzok, and, and
- 24 the supervisor of intel analysts, end quote.
- Do you recall on or about August 2, 2016 receiving

- 1 information regarding Steele being hired by the DNC?
- 2 A. So on August 2nd, I would have been overseas
- 3 conducting an interview. I mostly likely would not have had
- 4 access to email at the time.
- I don't remember that, receiving that in August. I do
- 6 remember in January of '17, that agent reached back out to me.
- 7 I think that's when I made a connection between the two pieces
- 8 there.
- 9 Q. So prior to -- I think that date was January
- 10 11th, I believe.
- 11 A. That's correct. Yes.
- Q. Prior to that, you didn't know that the DNC -- at
- 13 least not definitively, you didn't know the DNC was the ultimate
- 14 payer, his ultimate employer was the DNC?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. How did you become aware on January 11th? Can
- 17 you walk through that a little bit more?
- 18 A. Sure. The individual, I believe, that would
- 19 have sent that email in August, I think he reached back out to
- 20 me. I, I guess, having the context and perspective of being
- 21 there for four to five months, I think I made the connection
- 22 at that point and then I turned him over to the next supervisor
- 23 that took over for me on Crossfire Hurricane.
- Q. Did you tell anyone else what you learned from
- 25 the field agent?



- 1 A. I don't remember doing so; however, I CC'd the
- 2 incoming supervisor as well as there were others that were on
- 3 that email on January 11th that were still on the team.
- 4 Q. The supervisor that -- I'm just trying to
- 5 remember, because, obviously, the case was divided a little
- 6 differently when you were on it versus --
- 7 [Mr. Somers peruses document.]
- 8 BY MR. BAKER:
- 9 Q. While he's looking for that --
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. -- what was your reason for wanting to go back
- 12 to Washington Field? This seems like a pretty big case, maybe
- one of the biggest cases for the Bureau, certainly in recent
- 14 times, and it's right up your area of expertise,
- 15 counterintelligence. Why the desire to go back to Washington
- 16 Field?
- 17 A. I had a professional disagreement with stopping
- 18 the enhanced validation review.
- 19 Q. Okay. We talked about that earlier. You're
- 20 aware, I'm sure, that that was restarted not long after you
- 21 rotated off. Are you aware of that?
- 22 A. I've read that.
- 23 O. I'm just curious. The reasons you were given
- 24 for the enhanced validation stopping when you recommended that
- 25 it be done, how would those conditions that were given to you,

- 1 the possibly of the leaks, how would that have been resolved
- 2 by the time this validation restarted again?
- 3 It seems to me based on not just this case, but others,
- 4 the Bureau's problem with leaking continues to this day. So
- 5 what changed for the powers that started it again?
- 6 What was their confidence that their concerns when they
- 7 stopped it when you initiated it, what happened that they feel
- 8 comfortable a year, a little over a year, later to start it up
- 9 again?
- 10 A. I don't know. You'd have to ask those
- 11 individuals who made the decision.
- 12 Q. Who did you have the professional disagreement
- 13 with?
- 14 A. I guess at that point, it would be Bill Priestap.
- 15 Q. And your position was that the validation, the
- 16 enhanced validation, should go forward?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. And his view was that it shouldn't?
- 19 A. That it shouldn't, that counterintelligence
- 20 should continue on with their validation.
- Q. And, I mean, did he elaborate on that at all?
- 22 A. No. It's just the facts that I gave you,
- 23 concerned about leaks.
- Q. But what concerns did you argue with him for
- 25 doing the enhanced validation?



- 1 A. This is the process, this is what we do, this
- 2 is what we always do.
- I recognized the significance of his reporting, the use
- 4 in a FISA application. I had questions about our intel
- 5 validation was ongoing in the Counterintelligence Division, and
- 6 all of that contributed to my professional disagreement.
- 7 Q. Did anyone weigh in on your side with Mr.
- 8 Priestap? Mr. Strzok? Anybody?
- 9 A. No. Case Agent 1, he did, as did a staff
- 10 operations specialist that was working with us.
- 11 Q. Were your concerns considered or was the
- 12 stopping of the process determined by Mr. Priestap and that was
- 13 the law of the land?
- 14 A. I'm assuming he considered them. I gave him my
- 15 rationale and he made the decision.
- Q. But this was a concern that you were so
- 17 passionate about that it made you terminate your association
- 18 with the case, the team, and go back to the Washington Field
- 19 Office?
- 20 A. It was.
- 21 MR. BAKER: Thank you.
- 22 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 23 O. I'm just looking at the January chart here in
- 24 the IG report. At that point in time, there were two SSAs
- 25 assigned to the case. It looks like one was handling the

- 1 Michael Flynn investigation and the George Papadopolous
- 2 investigation and the other was handling the Carter Page
- 3 investigation and the person who's identified as SSA 3.
- 4 I don't know if someone can tell me. I don't know who
- 5 SSA 3 is, but whoever SSA 3 is, I understand that SSA 3 is who
- 6 you gave the email to or CC'd on the email.
- 7 A. I'm trying go to the key here. SSA 3, are you
- 8 asking me do I know who SSA 3 is?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- : We need to go off for a second.
- [Counsel for witness confer.]
- 12 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. Do you know if the person -- the supervisory
- 14 special agent that you CC'd on the email linking up the agent
- 15 that had the information that we discussed earlier, I believe
- is an agent in a field office; is that correct?
- 17 A. That sounds right.
- 18 Q. Do you know if that supervisory special agent
- 19 that you gave -- you CC'd on the email reported to Jen Boone?
- 20 A. Did you say did he report the information?
- Q. No. Did he report to? Was that his
- 22 supervisor?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. It's likely SSA 3, but, obviously, no one
- 25 here knows who SS3 is.

- 1 A. I'm sorry. I don't know.
- Q. That's okay. It's not your fault.
- 3 Do you recall receiving, directly or indirectly, some
- 4 outreach from the State Department about Christopher Steele and
- 5 Kathleen Kavalec?
- A. I do remember that.
- 7 Q. Did you ever speak with Kathleen Kavalec?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you assign anyone to speak with Kavalec?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Why not?
- 12 A. We had a liaison at the State Department and that
- 13 was his job.
- 14 Q. Why did you make the decision never to interview
- 15 Kathy Kavalec?
- 16 A. What was the question? Like what do you expect
- 17 me to interview about?
- 18 Q. About information she received from Christopher
- 19 Steele.
- 20 A. So my recollection is -- I don't remember her
- 21 receiving information. My recollection of that event was that
- 22 she was aware of how our team was traveling to a foreign country
- 23 to talk to him, and that was my understanding of what she knew
- 24 and that's what I engaged with our liaison at State Department
- 25 about.

- 1 Q. So you thought you had enough information from
- 2 the liaison at the State Department; the same FBI liaison to
- 3 the State Department, you thought provided enough information
- 4 about what Kathleen Kavalec had to report about Christopher
- 5 Steele?
- 6 A. From what I remember from the email exchange
- 7 between myself and the FBI's Department of State liaison, I was
- 8 just tracking an issue that she had become aware of our travel
- 9 to meet with the source.
- 10 Q. You weren't aware that she met with Christopher
- 11 Steele?
- 12 A. I was not aware.
- Q. According to the IG report, the section chief
- 14 forwarded you information that Kavalec had met with Christopher
- 15 Steele. You don't recall receiving that information?
- 16 A. I don't recall receiving that.
- 17 Q. If she had information such as the -- you know,
- 18 if she had information regarding an inaccuracy in Steele's
- 19 reporting, would that have been important information to have
- 20 gotten to the team?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. I think, according to the IG report, Kavalec
- 23 advised the FBI section chief in an email information related
- 24 to an investigation of Steele's firm had been conducting.
- 25 "The section chief forwarded the document to



- 1 the same day."
- 2 And that information was that there was a reference to
- 3 Steele reporting to a Russian consulate being located in Miami
- 4 when there is no Russian consulate in Miami. Were you ever
- 5 aware of that fact?
- 6 A. It was brought up to me by the IG, but that's
- 7 it.
- 8 Q. But not at the time?
- 9 A. Not at the time.
- 10 Q. And then also in that meeting -- I think this
- 11 was conveyed in the email -- Steele said in a the meeting he
- 12 had with Kavalec in undertaking the investigation at, quote,
- 13 the behest of an institution he declined to identify that had
- 14 been hacked, closed quote, which, I mean, isn't definitively
- 15 the DNC, but I think the information would indicate the DNC.
- 16 Did you ever receive that information?
- 17 A. I have no recollection of receiving that
- 18 information.
- 19 Q. The IG report indicates that you, Peter Strzok,
- 20 Lisa Page, a supervisory intel analyst, and the OGC unit chief
- 21 traveled abroad and met with persons who previously had
- 22 professional contacts with Steele or had knowledge of his work.
- 23 Do you recall that trip?
- 24 A. I do.
- Q. Was it multiple persons you met with?



- 1 A. The forum that I remember meeting in was -- yeah.
- 2 There were multiple people, I think from two different agencies.
- 3 Q. Was that the purpose of the trip?
- 4 A. I believe so, yes.
- 5 Q. Do you know why Lisa Page attended the trip?
- 6 A. I do not.
- 7 Q. Do you recall when the OGC unit chief attended
- 8 the trip?
- 9 A. I do not.
- 10 Q. What did you learn from the trip about Steele?
- 11 A. I don't remember learning anything about
- 12 Steele. I remember having discussions about the Russian
- 13 maligned foreign influence and a new threat emerging, which was
- 14 their use of social media. We were discussing the broader
- 15 questions.
- 16 Q. But the purpose of the meeting was to learn more
- 17 about Steele?
- 18 Or of the trip. I'm sorry.
- 19 A. That was not what I understood the purpose of
- 20 that meeting to be. I don't think in that forum that I
- 21 participated in that Chris Steele was discussed.
- 22 Q. So you were not -- and it does not indicate you
- 23 were. I'm just asking.
- You weren't in any meetings that Priestap and Strzok
- 25 did get some information about Steele?



