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AIRTEL:

To: SAC, New York
From: Director, FBI
etc., et al.

required this date.

Immediately submit a letterhead memorandum setting forth background of this case. Specifically set forth in the opening paragraph of the letterhead memorandum that the USA requested investigation be limited to the routine agency checks until after necessary documents are obtained. Follow this matter closely and keep the Bureau advised of all developments.

ACL: AOB
(4)
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-NEW)

SUBJECT: ET AL BRIbery


Enclosed herewith are four copies of a letterhead memorandum prepared in this matter. Information contained in this memorandum was furnished by ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, USA, SDNY, at a conference held at the Office of the USA and attended by SAC, HARVEY G. FOSTER, SAS JOHN JOSEPH MONAGHAN and [redacted].

The Bureau will be kept advised of all new developments in this matter.
In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

New York, New York
March 26, 1962

Re:

Roy Cohn
Bribery

United States Attorney Robert M. Morgenthau,
Southern District of New York, furnished the following
information regarding

advised that

hearings on the United Dye Corporation case. Roy Cohn
asked to get the adjournment and the
adjudgment was obtained. At that time

This document contains neither recommendations
nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI
and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not
to be distributed outside your agency.

58-5700-3

ENCLOSURE
Re: Morton Robson; Roy Cohn
Bribery

In accordance with Mr. Morgenthau's request our investigation will be limited to routine agency checks until after the necessary documents have been obtained from...
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: BRIBERY ET AL

USA ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, SDNY, advised the instant date that

The Bureau will be kept advised.

Approved: [Signature]

Sent M Per

Special Agent in Charge
URGENT 3-23-62 12-10 PM FCT

TO DIRECTOR 2

FROM SAC NEW YORK 52 NEW OR

ROY COHN, BRIBERY USA ROBERT M.

MORGENTHAU, SDNY, ADVISED THAT

AND ALLARD ROEN HAVE

PLED GUILTY TO NINETEEN SIXTY INDICTMENTS NAMING THEM FOLLOWING

SEC INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED CONCERNING SALE OF UNITED DYE AND

CHEMICAL CORPORATION STOCK. THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE HAS

BEEN IN CONTACT WITH
USA_REQUESTS_NO_ACTIVE_INVESTIGATION_OTHER
THAN ROUTINE AGENCY CHECKS UNTIL AFTER ___ OBTAINS DOCUMENTARY ___ EVIDENCE FROM ___ BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED.
END AND ACK PLs
NY R 2 WA ELR
URGENT 4-5-62 5-50 PM PST KN

TO DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC-S LOS ANGELES AND NEW YORK /58-1252/

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC, LAS VEGAS /58-8/ 1P

ROY COHN, BRIBERY, 00. NY.

RE NEW YORK TEL FOUR INSTANT.

FOR INFO LOS ANGELES RETEL REQUESTED CHECK OF HOTEL RECORDS

FOR STAY IN LAS VEGAS FOR PERIOD AUGUST FIFTEEN THROUGH
AUGUST TWENTYSEVEN FIFTYNINE. RECORDS, FLAMINGO HOTEL, FOR

THAT PERIOD PRESENTLY MAINTAINED BY

AT THE FLAMINGO, INC., SUITE FOUR ONE FOUR, NINE THREE FIVE
ZERO WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, LOS ANGELES. RECORDS MAINTAINED BY ONE

LOS ANGELES REQUESTED TO CHECK THESE RECORDS

FOR PERTINENT PERIOD, FOR ROBSON.

FOR INFO NEW YORK CHECK OF ALL MAJOR HOTELS, LAS VEGAS,

MADE TODAY RE AND COHN, EXCEPT DESERT INN, WITH NEGATIVE

RESULTS. FD THREE ZERO TWO-S FOLLOW.

END ACK PLS REC 50 58-5/00-5

-- OK FBI LA NPB

WA 8-56 PM OK FBI WA RELAY

TU DISCV

3 APR 12 1962
This is an entirely new allegation, however, the facts are very similar to those of a previous investigation conducted regarding which is under consideration by U. S. Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York, relative to presentation to a Federal Grand Jury.

In the case, the allegation was that Roy Cohn had aided in the appointment of in order to have exercise control over indictments expected against several individuals in this same Securities and Exchange Commission case.

While we are honoring the U.S. Attorney's request in this matter, we are going on record with the U.S. Attorney and the Department that this is a limited investigation.
URGENT 4-4-62 5-46 PM RAG
TO DIRECTOR, FBI 04 & SAC, LAS VEGAS
FROM SAC, NEW YORK 758-1232/

ROY COHN, BRIBERY, USA, SDNY,

ADVISED ALLEGATIONS RECEIVED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS PAID TO ROY COHN AND

IRVING PASTERNAK, ALLAN SWANN AND ALLARD ROEN EXCLUDED FROM

NINETEEN FIFTY NINE INDICTMENT REGARDING UNITED DYE AND CHEMICAL CORP.

USA REQUESTS CHECK OF HOTEL RECORDS,

LAS VEGAS, TO ASCERTAIN STAY THERE. RECORDS OF DESERT INN HAVE BEEN CHECKED BY USA.

LV IS REQUESTED FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST FIFTEEN THROUGH AUGUST TWENTY SEVEN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE TO REVIEW THE RECORDS OF THE FOLLOWING HOTELS IN LAS VEGAS: STARDUST, SANDS, FLAMINGO, NEW FRONTIER, TROPICANA, EL RANCHO, SAHARA, DUNES, HACIENDA.

FOR INFOLV IN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE HAD ADDRESS B6

NO NEED TO CHECK AT DESERT INN. LV EXPEDITED INVESTIGATION.

END APR 11 1962
NY R 4 WA JXX MSL CCMR HADSON
URGENT 4-10-62 9-51 PM RAG
TO DIRECTOR, FBI II & SAC, LOS ANGELES 
FROM SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232/

RELAAIRTEL, APRIL FIVE LAST.

USA MORGENTHAU, SDNY, REQUESTS FURTHER INVESTIGATION CONCERNING
REGISTRATION FOR AT AMBASSADOR HOTEL ON SEPTEMBER FIFTEEN NINETEEN
FIFTY NINE.

LA REQUESTED TO ASCERTAIN SIZE OF BUNGALOW NUMBER ONE THREE ZERO,
HOW MANY OCCUPANTS IT HAD OR MIGHT HAVE HAD, THE COST OF THIS BUNGALOW,
WHO PAID THE BILL AND HOW THE BILL WAS PAID.

