



**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION DIVISION  
1155 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1155**

Ref: 22-F-0898  
September 28, 2022

Mr. John Greenwald, Jr.  
The Black Vault, Inc.  
27305 W. Live Oak Road  
Suite #1203  
Castaic, CA 91384

Dear Mr. Greenwald:

This is a final response to your May 5, 2022 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, a copy of which is enclosed for your convenience. We received your request on May 5, 2022, and assigned it FOIA case number 22-F-0898. We ask that you use this number when referring to your request.

The Office of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense, Privacy, Civil Liberties, and Transparency, a component of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, conducted a search of their records systems and located 96 pages determined to be responsive to your request. Ms. Lyn Kirby, Director, in her capacity as an Initial Denial Authority, has determined that portions of the responsive pages are exempt from mandatory disclosure pursuant to the Privacy Act, Title 5 U.S.C. § 552a and the Freedom of Information Act, Title 5 U.S.C. § 552. Access rights under the Privacy Act are limited to information that is about you, and it was determined that the 96 pages located contain information about a party other than yourself. This information is also exempt from disclosure pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(6), which protects information, the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of third parties. Please note that we have considered the foreseeable harm standard when reviewing records and applying exemptions under the FOIA in the processing of this request.

In this instance, fees for processing your request were below the threshold for requiring payment. Please note that fees may be assessed on future requests.

If you have questions about the foregoing, please do not hesitate to contact the Action Officer assigned to your request, Shannon Cooper, at [shannon.a.cooper.civ@mail.mil](mailto:shannon.a.cooper.civ@mail.mil) or 571-372-0412. Additionally, if you have concerns about service provided by our office, please contact a member of our Leadership Team at 571-372-0498 or Toll Free at 866-574-4970.

Should you wish to inquire about mediation services, you may contact the OSD/JS FOIA Public Liaison, Toni Fuentes, at 571-372-0462, or by email at [OSD.FOIALiaison@mail.mil](mailto:OSD.FOIALiaison@mail.mil). You may also contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows:

Office of Government Information Services  
National Archives and Records Administration  
8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS  
College Park, MD 20740  
E-mail: ogis@nara.gov  
Telephone: 202-741-5770  
Fax: 202-741-5769  
Toll-free: 1-877-684-6448

You have the right to appeal to the appellate authority, Ms. Joo Chung, Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Privacy Civil Liberties, and Transparency (PCLT), Office of the Secretary of Defense, at the following address: 4800 Mark Center Drive, ATTN: PCLFD, FOIA Appeals, Mailbox #24, Alexandria, VA 22350-1700.

Your appeal must be postmarked within 90 calendar days of the date of this response. Alternatively, you may email your appeal to [osd.foia-appeal@mail.mil](mailto:osd.foia-appeal@mail.mil). If you use email, please include the words "Privacy/FOIA Appeal" in the subject of the email. Please also reference FOIA case number 22-F-0898 in any appeal correspondence.

We appreciate your patience in the processing of your request. As stated previously, please contact the Action Officer assigned to your request, Shannon Cooper, and reference FOIA case number 22-F-0898, if you have any questions or concerns.


Sincerely,

*for Pamela Andrews*  
Stephanie L. Carr  
Chief

Enclosures:  
As stated

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)  
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are  
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages  
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>



ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR  
PRIVACY, CIVIL LIBERTIES, AND TRANSPARENCY

1155 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1155

Ref: 21-A-0946-A1  
FOID: 21-F-0946

Mr. John Greenewald  
The Black Vault, Inc.  
27305 W. Live Oak Rd., Suite #1203  
Castaic, CA 91384-4520

Dear Mr. Greenewald:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) appeal, dated January 25, 2022. You appealed the decision of the Freedom of Information Division (FOID) in response to your FOIA request, dated May 3, 2021.

After carefully considering your appeal, I am affirming FOID's action on your request. By letter dated November 24, 2021, FOID released to you 76 pages of responsive records in part. I have determined that FOID's response was correct and that it conducted an adequate, reasonable search for responsive records subject to the FOIA.

If you are dissatisfied with my action on your appeal, the FOIA permits you to file a lawsuit in federal district court in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

Sincerely,

CHUNG.JOO. Digitally signed by  
Y.1512306507 CHUNG.JOO.Y.1512306507  
Date: 2022.04.06 12:24:48  
-04'00'

Joo Y. Chung

cc:  
FOID

## OATSD(PCLT) STAFF SUMMARY SHEET

	TO	ACTION	SIGNATURE AND DATE		TO	ACTION	SIGNATURE AND DATE
1	FOIA Division Chief	Coord	FUENTES.TONYA RENEE.1123696545 <small>Digitally signed by FUENTES.TONYA.RENEE.1123696545 Date: 2022.03.01 09:26:05 -0400</small>	7			
2	PCLF Director	Coord	KIRBY.LYN.M. 1590283483 <small>Digitally signed by KIRBY.LYN.M.1590283483 Date: 2022.04.06 10:47:59 -0400</small>	8			
3	ATSD(PCLT)	Sign	CHUNG.JOO.Y .1512306507 <small>Digitally signed by CHUNG.JOO.Y.1512306507 Date: 2022.04.06 12:25:14 -0400</small>	9			
4				10			
5				11			
6				12			

13. ACTION OFFICER (b)(6)	14. OFFICE SYMBOL PCLFD/FOIA Division	15. TELEPHONE (b)(6)	16. CONTROL NUMBER 21-A-0946-A1
------------------------------	--	-------------------------	------------------------------------

17. SUBJECT FOIA Appeal	18. DATE 2/1/2022
----------------------------	----------------------

19. SUMMARY

- Attached is the appeal decision letter for your signature (TAB A).
- On October 26, 2021, the requester submitted a FOIA request to the Freedom of Information Division (FOID). In the request they sought communications between a New York Post reporter and two Defense spokespersons from 2017 to the present (TAB B).
- On November 24, 2021, FOID released 76 pages in part citing Exemption 6 (TAB C).
- On January 25, 2022 the requester appealed the search stating the responsive records did not include emails he knew existed and provided a date and subject line of those emails. (TAB D).
- We recommend affirming the search below. An appropriate search was conducted based on the original FOIA request, specifically, the email accounts of the two DoD spokespersons were searched using the iterations of the NY Post reporter's name. (TAB F). While the appeal alleges additional materials exist that are responsive, the search below was adequate and reasonably calculated to uncover responsive materials based on the FOIA request as worded. Responsive records are available at TAB F so that you may review the search outcome.

COORDINATION: None.

RECOMMENDATION: ATSD(PCLT), please sign appellate response at Tab A.

(b)(6)  
FOIA Appeal Analyst, PCLFD  
ATSD (PCLT)

**INSTRUCTIONS**

In Section 19, please address the following, adding an additional page if necessary.

- (1) Background/Discussion: Briefly describe "why" your action is being submitted, to include any significant details. Your background should contain enough information so that the principal can make a decision. Explain the suspense and the genesis of the action (was it initiated internally or externally; key meetings or events that led to the current position).
- (2) Coordination: If necessary, describe any internal/external coordination of significance, or how opposing viewpoints were resolved.
- (3) Recommendations: State specifically what you want signatories to do, for example; Sign the memo at TAB A; Review the incoming report; Coordinate by signing the Form 1, etc.

Clearly state in the ACTION column what is asked of the Principal (decision authority).



ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR  
PRIVACY, CIVIL LIBERTIES, AND TRANSPARENCY

1155 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1155

Ref: 21-A-0946-A1  
FOID: 21-F-0946

Mr. John Greenwald  
The Black Vault, Inc.  
27305 W. Live Oak Rd., Suite #1203  
Castaic, CA 91384-4520

Dear Mr. Greenwald:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) appeal, dated January 25, 2022. You appealed the decision of the Freedom of Information Division (FOID) in response to your FOIA request, dated May 3, 2021.

After carefully considering your appeal, I am affirming FOID's action on your request. By letter dated November 24, 2021, FOID released to you 76 pages of responsive records in part. I have determined that FOID's response was correct and that it conducted an adequate, reasonable search for responsive records subject to the FOIA.

If you are dissatisfied with my action on your appeal, the FOIA permits you to file a lawsuit in federal district court in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

Sincerely,

CHUNG.JOO.  
Y.1512306507

Digitally signed by  
CHUNG.JOO.Y.1512306507  
Date: 2022.04.06 12:24:48  
-04'00'

Joo Y. Chung

cc:  
FOID

## Submit New Request

### Requester Details

To modify request details please update your requester profile or contact the our office for assistance.

#### **John Greenwald**

The Black Vault  
27305 W. Live Oak Rd.  
Suite #1203  
Castaic, CA 91384  
Phone 818-585-5218  
john@greenewald.com

Requester Default Category: News Media

### Custom Fields

Requester Control # :  
Previous Address 2 :

### General Information

Request Type	FOIA
Requester Category	News Media

### Shipping Address

Street1	27305 W. Live Oak Rd.
Street2	Suite #1203
City	Castaic
State	California
State (Other)	
Country	United States
Zip Code	91384

### Request Information



To whom it may concern,

This is a non-commercial request made under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act 5 U.S.C. S 552. My FOIA requester status as a "representative of the news media." I am a freelance television producer often working on documentaries related to my FOIA requests, my work is commonly featured throughout major news organizations, and I freelance writer for news sites as well. Examples can be given, if needed.

I prefer electronic delivery of the requested material either via email to john@greenewald.com, FAX 1-818-659-7688 or via CD-ROM or DVD via postal mail. Please contact me should this FOIA request should incur a charge.

Description

I respectfully request a copy of ALL emailed communications (whether they appear on the TO/FROM/BCCd/CCd line) between Pentagon spokespeople Christopher Sherwood and/or Susan Gough and NY Post journalist Steven Greenstreet. Please note, I am seeking emails director TO/FROM Sherwood and/or TO/FROM Gough, but they do not have to appear in the same e-mail thread with Greenstreet. I ask that you include searches for records dated October 1, 2017 through to the date of processing this request.

Thank you so much for your time, and I am very much looking forward to your response.

