U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C. 20535

January 28, 2020

MR. JOHN GREENEWALD JR.
SUITE 1203
27305 WEST LIVE OAK ROAD
CASTAIC, CA 91384

FOIPA Request No.: 1457641-000
Subject: ALEXANDER, DONALD
CRICHTON

Dear Mr. Greenewald:

The enclosed 100 pages of records were determined to be responsive to your subject and were previously processed and released pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Please see the selected paragraphs below for relevant information specific to your request as well as the enclosed FBI FOIPA Addendum for standard responses applicable to all requests.

☐ In an effort to provide you with responsive records as expeditiously as possible, we are releasing documents from previous requests regarding your subject. We consider your request fulfilled. Since we relied on previous results, additional records potentially responsive to your subject may exist. If this release of previously processed material does not satisfy your request, you may request an additional search for records. Submit your request by mail or fax to—Work Process Unit, 170 Marcel Drive, Winchester, VA 22602, fax number (540) 868-4997. Please cite the FOIPA Request Number in your correspondence.

☑ Please be advised that additional records responsive to your subject exist. If this release of previously processed material does not satisfy your request, you must advise us that you want the additional records processed. Please submit your response within thirty (30) days by mail or fax to—Work Processing Unit, 170 Marcel Drive, Winchester, VA 22602, fax number (540) 868-4997. Please cite the FOIPA Request Number in your correspondence. **If we do not receive your decision within thirty (30) days of the date of this notification, your request will be closed.**

☐ One or more of the enclosed records were transferred to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). Although we retained a version of the records previously processed pursuant to the FOIA, the original records are no longer in our possession.

If this release of the previously processed material does not satisfy your request, you may file a FOIPA request with NARA at the following address:

National Archives and Records Administration
Special Access and FOIA
8601 Adelphi Road, Room 5500
College Park, MD 20740-6001

☐ Records potentially responsive to your request were transferred to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), and they were not previously processed pursuant to the FOIA. You may file a request with NARA using the address above.

☐ One or more of the enclosed records were destroyed. Although we retained a version of the records previously processed pursuant to the FOIA, the original records are no longer in our possession. Record retention and disposal is carried out under supervision of the
National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), Title 44, United States Code, Section 3301 as implemented by Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1228; Title 44, United States Code, Section 3310 as implemented by Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1229.10.

☐ Records potentially responsive to your request were destroyed. Since this material could not be reviewed, it is not known if it was responsive to your request. Record retention and disposal is carried out under supervision of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) according to Title 44 United States Code Section 3301, Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Chapter 12 Sub-chapter B Part 1228, and 36 CFR 1229.10.

☑ Documents or information referred to other Government agencies were not included in this release.

Please refer to the enclosed FBI FOIPA Addendum for additional standard responses applicable to your request. “Part 1” of the Addendum includes standard responses that apply to all requests. “Part 2” includes additional standard responses that apply to all requests for records about yourself or any third party individuals. “Part 3” includes general information about FBI records that you may find useful. Also enclosed is our Explanation of Exemptions.

For questions regarding our determinations, visit the www.fbi.gov/foia website under “Contact Us.” The FOIPA Request Number listed above has been assigned to your request. Please use this number in all correspondence concerning your request.

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, Sixth Floor, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP’s FOIA online portal by creating an account on the following website: https://www.foiaonline.gov/foiaonline/action/public/home. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked “Freedom of Information Act Appeal.” Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

You may seek dispute resolution services by contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS). The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5768. Alternatively, you may contact the FBI’s FOIA Public Liaison by emailing foipaprint@fbi.gov. If you submit your dispute resolution correspondence by email, the subject heading should clearly state “Dispute Resolution Services.” Please also cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

Sincerely,

David M. Hardy
Section Chief,
Record/Information Dissemination Section Information Management Division

Enclosure(s)
FBI FOIPA Addendum

As referenced in our letter responding to your Freedom of Information/Privacy Acts (FOIPA) request, the FBI FOIPA Addendum provides information applicable to your request. Part 1 of the Addendum includes standard responses that apply to all requests. Part 2 includes standard responses that apply to requests for records about individuals to the extent your request seeks the listed information. Part 3 includes general information about FBI records, searches, and programs.

Part 1: The standard responses below apply to all requests:

(i) 5 U.S.C. § 552(c). Congress excluded three categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIPA [5 U.S.C. § 552(c)]. FBI responses are limited to those records subject to the requirements of the FOIPA. Additional information about the FBI and the FOIPA can be found on the [www.fbi.gov/foia](http://www.fbi.gov/foia) website.

(ii) Intelligence Records. To the extent your request seeks records of intelligence sources, methods, or activities, the FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of records pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), and as applicable to requests for records about individuals, PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(1), (b)(3), and (j)(2)]. The mere acknowledgment of the existence or nonexistence of such records is itself a classified fact protected by FOIA exemption (b)(1) and/or would reveal intelligence sources, methods, or activities protected by exemption (b)(3) [50 USC § 3024(i)(1)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that any such records do or do not exist.

Part 2: The standard responses below apply to all requests for records on individuals:

(i) Requests for Records about any Individual—Watch Lists. The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of any individual’s name on a watch list pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) and PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(7)(E), (j)(2)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that watch list records do or do not exist.

(ii) Requests for Records about any Individual—Witness Security Program Records. The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of records which could identify any participant in the Witness Security Program pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3) and PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. 3521, and (j)(2)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that such records do or do not exist.

(iii) Requests for Records for Incarcerated Individuals. The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of records which could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any incarcerated individual pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(7)(E), (b)(7)(F), and PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(7)(E), (b)(7)(F), and (j)(2)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that such records do or do not exist.

Part 3: General Information:

(i) Record Searches. The Record/Information Dissemination Section (RIDS) searches for reasonably described records by searching systems or locations where responsive records would reasonably be found. A standard search normally consists of a search for main files in the Central Records System (CRS), an extensive system of records consisting of applicant, investigative, intelligence, personnel, administrative, and general files compiled by the FBI per its law enforcement, intelligence, and administrative functions. The CRS spans the entire FBI organization, comprising records of FBI Headquarters, FBI Field Offices, and FBI Legal Attaché Offices (Legats) worldwide; Electronic Surveillance (ELSUR) records are included in the CRS. Unless specifically requested, a standard search does not include references, administrative records of previous FOIPA requests, or civil litigation files. For additional information about our record searches, visit [www.fbi.gov/services/information-management/foipa/requesting-fbi-records](http://www.fbi.gov/services/information-management/foipa/requesting-fbi-records).

(ii) FBI Records. Founded in 1908, the FBI carries out a dual law enforcement and national security mission. As part of this dual mission, the FBI creates and maintains records on various subjects; however, the FBI does not maintain records on every person, subject, or entity.

(iii) Requests for Criminal History Records or Rap Sheets. The Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division provides Identity History Summary Checks—often referred to as a criminal history record or rap sheet. These criminal history records are not the same as material in an investigative “FBI file.” An Identity History Summary Check is a listing of information taken from fingerprint cards and documents submitted to the FBI in connection with arrests, federal employment, naturalization, or military service. For a fee, individuals can request a copy of their Identity History Summary Check. Forms and directions can be accessed at [www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/identity-history-summary-checks](http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/identity-history-summary-checks). Additionally, requests can be submitted electronically at [www.edo.cjis.gov](http://www.edo.cjis.gov). For additional information, please contact CJIS directly at (304) 625-5590.

(iv) National Name Check Program (NNCP). The mission of NNCP is to analyze and report information in response to name check requests received from federal agencies, for the purpose of protecting the United States from foreign and domestic threats to national security. Please be advised that this is a service provided to other federal agencies. Private Citizens cannot request a name check.
EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

(b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;

(b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;

(b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;

(b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;

(b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;

(b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

(b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;

(b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or

(b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

(d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;

(j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;

(k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;

(k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;

(k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;

(k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;

(k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.
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To: SACs, WFO (Encs. 4)
Atlanta (Encs. 4)
Cincinnati (Encs. 4)
Jacksonville (Encs. 4)
Miami (Encs. 4)

From: Director, FBI

DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER,
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
BRIEBRY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Enclosed for each receiving Office is one copy of a memorandum from the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, dated 10/30/75, with attachments, requesting the FBI to initiate investigation of the Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

WFO should carefully review the enclosures and, thereafter, promptly initiate appropriate investigation. In connection with Congressman Al Ullman's letter to Treasury Secretary William Simon dated 9/19/75, Majority Counsel to the IRS Oversight Subcommittee of House Ways and Means Committee, should be contacted for additional details he may have in this matter. WFO shall, thereafter, set forth appropriate leads for other Office that this investigation may be completed as soon as possible.

Other receiving Offices should carefully review enclosures, completely familiarizing themselves with the available details. Any investigation by these Divisions should be held in abeyance pending receipt of further instructions from WFO.

No discussions with the U. S. Attorney are necessary in this matter. Any questions relative to REO: 12, CH 40, MCT-13, 9248, 11/4/75, JB:brb.

ENCLOSURE.
the scope of this inquiry should be forwarded to the Bureau for discussion with the Department.

Results of investigation should be submitted to the Bureau in a form suitable for dissemination.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRIGHTON ALEXANDER, aka Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service
BRIbery; COI
(00:WFO)

Enclosed for the Bureau are four copies of a self-explanatory LHM.