LA IS ALSO REQUESTED TO CHECK RECORDS OF THE AMBASSADOR HOTEL FOR
THE PERIOD OF STAY FOR ANY RECORD OF REGISTRATION FOR

NYC., ROY COHN, ONE ONE SIX FIVE PARK AVE, NYC. COHN ALSO MAY HAVE USED THE ADDRESSES OF TWO ZERO EXCHANGE
PLACE, NYC.; AND THE SUNRISE HOSPITAL, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA. ALSO CHECK
FOR ANY REGISTRATION FOR
NEW YORK, AND A FRIEND OF

LA FILE SHD BE 58-495/ EX 101

END 03 APR 16 1962

NY R 11 WA RAM
AUSA Silvio Mollo advised that
ANSWERS HE WOULD GIVE. MOLLO BELIEVES THAT THIS IS IMPORTANT

SINCE [REDACTED] HAS TOLD HIM THAT COHN WAS THE ONE WHO SAID THAT

MOLLO BELIEVES THAT COHN WAS TIPPED OFF AS TO THIS BY EITHER

MOLLO POINTED OUT THAT COULD HAVE EASILY DONE THIS THROUGH

WITH WHOM [REDACTED] WAS VERY FRIENDLY.

USA, SDNY, PLANS TO

MAJOR MOLLO REQUESTS THAT BUREAU HOLD OFF INTERVIEWS OF AND OTHERS UNTIL WHEN AVAILABLE, AND FURNISH FURTHER INFO CONCERNING TO THE BUREAU.

END

NY R 11 WA MLL
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)
RE: ROY COHN

BRIbery
00: New York

Re New York tel to Bureau 4/10/62 and Las Vegas tel to Director 4/5/62.

Registration card, Ambassador Hotel, 9/15/59, showed only one occupant in Bungalow 130-H which is 15' by 18' in bedroom with small terrace adjoining. Hotel accounting records not available to show how or who paid bill. Ordinary rate in 1959 for this bungalow was $24 to $26 a day. Information not available to show why rate of $8 charged but hotel official states this was 1/2 of double rate of $16 for ordinary room and would have been allowed by the then assistant manager if hotel business slow and identified himself as

No Ambassador Hotel registration card in 1959 for ROY COHN or

August, 1959 Flamingo Hotel, Las Vegas registrations stored at Mayflower warehouse, Las Vegas and access to them can be had only through Los Angeles, personally who seldom visits Las Vegas at present. Attempts continuing to have check for registration.

Reg: 12 58-5/10/0-9

13 APR 13 1962

Approved: Special Agent in Charge
investigation of instant matter limited to "routine agency checks" in accordance with the U.S. Attorney's request, pending completion of grand jury action.
To: DIRECTOR, FBI
From: SAC, OMAHA (58-88) (RUC)
Re: ROY COHN
BRIbery
(00: New York)

Re Omaha airtel to the Bureau 4/13/62.

Air Travel Division, Mutual of Omaha, 33rd and Farnam Streets, Omaha, Nebraska, advised ASAC RUSSELL P. CALAME on 4/16/62 that all available trip insurance records for the entire months of August and September, 1959, were searched regarding trip insurance sold at West Side Terminal and LaGuardia Field, New York; Los Angeles, California; and Las Vegas, Nevada. He pointed out that trip insurance sold over the counter is not available. He stated that variations of each name were searched. He said these records failed to disclose trip insurance having been purchased by either subject in connection with a trip from New York to Los Angeles and/or Las Vegas or from Los Angeles and/or Las Vegas to New York.
URGENT 4/12-62 9-01 PM JAA
TO DIRECTOR, FBI /11/ AND SAC, CHICAGO
FROM SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/ 2P

ROY COHN
Bribery

FOR THE INFORMATION OF CHICAGO, ALLEGATIONS RECEIVED THAT

NEW YORK IS ATTEMPTING TO VERIFY TRIP NEW YORK TO LOS ANGELES IN AUGUST, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE.

CHICAGO REQUESTED UNITED AIRLINES, EXECUTIVE OFFICES, O-HARE FIELD, TO LOCATE FLIGHT COUPON FOR TRIP NEW YORK TO LOS ANGELES BY FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST TWENTY THROUGH AUGUST TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE.

RESIDED AT NEW YORK, AND
NEW YORK.

END PAGE ONE

62 APR 20 1962
CHICAGO ALSO LOCATE ANY FLIGHT COUPONS FOR TRIPS BY 
OR COHN NEW YORK TO LOS ANGELES MONTHS OF AUGUST AND SEPTEMBER 
NINETEEN FIFTY NINE. COHN RESIDED ONE ONE SIX FIVE PARK AVENUE AND 
HAD AN OFFICE ADDRESS OF TWENTY EXCHANGE PLACE. 
CHICAGO REQUESTED TO EXPEDITE INVESTIGATION. 

END 

NY R 11 WA NH 

TU
URGENT 4-13-62 12-14 PM JOB
TO DIRECTOR --3-- AND SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD AND SAC, OMAHA
FROM SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/

ROY COHN

BRIBERY

ALLEGATIONS RECEIVED THAT PAID FIFTY THOUSAND
DOLLARS, TWO THIRDS TO ONE THIRD TO COHN, TO HAVE
AND OTHERS EXCLUDED FROM NINETEEN FIFTY NINE INDICTMENT IN UNITED DYE
AND CHEMICAL COMPANY CASE.

NEW YORK IS ATTEMPTING TO VERIFY TRIPS BY AND COHN FROM
NEW YORK TO LOS ANGELES IN AUGUST AND SEPTEMBER, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE.

OMAHA OFFICE, THROUGH MUTUAL OF OMAHA, OMAHA,
NEBRASKA, IS REQUESTED TO LOCATE ANY RECORD AVAILABLE ON INSURANCE
FOR TRIP BY , NEW YORK TO LOS ANGELES, AND / OR
LAS VEGAS FOR THE Period AUGUST TWENTY TO TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN
FIFTY NINE. ALSO, CHECK FOR TRIPS TO NEW YORK FROM LOS ANGELES AND
/ OR LAS VEGAS BY OR COHN FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER,
NINETEEN FIFTY NINE.

END PAGE ONE

25 APR 17 1962
PAGE TWO

WFO IS REQUESTED TO CONTACT THE TELETRIP COMPANY, INC., ONE SIX TWO FIVE I STREET, NORTH WEST WASHINGTON SIX, DC, FOR ANY RECORD THEY MAY HAVE FOR INSURANCE TAKEN BY FOR A TRIP THE PERIOD AUGUST TWENTY TO TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE, AND FOR ANY RECORD THEY MAY HAVE OF INSURANCE TAKEN ON TRIPS BY OR COHN IN THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE.

RESIDED IN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE AT NEW YORK, AND NEW YORK CITY. COHN RESIDED ONE SIX FIVE PARK AVENUE, NYC, AND HAD OFFICE ADDRESS OF TWENTY EXCHANGE PLACE, NYC. EXPEDITE INVESTIGATION.