Sincerely,

John Greenewald, Jr.  
27305 W. Live Oak Rd.  
Suite #1203  
Castaic, Ca. 91384  
FAX 1-818-659-7688

Date Range for Record  
Search:From  
Date Range for Record  
Search:To  
Description Document  
Consent  
Proof of Identity

10/01/2017

05/03/2021

Fee Information

Willing Amount \$25

Billing Address

State (Other)

Other Information

State (Other)

Custom Fields

Requester #



**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION DIVISION  
1155 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1155**

Ref: 21-F-0946  
November 24, 2021

Mr. John Greenewald  
The Black Vault, Inc.  
27305 W. Live Oak Rd., Suite #1203  
Castaic, CA 91384-4520

Dear Mr. Greenewald:

This is a final response to your May 3, 2021 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, a copy of which is enclosed for your convenience. We received your request on May 3, 2021, and assigned it FOIA case number 21-F-0946. We ask that you use this number when referring to your request.

The Public Affairs, a component of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, conducted a search of their records systems and located 76 pages determined to be responsive to your request. Ms. Tanya R. Rose, Information Management Director, in her capacity as an Initial Denial Authority for PA has determined that portions of the 76 responsive pages are exempt from release pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(6), as disclosure of the information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of individuals.

In this instance, fees for processing your request were below the threshold for requiring payment. Please note that fees may be assessed on future requests.

If you have any questions or concerns about the foregoing or about the processing of your request, please do not hesitate to contact the Action Officer assigned to your request, Torrey Dixon, at (571)372-0409 or Torrey.d.dixon.civ@mail.mil. Additionally, if you have concerns about service received by our office, please contact a member of our Leadership Team at 571-372-0498 or Toll Free at 866-574-4970.

Should you wish to inquire about mediation services, you may contact the OSD/JS FOIA Public Liaison, Tonya R. Fuentes, at 571-372-0462 or by email at OSD.FOIALiaison@mail.mil, or the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration. The contact information for OGIS is as follows:

Office of Government Information Services  
National Archives and Records Administration  
8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS  
College Park, MD 20740  
E-mail: ogis@nara.gov  
Telephone: 202-741-5770  
Fax: 202-741-5769  
Toll-free: 1-877-684-6448

You have the right to appeal to the appellate authority, Ms. Joo Chung, Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Privacy, Civil Liberties, and Transparency, by writing directly to the following address: 4800 Mark Center Drive, ATTN: DPCLTD, FOIA Appeals, Mailbox# 24, Alexandria, VA 22350-1700.

Your appeal must be postmarked within 90 calendar days of the date of this response. Alternatively, you may email your appeal to [osd.foia-appeal@mail.mil](mailto:osd.foia-appeal@mail.mil). If you use email, please include the words "FOIA Appeal" in the subject of the email. Please also reference FOIA case number 21-F-0946 in any appeal correspondence.

We appreciate your patience in the processing of your request. As stated previously, please contact the Action Officer assigned to your request, Torrey Dixon, and reference FOIA case number 21-F-0946 if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Stephanie L. Carr  
Chief

Enclosures:  
As stated

## **OSD MC-ALEX OATSD PCLT Mailbox DFOIPO FOIA-APPEALS**

---

**From:** john@greenewald.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:43 AM  
**To:** OSD MC-ALEX OATSD PCLT Mailbox DFOIPO FOIA-APPEALS  
**Subject:** [URL Verdict: Neutral][Non-DoD Source] FOIA APPEAL 21-F-0946

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Hello,

This is an official appeal for FOIA Case 21-F-0946. I am appealing the adequacy of the search.

I have strong reason to believe that additional responsive records exist.

They have the Subject: "More Elizondo Support Materials Needed" and they were sent on and around May 31, 2019.

I ask that you please remand case back to the FOIA officer(s) working on the case to supply the additional responsive records.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

**John Greenewald, Jr.**

**CEO - The Black Vault, Inc.**

[Caution-https://www.theblackvault.com](https://www.theblackvault.com) < [Caution-https://www.theblackvault.com/](https://www.theblackvault.com/) >

**Toll Free:** (800) 456-2228

**International:** 1 (818) 655-0474

**Fax:** (818) 659-7688

**Mailing Address:**

The Black Vault

27305 W. Live Oak Rd., Suite 1203

Castaic, CA 91384-4520

Analyst Notes 21-A-0946-A1

2/1/2022

On May 3, 2021, the requester submitted a FOIA request to the Freedom of Information Division. In the request he sought all communication *between two identified public spokespersons (Christopher Sherwood and Susan Gough) and a New York Post journalist (Steven Greenstreet)* from October 1, 2017 to the present. On November 24, 2021 FOID returned a grant in part response of 76 pages citing FOIA Exemption 6. On January 25, 2022, the requester appealed and challenged the search stating that additional records exist with the subject line “More Elizondo Support Materials Needed” and were sent around May 31, 2019.

The requester’s appeal is an attempt to expand scope on search. When Public Affairs conducted their search they went into the named personnel’s emails accounts and pulled all instances of “Greenstreet” or “Steven Greenstreet.” The original request’s search is more than adequate.

Recommend: Affirm FOID

Author (b)(6)

**Director Review (04.04.2022):** Concur.

**From:** [Steven Greenstreet](#)  
**To:** [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] Navy "UFO" videos/photo  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:11:17 AM

---

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Sue,

Your recent statement was *"I can confirm that the referenced photos and videos were taken by Navy personnel. The UAPTF has included these incidents in their ongoing examinations."*

Caution-<https://nypost.com/2021/04/12/us-navy-ships-capture-video-of-pyramid-shaped-ufos-overhead/> < Caution-<https://nypost.com/2021/04/12/us-navy-ships-capture-video-of-pyramid-shaped-ufos-overhead/> >

Were these photos and videos officially/publicly released by the Pentagon? Or were these photos and videos *not* officially/publicly released by the Pentagon?

In essence, were these photos and videos "leaked"?

Thank you!

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com >

**From:** [Steven Greenstreet](#)  
**To:** [Sherwood, Christopher G CIV \(USA\)](#); [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] NEED TO VERIFY: Elizondo resignation letter  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 28, 2019 11:19:33 AM  
**Attachments:** [elizondo\\_resignation.png](#)

---

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Christopher,

See attached image. This is claimed to be Luis Elizondo's resignation letter from DoD. I just need to verify if it is real and authentic.

I also look forward to you clarifying my previous questions verifying Elizondo's involvement with AATIP.

Thanks!

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com >



INTELLIGENCE

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3000 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-5000

MEMORANDUM FOR: RECORD

SUBJECT: Letter of Resignation & Differed Retirement

Mr. Secretary;

It has been my sincere honor and pleasure to have served with some of America's finest men and women in both peace time and in war. For over 22 years, I have been blessed to learn from, and work with world-class leadership, you certainly being among the very best.

With that in mind, bureaucratic challenges and inflexible mindsets continue to plague the Department at all levels. This is particularly true regarding the controversial topic of anomalous aerospace threats. Despite overwhelming evidence at both the unclassified and classified levels, certain individuals in the Department remain staunchly opposed to further research on what could be a tactical threat to our pilots, sailors, and soldiers, and perhaps even an existential threat to our national security. In many instances, there seems to be a direct correlation the phenomena exhibits with respect to our nuclear and military capabilities. The Department must take serious the many accounts by the Navy and other Services of unusual aerial systems interfering with military weapon platforms and displaying beyond next generation capabilities. Underestimating or ignoring these potential threats is not in the best interest of the Department no matter the level of political contention. There remains a vital need to ascertain capability and intent of these phenomena for the benefit of the armed forces and the nation.

For this reason, effective 4 October 2017, I humbly submit my resignation in hopes it will encourage you to ask the hard questions: "who else knows?", "what are their capabilities?", and "why aren't we spending more time and effort on the issue?". As I transition to a new chapter of my life, please know it has been an honor and privilege of a lifetime to serve with you. Rest assure, no matter where the path of life may lead me, I will always have the best interest of the Department and the American people as my guiding principle.

Luis D. Elizondo  
Director  
National Programs Special Management Staff  
OUSD(I)



**From:** [Steven Greenstreet](#)  
**To:** [Sherwood, Christopher G CIV \(USA\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 29, 2019 8:23:43 AM

---

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

We are prepping an article on Luis Elizondo's role with AATIP. I think they want to print by this Friday.

I sent this follow-up question on May 6th:

**I need you to clarify this statement:**

*Mr. Elizondo had no responsibilities with regard to the AATIP program while he worked in OUSDI, up until the time he resigned effective 10/4/2017*

**Does this mean Mr. Elizondo never had ANYTHING to do with AATIP at ANY time?**

Elizondo's PR firm released this statement to me:

*“There is ample, formal documentation on AATIP and the fact that it specifically involved Mr. Luis Elizondo while he was assigned to the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence. This fact was substantiated by numerous reputable media outlets including the New York Times, Washington Post, and Politico, through independent sources.”*

*And this is NOT part of the statement, just an FYI for you. This ran in Politico in Dec 2017: "Pentagon spokeswoman Dana White confirmed to POLITICO that the program existed and was run by Elizondo. But she could not say how long he was in charge of it and declined to answer detailed questions about the office or its work, citing concerns about the closely held nature of the program."*

Is there anyway to get a response to my follow-up question above and a statement on claims made by Mr. Elizondo's representatives?

Thank you.

Steven

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com >

On Tue, May 28, 2019 at 9:27 AM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > wrote:

Steven,

It's "videos," plural. Thanks for reaching back.

Sincerely,

Chris

---

Christopher Sherwood

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon, (b)(6)

direct (b)(6)

mobile: (b)(6)

NIPR: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6)

SIPR: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6)

Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

**Sent:** Sunday, May 26, 2019 12:51 PM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) <(b)(6)> Caution-mailto:(b)(6)>; Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) <(b)(6)> Caution-mailto:(b)(6)>

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Christopher, I am going to be launching this next piece of the story on Wednesday, May 29th.

I emailed you for clarification on May 6th and haven't heard back. My question was this:

***Sorry, one more clarification I need: I asked about the "videos" (plural) included in the NYTimes article but your response was about "the video" (singular). Was this a typo? Or are you only referencing 1 single video (of the 3 total)? If so, which 1? And the others WERE cleared for the general public?***

Pending any new clarifying comments you have this, I will be including a portion of your original statement in my report May 29th.