- In the report, on page 182 of the IG report, it indicates
- 2 that Priestap and Strzok gave notice of the feedback they
- 3 received about Steele, some of which was positive and some of
- 4 which was negative. You don't recall --
- 5 A. I think you're conflating maybe two different
- 6 trips. So Bill Priestap did not travel with us.
- 7 BY MR. BAKER:
- 8 Q. If you know, who did Lisa Page work for?
- 9 A. She worked for who I believe to be the deputy
- 10 director.
- 11 Q. And that would be?
- 12 A. Andy McCabe.
- 13 Q. Did you ever have a concern as the SSA with
- 14 either the trips or any meetings that Lisa Page was at that
- 15 information coming out of the meeting or what was discussed at
- 16 the meeting would be, for lack of a better word, hotlined by
- 17 Ms. Page to Mr. McCabe before you as the SSA could report it
- 18 up to Mr. Strzok or Priestap or the acting section chief? Was
- 19 that ever a concern?
- 20 A. No. It was never a concern of mine.
- 21 MR. SOMERS: I think we are out of time for this hour.
- 22 So it's 1:20. We'll go off the record.
- 23 [Whereupon, at 1:19 p.m., a lunch recess was taken, to
- 24 reconvene at 2:00 p.m. this same day.]

- 1 AFTERNOON SESSION
- 2 MS. ZDEB: It's 2:05. We can go back on the record.
- FURTHER EXAMINATION BY THE MINORITY
- 4 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 5 Q. Before the break, you spoke a bit about
- 6 conversations between George Papadopolous and a confidential
- 7 human source in which Papadopolous made comments to the effect
- 8 of coordinating with Russia would be treasonous. I just wanted
- 9 to ask a couple of quick followups about that.
- 10 In your experience, do subjects or targets of an
- 11 investigation sometimes deny that they are engaged in criminal
- 12 conduct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And, in your experience, should an agent or a
- 15 prosecutor stop investigating a particular individual just
- 16 because that individual denies engaging in crime?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Should the FBI close a counterintelligence
- 19 investigation just because a U.S. person denies wittingly
- 20 coordinating with a foreign power?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. And, in other words, there could still be a
- 23 legitimate reason to investigate that U.S. person, because he
- 24 could be either wittingly working with a foreign government and
- 25 lying about it or he could be unwittingly working with a foreign

- 1 government, and in either case, there would still be a basis
- 2 for the FBI to investigate?
- 3 A. That is accurate.
- 4 Q. Turning back to the Steele Dossier for a minute,
- 5 you were asked a number of questions about his election
- 6 reporting. The IG report, obviously, spends a fair amount of
- 7 time discussing it as well. I have a couple of questions just
- 8 to put that reporting in context.
- 9 So Crossfire Hurricane was opened by the FBI on July
- 10 31, 2016. You said before the break that you didn't personally
- 11 become aware of Steele's reporting until September 19th and,
- 12 indeed, the Inspector General determined that the Crossfire
- 13 Hurricane team as a whole did not become aware of his reporting
- 14 until September 19th such that, to quote the Inspector General,
- 15 the Steele Dossier played no role in the opening of Crossfire
- 16 Hurricane.
- 17 Are you aware of any evidence that disputes that finding
- 18 by the IG?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. As I mentioned earlier, the Inspector General
- 21 testified before our committee last December, and when asked
- 22 about the role that the Carter Page FISA and the errors that
- 23 he identified played in the larger Russian investigation, he
- 24 testified that those that related to Mr. Christopher Steele did
- 25 not call into question any of the special counsel's report.

- 1 Are you aware of any evidence that contradicts the
- 2 Inspector General's testimony that none of the FISA errors call
- 3 into question any part of Special Counsel Mueller?
- 4 MR. BERGER: I'll direct you not to answer.
- 5 MS. ZDEB: I'm asking for his personal --
- 6 MR. BERGER: Yes.
- 7 MS. ZDEB: And the basis?
- 8 MR. BERGER: I'm directing him not to answer with
- 9 respect to any role he played in the Woods verification, the
- 10 first FISA application.
- 11 MS. ZDEB: That's not what I'm asking about.
- MR. BERGER: Can you repeat the question?
- 13 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 14 Q. So the Inspector General identified what we
- 15 considered to be a variety of FISA errors. Recognizing that
- 16 you do not necessarily buy into that characterization, when the
- 17 Inspector General testified before our committee, he said that
- 18 none of those what he considers to be errors played -- call into
- 19 question any part of Special Counsel Mueller's report.
- 20 So the question I am asking is whether you are aware
- 21 of any evidence that contradicts the Inspector General's
- 22 testimony that none of the, again, what he characterizes as FISA
- 23 errors call into question any part of the special counsel's
- 24 report.
- MR. BERGER: I'm just going to direct him not to answer.

- 1 That is really hypothesizing. The premise is that the
- 2 Inspector General is accurate in identifying the errors. I'm
- 3 not going to assume that.
- 4 You're asking a hypothetical question. There's no
- 5 reality behind it. So I'm going to direct him not to answer
- 6 from his perspective.
- 7 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 8 Q. Former Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein,
- 9 who supervised the Mueller investigation, testified before our
- 10 committee in June of this year. He was asked whether he could
- 11 identify which findings in Special Counsel Mueller's 448-page
- 12 report relies on information from the Steele Dossier, and Mr.
- 13 Rosenstein said "I don't believe there is any such information",
- 14 meaning he is unaware of any information in the Mueller Report
- 15 that relies in any way on Christopher Steele's election
- 16 reporting.
- Do you have any evidence that contradicts Mr.
- 18 Rosenstein's statement that none of the findings in the Mueller
- 19 Report rely on the Steele Dossier?
- 20 A. I haven't fully reviewed the Mueller Report to
- 21 be able to speak intelligently about it.
- 22 Q. But sitting here today, you're certainly not
- 23 aware of any aspect of that report that relies on the Steele
- 24 Dossier?
- MR. BERGER: He hasn't read the report. He's not aware

- of all of its text. So he can't possibly answer that question.
- 2 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 3 Q. Since the IG report was released, we've heard
- 4 arguments that the FBI should not have been investigating Carter
- 5 Page in the first place and that the Inspector General's
- 6 conclusions, which, again, I understand you may take issue with,
- 7 undermine the entire individual counterintelligence
- 8 investigation into Carter Page. So I would like to just ask
- 9 you a couple of questions about that individual investigation
- 10 and its basis.
- 11 On page 321 of the IG report, it indicates that on
- 12 October 17, 2016, which is shortly before the FBI sought FISA
- 13 authority on Page, Page told a confidential human source that
- 14 he wanted to develop a research institute using a, quote, open
- 15 checkbook from the Russians, and you told the Inspector General
- 16 that Page's comment about an open checkbook from Russia brought
- 17 you closer to believing that Page may have actually been acting
- 18 as an agent of a foreign power.
- 19 Is that an accurate characterization of what you told
- 20 the Inspector General?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Can you explain why hearing that comment from
- 23 Carter Page brought you closer to believing that he may have
- 24 been acting as an agent of a foreign power?
- 25 A. Sure. So, obviously, if you have an open

- 1 checkbook with Russians, and by Russians, in the context of that
- 2 entire discussion about the Russian Federation, for him to have
- 3 an open checkbook to initiate a think tank here speaks to the
- 4 concerns for national security that I had that he would be,
- 5 ostensibly, supporting their maligned foreign influence
- 6 efforts in the United States and, therefore, would be
- 7 acting -- being paid by the Russian Federation to do so would
- 8 be acting as an agent of that foreign power.
- 9 Q. And, in your view, that was a
- 10 counterintelligence concern that the FBI should have
- 11 investigated further?
- 12 A. It was.
- 13 Q. The IG report also describes, and this is on page
- 14 222, how in an August 2016 meeting with a confidential human
- 15 source, and this is after Wikileaks had released thousands of
- 16 emails that had been hacked by Russia and Carter Page said that
- 17 there would be an October surprise and referenced, quote, a next
- 18 email dump with these 333,000 emails, you know, which was,
- 19 presumably, referring to the -- I'm sorry -- 33,000 emails,
- 20 presumably referring to the Hillary Clinton emails.
- 21 Why would those comments be concerning from a
- 22 counterintelligence perspective?
- 23 A. Again, using his words and looking at the
- 24 original predicate with regards to an anonymous leak of damaging
- 25 information for Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama, it appeared

- 1 based on his statement that he was privy to that effort.
- 2 Q. So is it fair to say that given the facts known
- 3 and unknown to the FBI at the time regarding Carter Page that,
- 4 in your view, the FBI had a legitimate basis to look at him when
- 5 examining the question of whether there were potential ties
- 6 between the Trump Campaign and Russia?
- 7 A. Yes. We should have run an investigation.
- 8 Q. My colleague asked you a number of questions
- 9 about Christopher Steele's source network, and my impression
- 10 is that you were being fairly careful in how you described those
- 11 sources. I've always understood sources and methods to be
- 12 things that the FBI takes great pains to protect. Is that an
- 13 accurate assumption?
- 14 A. It is.
- Q. And as a general matter, why is it important to
- 16 protect sources?
- 17 A. Obviously, at times, their lives could be at
- 18 risk. Their livelihoods could be at risk.
- 19 Q. And, in general, can you speak to what the risks
- 20 are of publicly disclosing the identity of a source?
- 21 A. You know, pretty much what I stated there. I
- 22 mean, the goal is to have a covert, if not a clandestine,
- 23 relationship with an individual that could provide information
- 24 at times, things that they don't want maybe the public to know
- 25 what they're talking about, and that can have a serious impact

- 1 on their life, to include, you know, their life.
- Q. And given your experience with Russia in
- 3 particular, would you agree that those risks to sources,
- 4 including potentially to their lives, are amplified when the
- 5 source is located in Russia?
- 6 A. Absolutely. I think you can look at recent
- 7 events about that, but specifically the assassination of
- 8 Litvinenko in 2006, I believe, they have the means to carry out
- 9 things outside of Russia as well.
- 10 Q. In addition to physical threats to the safety
- 11 and of the life of sources, is there -- when the identity of
- 12 a source is revealed, is there some risk, in your view, that
- 13 that has a chilling effect of the FBI's ability to recruit
- 14 additional sources?
- 15 A. Absolutely. Yeah. I mean, who's going to want
- 16 to cooperate and provide information or enter into that covert
- 17 relationship if we can't protect their identity?
- Q. So along similar lines, if a source whose
- 19 identity is publicly revealed is a current source who is
- 20 currently providing information to the FBI, in your view, does
- 21 the act of exposing that current source's identity make it less
- 22 likely that that source will continue being someone who
- 23 cooperates with the FBI?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you speak to, just in general, the impact