ENHANCED ACK.

NY R 3 WA DA

TU

PLS HOLD
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-NEW) (RUC)  
ROY COHN  
BRIBERY  
(00:NY)  

ReNYtel 4/13/62, requesting WFO contact offices of Teletrip Company, Inc., for any record they may have for insurance taken by [_____] for a trip during the period 8/20-24/59, and for any record of insurance taken on trips by [_____] or COHN in month of September, 1959.

On 4/13/62, Teletrip Company, Inc., advised SA PAUL KENNETH BROWN that all insurance applications prior to 1/1/61, are maintained in Omaha, Nebraska. We stated the party to contact is [_____] Mutual of Omaha, at 33rd and Farnum, Omaha, Nebraska. [_____] stated that Teletrip maintained only two outlets in the NYC area, a machine dispenser at La Guardia Airport, and a sales person at Newark Airport.

Omaha handle lead set forth for WFO in reNYtel. Expedite investigation.

3 - Bureau  
2 - New York (58-1232)  
2 - Omaha (AMSD)  
1 - Washington Field  

PKB: pjh  
(8)  
AIRTEL C. C. Wick

Approved: 62 APR 23 1962  
Sent M Per
Transmit the following in

(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

To: DIRECTOR, FBI

From: SAC, OMAHA (58-88)

Re: ROY COHN

BRIBERY

Re New York tel to Bureau 4/13/62.

Machine trip insurance records, Mutual of Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska, available for pertinent period. No records of trip insurance sold over counter available. Incomplete search of these records this date failed to disclose trip by subjects from New York to Los Angeles and/or Las Vegas or from Los Angeles and/or Las Vegas to New York.

Search continuing. Will be completed 4/16/62. Bureau and New York will be advised.

3 - Bureau
2 - New York (58-1232)
1 - Omaha

OWS: db (3).

62 APR 23 1962

Approved: Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, CHICAGO (58-466) (RUC)

ROY COHN
BRIbery

Re New York teletype to Bureau 4/12/62.

of Investigation United
Airlines, 1200 Algonquin Road, Mount Prospect, Illinois,
advised SA CHARLES E. PRICE on 4/13/62 that flight coupons
maintained only for two years. Desired coupons not available
as coupons for the year 1959 destroyed.

GALE

Approved: Sent M Per

Special Agent in Charge

62 APR 23 1962
Urgent 4-20/62 1-25 PM JLW

TO DIRECTOR 3 AND SAC'S LAS VEGAS AND DENVER

FROM SAC NEW YORK 58-1232 3P

ROY COHN

Bribery

Allegations received that

AUSA MOLLO, SDNY, REQUESTS THAT HOTEL BILL, SANDS HOTEL, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FOR _______ BE CHECKED TO VERIFY INFO THAT ONE MAN’S SUIT WAS PURCHASED FOR _______ AND TWO SUITS PURCHASED FOR _______ TOLD USA, SDNY, THAT WHEN HE STAYED AT SANDS HOTEL SEPT, NINETEEN FIFTYNINE, HE BOUGHT THREE MEN’S SUITS IN UNIDENTIFIED MEN’S CLOTHING STORE NEAR THE HOTEL, 27-50 APR 52, WERE CHARGED TO BILL. 11 APR 24 1962
INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY LAS VEGAS IN THE CASE, LAS VEGAS FILE SIXTYTWO DASH ONE ONE ONE REFLECTS STAYED AT SANDS HOTEL NINE THREE TO NINE NINE FIFTYNINE AND AT SAME HOTEL NINE THREE TO NINE SIX FIFTYNINE. LAS VEGAS REQUESTED CHECK AVAILABLE HOTEL RECORDS FOR BILLING OF THREE SUITS TO AND ATTEMPT TO LOCATE MEN'S CLOTHING STORE WHERE SUITS PURCHASED. SIZES OF THESE SUITS AND ANY OTHER AVAILABLE INFORMATION SHOULD BE OBTAINED TO INDICATE TWO OF THESE SUITS WERE FOR AND ONE FOR 

AUSA MOLLO ALSO REQUESTS THAT A COPY OF THE COMPLAINT AND ALL OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS FILED IN CONNECTION WITH THE LAW SUIT BY ATTORNEY AGAINST PASTERNAK AND SWANN IN DENVER BE OBTAINED.

DENVER LETTER TO NEW YORK, DATED TEN EIGHTEEN SIXTYONE IN THE CASE, DENVER FILE SIXTYTWO DASH ONE SIX NINE NINE SETS FORTH INFORMATION RE CIVIL ACTION FILED SIX TWENTYFIVE FIFTYEIGHT BY AGAINST AND OTHERS. USA-S OFFICE, SDNY, ATTEMPTING TO SHOW THAT THIS SUIT MAY HAVE BEEN FICTITIOUS ONE DESIGNED TO GIVE AND OTHERS AN OUT IN THE END PAGE TWO
PAGE THREE

NINETEEN FIFTY NINE UNITED DYE INVESTIGATION ON THE GROUNDS THAT THEIR ACTIONS WERE BASED UPON COMPETENT LEGAL OPINION.

DENVER REQUESTED TO OBTAIN COPY OF THE COMPLAINT AND ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE WHICH WOULD END TO SHOW THE NATURE OF THIS LAW SUIT.

EXPEDITE INVESTIGATION.

END

NY R 3 WA DA
URGENT 4-24-62 5-30 PM PST KN

TO DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC, LAS VEGAS /58-8/ 1P

ROY COHN, BRIBERY.

RE NEW YORK TEL TWENTIETH INSTANT.

OFFICIALS SANDS HOTEL, LAS VEGAS, UNABLE THUS FAR TO LOCATE HOTEL RECORDS REFLECTING _____ AS GUESTS OF THAT HOTEL IN NINETEEN FIFTY-NINE. IT IS NOTED IN OCTOBER, NINETEEN SIXTY-ONE, LAS VEGAS DIVISION VERIFIED THAT _____ AND _____ HAD STAYED AT THAT HOTEL IN SEPTEMBER, NINETEEN FIFTY-NINE. HOTEL OFFICIALS ADVISED THAT APPARENTLY THE RECORDS HAD BEEN MISFILED AFTER HAVING BEEN OBTAINED FOR THE CHECK IN OCTOBER, NINETEEN SIXTY-ONE. HOTEL EMPLOYEES CURRENTLY CHECKING THROUGH ALL OF RECORDS IN EFFORT TO LOCATE REGISTRATION CARDS FOR _____ AND _____ SINCE THEY APPARENTLY HAVE BEEN MISFILED. NEW YORK WILL BE ADVISED IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT OF INFORMATION DESIRED FROM THE SANDS HOTEL.