Your original statement:

***The video you reference in the NY Times article was made releasable for research and analysis purposes by U.S. government agencies and industry partners, and not for general public release.***

If I don't receive a clarification by Tuesday, May 28th, I will include a disclaimer that "the Pentagon did not clarify which of the 3 videos was not for general public release".

Please let me know if I can expect a clarification by Tuesday, May 28th.

Thanks!

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > >

On Mon, May 6, 2019 at 4:14 PM Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > wrote:

Sorry, one more clarification I need: I asked about the "videos" (plural) included in the NYTimes article but your response was about "the video" (singular). Was this a typo? Or are you only referencing 1 single video (of the 3 total)? If so, which 1? And the others WERE cleared for the general public?

Thank you.

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > >

On Mon, May 6, 2019 at 3:51 PM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

< (b)(6) > < Caution-mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-mailto: (b)(6) > > wrote:

Okay, thank you.

Sincerely,

Chris

Christopher Sherwood

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon

direct

mobile:

NIPR:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:

SIPR:  
mailto:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Caution-  
< Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
>

Caution-  
> < Caution-Caution-

mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) >

Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov > >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >

**Sent:** Monday, May 6, 2019 3:08 PM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) <(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > >

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DOD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Again, thank you. My deadline is tomorrow so any response before then would be appreciated.

## **STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-

mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >

nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > >

On Mon, May 6, 2019 at 2:22 PM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > > wrote:

Steven,

I have your questions and will work to get you a response asap.

Sincerely,

Chris

Christopher Sherwood

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon (b)(6)

direct (b)(6)

mobile: (b)(6)

NIPR (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-

mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > >

SIPR (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > >

Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov > > >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > >

**Sent:** Monday, May 6, 2019 9:19 AM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > >

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to



copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Thank you! I need you to clarify this statement:

*Mr. Elizondo had no responsibilities with regard to the AATIP program while he worked in OUSDI, up until the time he resigned effective 10/4/2017*

1) Does this mean Mr. Elizondo never had ANYTHING to do with AATIP at ANY time?

And my follow up question is this:

2) Did Mr. Elizondo work with the Advanced Aerospace Weapons Systems Application Program (AAWSAP)? And, if so, in what capacity?

Thank you, Chris!

Steven

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-

mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > >  
  
nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > < Caution-  
Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > > >

On Fri, May 3, 2010 at 5:06 PM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6) Caution-  
(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > > > wrote:

Steven,

Below is what I can provide as a Defense Department Spokesman.

“The Department of Defense is always concerned about maintaining positive

identification of all aircraft in our operating environment as well as identifying any foreign capability that may be a threat to the homeland. The department will continue to investigate, through normal procedures, reports of unidentified aircraft encountered by US military aviators in order to ensure defense of the homeland and protection against strategic surprise by our nation's adversaries."

"The AATIP program did pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena. Mr. Elizondo had no responsibilities with regard to the AATIP program while he worked in OUSDI, up until the time he resigned effective 10/4/2017."

"The video you reference in the NY Times article was made releasable for research and analysis purposes by U.S. government agencies and industry partners, and not for general public release."

###

Sincerely,

Christopher Sherwood

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon

(b)(6)

direct (b)(6)

mobile (b)(6)

(b)(6)

Caution-  
< Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
> < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
< Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
> > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
< Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
> < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
< Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
> > >

SIPR: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-

Caution-mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > >

Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-  
Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > > >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-

mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > >

**Sent:** Monday, April 29, 2019 2:17 PM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > > >

**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Chris, thanks for taking these questions. A response sometime this week would be great.

**Did AATIP study unidentified flying objects (UFOs)?**

**Did Luis Elizondo work in the AATIP program?**

**Was Luis Elizondo the head of the AATIP program (in a leadership role)?**

How long was Luis Elizondo employed by DoD/DIA/Pentagon/Etc?

Were the military UFO videos included in this NYTimes article OFFICIALLY released by the DoD or were they "leaked"?

Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > < Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > < Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > < Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > < Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > < Caution-Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> < Caution-  
<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-reid.html> > < Caution-Caution-

reid.html > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html < Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html > < Caution-Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html < Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html < Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html > < Caution-Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html < Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html < Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html > < Caution-Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html < Caution-  
https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-ufo-harry-  
reid.html > > > > >

**What is the DoD's official stance on UFOs?**

Thanks!

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-

**From:** [Sherwood, Christopher G CIV \(USA\)](#)  
**To:** [Steven Greenstreet](#)  
**Cc:** [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP  
**Date:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 9:04:18 PM

---

I refer you back to DIA, I am unaware that there was a "transition." My understanding is the program ended.

With regard to Elizondo's employment dates, it's easier to provide start and end dates of employment, 2008 – 2017 is correct, although a detail to another organization is harder to get history on. Elizondo was detailed to DIA, however, I cannot confirm the dates of his detail at this time.

Sincerely,  
Chris

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 8:46 PM  
**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) <(b)(6)>  
**Cc:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) <(b)(6)>  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

1 last question for this! And then I think we're done!

Kudla said Elizondo came from OUSDI to help with AATIP transition from DIA to DoD. So was AATIP run out of OUSDI while at DoD? If not, which office? Thanks! Almost there.

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103  
[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) <Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) <Caution-http://nypost.com >

On Thu May 30 2019 at 8:04 PM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) <(b)(6)> <Caution-mailto:(b)(6)> wrote:



Steven, I'll have to speak with OUSD(I) tomorrow morning when their at work for more clarity.

Sincerely,  
Chris

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 7:42 PM  
**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) (b)(6) Caution-mailto:(b)(6)  
**Cc:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) (b)(6) Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > >  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

Ok thank you.

But, and I have to be nit picky here, you said Elizondo had "no responsibilities with regard to AATIP while he worked in OUSDI" but you are now confirming Elizondo was a part of transitioning AATIP from DIA to DoD while he was in OUSDI. That doesn't seem like "no responsibilities", correct? Can you clarify your "no responsibilities" statement please?

Thanks again.

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > >

On Thu, May 30, 2019 at 7:32 PM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

<(b)(6)> Caution-  
<(b)(6)> < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > wrote:

Steven,

I don't disagree with DIA's account.

Sincerely,  
Chris

---

Christopher Sherwood

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon

direct:

mobile:

NIPR:

mailto:

mailto:

mailto:

SIPR:

mailto:

mailto:

mailto:

Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-

www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-

http://www.defense.gov > >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >

**Sent:** Thursday, May 30, 2019 6:14 PM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) <(b)(6)> < Caution-

mailto:(b)(6)> < Caution-Caution-

mailto:(b)(6)> Caution-

mailto:(b)(6)> >

**Cc:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) <(b)(6)> Caution-

mailto:(b)(6)> < Caution-Caution-

mailto:(b)(6)> Caution-mailto:(b)(6)> > >

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

I just spoke with James Kudla at DIA who gave me the following:

Luis Elizondo worked at OUSDI from 2008-2017

Luis Elizondo was never employed with DIA

Luis Elizondo only came to DIA in 2010 "to help close out and transition AATIP" from DIA to DoD but "he had no management position".

So, from a combo of the Pentagon's statements and DIA's statements, it appears the ONLY time Elizondo was involved with AATIP was in 2010 to help transition it from DIA to DoD... but that's it.

Do you concur with this information?

Thanks!

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >  
nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > >

On Wed, May 29, 2019 at 9:20 AM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > > wrote:

Steven,

I cannot confirm his role with AATIP, therefore Ms. Whites previous statement stands. The statement below is correct, it's his time prior to OUSDI is what I don't have position titles for.

Happy to discuss on the phone if you would like.

Chris

Christopher Sherwood  
Office of the Secretary of Defense  
Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon

(b)(6)

direct  
mobil

(b)(6)

NIPR:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:

(b)(6)

< Caution-  
> < Caution-Caution-  
< Caution-  
> > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
< Caution-  
> < Caution-Caution-  
< Caution-  
> > >

SIPR:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:

(b)(6)

Caution-  
< Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
> < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
< Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
> >

Caution-Caution-Caution-[www.defense.gov](http://www.defense.gov) < Caution-[www.defense.gov](http://Caution-Caution-Caution-<br/>www.defense.gov) > < Caution-Caution-[www.defense.gov](http://Caution-Caution-Caution-<br/>www.defense.gov) < Caution-[www.defense.gov](http://Caution-Caution-Caution-<br/>www.defense.gov) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-<http://www.defense.gov> < Caution-  
<http://www.defense.gov> > < Caution-Caution-<http://www.defense.gov> < Caution-  
<http://www.defense.gov> > > >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-  
Caution-<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > > >

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 29, 2019 8:23 AM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6)

mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:  
mailto:

(b)(6)

< Caution-  
Caution-  
> < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-  
< Caution-Caution-  
Caution-

mailto:(b)(6) > > >  
**Cc:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-mailto:susan.l.gough.civ@mail.mil > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > >  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

We are prepping an article on Luis Elizondo's role with AATIP. I think they want to print by this Friday.

I sent this follow-up question on May 6th:

**I need you to clarify this statement:**

*Mr. Elizondo had no responsibilities with regard to the AATIP program while he worked in OUSDI, up until the time he resigned effective 10/4/2017*

**Does this mean Mr. Elizondo never had ANYTHING to do with AATIP at ANY time?**

Elizondo's PR firm released this statement to me:

*"There is ample, formal documentation on AATIP and the fact that it specifically involved Mr. Luis Elizondo while he was assigned to the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence. This fact was substantiated by numerous reputable media outlets including the New York Times, Washington Post, and Politico, through independent sources."*

*And this is NOT part of the statement, just an FYI for you. This ran in Politico in Dec 2017: "Pentagon spokeswoman Dana White confirmed to POLITICO that the program existed and was run by Elizondo. But she could not say how long he was in charge of it and declined to answer detailed questions about the office or its work, citing concerns about the closely held nature of the program."*

Is there anyway to get a response to my follow-up question above and a statement on claims made by Mr. Elizondo's representatives?

Thank you.

Steven

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > > < Caution-  
nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > > < Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > > >

On Tue, May 28, 2019 at 9:27 AM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > > > wrote:

Steven,

It's "videos," plural. Thanks for reaching back.