- 1 of dissuading the cooperation with current and
- 2 future -- dissuading cooperation by current and future sources
- 3 in that way would be specifically with respect to the Bureau's
- 4 counterintelligence and counterterrorism, in other words,
- 5 national security mission?
- 6 A. Could you rephrase that question, if you don't
- 7 mind?
- 8 Q. So you just agreed with the general premise that
- 9 identifying either a current or a former source could have a
- 10 chilling effect on the Bureau's ability to continue getting
- 11 information from a specific source or recruiting future
- 12 sources. So my question is what is the impact of that chilling
- 13 effect on the Bureau's ability to carry out its
- 14 counterintelligence and counterintelligence mission?
- : Can we go off for a minute?
- MS. ZDEB: Um-hum.
- 17 [Counsel for the FBI and the witness confer.]
- 18 THE WITNESS: So to answer that question, I'll speak
- 19 for myself. It's drawing from my professional experience and
- 20 not the Bureau.
- Yes, and particularly with the Russia threat that I'm
- 22 familiar with and worked, it is a consideration and it is
- 23 something that we are concerned with as and when sources are
- 24 exposed publicly, how that has a serious effect on the ability
- 25 to find other individuals that would be willing to be -- would



- 1 want to volunteer to us or that we would recruit.
- 2 Does that answer your question?
- 3 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 4 Q. It does. So it's fair to infer from your
- 5 response that the FBI depends on confidential sources in order
- 6 to carry out its counterintelligence and counterterrorism work?
- 7 A. I depend on sources for that, yes.
- 8 Q. Are you aware that DOJ recently declassified the
- 9 FBI's memo summarizing the January 2017 interview with
- 10 Christopher Steele's primary subsource and that, shortly after
- 11 the memo was posted on our committee's website, a blogger was
- 12 able to deduce the identity of that subsource, which was pretty
- 13 shortly thereafter publicized by the Russian state-owned news
- 14 outlet, RT?
- 15 A. I've heard that.
- 16 Q. And based on your personal experience working
- in counterintelligence investigations involving Russia, what
- 18 are the possible consequences of exposing the primary
- 19 subsource's identity?
- 20 A. Hypothetically and how I would -- my concerns
- 21 would be that we would be less likely to receive other
- 22 individuals willing to cooperate with the U.S. Government or
- 23 the FBI as a source.
- Q. Are there also risks to the primary subsource's
- 25 safety or the safety of the primary subsource's own source

- 1 network?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 MS. ZDEB: I think that's it for us this round. It's
- 4 2:25. We can go off the record.
- 5 [Discussion held off the record.]
- 6 MR. SOMERS: It is 2:25. We will go back on the record
- 7 for our next record round of questioning.
- 8 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY THE MAJORITY
- 9 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 10 Q. On August 17, 2016, you took part in a strategic
- intelligence briefing of the Trump Campaign; is that correct?
- 12 A. Of a Republican nominee for President and two
- 13 other individuals.
- Q. Who were those two other individuals?
- 15 A. One was General Flynn, Michael Flynn. The
- 16 other one was Chris Christie.
- Q. Why were you chosen to take part in that
- 18 briefing?
- 19 A. I would -- I do not know. I would be
- 20 hypothesizing.
- 21 Q. I think, earlier, you indicated that in the
- 22 past, the only defensive -- this would be what you would call
- 23 a defensive briefing; is that correct?
- 24 Can we use that term?
- 25 A. I think under this context, the term that was

- 1 used an "admonishment".
- Q. An admonishment?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. I think, earlier, you testified that in terms
- of defensive briefings, admonishments, the ones that you had
- 6 participated in the past have been defensive briefings of other
- 7 FBI personnel. Do I hear that correctly?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. So this would be the first time you'd admonished
- 10 or defensively briefed a political candidate?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. So you didn't have any particular expertise in
- doing, quote-unquote, defensive briefings?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Who told you that you were going to be doing the
- 16 defensive briefing?
- 17 A. Peter Strzok.
- 18 Q. What was your response to his instruction?
- 19 A. When is the briefing? I asked when the briefing
- 20 was.
- Q. Do you recall how far in advance this was?
- 22 A. It was a week, maybe, I believe.
- 23 O. What did he tell you as to why you were doing
- 24 the briefing?
- 25 A. He let me know that prior to ODNI providing their

- 1 other classified briefings to the two presidential candidates
- 2 as well as their vice presidential candidates, that he was aware
- 3 that the President, at the time President Obama, wanted to
- 4 ensure that everyone received an FBI counterintelligence and
- 5 security brief.
- 6 Q. Where did you get the information that you
- 7 included in your -- this is a larger briefing, you said with
- 8 other members of the I.C. Where did you gather the information
- 9 that you briefed the candidates and his two advisors on?
- 10 A. I mean, I created a format from FBI holdings.
- 11 Q. Did you have a meeting about this briefing prior
- 12 to it happening with other people at the FBI?
- 13 A. We had mock sessions. I provided my outline to
- 14 two individuals. Yes.
- 15 O. Who attended those mock sessions?
- 16 A. From what I remember, Peter Strzok, Jonathan
- 17 Moffa, Lisa Page, I think. I know that they did, maybe others
- 18 too.
- 19 Q. You're going to get this question from me a lot:
- 20 Do you have any understanding of why Lisa Page was at your mocks?
- 21 A. I don't. I didn't find it unusual.
- 22 O. You didn't find it unusual because she was in
- 23 a lot of things or you didn't find it unusual that there was
- 24 a lawyer in the deputy director's office in the mocks?
- 25 A. I didn't find it unusual because she was around

- 1 all the time.
- Q. Were you also instructed as part of your task,
- 3 other than giving the briefing, were you instructed that you
- 4 were to actively listen for topics or questions regarding the
- 5 Russian Federation?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Who gave you that instruction or an instruction
- 8 to that extent?
- 9 A. Peter Strzok.
- 10 Q. Who in particular -- was there anyone in
- 11 particular you were supposed to listen to?
- 12 A. So we had a predicate subject in the room, as
- 13 we've already established, General Flynn.
- 14 Q. General Flynn. Were you supposed to, you know,
- 15 actively listen to him more than the others or was it just you
- 16 were supposed to listen to all three individuals in the room?
- 17 A. All three of those individuals were part of the
- 18 Trump team. So I had an investigation and we had yet to define
- 19 other potential subjects. So if anyone on the Trump team, you
- 20 know, made a statement regarding the Russian Federation, I was
- 21 there to note it and record it.
- 22 Q. And you were only supposed to actively listen
- 23 for information regarding Russia or were you supposed to
- 24 actively listen for information regarding other foreign
- 25 adversaries?



- 1 A. Just Russia.
- 2 Q. According to the IG report, it says that you told
- 3 the Office of Inspector General that Flynn made comments during
- 4 exchanges with the ODNI briefers on many subjects unrelated to
- 5 Russia and that you did not document those because information
- 6 was not pertinent to any FBI interests. Why were those other
- 7 topics not pertinent?
- 8 A. So that briefing alone, a very highly classified
- 9 briefing as you can imagine, it was not appropriate for me to
- 10 report what those briefers were saying. In particular for
- 11 General Flynn, all that I knew was he was a subject and I was
- 12 going to write down what he said about the Russian Federation
- 13 specific to the Crossfire Hurricane case.
- 14 Q. And you documented that in a 302; is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. I believe it was an E.C.
- 17 Q. So you did create a written summary of the
- 18 briefing?
- 19 A. I have.
- Q. I'll try to formulate this in a question: I
- 21 think it leaves something out if you ask -- if you only write
- 22 down what he said about Russia and he said things about other
- 23 countries as well and you only report back what he asked about
- 24 Russia, doesn't that give you a misimpression of what it was
- 25 that Flynn was really concerned with in the briefing?

- 1 A. That wasn't important to us and our goals about
- 2 what he was concerned about; however, if he made statement that
- 3 I determined was, again, a threat to national security or a
- 4 violation of law, I would have recorded it at that time.
- Q. Was this E.C. something that could possibly be
- 6 turned over in future litigation?
- 7 A. Sure.
- 8 Q. Criminal litigation?
- 9 A. Possibly.
- 10 Q. So you didn't -- if he asked the same question
- 11 about China that he did about Russia, you didn't -- for
- 12 instance -- I'm not asking whether he did, but you wouldn't have
- 13 documented if he said the exact same thing about China that he
- 14 did about Russia?
- 15 A. I did not.
- 16 Q. Did anyone at the FBI express concerns about
- 17 your attendance at the briefing?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 Actually, no. No one had concerns or voiced them to
- 20 me.
- Q. Do you know if you were the originally-scheduled
- 22 briefer of this briefing or was there someone else who was
- 23 supposed to do it?
- 24 A. I was not. Peter Strzok was to be the person
- 25 to provide the briefing.



- 1 Q. Do you know why he did not do it?
- 2 A. I do. He was involved in a prior investigation
- 3 midyear and I think it was looked at -- the optics of that. He
- 4 was going to be briefing, you know, providing the same briefing
- 5 to Candidate Clinton.
- 6 Q. You provided the identical briefing to the
- 7 Trump -- then Candidate Trump and his two advisors as you did
- 8 to Secretary Clinton?
- 9 A. Secretary Clinton and Vice President Pence and
- 10 Kaine, Tim Kaine.
- 11 BY MR. BAKER:
- 12 Q. Who made the decision to take Strzok out?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. Was there a discussion as to who should
- 15 substitute him that you're aware of? Were you approved?
- 16 A. I was not part of any of those discussions. My
- 17 only visibility to that process was when Pete came down and
- 18 notified me, told me what I told you, the purpose as well as
- 19 that he was the original person that was going to do it and it
- 20 was determined he would not be doing it.
- Q. So you were just told the what, the where, and
- 22 that you were the one doing it?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you know in advance how much time you would
- 25 be allotted for the official presentation that you were to make?