END ACK PLS

8-35 PM OK FBI WA RAM FOR RELAY

6-2 May 62 /71

RELAYED TO _/71
URGENT 4-26-62 2-37 PM SAV
TO DIRECTOR, SAC, AND SACS, LAS VEGAS AND DENVER
FROM SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232 2 P
ROY COHN
BRIbery
USA, SDNY, REQUESTED ATTEMPT BE MADE TO SUBSTANTIATE
INFORMATION FURNISHED TO USA BY ATTORNEY FOR
ADvised THAT TO REPAY THE FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS OBTAINED BY
ON IOUS FROM THE DESERT INN, A CHECK WAS DRAWN ON AN
UNKNOWN BANK IN DENVER, COLORADO. THIS CHECK WAS DRAWN ON THE
ACCOUNT OF OR IRVING PASTERNAK OR THE PARTNERSHIP
ACCOUNT OF AND PASTERNAK.
CHECK FOR FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS WAS MADE PAYABLE TO THE FIRST
NATIONAL BANK OF LAS VEGAS. CHECK WAS DATED NINE AND CASHED ON
NINE FOURTEEN FIFTY NINE, AT THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LAS VEGAS.
IT IS NOT KNOWN WHO SIGNED THIS CHECK. THE CHECK WAS DRAWN IN SUCH
A WAY AS TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE IT WAS ISSUED IN REPAYMENT OF A LOAN.
LAS VEGAS IS REQUESTED TO ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY THIS CHECK AT
THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LAS VEGAS AND IF POSSIBLE OBTAIN A
COPY. LAS VEGAS SHOULD FORWARD INFORMATION TO DENVER SO THAT DENVER
MAY ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY CHECK AT THE BANK OF THE MAKER IN DENVER.
EXPEDITE INVESTIGATION
25 MAY 1962
500 18
REc:
NI APR 27 1962
NY R 4 WA MLL
URGENT 4-27-62 2-55 PM PST JFB
TO DIRECTOR, FBI AND SACS, NEW YORK /58-1232/
DENVER
NEW VIA WASHINGTON
FROM SAC, LAS VEGAS /58-8/ 2P
ROY COHN, BRIBERY. 00 NEW YORK.
RE NEW YORK TEL TWENTY-SIXTH INSTANT.

THERE IS NO FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LAS VEGAS.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, ADVISED TWENTY-
SEVENTH INSTANT UNABLE TO LOCATE ANY RECORD OF INSTANT, CHECK
DESCRIBED RETEL. /PROTECT
IDENTITY/, ADVISED

EX - 102 DRO 52-5 100 - 19

END PAGE ONE
00 MAY 9 1962
INFORMATION FROM □ NOT TO BE MADE PUBLIC WITHOUT ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA DUDES TECUM.

DENVER CONTACT AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK AND ATTEMPT ASCERTAIN IF CHECK DESCRIBED HEREIN IDENTICAL WITH CHECK DESCRIBED RETEL.

FOR INFO NEW YORK, DAILY CONTACT WITH SANDS HOTEL REFLECTS SANDS OFFICIALS STILL UNABLE TO LOCATE REGISTRATION CARDS FOR □ AND □ PHOTOSTATIC COPY OF CHECK DESCRIBED HEREIN OBTAINED FROM BANK AND BEING FORWARDED NEW YORK AMSD.

END AND ACK PL$  WA OK $1882
6-03 PM OK FBI WA RAC OK RELAY NY
DN OK FBI DN RK

TU DISCVVVMV
URGENT 5-2-62  6:00 PM MST  WJS

TO DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232

FROM SAC, DENVER 58-79  3P

ROY COHN, BRIBERY. 00

NEW YORK.

RE LAS VEGAS TELETYPE TO BUREAU AND NEW YORK, APRIL TWENTY SEVEN LAST.

AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK,
DENVER, COLORADO, STATES THIS BANK HAS NO ACCOUNT WITH NATIONAL BANK OF CASPER, WYOMING. NATIONAL BANK OF CASPER MAINTAINS ACCOUNT AT AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK, DENVER. AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE ON THIS ACCOUNT IS [REDACTED]. ALL RECORDS CONCERNING INSTANT CHECK WOULD BE AT NATIONAL BANK OF CASPER.

RECORDS, FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CASPER, WYOMING, REFLECT THE FOLLOWING CHECK, NUMBER FOUR ONE ONE THREE, DRAWN ON THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CASPER AND CHARGED TO THE ACCOUNT OF TOOL PUSHER SUPPLY COMPANY, PAYABLE TO [REDACTED] AND PASTERNAK,

SEND PAGE ONE 1962  cc: Panda

REWARDED TO
MILE HIGH CENTER, DENVER, COLORADO, AMOUNT ONE HUNDRED TWELVE THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SEVENTY NINE DOLLARS AND TWENTY EIGHT CENTS, DATED SEPTEMBER TEN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE, AND SIGNED
THE ENDORSEMENT ON THIS CHECK IS
AND PASTERNAK, ER VIN PASTERNAK AND
CASHIER AT FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CASPER ADVISED THAT ON SEPTEMBER TEN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE, AND PASTERNAK CASHED ABOVE CHECK AT FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND PURCHASED THE FOLLOWING BANK DRAFTS. BANK DRAFT NUMBER THREE NINE THREE FOUR DATED SEPTEMBER TEN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE, PAYABLE TO BANK OF LAS VEGAS, AMOUNT FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS, SIGNED
BANK DRAFT NUMBER THREE NINE THREE FIVE, DATED SEPTEMBER TEN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE, PAYABLE TO AND PASTERNAK, AMOUNT SIXTY TWO THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED SEVENTY NINE DOLLARS AND TWENTY EIGHT CENTS, SIGNED
RECORDS OF THIS BANK FAIL TO REFLECT ANY ACCOUNT MAINTAINED AT THIS BANK OPEN OR CLOSED FOR EITHER PASTERNAK OR
THE PURPOSE OF THE TWO BANK DRAFTS PURCHASED BY AND PASTERNAK ON SEPTEMBER TEN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE ARE NOT KNOWN TO THIS BANK.
END PAGE TWO
PAGE THREE     PHOTOSTAT COPIES OF BANK DRAFTS BEING OBTAINED AND
FD THREE ZERO TWO TO FOLLOW.
END ACK       WA
9-10 P OK FBI WZ WA NH
FOR RELAY
TU DISCVM
URGENT 5-4-62  4-15 PM SAV
TO DIRECTOR, FBI /7/ AND SAC, LAS VEGAS 58-8
FROM SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232 1 P
ROY COHN, BRIBERY.

OO., NEW YORK.