REC-65

58-9248-2

2- Bureau (Enc. 4)
2- WFO

RWH: so
(4)
DONALD CRIGHTON ALEXANDER,
Also Known As
Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service
BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

On November 11, 1975, a discussion was held with United States
Department of the Treasury, Washington, D. C., concerning the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) receiving disclosure
authority in order to receive confidential tax information. Stated that he would attempt to get the FBI disclosure
authority so that anyone in the FBI or Department of Justice could have access to this information if it fell in the routine
course of their duties in investigating this matter or administering this matter. Advised that he would keep the FBI and the Department of Justice informed as to the status of his attempts.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
TO DIRECTOR

WFO (58-1540)

FROM ATLANTA (58-352) (P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA. BRIBERY; COI. 00:WFO.

RE WFO TEL, NOVEMBER 12, 1975.

NAMES OF INDIVIDUALS IN REFERENCED TELETYPE SEARCHED ATLANTA INDICES AND NO RECORD EXISTS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE NAME OF SUBJECT ALEXANDER. IN MARCH, 1973, RANDOLPH THROWER LOCAL ATLANTA ATTORNEY AND FORMER COMMISSIONER OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, WAS INTERVIEWED AS A REFERENCE CONCERNING SPECIAL INQUIRY CONDUCTED REGARDING ALEXANDER.

ATLANTA TAKING NO ACTION UNTIL INSTRUCTIONS ARE RECEIVED FROM THE BUREAU IN THIS CASE.

END

#9) $ HOLD

5 NOV 13 1975

REC: 58-9248-3
Transmit the following in  

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIERTEL  

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)  

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka  
Donald C. Alexander,  
Commissioner,  
Internal Revenue Service  
BRIBERY; COI  
(00:WFO)

Enclosed for the Bureau are four copies of  
an LHM reflecting summary of investigation conducted by WFO to date.
In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D. C. 20535
November 12, 1975

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER,
Also Known As
Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service,
Bribery; Conflict of Interest

On November 7, 1975, this matter was discussed with
Attorney, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C. (WDC), who advised he would be handling this matter as
Attorney, Department of Justice, WDC, was leaving
the Department of Justice. Advised that he knew very
little about the case due to the fact that he, too, had just
received it. Advised that he would not be in WDC
on November 10 or 11, 1975, and that he was contemplating
going before a Federal Grand Jury on November 12, 1975,
and asking for appropriate subpoenas regarding this matter.
Advised that this depended upon him receiving
authorization to appear before the Federal Grand Jury in
WDC as this is an administrative matter which has to be cleared
up before he can utilize a grand jury in a particular area of
the country. Advised that if he did not receive
authorization to appear on November 12, 1975, the earliest
he would be able to proceed on this matter would be
November 17, 1975.

On November 10, 1975, this matter was discussed
with Oversight Subcommittee on
the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), House Ways and Means
Committee, United States House of Representatives, WDC, and
he provided background information regarding individuals
involved in this case and he also stated that in order for
the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to have full access
to all information he had relating to IRS tax investigations
the Agent personnel for the FBI would have to receive a disclosure authority or clearance from IRS in order to have this type of information. stated that he felt that in order to conduct a thorough investigation of this matter, and the case Agent, WFO, concurs, the FBI will have to receive this disclosure authority before conducting interviews of IRS officials and informants. stated

On November 10, 1975, Oversight Subcommittee on the IRS, House Ways and Means Committee, United States House of Representatives, WDC, provided the following supplementary background information concerning key individuals in this matter:

1) Donald Crichton Alexander: White male, date of birth May 22, 1921, place of birth Pine Bluff, Arkansas, former residence 7805 Brill Road, Cincinnati, Ohio, current residence 4100 Catheral Avenue, N.W., WDC, Social Security Account Number 430-12-0819, April, 1966 to February, 1973, partner in Cincinnati law firm of Dinsmore, Shohl, Coates, and Dupree,

2) 

2
On November 10, 1975, the Departmental Attorney was contacted concerning disclosure authority for FBI Agents conducting this investigation. Advised that he had been assured by IRS, WDC, that IRS would cooperate fully with the FBI in all requests concerning this investigation. It was pointed out

On November 10, 1975, telephonically advised case Agent, WFO, that he had discussed this matter with a United States Department of Treasury, WDC, and wanted to know who in the FBI would be conducting the investigation and what IRS materials they wanted to see. advised
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER

that he felt that this matter could be greatly expedited if case Agent, WFO, were to contact and discuss the matter with him.

FBI Headquarters was advised telephonically of status of the disclosure authority. Permission was received by WFO to contact and discuss the matter.

On November 10, 1975, United States Treasury Department, WDC, was contacted and he advised that in order for confidential tax payer information to be reviewed by the FBI two conditions must be met before authority can be granted. stated that the first condition is that he be presented with a list of all individuals, specifically Agents who will be working on this case and secondly, he would like a brief statement of the scope of the work involved.

On November 11, 1975, a discussion was held with United States Department of the Treasury, WDC, concerning the FBI receiving disclosure authority in order to receive confidential tax information. stated that he would attempt to get FBI disclosure authority so that anyone in the FBI or Department of Justice could have access to this information if it fell in the routine course of their duties in investigating this matter or administering this matter. advised that he would keep the FBI and the Department of Justice informed as to the status of his attempts.

On November 12, 1975, Departmental Attorney, was contacted and he advised that the Deputy Attorney General was in the process of discussing this matter with United States Attorney Earl J. Silbert, WDC, as to the best method of handling this matter. Therefore, his Grand Jury authority in the District of Columbia was being held up. again advised that
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER

that he would be out of the WDC area on November 13 and 14, 1975. stated that he would now be unable to obtain Grand Jury authority in the District of Columbia until the week of November 17-21, 1975, therefore, no subpoenas on this matter would be forthcoming until this time.

Case Agent, WFO, provided with background information concerning the FBI receiving disclosure authority from the IRS concerning this investigation. Case Agent, WFO, emphasized to the importance of the FBI in receiving this disclosure authority as no active investigation could be logically initiated until it was received. advised he would assume responsibility for negotiations with concerning the FBI receiving disclosure authority so that they could actively investigate this matter.

On November 12, 1975, Departmental Attorney, United States Department of Justice, WDC, telephonically advised that he had a meeting scheduled for 9:30 a.m. on November 17, 1975, with the Acting Chief of the IRS, Disclosure Unit and that hopefully this problem can be solved at this time so the investigation can proceed.