Sincerely,

Chris

Christopher Sherwood  
Office of the Secretary of Defense  
Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon,

(b)(6)

direct: (b)(6)  
mobile (b)(6)  
NIPR: (b)(6) ion-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) tion-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) tion-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) tion-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) tion-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) tion-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) tion-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) tion-  
mailto: (b)(6) >  
SIPR: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > >  
Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-

www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > > >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > >

**Sent:** Sunday, May 26, 2019 12:51 PM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) < (b)(6) > Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > > >; Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA  
(USA) < (b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-



mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-mailto (b)(6) > > >>

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Christopher, I am going to be launching this next piece of the story on Wednesday, May 29th.

I emailed you for clarification on May 6th and haven't heard back. My question was this:

***Sorry, one more clarification I need: I asked about the "videos" (plural) included in the NYTimes article but your response was about "the video" (singular). Was this a typo? Or are you only referencing 1 single video (of the 3 total)? If so, which 1? And the others WERE cleared for the general public?***

Pending any new clarifying comments you have this, I will be including a portion of your original statement in my report May 29th.

Your original statement:

***The video you reference in the NY Times article was made releasable for research and analysis purposes by U.S. government agencies and industry partners, and not for general public release.***

If I don't receive a clarification by Tuesday, May 28th, I will include a disclaimer that "the Pentagon did not clarify which of the 3 videos was not for general public release".

Please let me know if I can expect a clarification by Tuesday, May 28th.

Thanks!

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > < Caution-  
Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > < Caution-  
Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > > > >

On Mon, May 6, 2019 at 4:14 PM Steven Greenstreet  
<sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > > > wrote:

Sorry, one more clarification I need: I asked about the "videos" (plural) included in the NYTimes article but your response was about "the video" (singular). Was this a typo? Or are you only referencing 1 single video (of the 3 total)? If so, which 1? And the others WERE cleared for the general public?

Thank you.

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-



mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > > > > > wrote:

Okay, thank you.

Sincerely,  
Chris

Christopher Sherwood  
Office of the Secretary of Defense  
Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon,  
direct: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

mobile: (b)(6)  
NIPR: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-

(b)(6)

mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > > >  
Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-  
http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov > < Caution-  
Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-www.defense.gov > > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > > > < Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-http://www.defense.gov < Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > < Caution-Caution-  
http://www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov > > > >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-

mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > > >

**Sent:** Monday, May 6, 2019 3:08 PM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-

mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > > >

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

Again, thank you. My deadline is tomorrow so any response before then would be appreciated.

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-







mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > > > > > > wrote:

Steven,

I have your questions and will work to get you a response asap.

Sincerely,  
Chris

Christopher Sherwood  
Office of the Secretary of Defense  
Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon,

(b)(6)

direct (b)(6)  
mobil (b)(6)

NIPR: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-

Caution-mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-

Caution-Caution-mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-

Caution-mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > > > < Caution-Caution-

Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto: (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-



mailto:(b)(6) > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > > > >

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post questions re: AATIP

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

Thank you! I need you to clarify this statement:

*Mr. Elizondo had no responsibilities with regard to the AATIP program while he worked in OUSDI, up until the time he resigned effective 10/4/2017*

1) Does this mean Mr. Elizondo never had ANYTHING to do with AATIP at ANY time?

And my follow up question is this:

2) Did Mr. Elizondo work with the Advanced Aerospace Weapons Systems Application Program (AAWSAP)? And, if so, in what capacity?

Thank you, Chris!

Steven

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-





Caution-Caution-mailto (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto > < Caution-  
mailto > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto < Caution-  
mailto > > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto < Caution-Caution-  
mailto < Caution-  
mailto > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto (b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto < Caution-  
mailto > > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-mailto (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto < Caution-  
mailto > < Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto (b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto (b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto < Caution-  
mailto > > > > > > wrote:

Steven,

Below is what I can provide as a Defense Department Spokesman.

“The Department of Defense is always concerned about maintaining positive identification of all aircraft in our operating environment as well as identifying any foreign capability that may be a threat to the homeland. The department will continue to investigate, through normal procedures, reports of unidentified aircraft encountered by US military aviators in order to ensure defense of the homeland and protection against strategic surprise by our nation’s adversaries.”

“The AATIP program did pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena. Mr. Elizondo had no responsibilities with regard to the AATIP program while he worked in OUSDI, up until the time he resigned effective 10/4/2017.”

“The video you reference in the NY Times article was made releasable for research and analysis purposes by U.S. government agencies and industry partners, and not for general public release.”

###

Sincerely,

Christopher Sherwood

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon, (b)(6)



All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Chris, thanks for taking these questions. A response sometime this week would be great.

**Did AATIP study unidentified flying objects (UFOs)?**

**Did Luis Elizondo work in the AATIP program?**

**Was Luis Elizondo the head of the AATIP program (in a leadership role)?**

**How long was Luis Elizondo employed by DoD/DIA/Pentagon/Etc?**

**Were the military UFO videos included in this NYTimes article OFFICIALLY released by the DoD or were they "leaked"?**

**Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > < Caution-Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > < Caution-Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > < Caution-Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-**  
**[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html)**

[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > < Caution-Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > < Caution-Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > < Caution-Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > < Caution-Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > < Caution-Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) < Caution-  
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-  
ufo-harry-reid.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/16/us/politics/pentagon-program-<br/>ufo-harry-reid.html) > > > > > > > >

**What is the DoD's official stance on UFOs?**

Thanks!

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-

**From:** [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**To:** ["Steven Greenstreet"](#)  
**Subject:** RE: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post request - AATIP comments  
**Date:** Friday, December 6, 2019 3:57:00 PM

---

Hello, Steven,

I got your voicemail, too, so I know your request has to do with what I provided to John Greenewald.

Regarding Luis Elizondo and AATIP:

*While in OUSD(I), Luis Elizondo did not have any assigned responsibilities for AATIP. The Defense Intelligence Agency ran AATIP. Elizondo was neither assigned nor detailed to DIA.*

[The below was provided in response to a question about the difference between AATIP and AAWSAP and whether either were UAP related.]

*Neither AATIP nor AAWSAP were UAP related. The purpose of AATIP was to investigate foreign advanced aerospace weapons system applications with future technology projections over the next 40 years, and to create a center of expertise on advanced aerospace technologies. The goal was to help understand the threat posed by unconventional or leap ahead aerospace vehicles/technologies that could have national security implications for the United States. The AAWSAP contract goal was to study 12 technical areas: lift, propulsion, control, armament, signatures reduction, materials, configuration, power generation, temporal translation, human effects, human interface, and technology integration.*

[The below was provided in response to a follow-up question to the above response, asking for clarification due to comment that Christopher Sherwood had told the NY Post in May]

*At the time, Mr. Sherwood was repeating the information that had been provided by a previous spokesperson some two years earlier. That previous spokesperson is no longer with my organization, and I cannot comment on why that person's explanation of AATIP included that it had looked at anomalous events. According to all the official information I have now, when implemented, AATIP did not pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena; that was not part of the technical studies nor the reports produced by the program.*

Regards,

Sue Gough

Pentagon Spokesperson

Defense Public Affairs Operations

DOD website: <https://www.defense.gov/>

Twitter: <https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense>

Instagram: <https://www.instagram.com/deptofdefense>

Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/DeptofDefense>

LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-department-of-defense>

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, December 6, 2019 2:40 PM

**To:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) <(b)(6)>

**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] New York Post request - AATIP comments

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Susan,

Can you send me official statements regarding these questions:

Did AATIP research and investigate Unidentified Aerial Phenomena?

Was Luis Elizondo involved in AATIP?

Thank you,

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >

[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com >

**From:** [Steven Greenstreet](#)  
**To:** [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post request - AATIP comments  
**Date:** Thursday, January 2, 2020 11:35:39 AM

---

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Happy New Year! Just checking in. Can you give me a general timeline on this? Thanks!

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103  
[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com >

On Wed, Dec 18, 2019 at 9:58 AM Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > wrote:

Circling back on this. Possible to get something this week?

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103  
[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com >

On Fri, Dec 13, 2019 at 12:15 PM Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA)

(b)(6) < Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > wrote:

Hi Steven, doesn't look like I'll have anything until Monday, as I'm still waiting to hear from a couple people/offices.

Sent by my iPhone

---

**From:** "Steven Greenstreet" <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

**Date:** Friday, December 13, 2019 at 11:19:08 AM

**To:** "Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA)"

(b)(6) < Caution-mailto:(b)(6) >

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post request - AATIP comments

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to



copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Susan, can I have an update on this? Thanks!

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >  
nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > >

On Wed, Dec 11, 2019 at 2:02 PM Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA)

< (b)(6) > < Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > > wrote:

Hi Steven, hope to have something for you tomorrow; however, one of my key coordinators is out of the office, so not totally sure just yet.

Regards,

Sue

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >

**Sent:** Wednesday, December 11, 2019 1:33 PM

**To:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) < (b)(6) > < Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > >

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post request - AATIP comments

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Susan, circling back on this. Can you provide a timeframe for a response?

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >

nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > >

On Fri, Dec 6, 2019 at 5:26 PM Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >> wrote:

I totally understand. That was my previous experience with Chris Sherwood as well. He had to coordinate his responses with other people there.

Please send me a time frame on Monday if you could.

Have a good weekend.

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >

nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > >

On Fri, Dec 6, 2019 at 5:22 PM Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA)

(b)(6) < Caution-mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > > > wrote:

Steven,

I am not going to be able to give you an answer on all of this until after the weekend, as there are other people I need to coordinate with.

Regards,

Sue

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > >

**Sent:** Friday, December 6, 2019 5:07 PM

**To:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) <(b)(6)> Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-mailto:(b)(6) > > >

**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] New York Post request - AATIP comments

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

Thank you for your response. My questions are below highlighted in yellow.

1) **So, would you characterize any statement that says "Luis Elizondo was the Director of AATIP" or "Luis Elizondo ran AATIP" as a false statement?**

2) In my conversations (phone and email) with Chris Sherwood in May 2019, he told me he was coordinating with OUSDI in order to properly answer my questions regarding AATIP and Mr. Elizondo. He said he was waiting on answers from them (OUSDI) and would then send me an "accurate" response. Some of his exact quotes were "Steven, I'll have to speak with OUSD(I) tomorrow morning when their at work for more clarity." and "This may take some time, we do not have a time frame on when we will receive this information. It's important for us to provide information that is accurate." **You are now saying that at least some of the information he provided was not accurate?**

And given your recent statement: *"At the time, Mr. Sherwood was repeating the information that had been provided by a previous spokesperson some two years earlier."*, **can you comment on Mr. Sherwood's source of the information given to the New York Post in May 2019 saying "AATIP did pursue research and investigation into UAP"?**

Because you seem to infer he was simply "repeating" or copy/pasting information from a "previous spokesperson some two years earlier" (who I assume is Dana White?) but my conversations with him informs that he was getting his information from OUSDI at the time of our communication in May 2019.