RE LAS VEGAS TELETYPETO THE BUREAU AND NEW YORK, APRIL TWENTY SEVEN LAST, AND LAS VEGAS AIRTETO NEW YORK, APRIL THIRTY LAST.

USA, SDNY, REQUESTSTHAT INQUIRY BE MADE AT THE BANK OF LAS VEGASS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE DESERT INN AT LAS VEGAS MAY HAVE DEPOSITED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS TO ANY ACCOUNT IT MIGHT HAVE AT THE BANK OF LAS VEGAS AT ABOUT THE TIME CHECK NUMBER THREE NINE THREE FOUR WAS CASHED, AS SET FORTH IN LAS VEGAS AIRTED APRIL THIRTY SIXTY TWO.

END AND ACK
NY R 7 WA RAM

6-2 MAY 11 1962
URGENT 5-4-62 4-25 PM GRJ

TO DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC, NEW YORK
NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON
FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES /58-495/ 1P
ROY COHN. BRIBERY.
RE NEW YORK TEL TO DIRECTOR MAY TWO, LAST.

NEW YORK, REGISTERED AMBASSADOR HOTEL, LOS ANGELES, SEPTEMBER
FIFTEEN, FIFTY NINE, CHECKED OUT SEPTEMBER SIXTEEN, FIFTY
NINE. REPRESENTED U. S. TREASURY DEPARTMENT. OCCUPIED
BUNGALOW ONE THREE ZERO DASH H, SAME AS
EIGHT DOLLARS.

END AND HOLD PLS
62 MAY 11 1962
URGENT 5-8-62 4-24 PM PDT JFB

TO DIRECTOR, FBI AND SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/ 

FROM SAC, LAS VEGAS /58-8/ 1P

ROY COHN, BRIBERY. 00 NEW YORK.

RE NEW YORK TEL FOURTH INSTANT.

DAILY CONTACT WITH OFFICIALS, SANDS HOTEL FAILS TO REFLECT REGISTRATION CARDS FOR _______ HAVE BEEN LOCATED. OFFICIALS BANK OF LAS VEGAS CHECKING BANK ACCOUNTS DESERT INN HOTEL, IN EFFORT TO ASCERTAIN IF ANY FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLAR DEPOSIT MADE DURING PERTINENT PERIOD. IT IS NOTED BANK RECORDS ARE ON MICRO FILM AND ABOVE RECORD CHECK REQUIRES EXTENSIVE WORK ON PART OF BANK EMPLOYEES.

NEW YORK WILL BE IMMEDIATELY ADVISED IN THE EVENT ANY PERTINENT INFORMATION LOCATED.

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON END AND ACK PLS WA 7-30 PM OK FBI WA MSL FOR RELAY TU DISC

53 MAY 14 1962

AC. Pardo

RE: NEW YORK TEL FOURTH INSTANT.

DAILY CONTACT WITH OFFICIALS, SANDS HOTEL FAILS TO REFLECT REGISTRATION CARDS FOR _______ HAVE BEEN LOCATED. OFFICIALS BANK OF LAS VEGAS CHECKING BANK ACCOUNTS DESERT INN HOTEL, IN EFFORT TO ASCERTAIN IF ANY FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLAR DEPOSIT MADE DURING PERTINENT PERIOD. IT IS NOTED BANK RECORDS ARE ON MICRO FILM AND ABOVE RECORD CHECK REQUIRES EXTENSIVE WORK ON PART OF BANK EMPLOYEES.

NEW YORK WILL BE IMMEDIATELY ADVISED IN THE EVENT ANY PERTINENT INFORMATION LOCATED.

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON END AND ACK PLS WA 7-30 PM OK FBI WA MSL FOR RELAY TU DISC

53 MAY 14 1962

AC. Pardo
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIBERY

USA MORGENTHAU in a conference in his office on 5/8/62, reviewed this case and suggested that the following investigation should be conducted by the Bureau if not already done so:

1. Determine all airline routes between New York and Los Angeles and Las Vegas which could have been used by subject in August, 1959, to obtain his part of the money allegedly paid in this case.

2. Check records of the Fugazy Travel Bureau both in New York and Los Angeles. USA said this check should be conducted simultaneously.

3. Ascertain the residence and employment of

Bureau (58-5100)
1-New York (58-1232)

MPL: mjf (5)
4. Determine the details of the purchase of 3 men's suits by [_____] when he and [_____] stayed at the Sands Hotel in the summer of 1959.

5. Determine all toll calls made by [_____] and [_____] when they stayed in Los Angeles and Las Vegas in the summer of 1959. The USA particularly wants to identify the security dealer [_____] to whom several calls were made as developed in the investigation concerning [_____].

6. Review all bank accounts located for [_____] and other members of his family.

7. Determine the identity of the workmen who actually moved [_____] on 8/21/59, when he moved from [_____].

8. Determine the identity of the superintendent of the building at [_____] current address, and attempt to ascertain if he can recall [_____] being present in his apartment on 8/21/59 or thereabouts.

9. Attempt to determine if [_____] may have used a limousine or taxi service from his home in [_____] to get to an airport for his trip to Los Angeles.

10. Determine the identity of persons called by [_____] from the US Attorney's office. The USA furnished information concerning calls made by [_____] while he was at the US Attorney's office.

USA advised that his office would obtain from the Internal Revenue Service a letter which [_____] may have written asking for an extension of the date on which his 1959 tax return had to be filed. USA pointed out that [_____] had a delay of 90 days in filing his return and that this delay may have some bearing on instant case.
USA advised that he did not want the FBI, at this time, to conduct any interviews of the subjects or of the appeared principal witnesses in this case. He pointed out that were to be called as witnesses in the United Dye case in a few weeks and that they did not want them interviewed at this time. USA pointed out that his office would continue to interview. He pointed out that it was too early to speak to or the subjects in this case and that at this time.

NYO will conduct the investigation requested by USA and the Bureau will be kept advised when US Attorney's office requests interview conducted in this case with the principal witnesses or subjects.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8) (P)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN - BRIBERY
OO: NEW YORK


[ ] Auditor's Office, Sands Hotel, advised on 5/9/62 that extensive search of records of that hotel has failed to locate registration cards for [ ] and [ ].

It is noted that in past the Sands Hotel has been very cooperative in furnishing information, and it appears that the registration cards have actually been lost or misfiled.

Investigation at Bank of Las Vegas continuing.

[Signature]
[Name]

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent [ ] M Per [ ]
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495)(RUC)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN
BRIE FERY
00: New York


For information of New York, the records of the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company were checked by SE EARL F. DUDLEY on 4/18/62 regarding telephone number PO 6-5836 called on 12/3/58.