- 1 A. Yes. So we knew that the ODNI briefings overall
- 2 were going to be about two hours in length and there was
- 3 approximately 10 briefers, so about 10 minutes of a briefing
- 4 provided for C.I. security briefing and admonishment. That's
- 5 pretty much what I understood was how much time I had.
- 6 Q. So then the rest of your time, you just made
- 7 notes about the number of times and topics that Russia came up
- 8 on?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Did you make any notes about any observations
- 11 that you made?
- 12 A. No. I don't believe so.
- 13 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 14 Q. Did you find anything problematic -- I asked if
- 15 others found anything problematic. Did you find anything
- 16 problematic about using this strategic intelligence briefing
- 17 to gather information on Flynn or Christie or Trump?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. The IG report says that part of what you were
- 20 doing there was you wanted to gauge, I think the quote is, norms
- 21 to compare his mannerisms in a future interview.
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 O. What does that mean, "norms" or "mannerisms"?
- 24 A. Sure. When you're -- any time that you consider
- 25 that you're going to conduct an interview, you want to establish

- 1 a baseline of how a person acts, how they sit, how they speak,
- 2 their tone prior. That helps you during a possible eventual
- 3 subject interview that you have something to compare that to.
- 4 Q. Did you use any of the norms or mannerisms that
- 5 you learned at this August 17th strategic intelligence
- 6 briefing; did you apply any of those observations to the January
- 7 24, 2017 interview you conducted with General Flynn?
- 8 MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer that
- 9 question.
- 10 MR. SOMERS: On what basis?
- 11 MR. BERGER: Because there is a parallel investigation
- 12 by the U.S. Attorney and we are going to be participating in
- 13 that. I will not authorize him to answer any questions about
- 14 that.
- 15 MR. SOMERS: Just for the record, the FBI has no
- 16 objection to him answering that question?
- : The FBI has no objection.
- 18 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 19 Q. Did the Justice Department know that you were
- 20 going to use that August 17, 2016 strategic intelligence
- 21 briefing to observe Flynn and/or Christie and then Candidate
- 22 Trump?
- 23 A. I don't know. I had very minimal interaction
- 24 with anybody in the Justice Department.
- Q. So you don't know whether the Justice Department

- 1 was informed?
- A. I don't.
- 3 MR. SOMERS: Your last objection applies just to the
- 4 January 24th interview? Where are we? I mean, I don't need --
- 5 MR. BERGER: Well, it's not just confined to the
- 6 interview itself, but the inception, decisionmaking that he
- 7 made prior to that, and reporting afterwards. You can try.
- 8 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 9 Q. Let me try. The FBI had an investigation of
- 10 General Flynn open since, basically, the inception of Crossfire
- 11 Hurricane on August 16, 2016, I believe is the date; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. That sounds about right.
- 14 Q. What was the predication for that?
- MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer.
- 16 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 17 Q. On or about January 4, 2016, did you approve a
- 18 memo written by an agent in the Washington Field closing the
- 19 investigation?
- 20 MR. BERGER: I direct him not to answer.
- 21 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 22 Q. Did you at some point in time become aware of
- 23 phone calls between General Flynn and Russian Ambassador
- 24 Kislyak?
- MR. BERGER: I direct him not to answer.

- 1 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 2 Q. Did you ever have any discussion within the
- 3 Bureau or attorneys at the Department of Justice regarding the
- 4 Logan Act?
- 5 MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer.
- 6 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 7 Q. Prior to being assigned to Crossfire Hurricane,
- 8 had you ever worked on a case involving a violation of the
- 9 Foreign Agents Registration Act?
- 10 MR. BERGER: I'm going direct him not to answer.
- 11 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 12 Q. Same question regarding the Logan Act.
- 13 MR. BERGER: I direct him not to answer.
- 14 MR. SOMERS: For the record, the FBI has no objection
- 15 to any of those questions that I just posed, him answering them?
- 16 The FBI has no objection to those questions
- 17 as posed.
- 18 MR. SOMERS: I'm going to switch topics again.
- MR. BERGER: Okay.
- 20 BY MR. BAKER:
- Q. Let me ask a general question, just about how
- 22 something works. It doesn't have to be related to this case.
- 23 You have a field office that is handling a case or may
- 24 be a part of a case. It's overseen by Headquarters. A field
- 25 office either refers the lead back to Headquarters as being



- 1 completed or the case, they write it up as to be closed or they're
- 2 closing it and they're notifying Headquarters.
- 3 Does Headquarters have the final say if the field office
- 4 can, in fact, close the case or is it reviewed by a program
- 5 manager at Headquarters to see if there's any other leads that
- 6 the program manager at Headquarters thinks need to be done
- 7 before it's appropriate to close the case?
- A. It depends on the case; however, the field
- 9 office has the authority to close the case.
- 10 Q. Okay. Would it be unusual for a -- if a field
- 11 office closes the case, can Headquarters come back and say,
- 12 Well, you didn't interview this person or you didn't cover this
- 13 lead, it needs to be -- those needs to be covered before you
- 14 can officially close it?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. So that's not unusual?
- 17 A. No. I've seen it before.
- MR. BAKER: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. All right. Switching off of the Flynn matter,
- 21 the IG report indicates that through you, the team advised
- 22 O.I. -- I guess that's DOJ -- based on information from Steele,
- 23 Steele was specifically hired by an individual to provide
- 24 information on Candidate Trump's business affairs and contacts
- 25 with Russian.



- 1 Then it says you provided information that Steele was
- 2 never advised of the motivation of the individual who hired him.
- 3 Is that your recollection, that Steele, to your knowledge,
- 4 Steele was never advised of the motivation of the individual
- 5 who hired him?
- 6 A. To give you a little bit of background and
- 7 context on that email exchange, as I stated on the record, my
- 8 role by policy and process was not to be involved in the drafting
- 9 of the FISA application, nor was it to engage with DOI attorneys
- 10 and, clearly, the record shows that.
- 11 On this case, the Case Agent 1 was out of the office
- 12 and there was information that O.I. needed. I did not have a
- 13 day-to-day command of the facts as opposed to some of the folks
- 14 on the team that had institutional knowledge in specific and
- 15 could answer that question. So that's why that says with help
- 16 from the team, this is the response.
- So, again, with the help of the Crossfire Hurricane
- 18 team, I drafted that email.
- 19 Q. So someone gave you the information that you
- 20 included in the email?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. So you weren't aware that, for instance, I think
- 23 there's notes that Steele allowed the IG's office to review that
- 24 say that Steele told Handling Agent 1 the Democratic Party
- 25 associates are paying for Fusion GPS's research and that the



- 1 ultimate client was the leadership of the Clinton Presidential
- 2 Campaign and the candidate was aware of Steele's reporting?
- 3 You weren't aware of information like that?
- 4 A. Sir, I can't remember, again, going back -- it's
- 5 been four years -- what I remember when. I cannot speak to that.
- 6 Q. You sent the team to a European City in October
- 7 of 2016. Did they ask Steele who the ultimate -- who he was
- 8 the client for?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. There's also information that the handling
- 11 agent seemed to know who the ultimate client was or at least
- 12 had some speculation about it. Among other things, it says:
- 13 "The assistant special agent in charge of the FBI Field Office
- 14 took notes of the July 13th call. The ASAC Handling Agent
- 15 1 -- "Among other things, the notes identify Simpson as the
- 16 client of the law firm and the law firm works for the Republican
- 17 Party or Hillary and will use the information described in the
- 18 report at some point in time."
- 19 You weren't aware that the handling agent seemed to have
- 20 some knowledge of who Steele was working for?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 Q. Whatever information you conveyed was not your
- 23 information; you merely were the transmitter of the
- 24 information?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. The IG report also indicates that you told the
- 2 OIG team that the team, quote, speculated Steele's information
- 3 was corroborated and used in criminal proceedings because they
- 4 knew Steele had been, quote, a part of, if not predicated, the
- 5 FIFA investigation and was known to have an extensive source
- 6 network into Russian organized crime.
- 7 Let's just break that down a little bit.
- 8 " told the OIG that the team -- I assume that's
- 9 the Crossfire Hurricane -- "speculated that Steele's
- 10 information was corroborated."
- 11 Why did they speculate the information was
- 12 corroborated?
- 13 A. So I've had the opportunity to go back and read
- 14 my transcripts over 30 hours from my IG testimony. I said
- 15 "speculate" three times. If I would have known that my
- 16 testimony would have boiled down to that word in the IG report,
- 17 I would have said something different.
- I think I was taken out of context with regard to that.
- 19 I understood that that was the case. So when I was looking at
- 20 those facts, that was my understanding at the time.
- I think the speculation part is I speculated or I
- 22 believed that the team that was doing the validation on the intel
- 23 side were doing their job. That's what I speculated.
- Q. So you weren't actually speculating; you
- 25 shouldn't have used the word?



- 1 A. Correct. That was taken out of context.
- 2 Q. But there would, obviously, have been -- why
- 3 this has been singled out because there's people at the FBI that
- 4 could answer that question. Was it your understanding that
- 5 people had asked those people at the FBI or at the Justice
- 6 Department that could answer the question and asked them the
- 7 question?
- 8 Is that your understanding, that Crossfire Hurricane
- 9 had done that?
- 10 A. I understood that, through my observations,
- 11 that the process was occurring as it normally had, if not better
- 12 than normally had. We actually had O.I. attorneys in our space
- 13 working with Case Agent 1 as well as OGC attorneys. That
- 14 typically doesn't happen in the field. It should happen in
- 15 classified calls when conveying information.
- 16 So I saw the exchange of information occurring from -- I
- 17 had no reason to believe that what was in the application wasn't
- 18 anything more than the most accurate recent information that
- 19 we had.
- 20 Q. So you didn't know the FIFA case agent or the
- 21 prosecutor on the FIFA case told us that, to their
- 22 knowledge -- told OIG, to their knowledge, that Steele did not
- 23 have any role in the investigation himself, he did not provide
- 24 court testimony, and that information did not appear in
- 25 indictments, search warrants, or other court filings?