3) You are CCed on a few of the emails between myself and Chris Sherwood in May 2019. Specifically, you were CCed on an email dated May 29, 2019 in which I had asked about Pentagon Spokeswoman Dana White's comments to Politico stating the Luis Elizondo ran AATIP. Chris Sherwood states that "Ms. Whites previous statement stands." **Does that Chris Sherwood statement confirming Dana White's comments that Luis Elizondo ran AATIP still stand? If it doesn't (which seems to be the case), what changed? At what point did Sherwood's confirmation to me (with you CCed) become inaccurate?**

4) I have spoken to former Pentagon spokesperson Dana White directly and she told me that Luis Elizondo was indeed involved with AATIP and indeed "ran" the program. **Can you comment on this?**

5) You stated to me earlier: "According to all the official information I have now, when implemented, AATIP did not pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena." **Was that "official information" that you have "now" NOT available in May 2019? Was it not available to Chris Sherwood in May 2019? If it was available to Chris Sherwood in May 2019, how do you explain his previous comment to me that AATIP investigated UAP?**

5) You were also CCed on an email dated May 30th between myself and Chris Sherwood about information given to me from James Kudla at DIA regarding Luis Elizondo. Please read below:

STEVEN GREENSTREET, New York Post

"I just spoke with James Kudla at DIA who gave me the following: Luis Elizondo worked at OUSDI from 2008-2017. Luis Elizondo was never employed with DIA. Luis Elizondo only came to DIA in 2010 "to help close out and transition AATIP" from DIA to DoD but "he had no management position". So, from a combo of the Pentagon's statements and DIA's statements, it appears the ONLY time Elizondo was involved with AATIP was in 2010 to help transition it from DIA to DoD... but that's it. Do you concur with this information?"

CHRISTOPHER SHERWOOD, Pentagon spokesperson

"I don't disagree with DIA's account."

**Can I still print Sherwood's above comment "I don't disagree with DIA's account"? Or do you want to issue an updated comment?**

**6) Does the Department of Defense CURRENTLY have ANY program investigating Unidentified Aerial Phenomena?**

7) Luis Elizondo has been openly claiming in hundreds of newspapers, television shows, and all across the internet as being the "director" of AATIP and "running the Pentagon's secret UFO program". **What is the Department of Defense's policy on former employees publicly claiming false credentials regarding their previous employment at DoD?**

Thank you in advance for your responses.

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > >

nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > > > >

On Fri, Dec 6, 2019 at 3:58 PM Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA)

(b)(6) Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-

mailto:<sup>(b)(6)</sup> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > > > wrote:

Hello, Steven,

I got your voicemail, too, so I know your request has to do with what I provided to John Greenewald.

Regarding Luis Elizondo and AATIP:

*While in OUSD(I), Luis Elizondo did not have any assigned responsibilities for AATIP. The Defense Intelligence Agency ran AATIP. Elizondo was neither assigned nor detailed to DIA.*

[The below was provided in response to a question about the difference between AATIP and AAWSAP and whether either were UAP related.]

*Neither AATIP nor AAWSAP were UAP related. The purpose of AATIP was to investigate foreign advanced aerospace weapons system applications with future technology projections over the next 40 years, and to create a center of expertise on advanced aerospace technologies. The goal was to help understand the threat posed by unconventional or leap ahead aerospace vehicles/technologies that could have national security implications for the United States. The AAWSAP contract goal was to study 12 technical areas: lift, propulsion, control, armament, signatures reduction, materials, configuration, power generation, temporal translation, human effects, human interface, and technology integration.*

[The below was provided in response to a follow-up question to the above response, asking for clarification due to comment that Christopher Sherwood had told the NY Post in May]



*At the time, Mr. Sherwood was repeating the information that had been provided by a previous spokesperson some two years earlier. That previous spokesperson is no longer with my organization, and I cannot comment on why that person's explanation of AATIP included that it had looked at anomalous events. According to all the official information I have now, when implemented, AATIP did not pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena; that was not part of the technical studies nor the reports produced by the program.*

Regards,

Sue Gough

Pentagon Spokesperson

Defense Public Affairs Operations

DOD website: Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> < Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> > < Caution-Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> < Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> < Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> > < Caution-Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> < Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> < Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> > < Caution-Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> < Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> < Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> > < Caution-Caution-<https://www.defense.gov/> > > >

Twitter: Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> < Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> > < Caution-Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> < Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> < Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> > < Caution-Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> < Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> < Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> > < Caution-Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> < Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> < Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> > < Caution-Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> < Caution-<https://twitter.com/DeptofDefense> > > >



department-of-defense > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-department-of-defense < Caution-  
https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-department-of-  
defense > < Caution-Caution-https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-  
department-of-defense < Caution-https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-  
department-of-defense > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-department-of-defense < Caution-  
https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-department-of-  
defense > < Caution-Caution-https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-  
department-of-defense < Caution-https://www.linkedin.com/company/united-states-  
department-of-defense > > > >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > >

**Sent:** Friday, December 6, 2019 2:40 PM

**To:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) <(b)(6)> Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) < Caution-

(b)(6)  
mailto: > < Caution-Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: < Caution-Caution-  
mailto: Caution-  
mailto: > > >  
**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] New York Post request - AATIP comments

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Susan,

Can you send me official statements regarding these questions:

Did AATIP research and investigate Unidentified Aerial Phenomena?

Was Luis Elizondo involved in AATIP?

Thank you,

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > < Caution-Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> < Caution-  
<mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com> > > < Caution-Caution-Caution-



**From:** [Steven Greenstreet](#)  
**To:** [Sherwood, Christopher G CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] Re: New York Post request - AATIP comments  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019 4:22:16 PM

---

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Chris, thanks for the reply.

You told me at the time that you were communicating with OUSDI regarding this matter. Is OUSDI where you got your information regarding AATIP and the original statement you sent me?

Also, how can you explain giving wrong or inaccurate basic information about a program that shut down 7 years ago? AATIP either did or did not investigate and research UAP. It's been on the shelf for 7 years. How did you get this so wrong?

Lastly, you sounded a bit frustrated during one of our phone calls back in May and said, "I am really not happy with the way they're handling this." You were referring to OUSDI, who you said you were communicating with to get the answers to my questions. Do you wish to add any more comment to this?

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103  
[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com >

On Tue, Dec 10, 2019 at 4:10 PM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV OSD PA (USA)

(b)(6) Caution-  
(b)(6) > wrote:

Steven,

That was the information I had at that time. I refer you to Ms. Sue Gough for updated information.

Thanks,

Chris

---

Christopher Sherwood

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon,

(b)(6)

direct (b)(6)

mobil (b)(6)

NIPR: (b)(6)  
mailt (b)(6)

SIPR: (b)(6)  
mailt (b)(6)

Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019 9:59 AM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV OSD PA (USA) (b)(6) Caution-mailt (b)(6)

**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] Re: New York Post request - AATIP comments

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Hi, just confirming you received this. Thanks.

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > >

On Fri, Dec 6, 2019 at 2:37 PM Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > wrote:

Christopher,

Back in May you gave me (in part) this statement:

***"The AATIP program did pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena."***

Susan Gough gave this statement (in part) to John Greenwald at The Black Vault:

***"According to all the official information I have now, when implemented, AATIP did not pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena"***

She gave this reason for why your original statement back in May was wrong:

***"At the time, Mr. Sherwood was repeating the information that had been provided by a previous spokesperson some two years earlier," said Gough. "That previous spokesperson is no longer with my organization, and I cannot comment on why that person's explanation of AATIP included that it had looked at anomalous events."***

Did you give me inaccurate/wrong information? If so, why? And, if so, how would you explain you getting the wrong information?



Thank you,

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > >

**From:** [Sherwood, Christopher G CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**To:** [Steven Greenstreet](#)  
**Cc:** [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: [Non-DoD Source] Re: New York Post request - AATIP comments  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019 4:39:46 PM

---

Steven,

That was the information I was provided at the time. Most of the people who ran that program departed 7 years ago.

Most of what you say below is correct, however none of which was on the record.

Sincerely,  
Chris

---

Christopher Sherwood  
Office of the Secretary of Defense  
Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon (b)(6)  
direct: (b)(6)  
mobile (b)(6)  
NIPR: (b)(6)  
SIPR: (b)(6)  
[www.defense.gov](http://www.defense.gov)

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019 4:22 PM  
**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV OSD PA (USA) (b)(6)  
**Cc:** Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) (b)(6)  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] Re: New York Post request - AATIP comments

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Chris, thanks for the reply.

You told me at the time that you were communicating with OUSDI regarding this matter. Is OUSDI where you got your information regarding AATIP and the original statement you sent me?

Also, how can you explain giving wrong or inaccurate basic information about a program that shut down 7 years ago? AATIP either did or did not investigate and research UAP. It's been on

the shelf for 7 years. How did you get this so wrong?

Lastly, you sounded a bit frustrated during one of our phone calls back in May and said, "I am really not happy with the way they're handling this." You were referring to OUSDI, who you said you were communicating with to get the answers to my questions. Do you wish to add any more comment to this?

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103  
[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com >

On Tue, Dec 10, 2019 at 4:10 PM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV OSD PA (USA)

<(b)(6)> Caution-  
mailto:(b)(6) wrote:

Steven,

That was the information I had at that time. I refer you to Ms. Sue Gough for updated information.

Thanks,  
Chris

---

Christopher Sherwood  
Office of the Secretary of Defense  
Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon, (b)(6)  
direct (b)(6)  
mobile (b)(6)  
NIPR: (b)(6)  
mailto: (b)(6)  
SIPR: (b)(6)  
mailto: (b)(6)  
Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 10, 2019 9:59 AM  
**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV OSD PA (USA) <(b)(6)> Caution-mailto:(b)(6)  
**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] Re: New York Post request - AATIP comments

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the

sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Hi, just confirming you received this. Thanks.