Enclosed for New York are the following:

Two (2) copies Ambassador Hotel registration, 9/16/59,

One (1) copy Ambassador Hotel registration, 9/15/59,

One (1) copy Biltmore Hotel bill of 12/3 - 4/58,

One (1) copy Biltmore Hotel bill of 12/3 - 4/58, with copy of telephone charge.


Ten (10) copies each of two inserts reflecting investigation conducted on 3/30/62 and 4/18/62.
URGENT 5/16/62 3-31 PM JQ
TO DIRECTOR FBI 158-5100 AND SAC LOS ANGELES 158-1357
FROM SA, NEW YORK 158-1232

ROY COHN
BRIBERY

USA, SDNY, REQUESTS THAT A SIMULTANEOUS CHECK BE MADE OF THE
RECORDS OF THE FUGAZY TRAVEL BUREAU BOTH IN NEW YORK AND IN LOS ANGELES
FOR ANY VERIFICATION OF TRAVEL BY AND COHN TO THE WEST COAST
IN AUGUST AND SEPTEMBER NINETEEN FIFTY NINE.

LOS ANGELES IS REQUESTED TO ADVISE NEW YORK WHEN THEY
CONTEMPLATE A CHECK CAN BE MADE OF FUGAZY/ S AGENCY IN LOS ANGELES AND
WHETHER OR NOT LOS ANGELES HAS A SOURCE OF INFORMATION AT
FUGAZY. NYO IS SETTING UP A CONTACT AT FUGAZY IN NYC AND WHEN
ADVISED BY LOS ANGELES AS TO FEASIBILITY OF THEIR CONTACTING FUGAZY, WILL
NOTIFY LOS ANGELES WHEN TO MAKE A RECORD CHECK AT LOS ANGELES.

EXPEDITE.

END
2NY R
SHH THIS BE NR 4
YES
NR R 4 WA MLL (AT) 6
© 5 MAY 22 1962
URGENT 5-17-62 12-31 PM MDD
TO DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/ AND SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES /58-495/ IP

ROY COHN. BRIBERY.

NEW YORK REVIEW REPORT SA A.K. LAW DATED JULY FOURTEEN,
LAST AT LOS ANGELES, CAPTIONED QUOTE AKA, AR
UNQUOTE, NEW YORK NINETY TWO DASH ONE FOUR EIGHT SIX, BUFILE
NINETY TWO DASH FOUR ONE SIX SEVEN, REGARDING FUGAZI TRAVEL
SERVICE ESTABLISHED THROUGH COHN. LOS ANGELES HAS MAY 18 1962
CONTACT AT FUGAZI/S. LOS ANGELES AWAITS NEW YORK REQUEST.

END AND HOLD 5-7 MAY 23 1962 RELAYED TO...
To: SACs NEW YORK (53-1232)
    LOS ANGELES (53-495)

From: Director, FBI (53-5100)

AIRTEL


Both offices are instructed to take the necessary steps to immediately accomplish the requested investigation at Fugazi Travel Service at Los Angeles and New York.

You are instructed to give continued top priority attention to this matter and all investigation requested by the USA as of this date must be completed and report at the Bureau no later than 5/29/62. Any investigation requested by other offices should be handled in an expeditious manner and they should be instructed to conduct investigation and furnish results within five days from the receipt of the request.
Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT (Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL (Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232) (P)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN
BRIBERY

USA, SDNY advised allegation received $50,000 paid to COHN and in order to have and others not included in 1959 indictment regarding United Dye and Chemical Corporation. Two-thirds of this was purportedly paid to in Las Vegas, in August, 1959 and the remaining one-third to COHN, in Las Vegas in September, 1959.

3 - Bureau (58-5100)
2 - Baltimore
2 - Cleveland
2 - Louisville
2 - Richmond
2 - Newark
2 - Indianapolis
2 - Detroit
2 - Los Angeles
2 - Las Vegas
1 - New York (58-1232)

MPL:mf (23)

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per

MAY 18 1962

51 MAY 23 1962
Set forth below are phone calls made by____ which may develop information pertinent to this case. Offices receiving copy of this aitlal are requested to identify the subscribers to the numbers listed in their area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Called By</th>
<th>Number Called</th>
<th>Person Called</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/21/59</td>
<td>Bethesda, Maryland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OL 4-2560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/59</td>
<td>Cleveland, Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOWER 1-2700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/59</td>
<td>Louisville, Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JU 4-1361</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/59</td>
<td>Richmond, Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MILTON 4-3266, Ext. 158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/59</td>
<td>Murdock, New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8-6060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/2/59</td>
<td>Dover, New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FO 6-0701</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/26/59</td>
<td>Occoquam, Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gypsy 4-2800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/2/59</td>
<td>Baltimore, Maryland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA 3-1234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/59</td>
<td>Mitchell, New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-0555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/27/59</td>
<td>Union Town, Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GEN 7-6675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NY 58-1232

11/27/59  Vincennes, Indiana 129
11/27/59  Detroit  Woodward 3-6074
11/27/59  Detroit  Woodward 1-6015
12/4/59   Detroit  Woodward 1-6015
12/5/59   Detroit  T0 5-3814
12/10/59  Detroit  16680
11/27/59  Kearnesville, W. Va. 3-0
11/30/59  Los Angeles, California  MADison 4-5031
11/27/59  East Brady, Pa.  LA 6-2501

The above investigation should be expedited.
URGENT 5-21-62 5-14 PM JAM

TO DIRECTOR, FBI 58-5100 /5/ AND SAC LOS ANGELES

FROM SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232 1P

ROY COHN., BRIBERY.

RE NEW YORK TELETYPED DATED FIVE SIXTEEN SIXTY TWO. NYO WILL CONTACT FUGAZY TRAVEL BUREAU IN NEW YORK AT TWO PM, WEDNESDAY, FIVE TWENTY THREE NENETEEN SIXTY TWO.

LOS ANGELES SHOULD CONTACT FUGAZY AT LOS ANGELES-AT-THE COMPARABLE TIME IN LOS ANGELES.

END AND ACK

cc: Parlo

50NYR5WA DA

MAY 25, 1962
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, BALTIMORE (58-218) (RUC)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN

BIBERY


advised SA MYRON C. METCALF on 5/21/62 that Maryland telephone number OL 4-2560 is listed to [Missing information]

Directory for that area reflects that Md., The City who resides at Md., is a Foreign Service Officer, U.S. Department of State.

also advised that SA 3-1234 is not a good number. There is no SA 3 or 723 in the State of Maryland. Baltimore telephone number SA 7-1234 is listed to the HUTZLER Department Store, Baltimore, Maryland.