- 1 A. I was unaware of that.
- 2 Q. And then, also, you were not aware that
- 3 according to Handling Agent 1, he was clear to the Crossfire
- 4 Hurricane team concerning Steele's role and Steele had provided
- 5 leads and not evidence in the FIFA case; are you aware of that?
- 6 A. I'm sorry?
- 7 Q. According to Handling Agent 1, he was clear with
- 8 the Crossfire Hurricane team concerning Steele's role and that
- 9 Steele had provided leads and not evidence in the FIFA case.
- 10 You were unaware of that interaction with Handling Agent 1?
- MR. BERGER: Can we stop for a moment? I would like
- 12 to just talk.
- MR. SOMERS: Yes.
- 14 [Mr. Berger confers with the witness.]
- 15 THE WITNESS: To answer your question, for Crossfire
- 16 Hurricane team, I can tell you that Handling Agent 1 never
- 17 provided that information directly to me. If he provided to
- 18 anybody else, I can't speak to that. No one ever conveyed that
- 19 to me.
- 20 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 21 O. I know we've had a little bit of discussion about
- 22 that and just to revisit what was and was not included from the
- 23 confidential human source consensual monitoring with Carter
- 24 Page, what was included in the FISA application and what was
- 25 not included in the FISA application. A couple of points that

- 1 were not included, the OIG report states and I'll try just read
- 2 as little as I can here, but it's on page 170:
- 3 "We further noted the documents in the Woods file
- 4 specifically stated that Page denies meeting with
- 5 Sechin/Diveykin and said that he, quote, stayed clear of the
- 6 efforts of the Republican platform committee and knew nothing
- 7 about Wikileaks. Neither Case Agent 1 nor noticed this
- 8 inconsistency during their Woods procedures even though instant
- 9 messages showed also knew as of October 17 that Page
- 10 denied ever knowing Diveykin.
- 11 Do you know or have any recollection of why the denials
- 12 of Page knowing Sechin and Diveykin didn't make it into the FISA
- 13 application?
- 14 MR. BERGER: I'll direct him not to answer.
- MR. SOMERS: On what grounds?
- MR. BERGER: The grounds that we don't have the draft
- 17 of the FISA application that my client reviewed and he has no
- 18 reference to determine whether any of that is accurate as a
- 19 firsthand witness. He has nothing to aid his recollection as
- 20 to what he actually saw. Therefore, he's unable to answer that
- 21 question.
- 22 He does not have enough information available to him
- 23 to answer that question because he does not have that draft of
- 24 the FISA application that he actually reviewed.
- MR. SOMERS: I don't think that's the question. My



- 1 question was whether he recalls why Sechin and Diveykin, the
- 2 denials were not included in the FISA. Either he recalls or
- 3 he doesn't recall. I don't understand.
- 4 MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer.
- 5 He's not in a position where he can even make an affirmative
- 6 statement that he doesn't recall. He doesn't have enough
- 7 information available.
- 8 I'm going to direct him not to answer. He can't answer
- 9 whether he recalls or not.
- We're in the ether here.
- 11 MR. SOMERS: I don't think we are in the ether here.
- 12 I can ask him whether he recalls something. Either he recalls
- 13 it or he doesn't recall it.
- 14 MR. BERGER: Look, he's under oath. Even an answer
- 15 that says I don't recall has to be sincerely given in good faith
- 16 and that's what he's here to do. He can't give a sincere good
- 17 faith answer without access to that document.
- 18 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 19 Q. You discussed in the last round about -- I
- 20 believe this is the comment, that you discussed -- " told
- 21 the Office of Inspector General on Page's comments on funding
- 22 a research institute using a, quote, open checkbook from Russia
- 23 brought closer to believing that Carter Page may
- 24 actually be acting as an agent of a foreign power.
- Do you recall having that impression?



- 1 [Witness confers with counsel.]
- THE WITNESS: Yes. That is correct. That's what I
- 3 stated earlier.
- 4 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 5 Q. I'm just trying to understand with all of these
- 6 questions the line between, you know, on one hand, that brought
- 7 you closer to believing that Carter Page may actually be acting
- 8 as an agent of a foreign power. That's a comment that he made
- 9 during a confidential human source consensual monitoring.
- 10 That, you gave credence to. There's other comments that didn't
- 11 make it into the FISA application.
- 12 I'm just trying to understand the line of how can you
- 13 give credence to some things and not others in a consensual
- 14 monitoring?
- 15 MR. BERGER: He's not able to respond to that question.
- 16 I'm going to direct him not to answer.
- MR. SOMERS: Generally why certain things might make
- 18 it in and others won't?
- MR. BERGER: Correct.
- 20 BY MR. SOMERS:
- Q. Do you recall -- this is on page -- this is in
- the footnote on page 310 of the OIG report.
- 23 It says: "The only express direction we found that
- 24 McCabe gave regarding the use of a confidential human source
- 25 concerned the former FBI CHS who contacted an FBI agent in an

- 1 FBI field office in late July 2016 to report information."
- 2 This is the information we talked about earlier in that
- 3 August 2nd email. That's not necessarily the important part
- 4 of what I'm asking.
- 5 It says: "In mid-September 2016, McCabe told
- 6 to instruct the FBI agent from the field office not to have any
- 7 further contact with the former CHS and not to accept any
- 8 information regarding the Crossfire Hurricane investigation."
- 9 Do you recall why you were asked to instruct the agent,
- 10 to so instruct the agent?
- 11 A. Somebody brought that to my attention recently.
- 12 I don't remember.
- 13 O. You don't remember?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. You don't remember giving the instruction? I'm
- 16 just asking why were you giving the instruction.
- 17 A. No. I don't have any recollection of that at
- 18 all.
- 19 Q. "We further found -- this another quote from IG
- 20 report.
- "We further found that briefed the FBI
- 22 supervisors in his chain of command, Strzok, Priestap, and on
- 23 one occasion McCabe, about the CHS operation planned by the
- 24 investigative team."
- Why, in general, would you have briefed Strzok,

- 1 Priestap -- we'll start with Strzok and Priestap -- about CHS
- 2 operations?
- A. Sure. As I mentioned before, on a daily basis,
- 4 I kept my management apprised of the progress of the
- 5 investigation and/or any kind of operational operations that
- 6 we were conducting, to include CHS operations.
- 7 Q. And McCabe, do you recall why you briefed McCabe
- 8 on the CHS operation?
- 9 A. Just more of kind of the same. It was an update.
- 10 Q. It wasn't a specific briefing for him about an
- 11 operation; it was part of a more general meeting?
- 12 A. It was a more general meeting, yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Switching topics here a little bit, you probably
- 14 recall that there was a September 23, 2016 Yahoo news article
- 15 that related to the Crossfire Hurricane investigation. Do you
- 16 recall that article?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. The article was titled "U.S. Intel Officials
- 19 Probe Tie Between Trump Adviser and Kremlin". The article
- 20 describes efforts by U.S. Government intelligence agencies to
- 21 determine whether Carter Page had opened communication channels
- 22 with Kremlin officials.
- 23 I think there was some back and forth about whether
- 24 Steele was the source. The article itself said that there was
- 25 a western intelligence source that was providing at least some

- 1 of information.
- 2 Do you recall discussions about whether Steele was that
- 3 western intelligence source?
- 4 A. I do not and I did not have those discussion with
- 5 anybody.
- 6 Q. You did not have discussions about that?
- 7 A. That I recall. It notes a senior law
- 8 enforcement official was the source. It also notes that there
- 9 was a source that was at the time a western intelligence officer.
- 10 It was very broad.
- 11 Q. You don't recall any discussion of whether there
- 12 was speculation as to whether Steele could have been the source
- 13 of the article or one of the sources of the article?
- 14 A. I don't remember having those discussions.
- Q. You don't remember any concerns being raised
- 16 about Steele possibly talking to the media around that time?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. And I'll ask and maybe you don't have any
- 19 knowledge about this either, but on page 107 of the FISA report,
- 20 it says: "Drafts of the Carter Page FISA application stated
- 21 until October 14, 2016, Steele was responsible for a leak that
- 22 led to the September 23rd Yahoo news article. One of the drafts
- 23 specifically stated that Steele was, quote, acting on his/her
- 24 own volition and has since been admonished by the FBI.
- Do you have any knowledge of the FISA application ever

- 1 saying Steele was the source of the --
- 2 MR. BERGER: I direct him not to answer.
- 3 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 4 Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge of Steele being
- 5 admonished around that time period for talking to the media?
- A. No. I do not remember that.
- 7 Q. I think another issue came up surrounding not
- 8 this article, but a later article. I think you aware of this
- 9 one. It was an article in "Mother Jones" and that it says that
- 10 after that article appeared in "Mother Jones", FBI officials
- 11 told OIG that after these disclosures, they continued to assess
- 12 if Steele was reliable; however, they said that they viewed the
- 13 "Mother Jones" disclosure as a control issue.
- 14 What's a control issue? I think I believe you used that
- 15 term as well, although, I don't have a quote in front of me.
- 16 What's a control issue?
- 17 A. Also, just to back up to what you were saying
- 18 before, it was a very large group of people. I'm on the record
- 19 saying I did not have that same overall understanding and belief
- 20 about Chris Steele at that point. At that point, I felt that
- 21 we had control and behavior issues, is how I put it.
- 22 From a control standpoint and behavior standpoint, we
- 23 had traveled to another country. We had asked him to enter -- we
- 24 asked him for what I call exclusivity, and seeing his work and
- 25 acknowledgment of our case in the press on that day, he had,

- 1 as I put it, politicized himself, and that was a big problem
- 2 for me.
- 3 Q. How so?
- 4 A. I guess at that point, it goes back to his
- 5 behavior and control. You know, any time you are going to
- 6 either put your hand on the scale or acknowledge the existence
- 7 of our case, basically, it's just significant.
- 8 Q. Does that in any way affect his reliability?
- 9 Does that kind of spill over the line some between control, as
- 10 you describe it, and his reliability?
- 11 A. What could also be true is what my goal is, to
- 12 find out in the allegation, Okay, what about your subsources.
- 13 Right? The information that you were receiving from those
- 14 individuals, just because you may have put your hand on the scale
- 15 doesn't mean that their information is invalid.
- 16 Q. But if you can't control -- if you have control
- issues with a source, doesn't that affect trust in some way?
- 18 I mean, you instruct someone to do something and they don't do
- 19 it --
- 20 A. That's a trust issue, yes.
- Q. So around this time, and I think it was -- I think
- 22 the "Mother Jones" article was on October 31st, if I'm not
- 23 mistaken. On November 1st Steele is closed as a source.
- 24 Were you aware that he was closed when he was closed
- or somewhere thereabouts?