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > >

On Fri, Dec 6, 2019 at 2:37 PM Steven Greenstreet <[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >> wrote:

Christopher,

Back in May you gave me (in part) this statement:

***"The AATIP program did pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena."***

Susan Gough gave this statement (in part) to John Greenewald at The Black Vault:

***"According to all the official information I have now, when implemented, AATIP did not pursue research and investigation into unidentified aerial phenomena"***

She gave this reason for why your original statement back in May was wrong:

***"At the time, Mr. Sherwood was repeating the information that had been provided by a previous spokesperson some two years earlier," said Gough. "That previous spokesperson is no longer with my organization, and I cannot comment on why that person's explanation of AATIP included that it had looked at anomalous events."***

Did you give me inaccurate/wrong information? If so, why? And, if so, how would you explain you getting the wrong information?

Thank you,

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >  
nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > >

**From:** [Steven Greenstreet](#)  
**To:** [Sherwood, Christopher G CIV \(USA\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Gough, Susan L \(Sue\) CIV OSD PA \(USA\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: [Non-DoD Source] Re: URGENT: Contradictory statements  
**Date:** Monday, May 13, 2019 5:03:03 PM

---

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

Thank you for the update. While I'm sure employment records will definitely clarify many of these details, I also hope you can address former Pentagon spokeswoman telling Politico that Elizondo "ran" (was director of) AATIP.

Thanks again,

Steven

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development  
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036  
WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103  
[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < [Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) >  
[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < [Caution-http://nypost.com](http://nypost.com) >

On Mon, May 13, 2019 at 4:09 PM Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA)

(b)(6) < [Caution-mailto:\(b\)\(6\)@nypost.com](mailto:Caution-mailto:(b)(6)@nypost.com) > wrote:

Steven,

We are working to obtain Mr. Elizondo's employment records. This may take some time, we do not have a time frame on when we will receive this information. It's important for us to provide information that is accurate. Once we have more information we will provide that to you.

Feel free to follow up or call me if needed.

Sincerely,

Chris

Christopher Sherwood

Office of the Secretary of Defense

Public Affairs Operations-Pentagon

(b)(6)

direct:

(b)(6)

mobile

NIPR:

(b)(6)

mailto:

SIPR:

mailto:

Caution-www.defense.gov < Caution-http://www.defense.gov >

**From:** Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com >>

**Sent:** Friday, May 10, 2019 3:48 PM

**To:** Sherwood, Christopher G CIV (USA) <

(b)(6)

Caution-

mailto:

(b)(6)

**Subject:** [Non-DoD Source] Re: URGENT: Contradictory statements

All active links contained in this email were disabled. Please verify the identity of the sender, and confirm the authenticity of all links contained within the message prior to copying and pasting the address to a Web browser.

---

We are pubbing a non-Elizondo story early next week. Would be great if you could send me a statement or statements by early next week regarding Dana White's comments saying he ran AATIP and Elizondo's own comments that he ran AATIP while at OUSDI. It will be a separate story.

On Thu, May 9, 2019, 9:29 AM Steven Greenstreet <sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-

mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > wrote:

Just checking in about this. Thanks!

## **STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

nypost.com < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > >

On Mon, May 6, 2019 at 5:23 PM Steven Greenstreet  
<sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > > > wrote:

I got a response from Luis Elizondo's representative who said this:

This ran in Politico in Dec 2017:

**"Pentagon spokeswoman Dana White confirmed** to POLITICO that the program existed and was run by Elizondo. But she could not say how long he was in charge of it and declined to answer detailed questions about the office or its work, citing concerns about the closely held nature of the program."

So, Dana White, a Pentagon spokeswoman said Elizondo ran AATIP? But your recent statement to me said Elizondo had "no responsibilities" with AATIP?

I need to clear this up. Which statement is accurate?

Otherwise, I need to print that the Pentagon appears to have 100% changed it's story about Elizondo.



Thanks in advance for your response.

Steven Greenstreet

**STEVEN GREENSTREET**

Senior Manager, Video Production and Series Development

1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036

WORK: 212.462.5391 MOBILE: 801.580.3103

[sgreenstreet@nypost.com](mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com) < Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > < Caution-  
Caution-mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com < Caution-  
mailto:sgreenstreet@nypost.com > >

[nypost.com](http://nypost.com) < Caution-http://nypost.com > < Caution-Caution-http://nypost.com < Caution-  
http://nypost.com > >

<b>REQUEST INFORMATION SHEET</b>		<b>SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE 3</b>	
TO: Office of Freedom of Information (OFOI)		This form is to be used for recording disclosure/ non-disclosure determination associated with processing a Freedom of Information or Privacy request and to document the record search.	
1. CASE NUMBER 21-F-0946	2. TYPE OF REQUEST <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INITIAL <input type="checkbox"/> APPEAL	3. RECORD PROVIDED TO COMPONENT FOR REVIEW?	
4. I REASONABLY BELIEVE THAT MY OFFICE/ORGANIZATION WOULD NOT HAVE RECORDS IN OUR FILES OR AT THE NATIONAL RECORDS CENTER THAT ARE RESPONSIVE TO THIS REQUEST. THEREFORE, A SEARCH WAS NOT CONDUCTED (Skip to Item 20).		5. COMPONENT SEARCH RESULTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RECORDS FOUND <input type="checkbox"/> NO RECORDS <i>(Complete Items 7 - 9 to document the search process regardless of the results of the search.)</i>	
6. THIS REQUEST SHOULD BE REDIRECTED OR ADDITIONALLY SENT FOR SEARCH TO:		7. COMPONENT PROVIDING SEARCH/REVIEW  OATSD PA	
8. SEARCH PROCESS <i>(X all that apply)</i>		OTHER OFF-SITE STORAGE SEARCHED	
<input type="checkbox"/> NATIONAL RECORDS CENTER SEARCH CONDUCTED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EMAIL ACCOUNTS SEARCHED	
<input type="checkbox"/> OFFICE FILES SEARCHED		ELECTRONIC RECORDS SEARCHED	
9. DESCRIBE EMAIL ACCOUNTS, OFFICE FILES OR ELECTRONIC RECORDS SEARCHED Susan Gough- susan.l.gough.civ@mail.mil Christopher Sherwood- christopher.g.sherwood.civ@mail.mil			
10. PROVIDE KEY WORDS, PHRASES USED FOR SEARCH "Greenstreet" "Steven Greenstreet"			
<b>11. - 14. RECORD DESCRIPTIONS</b>			
11.a. DATE <i>(YYYYMMDD)</i> 20211028	b. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	d. ADDRESSEE	f. SUBJECT/TITLE 21-F-0946_Combined_Original- 76 pages 21-F-0946_Combined_rdt- 76 pages
c. TYPE PDF		e. ORIGINATOR	
12.a. DATE <i>(YYYYMMDD)</i>	b. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	d. ADDRESSEE	f. SUBJECT/TITLE
c. TYPE		e. ORIGINATOR	
13.a. DATE <i>(YYYYMMDD)</i>	b. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	d. ADDRESSEE	f. SUBJECT/TITLE
c. TYPE		e. ORIGINATOR	

## REQUEST INFORMATION SHEET

**RECORD DESCRIPTIONS (Continued)**

<b>14.a. DATE</b> <small>(YYYYMMDD)</small>	<b>b. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION</b>	<b>d. ADDRESSEE</b>	<b>f. SUBJECT/TITLE</b>
<b>c. TYPE</b>		<b>e. ORIGINATOR</b>	
<b>15.a. DATE</b> <small>(YYYYMMDD)</small>	<b>b. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION</b>	<b>d. ADDRESSEE</b>	<b>f. SUBJECT/TITLE</b>
<b>c. TYPE</b>		<b>e. ORIGINATOR</b>	

**16. ACTION TAKEN BY COMPONENT (X those that apply)**
 GRANT IN FULL     GRANT IN PART     DENY

**17. EXEMPTIONS INVOKED FOR DENIALS (See 5 USC 552, 5 USC 552a, and DoD Regulation 5400.7-R)**

(b)(6)

**18. RATIONALE FOR DENIAL (For a full denial or partial denial of information, provide a statement of specific harm that would result from release.)**

(b)(6): Personal Privacy Information.

**19. REMARKS**

n/a

**20. COORDINATION**

a. NAME <small>(Last, First, Middle Initial)</small>	b. OFFICE SYMBOL	c. TELEPHONE NO.	d. CONCUR	e. NON-CONCUR
Holt, April K.	OATSD PA	703-614-7904	X	

**21. ACTION OFFICER**

<b>a. NAME</b> <small>(Last, First, Middle Initial)</small> (b)(6)	<b>b. RANK</b> CTR	<b>c. TITLE</b> FOIA Analyst	<b>d. SIGNATURE</b> (b)(6)	<b>e. OFFICE TELEPHONE NUMBER</b> (b)(6)
---	-----------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------	---

**20. APPROVAL/DENIAL AUTHORITY**

<b>a. NAME</b> <small>(Last, First, Middle Initial)</small> Rose, Tanya R.	<b>b. RANK</b> CIV	<b>c. TITLE</b> IM Director	<b>d. SIGNATURE</b> ROSE.TANYA.R.1055921721 <small>Digitally signed by ROSE.TANYA.R.1055921721 Date: 2021.11.23 09:27:10 -05'00'</small>	<b>e. DATE</b> (YYYYMMDD) 20211123
---	-----------------------	--------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SD FORM 472

1. CASE NO. - OFOI will assign request case number using F = Freedom of Information, and P = Privacy. A consecutive numbering system is employed for tracking purposes; e.g., 04-F-0000. This notation shall be used on all forms and/or correspondence associated with request.

2. TYPE OF REQUEST - OFOI will notate whether request is an initial or appeal action by placing an "X" in the appropriate block.

3. RECORD PROVIDED TO COMPONENT TO REVIEW - In instances where a record is provided to a Component by OFOI for review, OFOI will place an "X" in this block.

4. SEARCH NOT CONDUCTED. Place an "X" in the block if applicable and complete Item 20.

5. COMPONENT SEARCH RESULTS - Upon receiving a request, Component Action Officer(s) will conduct a search for requested records. "X" to indicate whether or not records were found.

6. REDIRECTED OR ADDITIONAL SEARCH. "X" and complete if this request should be directed to another component or agency for search or if there are additional components that might also hold responsive records.