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per

57 MAY 28 1962
URGENT 5-23-62 NRB
TO DIRECTOR 58-5100 AND SAC NEW YORK 58-1232 10-26 PM
FROM SAC LOS ANGELES 58-495

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON
ROY COHN, BRIBERY.
RE NEW YORK TEL MAY TWENTY ONE AND AIRTEL MAY SEVENTEEN, LAST.
RECORD CHECK FUGAZY, LOS ANGELES, NEGATIVE.
IS LOS ANGELES ATTORNEY.
END ACK PLS
WA 1-27 AM OK FBI WA BH FOR RELAY
TU DISCM

REC-57 58-5100-3
MAY 24 1962

60 MAY 29 1962
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIBERY

A conference has been set with USA MORGENTHAU, SDNY, for 2:30 PM, Monday, 5/28/62 to discuss this case.

It is expected that subsequent to the conference, the Bureau will conduct a full and open investigation in this case.

On 5/23/62, NYO contacted Fugazy Travel Bureau, NYC; it was ascertained that Fugazy is employed in the Spring Valley, NY office of Fugazy, where all records of her bookings and commissions are located. AUSA MOLLO advised of above on 5/23/62. MOLLO advised NYO not to contact Fugazy, Spring Valley, NY, until advised to do so by USA, SDNY.

Above for information of Bureau.
URGENT 5-28-62 11-58 AM GRJ

TO DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/ AND SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES /58-495/ IP

ET AT. BRIBERY. NEW YORK 00.

RE NEW YORK TEL TO LOS ANGELES MAY TWENTY FOUR, LAST.

SEPTEMBER, FIFTY NINE, REGISTRATION CHECK NEGATIVE.

BEVERLY WILSHIRE AND BEVERLY HILTON HOTELS EXCEPT AT LATTER.

HOTEL A

COLORADO, REGISTERED SEPTEMBER TWENTY ONE, CHECKED OUT SEP-

TEMBER TWENTY TWO, FIFTY NINE.

END AND ACK PLS

2-50 PM OK FOR relay DA FOR RELAY

RELAYED TO NY
REPORTING OFFICE | OFFICE OF ORIGIN | DATE | INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
NEW YORK | NEW YORK | 5/29/62 | 3/21 - 5/24/62

TITLE OF CASE | REPORT MADE BY | TYPED BY
ROY COHN | MAURICE P. LEEN | mc1

CHARACTER OF CASE |  
BRIbery

REFERENCE:

Bureau airtel to New York 5, 18/62.

ADMINISTRATIVE

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

APPROVED

COPIES MADE

4 - Bureau (58-5100)
1 - USA, SDNY
2 - New York (58-53ADP 31X62)

DATE FWD. | REC. 16
5/31/62 | EX-105
MAY 31 1962
The confidential source at the

The confidential source

Information obtained from a confidential source was from

The source furnishing information concerning business concerns in the New York area is

The information furnished concerning

information was furnished to SA JAMES ANDERSON.

The pretext interview set forth in this report was a telephone call made by SA MAURICE P. LEEN, who posed as a potential client for

from Buffalo, New York.

LEADS

NEW YORK

At New York, New York:

Will locate and interview

COVER PAGE

- H -
LEADS CONT'D:

New York.

Upon the advice of AUSA SILVIO MOLLO, will contact the Spring Valley Office of Fugazy Travel Bureau for information they may have on a trip to the west coast by [_____] in August, 1959.

After contact with Fugazy Travel Bureau in New York City, AUSA MOLLO was advised that [_____] He advised on May 23, 1952, not to contact the [_____] until he advised the Bureau to do so.
USA, SDNY received allegations that

Investigation to verify presence in Los Angeles and Las Vegas in August, 1959, through Airline, insurance and Hotel records negative to date.

Purpose of this check not presently ascertained from bank record or officials. Hotel records, Desert Inn, Las Vegas indicated
SYNOPSIS CONT'D:

and ROY COHN were both in Las Vegas on September 11 and 12, 1959, and part of September 13, 1959.

-P-
United States Attorney ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, Southern District of New York, on March 21, 1932, advised that he had received information from
Mr. Morgenthau advised that both...
United States Attorney Morgenthau advised that the

took annual leave on August 21 and 22, 1959. He
further advised that

Investigation conducted when
applied for the appointment to
indicated that
was born
at New York City.
In 1953 he resided at
New York City. He graduated from the
and received an
in New York.
In 1942, he served in the United States Army as a
First Lieutenant. He entered the army August, 1942,
and was discharged July, 1945.

Investigation conducted upon ROY COHN's
application for appointment to the United States
Attorney's Office in New York, indicates that ROY MARCUS
COHN was born February 20, 1927, at New York City.
He received an AB Degree from Columbia College
in 1945 and an LLB Degree in law from Columbia College
in 1947. His father was ALBERT COHN, a Judge in the
Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of New York.
His mother was DORA MARCUS.
On May 23, 1962, by means of a suitable pretext it was ascertained that
resides at phone
GR 3-2149. stated that he was years of age, a widower with
both practicing law in New York City.

United States Attorney MORGENTHAU in a conference held in his office on March 21, 1962, advised that he did not want the Federal Bureau of Investigation to conduct interviews on the merits of this case with persons involved at this time. In later conferences held with United States Attorneys MORGENTHAU and Assistant United States Attorney SILVIO MOLLO, DONALD COHN, and other Assistant United States Attorneys in April and May, 1961, the Federal Bureau of Investigation was requested to conduct investigation, but advised not to conduct open interviews on the merits of this. These requests and the investigation conducted thereof is set forth below under suitable captions:

VERIFICATION OF PRESENCE IN LAS VEGAS IN AUGUST, 1959 THROUGH AIRLINES AND INSURANCE COMPANIES

of ticket sales in United Airlines, 80 East 42nd Street, New York City, advised on May 21, 1962, that only TWA and United Airlines flew direct from New York City to Las Vegas, Nevada in 1959.

He advised that three airlines offer indirect service to Las Vegas via Los Angeles:

TWA

American

United Airlines
He stated it was possible to fly TWA, American or United to San Francisco and TWA back to Las Vegas, but this would be a roundabout way of arriving in Las Vegas.

He pointed out that any number of combinations would be possible traveling New York City to Las Vegas by way of Chicago, Illinois. These combinations he stated would be complex and definite combinations and times would have to be obtained from the official airlines guide which is published monthly in Chicago, Illinois.

American Airlines, 633 3rd Avenue, New York City, on April 11, 1962, advised that American only kept reservation cards and manifests for 90 days after which they were destroyed. He also advised that ticket coupons maintained by the auditing division of American Airlines for the year 1959 were not available.

TWA, 630 5th Avenue, New York City, advised that TWA destroys all manifests and reservation cards after 90 days. Auditing records and flight coupons maintained by the Kansas City office of TWA are destroyed after two years and are not available for the year 1959.