requested that the case Agent, WFO, prepare a list of subpoenas, on official memoranda, needed by the FBI in order to start their investigation of this matter. The following is that list. The first nine items are

```
The FBI is conducting no further investigation regarding this matter until receipt of information from the Department of Justice as to the status of disclosure authority.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. J. B. Adams

FROM: Mr. P. L. Mack

DATE: 11/12/75

SUBJECT: DONALD C. ALEXANDER

COMMISSIONER
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
BRIbery; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

At 5 p.m., 11/12/75, Deputy Attorney General telephonically spoke with the writer at which time he indicated that he and the Attorney General are most desirous of having the current investigation of Alexander completed with dispatch. He stated he was hoping that the investigation would take no longer than a week or 10 days. He requested that priority attention be afforded this investigation and that every effort be expended to bring this matter to a conclusion at the earliest possible date.

ACTION:

General Investigative Division should afford this matter preferred attention in line with the request of the Deputy Attorney General so that investigation can be completed at the earliest possible date.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND
ATLANTA CINCINNATI
JACKSONVILLE MIAMI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER,
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS); BRIBERY; COI; 00:WFO.

REFERENCE BUREAU AIRTEL DATED NOVEMBER 5, 1975, AND WFO
TELETYPE DATED NOVEMBER 10, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 11, 1975, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF THE TREASURY, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), CONCERNING THE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) RECEIVING DISCLOSURE
AUTHORITY IN ORDER TO RECEIVE CONFIDENTIAL TAX INFORMATION.

STATED THAT HE WOULD ATTEMPT TO GET THE FBI DISCLOSURE
58-1248-6
AUTHORITY SO THAT ANYONE IN THE FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COULD HAVE ACCESS TO HIS INFORMATION IF IT FELL IN THE ROUTINE
COURSE OF THEIR DUTIES IN INVESTIGATING THIS MATTER OR
ADMINISTERING THIS MATTER.

ADvised that he would keep

66DEC 4 1975
THE FBI AND THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE INFORMED AS TO THE STATUS OF HIS ATTEMPTS.

ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, OVERSIGHT SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE IRS, HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, WDC, PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING SUPPLEMENTARY BACKGROUND INFORMATION CONCERNING KEY INDIVIDUALS IN THIS MATTER:

1) DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER: WHITE MALE, DATE OF BIRTH MAY 22, 1921, PLACE OF BIRTH PINE BLUFF, ARKANSAS, FORMER RESIDENCE 7805 BRILL ROAD, CINCINNATI, OHIO, CURRENT RESIDENCE 4100 CATHERAL AVENUE, N.W., WDC, SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBER 430-12-0819, APRIL, 1966 TO FEBRUARY, 1973, PARTNER IN CINCINNATI LAW FIRM OF DINSMORE, SHOHL, COATES, AND DUPREE.

2) 

3) 

BUREAU HAS ADVISED WFO THAT A SUMMARY TELETYPING IN THIS MATTER SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE BUREAU EVERY FRIDAY ON A WEEKLY BASIS. THEREFORE, WFO REQUESTS ALL OFFICES TO PROVIDE WFO WITH A NITEL ON THURSDAY EVENING REGARDING THE RESULTS OF ALL INVESTIGATIONS CONDUCTED IN THE RESPECTIVE DIVISIONS DURING THE WEEK. THE BUREAU HAS ALSO ADVISED WFO THAT THIS CASE IS TO RECEIVE THE HIGHEST PRIORITY ATTENTION AND THAT ALL LEADS SHOULD BE HANDLED IN AN EXPEDITIOUS MANNER.
ALL RECEIVING OFFICES ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK THEIR INDICES AND TO PROVIDE WHATSOEVER BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE REGARDING KEY INDIVIDUALS SET FORTH IN THIS TELETYPING. ALL RECEIVING OFFICES ARE TO CONDUCT NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION AT THIS POINT UNTIL THE FBI RECEIVES PROPER DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY FROM THE IRS. AT THE TIME THIS DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY IS RECEIVED LEADS WILL BE FORTHCOMING FROM WFO.

END.

MSY FBH FBIHQ
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND
ATLANTA CINCINNATI
JACKSONVILLE MIAMI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540) (P)

CHANGED, DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), BRIEY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST, OO:WFO.

TITLE CHANGED TO REFLECT SUBJECT'S FULL NAME. TITLE
PREVIOUSLY CARRIED AS "DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER,
IRS, BRIEY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST, OO:WFO."

REFERENCE BUREAU AIRTEL DATED NOVEMBER 5, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 7, 1975, THIS MATTER WAS DISCUSSED WITH
ATTORNEY, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), WHO ADVISED HE WOULD BE HANDLING THIS MATTER
AS ATTORNEY, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WDC, WAS
LEAVING THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. ADVISED THAT
HE KNEW VERY LITTLE ABOUT THE CASE DUE TO THE FACT THAT HE,
TOO, HAD RECEIVED IT. ADVISED THAT HE WOULD
Not be in WDC on November 10 or 11, 1975, and that he was contemplating going before a Federal Grand Jury on November 12, 1975, and asking for appropriate subpoenas regarding this matter. Advised that this depended upon him receiving authorization to appear before the Federal Grand Jury in WDC as this is an administrative matter which has to be cleared up before he can utilize a Grand Jury in a particular area of the country. Advised that if he did not receive authorization to appear on November 12, 1975, the earliest he would be able to proceed on this matter would be November 17, 1975.

On November 10, 1975, this matter was discussed with Oversight Committee on the IRS, House Ways and Means Committee, United States House of Representatives, WDC, and he provided background information regarding individuals involved in this case and he also stated that in order for the FBI to have full access to all information he had relating to IRS tax investigations the agent personnel for the FBI would have to receive a disclosure authority or clearance from IRS in order
TO HAVE THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION, STATED THAT HE FELT THAT IN ORDER TO CONDUCT A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION OF THIS MATTER, AND THE CASE AGENT, WFO, CONCURS, THE FBI WILL HAVE TO RECEIVE THIS DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY BEFORE CONDUCTING INTERVIEWS OF IRS OFFICIALS AND INFORMANTS.
ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, [__] DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, WAS CONTACTED CONCERNING DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY FOR FBI AGENTS CONDUCTING THIS INVESTIGATION. [__] ADVISED THAT HE HAD BEEN ASSURED BY [__] IRS, WDC, THAT IRS WOULD COOPERATE FULLY WITH THE FBI IN ALL REQUESTS CONCERNING THIS INVESTIGATION. IT WAS POINTED OUT TO [__]

ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, [__] TELEPHONICALLY ADVISED CASE AGENT, WFO, THAT HE HAD DISCUSSED THIS MATTER WITH A [__] UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY, WDC, AND [__] WANTED TO KNOW WHO IN THE FBI WOULD BE CONDUCTING THE INVESTIGATION.
AND WHAT IRS MATERIALS THEY WANTED TO SEE. ADVISED THAT HE FELT THAT THIS MATTER COULD BE GREATLY EXPEDITED IF CASE AGENT, WFO, WERE TO CONTACT AND DISCUSS THE MATTER WITH HIM.

BUREAU WAS ADVISED TELEPHONICALLY OF STATUS OF THE DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY. PERMISSION WAS RECEIVED BY WFO TO CONTACT AND DISCUSS THE MATTER.

ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, UNITED STATES TREASURY DEPARTMENT, WDC, WAS CONTACTED AND HE ADVISED THAT IN ORDER FOR CONFIDENTIAL TAX PAYER INFORMATION TO BE REVIEWED BY THE FBI TWO CONDITIONS MUST BE MET BEFORE AUTHORITY CAN BE GRANTED. STATED THAT THE FIRST CONDITION IS THAT HE BE PRESENTED WITH A LIST OF ALL INDIVIDUALS, SPECIFICALLY AGENTS WHO WILL BE WORKING ON THIS CASE AND SECONDLY, HE WOULD LIKE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF THE SCOPE OF THE WORK INVOLVED. STATED THAT IT WAS OPINIÓN AS WELL AS HIS OWN, AND INDIVIDUALS WITHIN IRS INTELLIGENCE THAT IN ORDER TO INVESTIGATE THE ALLEGATIONS ALREADY IN POSSESSION
OF THE FBI FULLY THAT THE FBI WILL HAVE TO OVERLAP INTO THE INVESTIGATION OF PROJECT HAVEN. ADVISED THAT A COMMUNICATION WILL BE FORTHCOMING SHORTLY FROM TREASURY TO THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT ASKING THE FBI TO TAKE FULL INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION OF PROJECT HAVEN.

WFO AND ALL RECEIVING OFFICES WILL TAKE NO ACTION UNTIL INSTRUCTIONS ARE RECEIVED FROM THE BUREAU AS TO THE PROPER COURSE OF ACTION.

END.
NR034 MM CODE
8:37PM NR1EL NOVEMBER 14, 1975 SAK
TO DIRECTOR
WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)
FROM MIAMI (58-486)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BRIBERY; COI.
00: WASHINGTON FIELD.

RE WASHINGTON FIELD TELETYPING TO BUREAU AND MIAMI DATED NOVEMBER 13, 1975, INSTRUCTING IMMEDIATE INTERVIEWS OF SPECIAL AGENTS, INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, IRS, MIAMI, AND IDENTIFICATION AND INTERVIEW OF THEIR INFORMANT IN INSTANT MATTER.

SPECIAL AGENT___ WAS CONTACTED NOVEMBER 14, 1975, FOR INTERVIEW AND WAS APPRISED THAT INTERVIEW WAS ALSO DESIRED WITH SA___ AND WITH INFORMANT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. ___ AFTER CONSULTING WITH SUPERIORS, INFORMED THEIR REGUALTIONS PROHIBIT THEIR SUBMITTING TO INTERVIEW UNTIL RECEIPT OF INFORMATION FROM THEIR DISCLOSURE OFFICE THAT DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY HAS BEEN GRANTED.