- 1 A. I instructed the handling agent to close him.
- Q. I'm sorry. You instructed?
- 3 A. I instructed the handling agent to close him.
- 4 BY MR. BAKER:
- 5 Q. What was their response to that instruction?
- 6 A. I think at the time, the handling agent might
- 7 not have known that the article was out. I think I alerted him
- 8 to that, and then I think once he confirmed from the source what
- 9 occurred, I think he knew that was the appropriate course of
- 10 action.
- 11 Q. So did you instruct him to close the source or
- 12 did you request that he did it based on A, B, and C and then
- 13 he looked at A, B, and C, agreed and closed the source?
- 14 A. So I'll back up a little bit. I had briefed this
- 15 to executive management at, I believe, one of those eleven
- 16 o'clock C.I cyber meetings that I was chairing, and when I
- 17 briefed it to A.D. Priestap, he instructed me to instruct the
- 18 handling agent to close the source for cause.
- 19 Q. So when you told the handling agent to close the
- 20 source for cause, it was, essentially, you relaying the
- 21 instruction or the order from A.D. Priestap?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 O. And there was no reluctance by the handling
- 24 agent to do it and he was going to look further into it?
- 25 A. Sir, I don't remember anything out of the

- 1 ordinary. I think he took it and did it, I think that same day.
- Q. When you said exclusivity, is that to say that
- 3 he should be, the source should be, reporting solely to the
- 4 Bureau and he was doing -- he was peddling his wares in other
- 5 places and is that an issue for you?
- 6 A. So that is on two points. One of them was he
- 7 had, obviously, acknowledged to someone at the State Department
- 8 that he was in a meeting with us in a foreign city a month prior,
- 9 and that was something that we wanted to ensure that he wasn't
- 10 talking to another government agency about that or his
- 11 relationship. That was one piece of exclusively.
- 12 The second part that we were, say, negotiating on or
- 13 wanted to negotiate on was whoever his customer was where he
- 14 was getting the source information, we were interested in
- 15 establishing -- I don't want to say cut that customer off, but
- 16 have this reporting only go to the FBI.
- 17 Q. Those are good specific examples on the
- 18 exclusivity issue. Do you have any specific examples on what
- 19 the control issues relate to?
- 20 A. Control is also going to the State Department.
- 21 He was talking to the individual, I believe, that was at that
- 22 embassy in that country. That's how I remember the issue, and
- 23 we just didn't want to do that.
- 24 So that was the control issue.
- Q. Okay. A long time ago in this interview, we

- 1 mentioned briefly or I asked a question about whether there was
- 2 a delay in the reporting coming from the handling agent. You
- 3 didn't seem to this think there was.
- Were you aware, either at the time or in
- 5 hindsight -- with the IG report as a benefit to answer some of
- 6 this stuff, did it seem to you that at some point there seemed
- 7 to be an us versus them that shook out between Handling Agent
- 8 1 and the Headquarters team?
- 9 I got a sense that there were people at Headquarters
- 10 that thought had the information, had the Steele information,
- 11 come to Headquarters quicker, they could have started the
- 12 validation of Steele sooner and then there was a thought from
- 13 Handling Agent 1 that had the Headquarters people got into the
- 14 Delta file sooner, I think his words were something to the effect
- of I would have turned that file upside down.
- It just seemed to me it was he said-she said at one
- 17 point. Did that become apparent to the team or is that just
- 18 me reading the IG report in hindsight?
- 19 A. Sir, it might just be hindsight. I didn't feel
- 20 that the case agent, the handling agent at the time -- again,
- 21 I think it was just a few-week time period here, these
- 22 characterizations we're talking about, but I never got that
- 23 sense from the handling agent.
- Q. Thank you.
- 25 A. But I also never met with him. I spoke with him

- 1 on a secure line on a headset.
- 2 Q. But he or she is not someone you had worked with
- 3 before?
- 4 A. I didn't know him or her.
- 5 Q. You just knew them in the capacity as they
- 6 existed when you were the SSA on the case?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. BAKER: Thank you.
- 9 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 10 Q. You indicated earlier that Priestap instructed
- 11 you to close Steele as a source. Did you agree with that
- 12 instruction?
- 13 A. I did.
- 14 Q. And what was either -- well, let's start with
- 15 what was -- do you know what Mr. Priestap's rationale was?
- 16 Obviously, the "Mother Jones" article was the event, but what
- 17 was the rationale, as best you understood it, to close Steele?
- 18 A. He didn't express the rationale. He was upset.
- 19 Q. You said you agreed with his decision. What
- 20 would your rationale be for why?
- Obviously, the event is the "Mother Jones" article.
- 22 What was the rationale based on the "Mother Jones" article that
- 23 it's time to cut this guy loose?
- 24 A. The same thing, behavior, control, and trust
- 25 issues.

- 1 Q. And then but the FBI continued to receive
- 2 information from Steele through Bruce Ohr after he was
- 3 terminated. Did you have an issue with that arrangement?
- 4 A. So that was not my primary objective from
- 5 talking with Bruce Ohr, as I understood it. My primary
- 6 objective from him was, as I mentioned to you, you can have a
- 7 source that's closed for cause and a source that you might have
- 8 concern about that individual and we also have subsources out
- 9 there that we had information in a FISA that we were relying
- 10 on for that probable cause, and we needed to verify those
- 11 subsources.
- So my objective was to, during my engagements with Bruce
- 13 Ohr, try to learn as much I could about Chris Steele and his
- 14 subsource network to help piece that together and try to
- 15 identify those individuals and vet them individually.
- 16 Q. Did you ask Ohr to ask Steele anything?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. So you were just -- your trying to learn from
- 19 Ohr is based on Ohr's knowledge of Steele based on his past
- 20 relationship with him?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. But you did continue to receive information from
- 23 Steele through Ohr?
- 24 A. I didn't necessarily look at it that way. I
- 25 received a lot of -- well, various information from Ohr. I

- 1 received information from his wife. I received information
- 2 from Simpson as well as Steele.
- 3 At the time that I was accepting this information,
- 4 again, there were thumb drives that we needed to retain and I
- 5 didn't fully understand what was on those, but we needed to take
- 6 it in just like we take in any information from anybody who wants
- 7 to provide us information. So I wasn't going to place a
- 8 judgment on what that information before I talked to him or
- 9 examine that.
- 10 Q. What did you do with the thumb drives that you
- 11 received from Bruce Ohr?
- 12 A. So I brought them back to -- I had to go to the
- 13 Washington Field Office, because, again, the case was run out
- 14 of Headquarters. I needed to have a to process
- 15 this and create a working copy and put it into evidence.
- So went to WFO for that. Then I brought it back and
- 17 I provided it to the analysts on the Crossfire Hurricane team
- 18 that were working to validate the source.
- 19 Q. That's what you did with all the thumb drives
- 20 you received?
- 21 A. I did.
- 22 Q. And did they know, the analysts, where this was
- 23 coming from?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Was there any sort of chain of custody into

- 1 taking account these thumb drives?
- 2 A. Yes. So they went into evidence. I provided
- 3 them working copies.
- Q. Okay. Where did the chain -- the chain started
- 5 with you or the chain started with Bruce Ohr?
- A. Bruce Ohr.
- 7 Let me back that up. I would have to review the actual
- 8 evidentiary form and what I filled out, but I don't remember
- 9 what I put on that form.
- 10 Q. Getting back to what we were discussion just a
- 11 second ago, on page 281 of the IG report, it says: "
- 12 told the Office of Inspector General that no one in the meeting
- 13 directed Ohr to contact Steele or take any action on behalf of
- 14 the FBI, but added that Ohr likely left the meeting with the
- 15 impression that he should contact the FBI if Steele contacted
- 16 him."
- 17 What would have left that impression with Ohr?
- 18 I can read it again if you'd like.
- 19 A. Yeah. That would be helpful.
- 20 Q. Sure.
- told us -- us being OIG.
- 22 "told us that no one in the meeting directed
- 23 Ohr to contact Steele or take any action on behalf of the FBI,
- 24 but added that Ohr likely left the meeting with the impression
- 25 that he should contact the FBI if Steele contacted him."

- 1 My question is what would have left Ohr with that
- 2 impression?
- 3 A. I would say based on the things we were talking
- 4 about in the meeting, he knew that we were interested in
- 5 information regarding the subsources and any information would
- 6 be pertinent that he would receive in that realm, and so I think
- 7 it was implied or he just understood -- I mean, he was a
- 8 professional who's worked a long time in law enforcement. He
- 9 just understood.
- 10 Q. But you still -- even though Steele was a closed
- 11 source, you had no issue with Ohr continuing to speak to him?
- 12 It's one thing if you get information from Ohr about
- 13 Steele in general, but to have him continue to contact a closed
- 14 source wasn't a problem?
- 15 A. I was introduced to Bruce Ohr by senior
- 16 executives in the FBI, and I know of no policy prohibiting that
- 17 occurring, talking to a closed source.
- 18 BY MR. BAKER:
- 19 Q. Who were the senior executives?
- 20 A. That was Peter Strzok and Lisa Page.
- Q. But you didn't think it odd or unusual that
- 22 someone of Ohr's rank and workplace officially being Main
- 23 Justice, that he would so many contacts and be a conduit for
- 24 a source providing information to such a big case of the
- 25 Bureau's?



- 1 A. I didn't find it odd. Washington is a -- as you
- 2 know, it's a well-connected town and there's a lot of people
- 3 who know a lot of people. So it didn't raise any concerns with
- 4 me.
- 5 We would just like to go on the record to
- 6 clarify that Lisa Page was not a senior executive of the FBI
- 7 if the record says she's a senior executive.
- 8 BY MR. BAKER:
- 9 Q. But she was in a lot of meetings that you were
- 10 privy to. Correct?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 13 Q. Case Agent 1 told the OIG -- it says: "Case
- 14 Agent 1, the lead agent on the Carter Page investigation told
- 15 us he recalled learning about Ohr from likely before
- 16 the first Carter Page FISA application was filed on October 21,
- 17 2016."
- 18 Do you dispute that timing? Do you have any issues with
- 19 the timing there? Did you know about Ohr prior to the first
- 20 Carter Page FISA application?
- 21 A. I don't remember knowing Bruce -- well, the
- 22 first time I met Bruce Ohr was in November. I have no
- 23 recollection that I knew his name or the existence of Bruce Ohr
- 24 prior to that time.
- 25 Q. Or the Ohr-Steele connection?