7. SEARCH PROCESS. Mark "X" in all applicable blocks and complete items 8 and 9 regardless of search results.

8. Describe EMAIL ACCOUNTS, OFFICE FILES, ELECTRONIC RECORDS, or other storage searched.

9. List KEY WORDS or phrases used for search.

10. - 14. RECORD DESCRIPTION - When records are found responsive to the request, the Component Action Officer shall complete blocks a through f. In instances where voluminous records are involved, a separate sheet may be attached detailing required information.

a. DATE - Enter date of located record.

b. TYPE - Identify record type; e.g., memorandum, letter, study, message, etc.

c. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION - Enter record classification using T = Top Secret, S = Secret, and C = Confidential. Records bearing a "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY" marking = FOUO.

d. ADDRESSEE - Enter information such as individual's name, title or organization which reflects to or for whom record was intended.

e. ORIGINATOR - Enter information such as individual's name, title or organization which reflects record's origin.

f. SUBJECT/TITLE - Record identification should be as complete as possible. Where a record is commonly known by a name other than its official subject/title, both references should be notated, e.g., 1968 Department of Defense Study, "United States Vietnam Relations, 1945 - 1967," popularly known as the Pentagon Papers.

15. ACTION TAKEN BY COMPONENT. Upon acceptance of a request for action and when content of local record has been reviewed, mark "X" in appropriate block to indicate decision made.

Mark GRANT IN FULL when all records reviewed may be disclosed; mark GRANT IN PART when any record or portion of any record is denied; mark DENY when all records may not be disclosed; or mark NO OBJECTION TO DISCLOSURE when entire record is not under your jurisdiction, but there is no objection by your Component to disclose.

16. EXEMPTIONS INVOKED FOR DENIALS - Identify one or more of the exemptions to disclosure invoked when denying a record or a portion of a record.

17. RATIONALE FOR DENIALS - Component's rationale for denying a record or a portion of a record shall be entered to include the specific harm that would result from disclosure. Classified comments, if required, will be placed in a separate memorandum to OFOI.

18. REMARKS - Any additional pertinent information associated with the request may be entered. If applicable, a statement that reasonably segregable portions of a denied record cannot be provided will be entered.

19. COORDINATION - Reflect all parties which reviewed records in the course of arriving at or passing on the determinations. When either GRANT IN PART or DENY is marked, you may wish to coordinate with Component's General Counsel.

a. NAME - Self explanatory.

b. OFFICE SYMBOL - Self explanatory.

c. TELEPHONE NO. - Self explanatory.

d. CONCUR - Place an "X" in this box to indicate concurrence.

e. NON-CONCUR - Place an "X" in this box to indicate non-concurrence.

20. ACTION OFFICER - Identification of Component action officer allows direct contact on any subsequent matters.

a. NAME - Self explanatory.

b. RANK - Self Explanatory.

c. TITLE - Self explanatory.

d. SIGNATURE - Self explanatory.

e. OFFICE PHONE NO. - Include area code.

21. APPROVAL/DENIAL AUTHORITY - The specifically appointed authority is encouraged to review action on all requests. The authority's signature denotes personal review. Signature is mandatory when GRANT IN PART, DENY or NO RECORD is marked.

a. NAME - Self explanatory.

b. RANK - Self explanatory.

c. TITLE - Self explanatory.

d. SIGNATURE - Self explanatory.

e. DATE - Self explanatory.

<b>RECORD OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION (FOI) PROCESSING COST</b>							<b>REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL: DD-CMO-1365 EXPIRATION: 20220130</b>												
<i>Please read instructions on back before completing form.</i>																			
1. REQUEST NUMBER		2. TYPE OF REQUEST (X one)		3. DATE COMPLETED (YYYYMMDD)		4. ACTION OFFICE													
21-F-0946		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. INITIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> b. APPEAL	20211028		Public Affairs													
<b>5. CLERICAL HOURS (E-9/GS-8 and below)</b>				FEE CODE	(1) TOTAL HOURS	(2) HOURLY RATE		(3) COST											
a. SEARCH				1		X	\$24.00	=	0.00										
b. REVIEW/EXCISING				2					0.00										
c. OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS				3					0.00										
<b>6. PROFESSIONAL HOURS (O-1 - O-6/GS-9-GS-15)/CONTRACTOR</b>					(1) TOTAL HOURS	(2) HOURLY RATE		(3) COST											
a. SEARCH				1		X	\$48.00	=	0.00										
b. REVIEW/EXCISING				2	0.50				24.00										
c. OTHER/COORDINATION/DENIAL				3	0.25				12.00										
<b>7. EXECUTIVE HOURS (O-7 - ES 1 and above)</b>					(1) TOTAL HOURS	(2) HOURLY RATE		(3) COST											
a. SEARCH				1		X	\$110.00	=	0.00										
b. REVIEW/EXCISING				2					0.00										
c. OTHER/COORDINATION/DENIAL				3					0.00										
<b>8. COMPUTER SEARCH</b>					(1) TOTAL TIME	(2) RATE		(3) COST											
a. MACHINE TIME (Not PC, desktop, laptop)				4		X	=	=	0.00										
b. PROGRAMMER/OPERATOR TIME (Human)									0.00										
(1) Clerical Hours				1	\$24.00/hr				0.00										
(2) Professional Hours				1	\$48.00/hr				0.00										
<b>9. OFFICE MACHINE COPY REPRODUCTION</b>					(1) NUMBER	(2) RATE		(3) COST											
a. PAGES REPRODUCED FOR FILE COPY				3		X	.15	=	0.00										
b. PAGES RELEASED				5					0.00										
<b>10. PRE-PRINTED PUBLICATIONS</b>					(1) TOTAL PAGES	(2) RATE		(3) COST											
a. PAGES PRINTED				5		X	.02	=	0.00										
<b>11. COMPUTER PRODUCT OUTPUT/ACTUAL COST CHARGES</b>					(1) NUMBER	(2) ACTUAL COST		(3) COST											
a. TAPE/DISC/CD				6		X	=	=	0.00										
b. PAPER PRINTOUT				3					0.00										
<b>12. OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE FEES</b>					(1) NUMBER	(2) ACTUAL COST		(3) COST											
a. ALL POSTAGE/ADMINISTRATIVE (See instructions)				3		X	=	=	0.00										
<b>13. AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS</b>					(1) NUMBER	(2) ACTUAL COST		(3) COST											
a. MATERIALS REPRODUCED				4		X	=	=	0.00										
<b>14. SPECIAL SERVICES</b>					(1) NUMBER	(2) ACTUAL COST		(3) COST											
a. ALL SPECIAL SERVICES (See instructions)				6		X	=	=	0.00										
<b>15. MICROFICHE REPRODUCED</b>					5		X	.25	=	0.00									
<b>FEE CODES</b>					<b>16. FOR FOI OFFICE USE ONLY</b>														
1 Chargeable to "commercial" requesters. Chargeable to "other" requesters after deducting 2 hours.					a. TOTAL COLLECTABLE FEES														
2 Chargeable to "commercial" requesters only.					b. TOTAL PROCESSING FEES														
3 Not chargeable to any fee category.					\$36.00														
4 Chargeable to "commercial". Chargeable to "other" after deduction of the equivalent of 2 hours. (Example: deduct \$88.00 professional rate.)					c. TOTAL CHARGED														
5 Chargeable to all fee categories after deduction of 100 pages (DOES NOT include "commercial").					d. FEES WAIVED/REDUCED (X one)														
6 Chargeable to all fee categories. No deductions.					e. FEES NOT APPLICABLE (X one)														
					<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">No</td> <td style="width: 10%;"></td> <td style="width: 10%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>						Yes	No				Yes	No		
	Yes	No																	
	Yes	No																	
					See Chapter 6, Fee Schedule, DoD 5400.7-R, to determine appropriate assessment of fees.														

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING DD FORM 2086

*This form is used to record costs associated with the processing of a Freedom of Information request.*

**1. REQUEST NUMBER** - First two digits will express Calendar Year followed by dash (-) and Component's request number, i.e., 03-001.

**2. TYPE OF REQUEST** - Mark the appropriate block to indicate initial request or appeal of a denial.

**3. DATE COMPLETED** - Enter year, month and day, i.e., 20031001.

**4. ACTION OFFICE** - Enter the office processing this request.

**5. CLERICAL HOURS** - For each applicable activity category, enter time expended to the nearest 15 minutes in the total hours column. The activity categories are:

Search - Time spent in locating from the files the requested information.

Review/Excising - Time spent in reviewing the document content and determining if the entire document must retain its classification or segments could be excised thereby permitting the remainder of the document to be declassified. In reviews for other than classification, FOI exemptions 2 through 9 should be considered.

Other Administrative - Time spent in activity other than above, such as hand carrying documents to other locations, restoring files, etc.

- Multiply the time in the total hours column of each category by the hourly rate and enter the cost figures for each category.

**6. PROFESSIONAL HOURS** - For each applicable activity category, enter time expended to the nearest 15 minutes in the total hours column. The activity categories are:

Search/Review/Excising/Other - See explanation above.

- Multiply the time in the total hours column of each category by the hourly rate and enter the cost figures for each category.

**7. EXECUTIVE HOURS** - For each applicable activity category, enter the time expended to the nearest 15 minutes in the total hours column. The activity categories are:

Search/Review/Excising/Other - See explanation above.

- Multiply the time in the total hours column of each category by the hourly rate and enter the cost figures for each category.

**8. COMPUTER SEARCH** - When the amount of government-owned (not leased) computer processing machine time required to complete a search is known, and accurate cost information for operation is available, enter the time used and the rate. Then, calculate the total cost which is fully chargeable to the requester.

- Programmer and operator costs are calculated using the same method as in Items 5 and 6. This cost is also fully chargeable to requesters as computer search time.

**9. OFFICE COPY REPRODUCTION** - Enter the number of pages reproduced and/or released.

- Multiply by the rate per copy and enter cost figures.

**10. PRE-PRINTED PUBLICATIONS** - Enter total pages.

- Multiply the total number of pages by the rate per page and enter cost figures.

**11. COMPUTER COPY** - Enter the total number of tapes and/or printouts.

- Multiply by the actual cost per tape or printout and enter cost figures.

**12. OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE FEES** - Covers postage (when known), correspondence preparation, other non-billable charges not covered under Items 5 - 7, etc.