___ WAS INFORMED THAT STEPS ARE UNDER WAY IN WASHINGTON FOR DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY. ARRANGEMENTS WERE MADE FOR RECONTACT WITH ___ ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1975.

END
TELETYPE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

007 JK PLAIN
11:30 PM NITEL 11/17/75 HER

TO DIRECTOR MIAMI

WFO (58-1540)
FROM JACKSONVILLE (58-123) P

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BRI BERY;

COI: 001 JACKSONVILLE. Od: 0150

RE WASHINGTON FIELD NITEL NOV. 13, 1975.

JACKSONVILLE HAS RECEIVED INFORMATION THAT

IN REFERENCED NITEL, HAS RETIRED

FROM IRS AND IS CURRENTLY

ABOVE FOR INFORMATION RECEIVING OFFICES IN EVENT INTERVIEW

WITH IS REQUESTED AT FUTURE DATE.

END

LXS FBIHQ CLR

REC 15 58-9248

5 NOV 19 1975
WR 011 CI PLAIN
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
10 07 PM NITEL 11/19/75 GEB
TO DIRECTOR NOV 19 1975
WFO (58-1540) TELETYPE
FROM CINCINNATI (58-259) (P)
DONALD CRIGHTON ALEXANDER, AKA., DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. BRIBERY; COI;
00: WFO.
RE WFO NITEL TO BUREAU AND OTHER OFFICES, NOVEMBER 17, 1975.
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
(IRS), CINCINNATI, OHIO, WAS CONTACTED ON NOVEMBER 17, 1975,
AND STATED HE WOULD COOPERATE COMPLETELY WITH FBI IN THIS INVESTIGATION.

RECEIVED-L'BT 5 DEC 10 1975
MEMORANDUM

TO

FROM: L. E. Rhyne

SUBJECT: DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
BRIbery; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

DATE: 11/4/75

PURPOSE: This is to advise that the Assistant Attorney General (AAG), Criminal Division, by memorandum dated 10/30/75 (attached), has requested the FBI to initiate investigation of the Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

DETAILS: The AAG, Criminal Division, by memorandum dated 10/30/75, furnished a copy of a preliminary investigative report of the Inspection Division, IRS, dated 6/12/75, which set forth various allegations received against captioned subject. A confidential informant advised IRS that Commissioner Alexander undercut an investigation of cigarette smuggling.

The IRS report also reflected an inquiry into charges that Commissioner Alexander undercut an investigation of cigarette smuggling.

ENCLOSURE: EX-115

CONTINUED - OVER
Memorandum to

RE: DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER

In summary, the IRS report reflected that a review of voluminous IRS and Postal Department files pertaining to listed Donald Alexander only once as tax attorney for in 1958, at the time she was divorcing.

The preliminary investigation did not support any of the above allegations.

The Department's memorandum of 10/30/75 further enclosed a letter dated 9/19/75, from Congressman Al Ullman, Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means, to Treasury Secretary William E. Simon, reflecting the Committee's dissatisfaction with the IRS investigation in this matter and requested further suggested investigation. The AAG, Criminal Division, requested the FBI to conduct the investigation at the specific request of the Deputy Attorney General. It is noted that the Treasury Department specifically requested the Department of Justice to handle this investigation. The Department advised in relation to the cigarette smuggling allegation it appears that IRS conducted sufficient inquiry into that matter.

ACTION: Appropriate investigation is being initiated along lines requested by the Department of Justice.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI;

INVESTIGATION BY CINCINNATI DISCLOSED THAT

WAS UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW ON NOVEMBER 20, 1975; APPOINTMENT MADE TO INTERVIEW ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 1975, IN CINCINNATI, OHIO.

INVESTIGATION BY MIAMI DISCLOSED THE FOLLOWING ON NOV 26 1975

ON NOVEMBER 20, 1975, FURNISHED SWORN SIGNED STATEMENT. SAID HIS ONLY RECALLED CONTACT WITH DONALD C. ALEXANDER WAS IN 1958 IN OFFICE OF (PHONETIC) IN CINCINNATI.

HIS RELATIONSHIP

66 DEC 11 1975
TO:

FROM: D. W. Moore, Jr.

SUBJECT: RESPONDING TO PRESS INQUIRIES ON MATTERS REFERRED TO THE FBI BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Reference Legal Counsel memorandum to Mr. Adams dated 11-10-75, captioned "Information Concerning..."".

Referenced memorandum set forth results of a conference Assistant Director John A. Mintz had with the Attorney General on 11-10-75, at which time the Attorney General explained his concern that the FBI had made public announcement concerning the FBI's investigation of Internal Revenue Service Director Donald Alexander. The Attorney General then expressed a procedure that he wanted the FBI to follow when a press inquiry is received relating to an investigation matter referred to the FBI by the Department.

Donald C. O.Alexander

It was recommended and approved that External Affairs Division advise all FBI Divisions of the Attorney General's instructions.

The Director then noted, "To give AG the assurance he wants, any instructions implementing his desires should also be sent to him. I, of course, want to see them before they go out. CMK"

In accordance with these instructions, there is attached an airtel for approval, giving appropriate instructions to the Field Divisions and LEGATs. Also attached for approval is a memorandum to the Attorney General that will enclose a copy of the airtel after approval.

Enclosures

1 - Mr. Moore - Enclosures
1 - Mr. Mintz - Enclosures

Enclosures

RECOMMENDATIONS - OVER

MCT-35

NOT RETURNED

170 DEC 30 1975

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That the attached airtel setting forth the Attorney General's instructions be approved and returned to the Press Services Unit for dating and distribution.

(2) That the attached memorandum to the Attorney General be approved and returned to the Press Services Unit so that a copy of the approved airtel can be attached.

According to the AG such inquiries should be referred to the Dept. and that we then should inform the Dept. we have done so. Why go any further, such as to outline our proposed response, etc. See memos 11-10-75, 12/2, 1/7/...
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND ATLANTA CINCINNATI JACKSONVILLE MIAMI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI.

REFERENCE WFO NITEL DATED NOVEMBER 13, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 17, 1975, A CONFERENCE WAS HELD IN OFFICE, DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), CONCERNING THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) RECEIVING DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY IN THIS MATTER.

DISCLOSURE UNIT, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), WDC, ADVISED THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF THE IRS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEYS AND THE FBI AGENTS ASSISTING THEM ARE ENTITLED TO ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATION IN CONNECTION WITH THEIR CURRENT REVIEW OF CERTAIN

62 DEC 10 1975.
ALLOCATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE AGAINST THE COMMISSIONER
OF THE IRS ADVISED THAT THE FBI AND THE DEPARTMENT
OF JUSTICE WOULD RECEIVE FULL COOPERATION AS TO ANY RECORDS
OR DOCUMENTS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE IRS AND THAT ANY
RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE AGENTS
IN THAT REGARD ADVISED THAT IF THE LOCATION OF
ANY TAX DOCUMENTS NEEDED TO BE ASCERTAINED HIS OFFICE WOULD
BE AT THE FBI'S DISPOSAL. IN ADDITION, IT WAS ASCERTAINED
THAT ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUCH AS NAMES OF EMPLOYEES
NEEDED TO BE CONTACTED IN THE COURSE OF THIS INVESTIGATION
COULD BE OBTAINED THROUGH IRS, WDC, AND HE ADVISED THAT HE WOULD
BE AVAILABLE AT ALL TIMES UNTIL THE COMPLETION OF THIS
INVESTIGATION.

ALL OFFICES ARE TO PROCEED WITH LEADS AS SET FORTH
IN REFERENCED NITEL. IN ADDITION, CINCINNATI WILL DETERMINE
ALL INDIVIDUALS CONNECTED WITH AND
INTERVIEW THOSE INDIVIDUALS REGARDING THEIR COMPLETE
KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

END.
WITH [REDACTED] WAS PRIMARILY CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP.

NO ONE HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO INTERCEDE IN TAX CASE THROUGH USE OF PERSONAL FRIENDSHIPS OR POLITICAL CONTACTS. [REDACTED] DOES NOT KNOW IF ALEXANDER AND [REDACTED] ARE KNOWN TO ONE ANOTHER, SINCE HE HAS NEVER SEEN THEM TOGETHER OR HEARD THEM REFER TO EACH OTHER.

[REDACTED] SAID HE HAS NEVER BEEN ON CHANTICLEER, HAS NEVER CHARTERED IT OR HAD IT MADE AVAILABLE TO HIM FOR CRUISE. DENIED PLANS TO TAKE ALEXANDER ON CRUISE TOGETHER WITH [REDACTED] IN APRIL, 1975.

[REDACTED] WAS NOT QUESTIONED REGARDING [REDACTED]

STATED IN APRIL, 1975, DENIED KNOWING DONALD ALEXANDER.

STATED


INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY WFO ON NOVEMBER 19, 1975, DISCLOSED THE FOLLOWING:

IRS, WDC, PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING:
1. Appointment calendar pages and telephone logs from the time subject came to IRS.

2. Original travel vouchers from the time subject came to IRS.

3. A list of all current and former employees in the commissioner's office from the time subject came to IRS.

Advised that subject accrues neither annual or sick leave in his position and he takes time off from the job as required and as the situation permits.

On November 20, 1975, provided personal telephone toll records received from the subject covering the period June, 1973 through October, 1975, and also provided a print out of some office telephone calls for the period of August, 1973 through October, 1975.