- 1 A. Or the Ohr-Steele connection. If I would have,
- 2 we would have probably attempted to go out and talk with Bruce
- 3 Ohr.
- 4 Q. The first time you met Ohr was in a meeting with
- 5 Strzok and Page?
- 6 A. It was.
- 7 Q. Was there anyone else in that meeting?
- 8 A. John Moffa may have attended.
- 9 Q. What was your knowledge before the first Carter
- 10 Page FISA application was filed on October 21st, what was your
- 11 knowledge about Carter Page's relationship with another
- 12 government agency, intelligence agency?
- 13 A. I had no knowledge.
- 14 Q. You had no knowledge prior to?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you at a later time gain knowledge of that?
- 17 A. I have since learned.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry. You since learned while you were
- 19 still on the Crossfire Hurricane?
- 20 A. I was not while I was on Crossfire Hurricane.
- 21 BY MR. BAKER:
- 22 Q. In your experience, your 18 years total Bureau
- 23 experience, when a source is opened or used in a case, are there
- 24 database checks or inquiries made as a matter of routine from
- other agencies to make sure that, you know, drugs aren't being

- 1 bought from the DEA or something like that?
- Is it standard procedure to do an agency check?
- 3 A. It is.
- 4 Q. Then as the result of that agency check
- 5 routinely put into a case file? Are the case agents notified
- 6 when a result come back from another agency check?
- 7 A. Yes. The handling agent would go to the Delta
- 8 file.
- 9 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 10 Q. Had you worked with Case Agent 1 prior to
- 11 Crossfire Hurricane?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Regularly? On how many occasions?
- 14 A. He was a program manager when I was in the
- 15 Washington Field Office on my Russian counterintelligence
- 16 squad.
- 17 Q. He was on your squad?
- 18 A. He was -- I'm sorry -- a FBI Headquarters program
- 19 manager SSA. So he was an SSA. In the Bureau, you have squads
- 20 out in the field and, obviously, we have a Headquarters
- 21 structure.
- 22 So he was assigned to the Counterintelligence Division.
- 23 In particular in the Counterintelligence Division, you have
- 24 various sections. He was a part of the Eurasian Section and
- 25 that have the responsibility for looking at all 56 field offices

- 1 and supporting their Russian investigations and operations.
- 2 So in that programmatic capacity at the national level,
- 3 he had supported my squad prior.
- 4 BY MR. BAKER:
- 5 Q. So did he step down from being an SSA to being
- 6 an SA and then you became his supervisor on Crossfire?
- 7 A. No, sir. I believe he had traveled back to his
- 8 field office. His time at Headquarters as a supervisor there
- 9 expired and he transferred back to his field office.
- 10 So he was SA in his field office when I spoke with him
- 11 in July or August 2016.
- 12 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 13 Q. Did you recruit him to the team or ask
- 14 to -- "recruit" is the wrong word. I'm sorry.
- Did you ask him to join the Crossfire Hurricane team?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So you must have thought he had a solid
- 18 reputation or solid work ethic in order to invite him to be on
- 19 the team.
- 20 A. I was very familiar with his work product when
- 21 it came to Russian foreign counterintelligence and



23

24

25

- Q. When you said earlier he was a program manager
- 3 at Headquarters, was that the program manager -- for instance,
- 4 the Carter Page FISA was application ultimately signed by the
- 5 Headquarters program manager. Is that the type of position he
- 6 held?

1

- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did he ever sign FISA applications for you as
- 9 a Headquarters program manager when he was previously in that
- 10 position?
- 11 A. Yes. He was the acting on a few.
- 12 BY MR. BAKER:
- Q. I'm still a little confused. He's an SSA at one
- 14 point at Headquarters and then he's a case agent under you on
- 15 Crossfire Hurricane.
- 16 A. So he was an SSA program manager assigned to the
- 17 Counterintelligence Division while I was an SSA at the
- 18 Washington Field Office. I believe he was on a 18-month TDY.
- 19 Q. As a program manager?
- A. As a program manager and, usually, when that
- 21 expires --
- Q. He went back?
- 23 A. -- you go back to the field office.
- Q. And then you recruited him back?
- 25 A. Correct. So he was already back in his field

- office when I came to Headquarters for a TDY and I said, Hey,
- 2 can you go on the team?
- 3 Q. So he came back on another TDY?
- 4 A. Correct. Those were the circumstances.
- 5 Q. Your assessment of his experience when he left
- 6 Crossfire Hurricane, was it a good, enriching or
- 7 career-developing experience for him or did he leave
- 8 frustrated?
- 9 A. I thought, for him, that we had a unique
- 10 experience.
- 11 Q. When you say "unique", what does that mean?
- 12 A. This case was unique. It was something that not
- 13 a lot of people would get the opportunity to work in their
- 14 career.
- 15 O. If you knew -- when your TDY was over and said
- 16 I'm going back to Washington Field, if you had known what this
- 17 unique experience would be like when you got the call from Mr.
- 18 Strzok, would you have come and been a part of the team or sat
- 19 out?
- 20 MR. BERGER: That is a real speculative -- you know,
- 21 that's --
- 22 MR. BAKER: Well, it's something that you consider as
- an agent.
- MR. BERGER: I understand that, but, you know, there
- 25 are so many variables in making an assessment like that. I'm

- 1 going to direct him not to answer that right now.
- 2 BY MR. BAKER:
- Q. Are you glad you did this? Are you glad the time
- 4 you put in was spent at Headquarters or would you have preferred
- 5 to have stayed at Washington Field and run your squad?
- 6 A. I grew a lot by this experience.
- 7 MR. BERGER: Leave it at that.
- 8 BY MR. BAKER:
- 9 Q. Well, I believe that Bureau experiences and
- 10 Bureau managers, you can learn from bad experiences and be a
- 11 better manager by having worked for bad managers and you can
- 12 take good from good managers. I'm just curious what the
- 13 totality of your time in Crossfire Hurricane was. You were
- 14 specifically recruited because of your expertise and you leave
- 15 for reasons of principle, again, relating to your expertise
- 16 and --
- [Witness confers with counsel.]
- 18 MR. BERGER: Sir, respectfully continue. I'm sorry.
- 19 I guess we get the gist of your question.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Overall, Crossfire was rewarding. The
- 21 aftermath was not so good. So that's the answer.
- MR. BAKER: Thank you.
- 23 MR. SOMERS: I think our hour has expired. We'll take
- 24 a short break.
- 25 [Whereupon, at 3:25 p.m., a recess was taken,



- 1 reconvening at 3:36 p.m.]
- 2 MS. ZDEB: We can go back on the record. It's 3:36.
- FURTHER EXAMINATION BY THE MINORITY
- 4 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 5 Q. I just had a couple of followup questions on the
- 6 August 2016 strategic intelligence briefings.
- 7 So you indicated that you gave substantively the exact
- 8 same briefing to both the Clinton team and Trump team; is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And you told OIG that, substantively, there was
- 12 nothing you did differently with the Trump briefing as compared
- 13 to the Clinton briefing; that was one of the things that was
- 14 very key, the briefings needed to be consistent.
- And that's on page 342 of the IG report. Why was it
- 16 key that the briefings be substantively identical?
- 17 A. Obviously, the message wasn't tailored for
- 18 anyone in particular. It needed to be consistent so that
- 19 everybody was afforded the same admonishment.
- 20 Q. So, obviously, you spoke a bit before the break
- 21 about the one difference between the two briefings, which was
- 22 the fact that the briefing for the Trump team involved your
- 23 observing and listening to General Flynn and, in particular,
- 24 to the extent he discussed Russia. At the time of this
- 25 briefing, was the FBI conducting a predicated



- 1 counterintelligence investigation into the Clinton Campaign
- 2 ties to Russia?
- 3 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 4 Q. If the FBI had been conducting a predicated
- 5 investigation into the Clinton Campaign's ties with Russia and
- 6 if a subject of that investigation attended the briefing that
- 7 you provided for the Clinton team, is it possible that you would
- 8 have approached that briefing in the same way you did the Trump
- 9 briefing, in other words, to observe, listen to, and record any
- 10 discussion by that subject of Russia?
- : I'm going to have to object. The nature of
- 12 that one is speculative, particularly to a hypothetical
- 13 investigation and what investigative steps he would take in that
- 14 circumstance.
- 15 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 16 Q. So with respect to the notes that you took and
- 17 the fact that you memorialized aspects of the briefing for from
- 18 Trump team, you told OIG, and this is on page 343, that you did
- 19 not memorialize the briefings for Hillary Clinton, Mike Pence,
- 20 or Tim Kaine because the attendees at those briefings did not
- 21 include the subject of an FBI investigation; is that correct?
- 22 A. That is correct. If there was any question to
- 23 that, there were 10 other individuals in the room present at
- 24 each one of those briefings to let you know the consistency with
- 25 which I delivered the briefing.



- 1 Q. To give you a sense of what I'm getting at,
- 2 there's been allegations that there was a double standard
- 3 applied to the briefing given to the Clinton team and the Trump
- 4 team. It sounds like, based on that explanation, it was the
- 5 same standard, but just applied to a different set of facts.
- 6 Would you agree with that?
- 7 A. There was no double standard.
- 8 Q. Just to drill down on that a little bit more,
- 9 the Senate Intelligence Committee recently published a
- 10 bipartisan report and, among other things, it concluded that
- 11 Trump's campaign manager, Paul Manafort, posed a grave
- 12 counterintelligence threat because, quote, his presence on the
- 13 campaign and proximity to Trump created an opportunity for
- 14 Russian intelligence services to exert influence over and
- 15 acquire confidential information on the Trump Campaign.
- 16 At the time of the strategic intelligence briefings,
- 17 to your knowledge, was there anyone on the Clinton Campaign
- 18 whose presence created an opportunity for Russian intelligence
- 19 services to influence the campaign?
- 20 : To the extent he has that knowledge, I object
- 21 to providing that information if it relates to an ongoing and
- 22 any other investigation. He can't answer that.
- 23 MS. ZDEB: Are you saying that it relates to an ongoing
- 24 investigation?
- No. I can't confirm or deny that was or was



- 1 not an investigation, but his answer would, presumably, confirm
- 2 or deny that.
- 3 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 4 Q. Your notes memorializing the briefing state
- 5 that you told President Trump, Lieutenant General Flynn, and
- 6 Mr. Christie that if you are not already a target of a foreign
- 7 intelligence service, due to the fact that you are receiving
- 8 this classified briefing, you will be.
- 9 You went on to explain that foreign intelligence
- 10 services want information pertaining to the political,
- 11 economic, energy, technology, and military policy and plans of
- 12 the U.S., that this information is extremely valuable. Putting
- 13 it plainly, foreign intelligence services look to collect
- 14 inside information to give their country a competitive
- 15 advantage over the U.S.
- Are you aware of whether at any point after you provided
- 17 this briefing where you warned President Trump and Lieutenant
- 18 General Flynn that they would be targeted by foreign
- 19 intelligence services, whether any member of the Trump team
- 20 reported any of their contacts or reported any contacts with
- 21 Russia to the FBI?
- 22 A. I'm unaware of that.
- 23 MS. ZDEB: So I think that concludes our questioning.
- 24 Before I go off the record, I just want to say on behalf of myself
- 25 and Mr. Charlet and also Ranking Member Feinstein, thank you

- 1 for being here. I know, acknowledged at the outset that
- 2 your presence and the fact that the Bureau made you available
- 3 is somewhat extraordinary. We acknowledge and appreciate that
- 4 and thank you for being here.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 6 MR. HOLMES: Off the record.
- 7 [Discussion held off the record.]
- 8 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY THE MAJORITY
- 9 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 10 Q. Are you aware of any errors or omissions that
- 11 the IG did not identify in the --
- MR. BERGER: That, I didn't hear.
- 13 MR. SOMERS: I didn't know if was aware of
- 14 any errors or omissions in the Carter Page FISA application or
- 15 the Crossfire Hurricane investigation that were identified.
- MR. BERGER: I'm directing him not to answer.
- 17 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 18 Q. One question: We touched on this a lot, but I
- 19 don't know that we quite got to this point of it. We talked
- 20 a lot about the verification of the Steele Dossier and
- 21 verification of Steele. First of all, those are two things.
- 22 Right?
- 23 Those are two tracks you were on; one was verification
- 24 of Steele's liability, etc.; is that one track you were
- 25 pursuing?