**13. AUDIOVISUAL MATERIALS** - Duplication cost is the actual cost of reproducing the material, including the wages of the person doing the work.

**14. SPECIAL SERVICES** - Covers items outside of the FOIA such as authenticating records at \$5.20 per seal, overnight mail at cost, and other services for which the requester agrees to reimburse the agency.

**15. MICROFICHE REPRODUCED** - Enter the number of copies and multiply by the rate per copy.

**16. FOR FOI OFFICE USE ONLY** -

**Total Collectable Fees** - Add the blocks in the cost column and enter total in the total collectable fees block. Apply the appropriate waiver for the category of requester prior to inserting the final figure. Further discussion of chargeable fees is contained in Chapter VI of DoDM 5400.7.

**Total Processing Fees** - Add all blocks in the cost column and enter total in the total processing fees block. The total processing fees in most cases will exceed the total collectable fees.

**Total Charged** - Enter the total amount that the requester was charged, taking into account the fee waiver threshold and fee waiver policy.

**Fees Waived/Reduced** - Indicate if the cost of processing the request was waived or reduced by placing an "X" in the "Yes" block or the "No" block.

**Fees Not Applicable** - Indicate if the cost of processing the request was not applicable by placing an "X" in the "Yes" block or the "No" block.

## **WORKSHEET FOR ESTIMATING A COMPLETION DATE**

FOIA Search - A search is adequate if it was "reasonably calculated to discover the requested documents". Unless records have officially been accessioned to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), they are still agency records for purposes of a FOIA search. Responsive records are not defined by who originated the document(s) or the classification level of the document but on whether they are in the possession of the agency. A sufficient search may involve searching records that an office has stored at the National Records Center.

Agency record - 32 CFR Part 286 defines an agency record as the products of data compilation made or received by an agency of the U.S. Government under Federal law in connection with the transaction of public business and in DoD possession and control at the time the FOIA request is made.

---

### **Factors to be considered in estimating a response date for a FOIA request**

1. What offices are likely to hold responsive records?
2. Would records responsive to this request be stored at the National Records Center?
3. What electronic records systems would need to be searched?
4. Was email requested and if it was, how many individual email accounts would require a search? Classified and unclassified systems may be applicable.
5. What is the potential volume of responsive records?
6. How much time would be required to review records to determine if information is exempt from release? How much time will be required for Initial Denial Authority (IDA) review?
7. What is the current office workload and what manpower is available to conduct searches and review records?
8. What is the current backlog of FOIA requests tasked to your office? Requests received prior to the current request should be worked in the order of receipt. However, a request that can be quickly answered should not be delayed simply because of its receipt date.
9. Would records responsive to this request be held by other OSD offices? If so, what offices? This information should be provided to the Office of Freedom of Information (OFOI) action officer so that additional tasking can be initiated.
10. **Estimated Completion Date** \_\_\_\_\_ . (Provide within 10 working days to OFOI Action Officer).

## **IMPORTANT NOTE TO REVIEWERS OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION**

Executive Order (EO), 13526, "Classified National Security Information," December 29, 2009, prescribes a uniform system for classifying, safeguarding, and declassifying national security information including information relating to defense against transnational terrorism. The order provides for the classification of information for up to 25 years under Tier I criteria and for classification beyond 25 years under Tier II.

### **TIER I (Information less than 25 years old)**

Under Section 1.4 of the Order, information shall not be considered for original classification unless its unauthorized disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause identifiable or describable damage to the national security, and it pertains to one or more of the following:

- a) Military plans, weapons, or operations;
- b) Foreign government information;
- c) Intelligence activities (including covert action), intelligence sources or methods, or cryptology;
- d) Foreign relations or foreign activities of the United States, including confidential sources;
- e) Scientific, technological, or economic matters relating to the national security;
- f) United States Government programs for safeguarding nuclear materials or facilities;
- g) Vulnerabilities or capability of systems, installations, infrastructures, projects, plans or protection services relating to the national security, or
- h) The development, production or use of weapons of mass destruction.

When reviewing classified information in response to a FOIA request, the basis for classification is also the basis for the continuation of that classification and denial under FOIA exemption (b)(1).

### **TIER II (Information more than 25 years old)**

Information which is of permanent historical value and more than 25 years old must meet one of nine criteria as described in Section 3.3(b) of the Order to qualify for continued classification protection. The criteria in the second tier are more narrowly defined. To be exempt from automatic declassification, the release of the information could be clearly and demonstrably expected to:

- 1) Reveal the identity of a confidential human source, a human intelligence source, a relationship with an intelligence or security service of a foreign government or international organization, or a nonhuman intelligence source; or impair the effectiveness of an intelligence method currently in use, available for use, or under development;
- 2) Reveal information that would assist in the development, production, or use of weapons of mass destruction;
- 3) Reveal information that would impair U.S. cryptologic systems or activities;
- 4) Reveal information that would impair the application of state of the art technology within a U.S. weapon system;
- 5) Reveal formally named or numbered U.S. military war plans that remain in effect, or reveal operational or tactical elements of prior plans that are contained in such active plans;
- 6) Reveal information, including foreign government information, that would cause serious harm to relations between the United States and a foreign government, or to ongoing diplomatic activities of the United States;
- 7) Reveal information that would impair the current ability of United States Government officials to protect the President, Vice President, and other protectees for whom protection services, in the interest of national security, are authorized;
- 8) Reveal information that would seriously and demonstrably impair current national security emergency preparedness plans or reveal current vulnerabilities of systems, installations, infrastructures, relating to the national security; or
- 9) Violate a statute, treaty, or international agreement that does not permit the automatic or unilateral declassification of information at 25 years.

### **RECLASSIFICATION OR CLASSIFICATION after receipt of a Freedom of Information Act Request**

1) See Section 1.7(d) for procedures concerning classification or reclassification of information after the receipt of a FOIA request.



**LANDMARK SUPREME COURT RULING ON THE USE OF FOIA EXEMPTION  
(B)(2)**

On March 7, 2011, in *Milner v. Navy*, the U.S. Supreme Court decided that FOIA Exemption (b)(2) can only be applied consistent with the plain meaning of the term “personnel rules and practices” which encompasses only records relating to issues of employee relations and human resources. This ruling effectively negates the use of (b)(2) high.

Therefore, Exemption 2 can only shield those records relating to “personnel rules and practices”. An agency’s “personnel rules and practices” all share a critical feature: They concern conditions of employment in federal agencies—such matters as hiring and firing, work rules and discipline, compensation and benefits.

If you have information that you were protecting, or planning to protect, under (b)(2), you should re-evaluate the information and make one of the following determinations:

- a. Release the information.
- b. Consider using the narrow, strict reading of exemption 2 that the Court requires, i.e. “personnel rules and practices”.
- c. Evaluate the information to determine if it should have originally been classified. Consult E.O. 13526, Section 1.7(d) for procedures concerning classification or reclassification of information after the receipt of a FOIA request.
- d. Evaluate the use of Exemptions (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(F). Keep in mind that Federal agencies must meet the threshold requirements of Exemption 7 before they may withhold information on the basis of any of its subparts. That threshold requires the records or information to be “compiled for law enforcement purposes”. Exemption (7)(E) affords protection to all law enforcement information that would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigation or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. Exemption (7)(F) protects law enforcement information that could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual.

Agencies need not show that files are “investigatory” in order to withhold records under FOIA Exemption (b)(7) (E) and (b)(7)(F), but they must show that the documents were compiled for criminal, civil or other law enforcement purposes. The United States has been in a state of national emergency continuously since the aftermath of Sept. 11, 2001, thereby qualifying documents that contain critical infrastructure information, homeland security or national security information to be withheld for law enforcement purposes under FOIA Exemptions (b)(7)(E) and (b)(7)(F). Generally, in order to withhold information under these Exemptions, agencies must demonstrate the harm that could reasonably be expected to occur.

In your analysis of whether the information qualifies for protection under Exemption (b)(7)(E) or (b)(7)(F), you may wish to consider the following court decisions in crafting your basis for

exempting the information. You may also wish to consult the Department of Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act available at: [http://www.justice.gov/oip/foia\\_guide09.htm](http://www.justice.gov/oip/foia_guide09.htm) for assistance in interpreting these exemptions.

Edmonds, 272 F. Supp. at 55, states that the "deference that has historically been extended to the executive when it invokes FOIA Exemption 1" must be extended to Exemption 7 in the national security area.

Pratt, 673 F.2d at 420, applies the two-part test, and allows that the threshold may be satisfied if the agency's investigatory activities "relate to the enforcement of federal laws or to the maintenance of national security".

Zadvydas, 533 U.S. at 696, recognizes that terrorism can warrant "heightened deference".

Should you invoke Exemption 7 (E) or (F), your rationale should be articulated on the SD Form 472 in Block 11, "Rationale for Denials". If Block 11 does not provide sufficient space, please attach a continuation sheet or memo to the SD Form 472.

**EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS**  
**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (5 USC§ 552) \***

Exemption 1 – (b)(1)	Applies to information that is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive Order in the interest of national defense or foreign policy (See Executive Order and DoD Regulation 5200.1-R).
Exemption 2 – (b)(2)	Applies to records or information exempt from mandatory disclosure that are related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an Agency.
Exemption 3 – (b)(3)	Applies to information specifically exempted by a statute establishing particular criteria for withholding. The language of the statute must clearly state that the information will not be disclosed.
Exemption 4 – (b)(4)	Applies to information such as trade secrets and commercial and financial information obtained from a person on a privileged or confidential basis which, if released, would result in competitive harm to the company; or would impair the government from obtaining like information in the future; or would affect overall program effectiveness.
Exemption 5 – (b)(5)	Applies to inter- and intra-agency memoranda which are deliberative in nature; this exemption is appropriate for internal documents which are part of the decision making process, and contain subjective evaluations, opinions and recommendations; a document must be both deliberative and part of a decision-making process to qualify for this exemption.
Exemption 6 – (b)(6)	Applies to information, which, if released, would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of individuals.
Exemption 7 – (b)(7)	Applies to records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes that (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with law enforcement proceedings; (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or impartial adjudication; (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of others; (D) disclose the identity of a confidential source; (E) disclose investigative techniques and procedures; or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual.

\* See Chapter 3 of DoD Regulation 5400.7-R for further information.