A review of the calendar pages and telephone logs has been instituted by WFO at this time. A compilation of telephone records provided by Alexander is now being instituted by the computer systems division of the bureau. A printout is expected shortly and leads will be set forth immediately concerning these telephone records.
INVESTIGATIONS BRANCH, INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION, INSPECTION SERVICE, IRS, WASHINGTON, D.C., WAS INTERVIEWED ON NOVEMBER 21, 1975, AND ADVISED...

END.

SJP FBIHQ

PLS HOLD FOR FOUR
WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)
FROM MIAMI (58-486)

DONALD CIRCHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BIRBERY; COI.

CO: WASHINGTON FIELD.

REBUTEL TO WASHINGTON FIELD DATED NOVEMBER 14, 1975, AND
WASHINGTON FIELD'S TELETYPES TO BUREAU DATED NOVEMBER 12, 13, 17, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 14, 1975 IRS SPECIAL AGENT ADVISED
DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY NECESSARY BEFORE HE AND SA
COULD SUBMIT TO INTERVIEW. DISCLOSURE AUTHORIZATION RECEIVED
BY LATE NOVEMBER 17, 1975. SA INTERVIEWED NOVEMBER 18
1975. HE DECLINED TO REVEAL IDENTITY OF INFORMANT UNTIL HE COUL
CONTACT INFORMANT, WHICH HAD BEEN ATTEMPTING TO DO SINCE FIRST
CONTACTED BY FBI ON NOVEMBER 14, BUT INFORMANT OUT OF TOWN.

END PAGE ONE
IRS SA INTERVIEWED NOVEMBER 19, 1975. HE ADVISED

INVESTIGATION AT JENSEN BEACH, FLORIDA, REVEALED THAT

END PAGE THREE
AND HIS WIFE ARE IN HONG KONG AND ARE EXPECTED TO RETURN EARLY NEXT WEEK. YACHT CAPTAIN DECLINED TO FURNISH INFORMATION WITHOUT PERMISSION OF ADDING THAT REPORTERS, INCLUDING TWO FROM WASHINGTON BUREAU OF LOS ANGELES TIMES, HAD BEEN ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT INSTANT MATTER. SECRETARY ASSURED THAT FULL COOPERATION WOULD BE GIVEN UPON RETURN OF DOCKMASTER AT JENSEN BEACH ADVISED HIS RECORDS SHOW ARRIVAL OF CHANTECLEER APRIL 22, 1975, BUT DO NOT SHOW DEPARTURE AND HE DOES NOT RECALL DEPARTURE. DENIES PREVIOUS INTERVIEW BY IRS AND UNABLE TO RECALL WHO THE CHARTER BOAT CAPTAIN IN PORT AT THE SAME TIME WAS.

EPICUREAN MARKET DETERMINED TO BE EPICURE MARKET, MIAMI BEACH.
INVESTIGATION CONTINUING MIAMI AND JENSEN BEACH.

END
NR028 WF CODED
841 PM NITEL NOVEMBER 19, 1975 WWC

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND CINCINNATI JACKSONVILLE MIAMI

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540) (P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI;

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), WAS INTERVIEWED ON NOVEMBER 17, AND 18, 1975. ADVISED THAT
ON NOVEMBER 19, 1975, [REDACTED] DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, WDC, TELEPHONICALLY ADVISED WFO THAT [REDACTED]
INVESTIGATION CONTINUING AT WFO.

END.

SJP FBIHQ ACK FOR TWO CLR AND TKS
ON NOVEMBER 20, 1975, FURNISHED SWORN SIGNED STATEMENT. SAID HIS ONLY RECALLED CONTACT WITH DONALD C. ALEXANDER WAS IN 1958 IN OFFICE OF (PHONETIC) IN CINCINNATI.

HIS RELATIONSHIP WITH WAS PRIMARILY

CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP.

NO ONE HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO INTERCEDE IN TAX CASE THROUGH USE OF PERSONAL FRIENDSHIPS OR POLITICAL CONTACTS. DOES NOT KNOW IF ALEXANDER AND ARE
KNOW TO ONE ANOTHER, SINCE HE HAS NEVER SEEN THEM TOGETHER OR HEARD THEM REFER TO EACH OTHER. SAID HE HAS NEVER BEEN ON CHANTICLEER, HAS NEVER CHARTERED IT OR HAD IT MADE AVAILABLE TO HIM FOR CRUISE. DENIED PLANS TO TAKE ALEXANDER ON CRUISE TOGETHER WITH IN APRIL 1975.

WAS NOT QUESTIONED REGARDING

WAS INTERVIEWED NOVEMBER 20, 1975, AND STATED HE WAS NEVER INVITED ON FISHING TRIP WEEKEND OF APRIL 26, 1975. STATED IN APRIL 1975

DENIED KNOWING DONALD ALEXANDER.

STATED

INTERVIEWED NOVEMBER 20, 1975 AND FURNISHED SWORN SIGNED STATEMENT STATING HE WAS NOT INVITED FOR TRIP ON CHANTICLEER WEEKEND OF APRIL 26, 1975. STATED HE WAS NOT AWARE OF
PAGE THREE (MM 58-486)

TRIP BEING PLANNED. STATED DONALD ALEXANDER WAS ATTORNEY FOR SEVENTEEN YEARS.

STATED HE HAS MET BUT DOES NOT RECALL ANY CONVERSATION WITH HIM DURING 1975.

STATED NOT LOCATED MIAMI. STATED RARELY COMES TO USE THE APARTMENT, BUT LETS HIS FRIENDS USE APARTMENT. NO RECORD LOCATED TO INDICATE MAID SERVICE WAS STARTED FOR WEEKEND OF APRIL 26, 1975.

INTERVIEW OF PERSONNEL AND REVIEW OF RECORDS OF EPICURE MARKET, MIAMI BEACH, FOR PERIOD APRIL 18 THROUGH 30, 1975 FAILED TO DISCLOSE ANY SALE TO YACHT CHANTICLEER OR TO OR TO

INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, IRS, MIAMI, ADVISED HE IS ON STANDBY FOR FEDERAL GRAND JURY TESTIMONY AND WILL MAKE APPOINTMENT FOR INTERVIEW AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, PROBABLY NOVEMBER 21, 1975.

ALL LEADS SET BY OO FOR MIAMI HAVE BEEN COVERED EXCEPT INTERVIEWS OF AND CAPTAIN AND CREW OF CHANTICLEER.

END PAGE THREE
LEAD AT CINCINNATI, OHIO:

LOCATE AND INTERVIEW

END
REFERENCE WFO TELETYPE DATED NOVEMBER 12, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 13, 1975, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), AND HE ADVISED THAT HE COULD NOT SEE ANY PROBLEM WITH THE FBI CONTACTING THE TWO INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS) AGENTS AND THE IRS INFORMANT WHO ORIGINALLY SUPPLIED THE INFORMATION REGARDING THIS MATTER, PRIOR TO THE FBI RECEIVING FULL DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY REGARDING CONFIDENTIAL TAX INFORMATION FROM IRS.

LEADS. MIAMI. AT MIAMI, FLORIDA. WILL IMMEDIATELY CONTACT INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI, FLORIDA, AND INTERVIEW HIM REGARDING COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER. WILL, DURING
INTERVIEW, ASCERTAIN IDENTITY OF IRS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT AND WILL THEREAFTER ARRANGE FOR THE INTERVIEW OF THE INFORMANT. DURING INTERVIEW OF INFORMANT WILL ASCERTAIN IF THE INFORMANT WOULD BE WILLING TO TESTIFY IN COURT TO HIS KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

WILL INTERVIEW __________________________________________

INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, REGARDING HIS COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF CAPTIONED MATTER.

END.

HOLD
TO SACS, WFO (58-1540)

ATLANTA
CINCINNATI
JACKSONVILLE
MIAMI

FROM DIRECTOR, FBI

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS, BRIBERY;
COI, OO: WFO.

REWFOTEL DATED 11/13/75.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF EACH RECEIVING OFFICE, THE DEPUTY
ATTORNEY GENERAL HAS REQUESTED THAT THIS MATTER BE RESOLVED AT
THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE DATE.

THIS CASE IS RECEIVING EXTENSIVE PRESS COVERAGE
NATIONALLY AND IS BEING CLOSELY FOLLOWED BY THE HOUSE
COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.

WFO HAS BEEN INSTRUCTED TO SUBMIT A SUMMARY TELETYPe
EACH FRIDAY; THEREFORE, EACH OFFICE SHOULD SUBMIT A SUMMARY
OF INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED EACH WEEK TO REACH WFO ON A TIMELY
BASE FOR INCLUSION IN THIS SUMMARY.

DUE TO THE SENSITIVE NATURE AND HIGH LEVEL INTEREST IN

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 14 1975
210PM EMS

TELETYPe
PAGE TWO

THIS INVESTIGATION, EACH OFFICE SHOULD AFFORD THIS MATTER THE HIGHEST PRIORITY ATTENTION WITH ALL LEADS TO OTHER DIVISIONS BEING SET FORTH BY TELETYPE. AGENTS HANDLING THIS MATTER SHOULD THOROUGHLY FAMILIARIZE THEMSELVES WITH THE BACKGROUND PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED IN ORDER TO CONDUCT THOROUGH, PROBING AND ALL INCLUSIVE INTERVIEWS.

EACH SAC SHOULD INSURE THAT APPROPRIATE MANPOWER IS ASSIGNED IN ORDER TO BRING THIS INVESTIGATION TO A LOGICAL CONCLUSION AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE DATE.

END
REFERENCES WFO TELETYPE DATED NOVEMBER 12, 1975.

THE FOLLOWING IS A LIST OF LEADS SET OUT BY THIS OFFICE THAT ARE CONTEMPLATED IN THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE. AS SOON AS THE FBI GETS FULL DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY FROM THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), A COMMUNICATION WILL BE FORTHCOMING ASKING THAT THESE LEADS BE CONDUCTED.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEADS, MIAMI. 1) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW</th>
<th>MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, REGARDING THIS MATTER.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW</td>
<td>MIAMI, FLORIDA, REGARDING THIS MATTER.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PAGE TWO WFO 58-1540.

3) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW MIAMI, FLORIDA, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

4) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW MIAMI, FLORIDA, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

5) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW EVINRUDE MARINE PRODUCTS, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

6) WILL, AT JENSEN'S BEACH, FLORIDA, INTERVIEW REGARDING ANY INFORMATION HE HAS OF A YACHT TRIP BY THE YACHT CHANTICLEER ON THE WEEKEND OF APRIL 26, 1975.

7) WILL LOCATE OF THE YACHT CHANTICLEER AND WILL INTERVIEW HIM REGARDING THE YACHT TRIP PROPOSED ON APRIL 26, 1975, AND ATTEMPT TO ASCERTAIN COMPLETE LIST OF PASSENGERS AND ALL OTHER INFORMATION HE HAS REGARDING THAT TRIP, INCLUDING WHY THAT TRIP WAS CANCELLED.

8) WILL ATTEMPT TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW ALL MEMBERS OF THE YACHT CHANTICLEER'S CREW REGARDING YACHT TRIP ON APRIL 26, 1975.

9) WILL INTERVIEW OWNERS OF THE EPICUREAN MARKET REGARDING CATERING THE YACHT TRIP ON APRIL 26, 1975, AND WILL ASCERTAIN
IF THE EPICUREAN MARKET HAS RECORDS REGARDING CATERING THAT TRIP.

10) WILL INTERVIEW INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, REGARDING HIS KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

11) WILL INTERVIEW INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, REGARDING ALL INFORMATION SHE HAS CONCERNING THIS MATTER.

12) WILL INTERVIEW INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, REGARDING HIS COMPLETE INFORMATION OF THIS MATTER.