- 1 You, when I say "you", I mean the FBI, not you, but the
- 2 Crossfire Hurricane team.
- 3 A. So the Crossfire Hurricane team
- 4 was -- obviously, we wanted to examine the totality of his
- 5 reporting, his entire history and help in the FBI and CHS.
- 6 That's what was important to us.
- 7 Q. So I guess I see is like three things you could
- 8 look at. Maybe you can tell me if you'd looked at all three
- 9 of these things. You could look at is Steele reliable, without
- 10 bias, etc., Steele the person. Then you look at the same
- 11 questions for his primary subsource and subsources, and then
- 12 you could, third, actually look at the allegation made in the
- 13 dossier.
- 14 Were all three of those what the verification was or
- 15 not?
- MR. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not to answer.
- 17 MR. SOMERS: Okay. Is there a basis for him not
- 18 answering? I think it's a yes or no question.
- 19 MR. BERGER: Ask it again and I'll reconsider it.
- 20 BY MR. SOMERS:
- 21 Q. I'm asking whether you tried to verify Steele
- 22 and the Steele Dossier. I'm asking whether that verification
- 23 was of Steele, the person, reliability, bias, everything, the
- 24 subsources, reliability, biases, who they were; and then,
- 25 third, the actual allegations in the dossier.



- 1 Was it that tri-part verification or was one of those
- 2 elements not part of what you were trying to do?
- 3 MR. BERGER: You can answer that.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 THE WITNESS: So, again we, did have a two different
- 6 elements through Crossfire Hurricane: Operation on the
- 7 investigative side and then the intelligence side. I can't
- 8 speak intelligently about what the D.I. did, our intelligence
- 9 folks did.
- They were looking at all three pieces. Right?
- I believe that they were, and at the same time, I was
- 12 mindful of those three things, as you put it there, and that
- 13 was our interest as well, to verify each one of those.
- 14 Q. There's one thing I think I missed earlier when
- 15 we were discussing everything. There's a reference in the IG
- 16 report, considerations about whether Steele's information
- 17 could also include Russian disinformation. Was that something
- 18 that you were ever involved in discussions of, whether what was
- 19 Steele was including in this so-called dossier could possibly
- 20 be Russian disinformation?
- 21 A. I don't remember those conversations occurring.
- 22 MR. SOMERS: Then one last thing, and I don't know if
- 23 this changes your objection, but I just want to -- there was
- 24 an objection earlier that I want to clean up for the record a
- 25 little bit just on our end.

- 1 We did talk to the Inspector General's Office about the
- 2 Woods file you reviewed or they reviewed versus the Woods file
- 3 you reviewed sometime prior to October 21, 2016, and for the
- 4 record, the IG's Office says that it is the same file, but they
- 5 did -- the notation, what it was noting, they had to, in their
- 6 minds, move pages around because they were numbered
- 7 sequentially, but the numbers were not in sequential order in
- 8 the actual binder they were given.
- 9 So what they did was take the bind you saw and put either
- 10 the pages or the notes back into sequential order. That's a
- 11 representation from the IG. I just want to put that on the
- 12 record.
- The Woods file they reviewed as a part of their process
- is the same Woods file you reviewed as part of your process.
- 15 MR. BERGER: I can't accept that on face value.
- 16 MR. SOMERS: I'm not asking you to accept it. I'm just
- 17 putting it on the record to say what the IG's Office has
- 18 represented to us, just so it's clean on the record.
- 19 MR. BERGER: I understand.
- MR. BAKER: Two quick points.
- : I have a followup question on that though,
- 22 what you said, that the IG represented that --
- MR. SOMERS: The office.
- Fair enough. Got it. The IG's Office
- 25 represented that the Woods file they reviewed -- are you talking

- 1 about or is the IG ruling out that there was a different Woods
- 2 file at the time the Woods process was conducted as opposed to
- 3 at the time that Mr. came in and was questioned?
- 4 MR. SOMERS: They're saying it's the same file.
- 5 They're saying that there was sequential numbering. I don't
- 6 know if it's page numbering or actual numbers of facts, and as
- 7 they were given the Woods file, instead of it being one, two,
- 8 three, four, five, it was five, ten, thirteen; and they went
- 9 and put it back in order, one, two, three, four.
- 10 That's what they're representing.
- : Did they make any further representation
- 12 that that is, in fact, the same Woods file that existed at the
- 13 time of FISA warrant?
- 14 MR. SOMERS: They said the content is identical.
- 15 Whether it's actually the physical same one, they did not make
- 16 a representation one way or the other.
- 17 They tell me the content is identical and it was just
- 18 a numbering thing, and because they -- they didn't renumber
- 19 anything. Because they reordered things, someone put a
- 20 notation on the Woods file; but, to them, they didn't want to
- 21 five, fifteen, three. They wanted to put one, two, three, four
- 22 and that type of order.
- 23 : I just want to clarify. They didn't make
- 24 a representation that the file is the actual original file out
- of order, the same file out of order?

- 1 MR. SOMERS: They said the content was identical. I
- 2 didn't ask them whether it was the actual same physical file.
- 3 It just did not come up in the conversation, whether it was the
- 4 exact document.
- 5 They just said the content was identical. So it could
- 6 have been a photocopy of the original, but they said that the
- 7 notation that was noted, the reason they made the notation was
- 8 because the sequencing was off in the file they were given and
- 9 that all they did was take a page and move it back into sequential
- 10 order or multiple pages and moved back into sequential order.
- 11 We haven't been able to get -- we were hoping to be able
- 12 to get something in writing, but I have not received a written
- 13 explanation yet, but I have orally.
- : Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. BAKER:
- 16 Q. I just have two quick points.
- We talked a good bit today about Christopher Steele.
- 18 Did you or anyone on your squad or your Headquarters entity that
- 19 you supervised, did you have any interaction with Source No.
- 20 2?
- 21 A. Not to my recollection, no.
- 22 Q. Okay. And then did you put any of your agents
- 23 or support employees in for any type of formal recognition for
- their work on Crossfire Hurricane, a QSI, an incentive award,
- 25 any kind of formal recognition?



- 1 A. I did not.
- Q. And were you, yourself, put in for anything by
- 3 your supervisors?
- 4 A. They did not.
- 5 MR. BAKER: Thank you and thank you for being here
- 6 today, sir.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 8 MR. SOMERS: I think that's all we have. Thank you for
- 9 appearing today.
- : Before we go off, I just want to add one thing
- 11 into the record.
- I think it's fair to say the FBI made an accommodation
- 13 to the Senate Judiciary Committee under extraordinary
- 14 circumstances to provide Mr. a non-SES onboard agent.
- 15 The FBI was not advised in advance, however, of the attendance
- 16 at stated interview by staff of committees other than the Senate
- 17 Judiciary Committee.
- 18 We would respectfully request that the Judiciary
- 19 Committee ensure the confidentiality of this interview, both
- 20 the fact of it and the substance and the testimony taken therein,
- 21 that that information will be maintained by all staff in
- 22 attendance and their respective committees.
- 23 MR. SOMERS: Absolutely. We will also maintain the
- 24 transcript in the same manner.
- 25 : Thank you.



```
MR. SOMERS: On behalf of the committee, let me thank
 1
     you again for coming in today and traveling here to take part
 2
     in this interview. We appreciate it.
 3
            THE WITNESS: You're wome.
 4
 5
            [Whereupon, at 3:55 p.m., the interview concluded.]
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



## **ERRATA**

Notice Da	te:				
Depositio:	n Date: August 27, 202	0			
Deponent:	Supervisory Special A	gent 1			
Case Name: Senate Judiciary Committee					
Page:Line	Now Reads	Should Read			
<u>8:3</u>	<u>id</u>	<u>is</u>			
<u>_15:6</u>	the	then_			
_18:17	dialogue	DIOG			
<u>171:9</u>	bind	binder			

Notice Date:

Deposition Date: August 27, 2020

Deponent: Supervisory Special Agent 1

Case Name: Senate Judiciary Committee

Page:Line Now Reads Should Read

62:24	He said had	He said "had
63:1	into, and if	into, and, if
63:2	he or she	he/she
63:3	his or her	his/her
63:3	tactics or otherwise	tactics and/or otherwise
63:4	his or her activities	his/her
63:6	could prove that.	could prove that."
64:19	document	documentary
65:6	FBI, quote, engaged	FBI engaged
65:7	a, quote, massive	a "massive
65:8	Court.	Court."
66:6	of, quote, people who hated Trump	of "people who hated Trump"
66:7	had, quote, an	had "an
66:8	elected.	elected."
118:14	a, quote, open	an "open

Notice Date:

Deposition Date: August 27, 2020

Deponent: Supervisory Special Agent 1

Case Name: Senate Judiciary Committee

Page:Line Now Reads		Should Read
118:15	checkbook from	checkbook" from
119:17	referenced, quote, a next	referenced a "next
119:18	dump with	dump" with
165:17	briefing for from	briefing for
166:12	because, quote, his presence	because his "presence
166:15	Campaign.	Campaign."