JACKSONVILLE. WILL INTERVIEW JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA, REGARDING HIS COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

CINCINNATI. WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW REGARDING HIS COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

ATLANTA IS BEING FURNISHED A COPY IN THAT IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT LEADS WILL BE FORTHCOMING IN THE ATLANTA DIVISION.

END.

PLS ACK 4
FBI
Date: 11/25/75

Transmit the following in __________

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIRMAL (Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, JACKSONVILLE (58-123) (RUC)
SUBJECT: DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka
          Donald C. Alexander,
          COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
          BRIBERY; COI
          (00: WFO)

Re Jacksonville teletype to WFO dated 11/21/75,
and WFO telcall to Jacksonville 11/24/75.

Enclosed herewith for WFO are the following:

Nine copies of FD-302 with __________
Nine copies of FD-302 with __________
Letter dated 11/20/75, to SA's and
Jacksonville Division, from
District Director, IRS,
Jacksonville, transmitting the following:

Memorandum to District Director, Cincinnati District,
dated January 21, 1975, with attachments.

Confidential Informant debriefing sheet dated
4/14/75, prepared by SA

Confidential Informant debriefing sheet dated
4/14/75, prepared by SA

Memorandum to Regional Inspector, Southeast Region,
dated 5/7/75, with attachments.

2 - Bureau
2 - WFO (Enc. 23)
2 - Miami (Enc. 7)
1 - Jacksonville

DLM: jic (7)

Approved: 14 NOV 28 1975
Special Agent in Charge
Sent M Per
Enclosed herewith for Miami is one copy of each of the above described enclosures.

According to Bureau instructions received in referenced WFO telephone call, no report being submitted.
NR 005 CI PLAIN
8 20 PM NITEL 11/20/75 GEB
TO DIRECTOR
MIAMI (58-486)
WFO (58-1540)
FROM CINCINNATI (58-259) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA., DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI; 00: WFO.
RE CINCINNATI NITEL TO BUREAU, AND WFO, NOVEMBER 19, 1975.
EFFORTS TO LOCATE FOR INTERVIEW DETERMINED
THAT IS RESIDING IN MIAMI, FLORIDA, AND CAN BE REACHED
AT MIAMI, TELEPHONE NUMBER

UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW TODAY. APPOINTMENT
MADE TO INTERVIEW ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 1975, IN
CINCINNATI, OHIO.
MIAMI, IF NOT ALREADY DONE, INTERVIEW REGARDING
THIS MATTER.
WFO OBTAIN SUBPOENAS FOR

END.

SJP FBIHQ CLR AND TKS
FROM MIAMI (58-486)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BRI BERY; COMPL.
00: WFO.

RE MIAMI TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED NOVEMBER 20, 1975, WASHINGDR ON FIELD AIRTEL TO CINCINNATI DATED NOVEMBER 18, 1975, WASHINGTON FIELD TELETYPE TO MIAMI DATED NOVEMBER 25, 1975.

SUBPOENA FORWARD TO RE WASHINGTON FIELD AIRTEL OF NOVEMBER 18, 1975, WAS SERVED ON

YACHT CHANTICLEER, INTERVIEWED NOVEMBER 25, 1975. OF CHANTICLEER ALSO INTERVIEWED.

ALL THREE DENY ANY CHARTER OR ANY NEGOTIATIONS TO CHARTER OR USE CHANTICLEER ON PART OF OR OTHER INDIVIDUALS THIS MATTER.

SAYS HAS NEVER CHARTERED VESSEL; THAT ONLY HE AND/OR HIS PERSONAL GUESTS EVER USED VESSEL. CHANTICLEER ARRIVED JENSEN BEACH APRIL 22, 1975 AFTER MEXICO CRUISE AND REMAINED IN DOCK UNTIL LEFT APRIL 29, 1975 ENROUTE JONES BOAT YARD, MIAMI. NO PREPARATIONS, WHATSOEVER, WERE MADE AT JENSEN BEACH FOR ANY USE OF CHANTICLEER BY ANY FISHING PARTY. ONLY ACTIVITY ABOARD DURING THAT PERIOD AFTER ARRIVAL AT JENSEN BEACH WAS CLEANUP AFTER MEXICO TRIP; ALSO, SOME OF CREW LIVE ABOARD.
PAGE TWO (MM 58-486)

IRS INTELLIGENCE, MIAMI, INTERVIEWED UNDER OATH NOVEMBER 24, 1975. HE ADVISED

 IRS INTELLIGENCE, MIAMI, UNAVAILABLE UNTIL MONDAY, DECEMBER 1, 1975.

END

PLS HOLD
REFERENCE CINCINNATI TELETYPE TO WFO DATED NOVEMBER 14, 1975.

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED TO WFO VIA REFERENCED CINCINNATI TELETYPE.

ALTHOUGH SEVERAL PERSONS INTERVIEWED STATED THAT HE WAS EXTREMELY HARD TO GET ALONG WITH.

ONE OF THE REFERENCES INTERVIEWED IN CINCINNATI 161-1416, WAS WITH KANTER CORPORATION, CINCINNATI, OHIO.

REVIEW OF LATEST CINCINNATI DIRECTORIES SHOW THAT (PROBABLY IDENTICAL WITH) OF THE KANTER CORPORATION, INC., LOCATED AT 650 NORTHLAND ROAD, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 513-851-6000. HOME ADDRESS IS CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER CINCINNATI FILE CAPTIONED REPORTS THAT HAVE BEEN FRIENDS FOR YEARS.
IS PRESENTLY CINCINNATI, OHIO. HAS AN OFFICE AT CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 
RESIDES AT CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 
AND CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 
IS A WHITE MALE, DATE OF BIRTH 
PLACE OF BIRTH HEIGHT FIVE FEET TEN INCHES, WEIGHT 175 POUNDS. IN 1967, WAS DIVORCED FROM HIS WIFE, ATTORNEY REPRESENTING HIM IN THE DIVORCE SUIT WAS RECENTLY APPOINTED BY PRESIDENT GERALD FORD. 
AND AND OTHERS (SAME CASE) 

EXAMINATION OF PUBLIC SOURCE DOCUMENTS INDICATED NO LONGER RESIDES IN CINCINNATI, OHIO. TELEPHONE DIRECTORY SHOWS

[NAME], ATTORNEY AT LAW, RESIDES AT CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER

REQUEST OF THE BUREAU. THE BUREAU IS REQUESTED TO CHECK BUREAU INDICES AND FURNISH PERTINENT BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO WFO REGARDING ALEXANDER, [NAME] AND

END.
Donald Crichton Alexander, also known as Donald C. Alexander, Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), bribery; conflict of interest; OOO::WFO.


WFO is not restating investigation conducted week of November 24-28, 1975 by Miami Division as Bureau already has the information in referenced Nitel.

Investigation conducted by Cincinnati:

Was interviewed on November 24, 1975, at Cincinnati, Ohio. Stated that he knows nothing about a "fishing trip" to the Bahamas from Miami, Florida, on weekend of April 25-27, 1975, with Donald C. Alexander, with.

Stated that such a trip was never discussed, planned or contemplated. Stated he last saw in Miami several
YEARS AGO, [Name] has not talked to Alexander since he became Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service. [Name] is not aware of any attempt by [Name] to have [Name]'s tax liability reduced through Alexander or anyone else.

On November 21, 1975, [Name], IRS, Cincinnati, Ohio, stated that

**Investigations, IRS, Cincinnati, Ohio, stated that**

**Investigation conducted by WFO:**

**Numerous individuals currently on the subject's staff and clerical employees who work in subject's office were**
INTERVIEWED CONCERNING THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF THE PROMINENT INDIVIDUALS' NAMES REGARDING THIS MATTER AND ANY KNOWLEDGE THEY MAY HAVE HAD CONCERNING THE YACHT TRIP. ALL WERE NEGATIVE.

IRS, WAS INTERVIEWED ON NOVEMBER 25, 1975,
ON NOVEMBER 26, 1975, IRS, WASHINGTON, D.C., ADVISED
ON NOVEMBER 26, 1975, THE DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, ADVISED THAT NO PROGRESS HAD BEEN MADE CONCERNING THE INFORMANT SUBMITTING TO FBI INTERVIEW IN HIS PRESENCE. ADVISED THAT IF NO PROGRESS IS MADE BY DECEMBER 1, 1975, HE WISHED TO MEET WITH WFO AGENT IN HIS OFFICE TO TALK CONCERNING OTHER POSSIBLE COURSE OF ACTION TO BE TAKEN CONCERNING THE INFORMANT INFORMATION AND INFORMANT TESTIMONY.

LEAD. MIAMI. AT MIAMI, FLORIDA. WILL CONTACT OR AT ABOVE MENTIONED ADDRESS AND OBTAIN COPIES OF ALL DOCUMENTS REGARDING

END.

LXS. FBIHQ CR
To: SAC, WFO (58-1540) (P)
From: Director, FBI

DONALD CRIGHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER,
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
BRIBERY; COI
(00:WFO)

Re WFO airtel dated 11/20/75.

Forwarded under separate cover are

Also forwarded are previously sent by your office.

SCK: clh (6)

EX-112

MAILED 14

DEC 1 1975

FBI

REC 43 58-9248-241

15 DEC 2 1975
Memorandum

DATE: 11/20/75

TO:

FROM: L. E. Rhyne

SUBJECT: DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST

PURPOSE: This is to advise of the up-to-date status of investigation in captioned matter.

DETAILS: Reference is made to L. E. Rhyne to memorandum dated 11/4/75 and captioned as above (copy attached).

This investigation was originated on a request from the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, dated 10/30/75; however, the investigation was hampered from the very initial interview pending the FBI's receipt of disclosure authority from Internal Revenue Service (IRS) relative to necessary tax records, etc., needed in this investigation. Full disclosure authority was not received until 11/17/75 at which time Disclosure Unit, IRS, Washington, D. C., advised that IRS would fully cooperate with the FBI and Department of Justice in this connection. All tax records and identities of IRS employees needed for interview will be readily made available.

Investigation is currently underway in the WFO, Miami and Cincinnati Divisions.

IRS, Washington, D. C., advises that

Attachment

CONTINUED - OVER

EX-115 REC-41 58-9248

PER IRS

PER IRS

PER IRS

PER IRS

PER IRS

PER IRS

PER IRS

PER IRS

PER IRS

PER IRS

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
Memorandum to
Re: Donald C. Alexander

IRS Agents ___ and ___ on interview by the
FBI declined to furnish the identity of the confidential
informant. ___ confirmed that

IRS Agent ___ will advise the FBI
if and when the informant changes his mind relative to
cooperating with the FBI in this investigation.

A review of IRS memoranda reveals that
Memorandum to
Re: Donald C. Alexander

Investigation by the Cincinnati Division relative to [redacted] reflects that

ACTION: Further appropriate investigation is being expedited in this matter.
The IRS report also reflected an inquiry into charges that Commissioner Alexander undercut an investigation of cigarette smuggling.

Enclosure
Memorandum to [Redacted]
RE: DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER

In summary, the IRS report reflected that a review of voluminous IRS and Postal Department files pertaining to listed Donald Alexander only once as tax attorney for in 1958, at the time she was divorcing .

The IRS preliminary investigation did not support any of the above allegations.

The Department's memorandum of 10/30/75 further enclosed a letter dated 9/19/75, from Congressman Al Ullman, Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means, to Treasury Secretary William E. Simon, reflecting the Committee's dissatisfaction with the IRS investigation in this matter and requested further suggested investigation. The AAG, Criminal Division, requested the FBI to conduct this investigation at the specific request of the Deputy Attorney General. It is noted that the Treasury Department specifically requested the Department of Justice to handle this investigation. The Department advised in relation to the cigarette smuggling allegation it appears that IRS conducted sufficient inquiry into that matter.

ACTION: Appropriate investigation is being initiated along lines requested by the Department of Justice.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9248) AND SAC, MIAMI (58-468)

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540) (P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY, COI,
00:WFO

INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED BY WFO ON DECEMBER 3, 1975
THAT FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT, MIAMI,
FLORIDA (ASSIGNED TO STRIKE FORCE IN MIAMI) HAS AN INFORMANT

MIAMI WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW REGARDING ANY
INFORMATION HE HAS CONCERNING THIS MATTER AND DURING INTERVIEW DEC 5 1975
VIEW WILL ASCERTAIN IF INFORMANT WOULD BE AGREEABLE TO
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) INTERVIEW. NO
ATTEMPT SHOULD BE MADE TO ARRANGE AN INTERVIEW WITHOUT NOTIFYING WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE FIRST.

END

HOLD ONE MORE
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS),  \[D\]

BRIBERY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST, OO: WFO.

ALL OFFICES WILL FORWARD FD 302S OF INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED TO DATE TO WFO IN A MANNER IN WHICH THEY WILL ARRIVE AT WFO NO LATER THAN DECEMBER 8, 1975, AS THE BUREAU HAS REQUESTED WFO TO SUBMIT A REPORT ON THIS MATTER TO THE BUREAU NO LATER THAN DECEMBER 10, 1975.

END.

AJN FBIHQ CLR TU

EX-112

5 DEC 5 1975
To: SAC, WFO (58-1540)
From: Director, FBI (58-9248)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka
COMMISSIONER, IRS
BRIbery; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Enclosed for WFO is one copy of a letter dated
12/3/75 from the Deputy Attorney General in which the
Department, at the request of the Deputy Secretary of the
Treasury, has requested our current investigation to include
allegations of improper conduct of Alexander to protect
close associates in connection with "Project Haven." The
Departmental Attorney handling this matter has advised that
contact should be made with Assistant Internal Revenue
Service (IRS) who
will make available IRS inspection reports relating to
"Project Haven."

It is noted our investigation will be limited to
allegations of improper conduct on the part of Mr. Alexander.

A review of Bureau indices, as requested by WFO, did not locate anything pertinent to our current investi-
gation concerning

Enclosure

EX 104 REG. 58-92-48-28

DEO 9 1975
Airtel to SAC, WFO
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka
Attached is a request from the Deputy Attorney General (DAG) for an expansion of the current investigation being conducted by the FBI concerning Donald C. Alexander, Commissioner, IRS. The DAG, at the request of the Deputy Secretary of the Treasury, has requested our current investigation to include allegations of improper conduct of Alexander to protect close associates in connection with "Project Haven." Project Haven is an investigation by IRS of Bahamian tax havens used by Americans. The FBI is currently conducting a Bribery - Conflict of Interest investigation of Alexander at the specific request of the Department wherein Alexander is alleged to be possibly influencing the outcome of a tax investigation of...

WHO will be advised to conduct the appropriate investigation by separate communication.

1 - Mr. Moore

JJD: cj1
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9248)
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540) (P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST, CO:WFO.

REFERENCE WFO TELETYPE DATED NOVEMBER 28, 1975.

INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED AT MIAMI:
CREW OF CHANTICLEER INTERVIEWED AND DENY ANY PREPARATIONS OR PLANS FOR TRIP TO BAHAMAS.

ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, FOLDER CONTAINING COPIES OF MEMORANDA BY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS) SPECIAL AGENT WITH INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT MADE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW BY IRS INSPECTOR MIAMI. EARLIEST MEMO JULY 1, 1974, AND MOST RECENT MEMO IS IN SEPTEMBER, 1974. NO EVALUATION OF INFORMATION. NO INFORMATION CONCERNING INSTANT MATTER CONTAINED THEREIN. ADVISED MEMORANDA WOULD BE FORWARDED TO WASHINGTON WHERE COPIES ARE TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO SPECIAL AGENT WASHINGTON FIELD, BY IRS INTELLIGENCE.
INFORMATION IN TWO ENVELOPES FROM SAFE OF IRS CONTAINING INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT, WILL ALSO BE MADE AVAILABLE AT WASHINGTON LEVEL. AFTER CONFERRING WITH SPECIAL AGENT MIAMI, DETERMINED THAT INFORMATION IN THE TWO ENVELOPES NOT PREVIOUSLY MADE AVAILABLE TO FBI ARE MEMORANDA DATED JUNE 29, 1975, AND MAY 4, 1975, AND PAGES SIX AND SEVEN OF CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY PREVIOUSLY MADE AVAILABLE AT WASHINGTON, BUT CONSISTING OF ONLY PAGES ONE THROUGH FIVE.

ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, INTERVIEWED UNDER OATH. HE ADVISED
ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, IRS SPECIAL AGENT

[Redacted]
INVESTIGATION AT WASHINGTON, D. C.:

INTERVIEWS OF FORMER EMPLOYEES AND CURRENTLY EMPLOYEES ON THE SUBJECT’S STAFF AND FORMER AND CURRENT CLERICAL EMPLOYEES WHO WORKED IN THE SUBJECT’S OFFICE HAVE BEEN COMPLETED. THE ONLY PERTINENT INFORMATION DEVELOPED WAS THAT HE MAINTAINS AN INDEX CARD FOR [ ] IN HIS OFFICE WITH [ ] ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER IN MIAMI, FLORIDA, ON THE INDEX CARD.

ON DECEMBER 1, 1975, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH [ ] DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY AND HE ADVISED THAT THERE HAD STILL BEEN NO PROGRESS MADE CONCERNING THE INFORMANT SUBMITTING TO AN FBI INTERVIEW IN HIS PRESENCE. [ ] STATED THAT IT DOES NOT APPEAR THAT THE IRS INFORMANT WILL SUBMIT TO ANY SORT OF DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE INTERVIEW AND AFTER A DISCUSSION OF THE ALTERNATIVES, IT WAS DECIDED THAT [ ] WOULD AGAIN REQUEST HE BE GIVEN GRAND JURY AUTHORITY IN WDC. IT WAS ALSO DECIDED THAT UPON [ ] RECEIVING THIS AUTHORITY THAT THE FBI WOULD REQUEST [ ] THEREAFTER A GRAND JURY SUBPOENA WOULD BE ISSUED FOR AS OF DECEMBER 5, 1975, [ ] HAD NOT RECEIVED GRAND JURY AUTHORITY.
On December 2, 1975, IRS, WDC, was interviewed and advised.
ON DECEMBER 3, 1975, DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, ADVISED THAT HE HAD RECEIVED INFORMATION FROM OVERSIGHT SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE IRS, HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
PAGE SEVEN WFO 58-1540.

WD C, THAT FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT, MIAMI, FLORIDA (ASSIGNED TO STRIKE FORCE IN MIAMI), HAS AN INFORMANT

MIAMI HAS BEEN INSTRUCTED TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW

THE RESULTS OF THAT INTERVIEW WERE NOT MADE AVAILABLE TO WFO BY TIME OF DICTATION.

END.

PLS HOLD FOR ONE MORE
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9248)
FROM: SAC, MIAMI (58-486) (P)
SUBJECT: DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka. COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE BRIBERY; COI

OO: WFO

Re. WFO teletype to Bureau, 12/4/75.

Enclosed herewith for WFO are 2 copies each of the following FD-302s and inserts containing results of investigation by the Miami Office to date.

Insert 5

FD-302 SA IRS, 11/18/75
FD-302 SA IRS, 11/19/75
Insert, SA IRS, 11/19/75
FD-302 11/24/75
FD-302 Group Manager IRS, 11/24/75
Insert Inspector IRS, 12/2/75
FD-302 11/20/75
FD-302 11/20/75

2 - Bureau
1 - WFO (58-1540) (Enc. 44)
1 - Miami
AAA:ed
(5)
It is to be noted that FD-302 of interview of IRS SA on 12/2/75, will be forwarded in the near future. Agent Florida Department of Law Enforcement, is out of town until Monday, 12/8/75.
TELETYPE

10:57 PM MIKEX DECEMBER 4, 1975 SAY TO DIRECTOR
"WASHINGTON FILED (58-1546)
CINCINNATI (58-259)
FROM MIAMI (58-456) (P)
DONALD CRIGHTON ALEXANDER, AKA COMMISSIONER IPS; PRISEPY; COI.
CO: WASHINGTON FILED.

RE MIAMI TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED NOVEMBER 26, 1975, WASH-
INGTON FILED TELETYPES TO BUREAU DATED NOVEMBER 28, 1975 AND DECEMBER
3, 1975.

CREW OF CHAMTHEEL INTERVIEWED AND DENY ANY PREPARATIONS
OR PLANS FOR TRIP TO BAHAMAS.

ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, FOLDER CONTAINING COPIES OF MEMORANDA BY
IPS SA _______________ WITH INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT MADE AVAILABLE
FOR REVIEW BY IPS INSPECTOR _______________ MIAMI. EARLIEST
MEMO JULY 1, 1974, AND MOST RECENT MEMO IN SEPTEMBER 1974. NO
EVALUATION OF INFORMANT. NO INFORMATION CONCERNING INSTANT MATTER
CONTAINED THEREIN. __________ ADVISED MEMORANDA WOULD BE FORWARD TO
WASHINGTON WHERE COPIES TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO SA _______________
WASHINGTON FIELD, BY IRS INTELLIGENCE. INFORMATION IN TWO ENVELOPES
FROM SAFE OF IRS _______________ ON DEC 10 1975 CONTAINING
END PAGE ONE

57 DEC 23 1975
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INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT, WILL ALSO BE MADE AVAILABLE AT WASHINGTON LEVEL. AFTER CONFERRING WITH SA MIAMI,

DETERMINED THAT INFORMATION IN THE TWO ENVELOPES NOT PREVIOUSLY MADE AVAILABLE TO FBI ARE MEMORANDA DATED JUNE 29, 1975 AND MAY 4, 1975, AND PAGES SIX AND SEVEN OF CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY PREVIOUSLY MADE AVAILABLE AT WASHINGTON, BUT CONSISTING OF ONLY PAGES ONE THROUGH FIVE.

ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, INTERVIEWED UNDER OATH. HE ADVISED
ON DECEMBER 2, 1975 IRS SA ADVISED
EFFECTS BEING MADE TO ARRANGE APPOINTMENT WITH FLORIDA LAW ENFORCEMENT BUREAU AGENT IN ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY ALLEGED. END

MAH FBIHQ ACK FOR ONE

WA CLR
By CAROL MATHEWS

The initial findings in a study of relations between the Internal Revenue Service and individual taxpayers reveal a deep sense of injustice on the part of the taxpayer, according to Thomas Stanton, director of the Tax Reform Research Group in Washington, D.C.

"We found that many average taxpayers feel they have not had a chance to present their case, that they don't get their day in court," says Stanton.

The Ralph Nader-inspired tax reform group is conducting the study at the suggestion of Sen. Joseph Montoya (D-N.M.), who is head of a subcommittee that oversees appropriations for the IRS.

"So far, we and Sen. Montoya have received a few hundred letters that indicate taxpayers feel totally out-gunned by the IRS," says Stanton.

The findings so far show that, in many cases, the IRS will tell people to either pay up or be taken to court, says Stanton.

"Feeling that it may cost more to litigate than settle, taxpayers may pay and then resent not getting their day in court on what they consider a legitimate question of interpretation of the tax law," he adds.

However there does exist a small-taxpayer court for questions involving less than $1,000, established by the 1969 tax reform law.

"The taxpayer can present his case in this court without legal aid or expenses of that nature. We are required to and do tell people that this court procedure is available to them when we reach an impasse," said an official of the IRS.

He said trials are conducted as informally as possible, frequently involving just an oral presentation of the case to a judge.

However, this avenue of recourse for disgruntled taxpayers may not be working as well as it could be.

"We will be looking at the small-taxpayer court to find out why it is not meeting the need, because the court has not had nearly the volume of use it should have had," says Stanton.

While the IRS official agrees that, nationwide, the small-taxpayer court may not be as fully staffed as it should be, New York taxpayers, at least, is reported, are largely aware that they may use this court.

Nevertheless, Stanton feels that perhaps not all IRS offices are advising taxpayers of the simplified and free court procedure.

"We must bring the court to more cities and make it known to more people," he says.

The study is compiling as many instances of IRS-taxpayer contacts as possible.

Seek Taxpayer Statements

"We are collecting letters from taxpayers describing contacts with the IRS, including general feelings about the encounter, and an authorization that the statement can be presented to Congress in our larger study," says Stanton.

The Tax Reform Research Group has set aside Post Office Box 14198 in Washington, zip code 20044, to collect such statements. Stanton said no original documents, such as IRS forms, should be sent along.

"We are relying on individual statements because we have found it hard to get information from the IRS on their audit practices," said Stanton.

It is expected that the completed study will be presented to Sen. Montoya's subcommittee around next March 1 as part of the investigation by Congress into the workings of the IRS.
Complaints to be checked

U.S. taxpayers sound off on the IRS

WASHINGTON

By Robert P. Hey
Staff correspondent of
The Christian Science Monitor

— Difficulty in getting help filling out income-tax forms.
— Seizure by the Internal Revenue Service of personal property to satisfy IRS claims that more taxes are owed without the opportunity to present your side of the story first in an independent court.
— Arrogance of some IRS agents. These are some of the charges American taxpayers are leveling in writing against the IRS, the U.S. collector of federal income taxes.

The charges are being made to Sen. Joseph M. Montoya (D) of New Mexico, who asked two weeks ago that taxpayers tell him any gripes they have against the IRS. Senator Montoya is chairman of the Senate appropriations subcommittee on treasury, post office, and general government — which oversees the budgetary requests of the IRS, among other agencies.

Nader was looking

During a budget hearing last spring consumer advocate Ralph Nader told the Senator that he was investigating the IRS, after hearing stories of harassment of individual taxpayers by individual IRS agents. Senator Montoya subsequently became interested in conducting an investigation of his own.

As far as he is concerned all the complaints thus far are unproved allegations, and will remain so until his staff has a chance to investigate them — which will, be, after Congress winds up its session in October.

The IRS long has been a favorite American whipping boy, cast as it is in the unpleasant role of tax collector. There are two sides to every story — and persons who write letters of complaint to senators often don't give a true picture of what has occurred, Montoya aides say.

The IRS claims its overall record is good.

*Please turn to Page 10
Taxpayers sound off

Continued from Page 1

Nevertheless, Montoya aides say "there's a lot of smoke" to many of the complaints. They intend to find out whether there's fire, too, which would justify the complaint.

Montoya aides say they expect no difficulty in working with IRS officials in Washington to get to the bottom of complaints.

In the two weeks since the letters began to flow toward the Montoya office, some 300 have arrived, of which some 255 have been analyzed. It's been a "nationwide" response, which gratifies the Senator's aides. But the character of the complaints has surprised them somewhat.

They expected many letters asking for specific reforms in tax law, or in the internal rules of the IRS.

"But the letters do deal a lot with harassment, or refusal to assist," says one aide. "That was a surprise to us." Half the letters analyzed fall in this category.

One insurance company executive complained about the "most arrogant" and "dictatorial" manner of an IRS agent with whom he dealt.

Quite a few letter writers complain about "excessive delay" in obtaining refunds.

Some charge that agents put undue pressure on them to agree to an extension of the three-year statute of limitations on tax prosecution -- an agreement that is supposed to be strictly voluntary. They say that agents told them, in effect, "Either you sign this agreement or else."

No appointments

The vice-president of a major New York City company complained about difficulty two years running in getting help from the IRS in filling out his income tax. His story is that it refused to give him help on the phone; refused to send him written material; refused to make an appointment for him to come in, in person.

He was appointments; he'd have to come in and wait several hours in line to be helped.

Some complaints border on the farcical. With a touch of humor a 73-year-old man wrote that two years ago the IRS had demanded an additional $1 in tax payments from him, plus 6 cents interest -- "due entirely to a misprint" on an IRS form.

He paid promptly; then:

"When I finally got around to sending them the offending form, they returned my $1 -- but minus the 6 cents."

Some complaints are not so funny. Several people sent the Senator a copy of the news report of a University of Texas student whose 1971 automobile was seized by the IRS for sale because he had refused to pay a $2.44 federal tax on his phone bill, as an antiwar protest.

These writers argued that this was a clear proof that either tax laws or the IRS's own regulations need to be changed so that due process is always scrupulously observed by the IRS. They say it's important that courts be required to rule on the justness of such seizures before they are made.

This issue of "due process" is one of the points Senator Montoya thinks bears looking into, as the result of the letters he has received.

He will get a chance to look into this issue either in his subcommittee consideration of the IRS budget for next year, set for this October or early next year, or else in the expected consideration of income-tax reform next year.

Nixon for simplification

President Nixon already has said he favors simplification of the present complicated federal income-tax form, and is expected to send some such legislation to Congress next year if re-elected. (Senator Montoya has received a raft of complaints about the complexity of this year's form.)

Liberal Democrats are expected to counter with proposals to reform substantially the present federal income-tax structure.

Either way, tax reform -- and thus IRS reform -- are likely to be major congressional issues come January when the next Congress convenes.