United Airlines, 80 East 42nd Street, New York City, advised that United Airlines destroys all manifests and reservation cards after 90 days. For other records that might be maintained by United he suggested checking with For United Airlines, O'Hare Field, Chicago, Illinois.
The following investigation was conducted by the Chicago Office:

United Airlines, 1200 Algonquin Road, Mount Prospect Illinois advised SA CHARLES E. PRICE on April 13, 1962, that flight coupons are maintained for two years only and they were not available for the year 1959.

Airport Sales, Continental Insurance Company, Idlewild Airport, Long Island, advised on April 11, 1962, that a check of his records on insurance policies written over the counter and through machines at Idlewild and La Guardia for August, 1959, under name was negative.

Fidelity and Casualty Company, 141 Livingston Street, Brooklyn, New York, advised that his company maintains no records on trip insurance policies for August, 1959. He checked his records for annual policies for August, 1959, and could find no record of a policy issued to

Associated Aviation Underwriters, 90 West 9th Street, New York City, advised on April 13, 1962, that all his records concerning insurance written on flights in August, 1959, had been turned over to the Fidelity and Casualty Company, which had taken over their share of the business in April, 1960.

of the Insurance Division, at the West and East Side Terminals New York City, advised on April 13, 1962, that she has no record of trip policies written for the year 1959.
Her records on annual policies show no record in the
name  . She suggested inquiry be made at
Mutual of Omaha.

Mutual of
Omaha, 417 5th Avenue, New York City, has no record
of a policy issued to in his file on
annual policies. For trip policies he suggested
contacting Mutual of Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska, and
Teletrip Company, 1625 I Street, Northwest
Washington 5, D.C.

The following investigation was conducted
by the Washington Field Office:

advised AL PAUL KENNETH BROWN that all insurance
applications prior to January 1, 1961, are maintained
in Omaha, Nebraska by Mutual of Omaha. He
stated Teletrip maintained only two outlets in the
New York City area, a machine dispenser at La Guardia
Airport and a sales person at Newark. Records for
both of these outlets prior to January, 1961,
are maintained at Mutual of Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska.

The following investigation was conducted
by the Omaha Office:

Air Travel Division, Mutual of Omaha, 33 Farnum Street,
Omaha, Nebraska, advised ASAC RUSSELL P. CALLANE
on April 15, 1962, that all available trip insurance
records for the entire months of August and September,
1959, were searched regarding trip insurance policies
sold at West Side Terminal and La Guardia Field, New York,
Los Angeles, California, and Las Vegas, Nevada. He
pointed out that trip insurance sold over the counter
is not available. He searched variations of the names of
and COHN. He stated these records failed
to disclose trip insurance having been purchased by either
or COHN in connection with a trip from Los Angeles and/or Las Vegas, or from Los Angeles and/or Las Vegas to New York.
INFORMATION FROM FUGAZY TRAVEL BUREAU

At the request of Assistant United States Attorney SILVIO J. MOLLO, simultaneous checks were made at Fugazy Travel Bureau in New York City and Los Angeles.

The Los Angeles Office made inquiry at Fugazy Travel Bureau, Los Angeles, on May 23, 1962, concerning any travel by [REDACTED] to the West Coast in August, 1959, with negative results.
Fugazy Travel Bureau, 400 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, advised at 2:00 p.m., May 23, 1962, that was presently

She also advised that

She explained that all records concerning customers of
and any commissions she may have received for
bookings in 1959 would be in the office of

New York. She also pointed out that records
at Fugazy in New York only gave Social Security
Number and that any other personal records
concerning would be maintained in the

On 5/23/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232

SA MAURICE P. LEEN/pg Date dictated 5/24/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
At the request of United States Attorney's Office, Southern District of New York, contacts were made with various taxi and limousine services in the area on the premise that might have used this means of transportation to get from his home to one of New York's airports.
Al's Taxi Service, Inc., 5999 Broadway, Bronx, New York, advised that have been in the taxi service business in the Bronx and Riverdale section of New York since prior to 1959. They offer service by taxi through New York City and service all airports in New York City. She explained that she has no records for trips in August, 1959, and the only record she might have on a person using her taxi would be a charge account record. She checked her records of persons having charge accounts with her and could find no record for.

pointed out that she is very familiar with the building located at New York, and recalls that it was a new building in August, 1959, and that she did most of the taxi service business for the new tenants. does not know the family.
Green Car Service, 222 West 230th Street, Bronx, New York, advised that he used to operate a taxi service out of a garage at 2455 Palisades Avenue called Tony's Taxi Service. He said the Green Car Service was not in business in 1959. He said he does not have any records of trips made by Tony's Taxi Service for the year 1959 and he is not familiar with the name...
Brier Limousine, 1156 Webster Avenue, New Rochelle, New York, advised that he was in the taxi and limousine service in 1959. He checked 1959 trip records for any trips made by [ ] or [ ] in August 1959 and could not locate any record for such a trip. [ ] said that the [ ] are not charge account customers with him and are unknown to him.

On 5/24/62 at New York City

File #: 56-1232

by

Date dictated 5/24/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Gallagher and Trick Auto Rentals, 201 Henry Hudson Parkway, Bronx, New York, checked trip records for August, 1959, and could find no record of any trip made by
is very familiar with the building located at ________ New York, and has done considerable business
with tenants in the building. He does not know the
family and neither __________ have charge accounts
with Gallagher and Trick.

On 5/22/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232
by __________ Date dictated 5/24/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to
your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Hill Limousine Service, Broadway and Kingsbridge Avenue, Bronx, New York, advised that he has no records of trips taken in 1959 with his company. He does not know and they do not have charge accounts with him.
Riverdale Park Limousine Service, 5439 Riverdale Avenue, Bronx, New York, checked his trip records for August, 1959 and could locate no record of a trip made by _______.

_______ does not know the _______ and they have no charge account with him. He said he is very familiar with the building located at [_____] Riverdale, New York, and is quite sure he never had ______ as a customer.

On 5/23/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232

SA MAURICE P. LEEN/pg

by __________________________ Date dictated 5/24/62
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Air Taxi Company, Red Bank, New Jersey, advised that he operates an air taxi service by helicopter and small plane between La Guardia, Idlewild and Newark Airports.

He advised that is not on his mailing list of customers nor is his name on billings for August, 1959.
NY 58-1232

CHANGE OF ADDRESS FROM _______ TO _______.

On April 11, 1962, Assistant United States Attorney advised that the details of move from _______ to New York, should be ascertained.
The following information was obtained from Book of Deeds, page [ ], New York.

An indenture was made between [ ], both residing at [ ], New York and [ ], both residing at [ ], New York. The indenture deeded house and property located at [ ] from [ ]. The deed was recorded [ ]. The property was sold subject to a mortgage (assumed by the [ ]) from [ ]. The balance of which was $12,624.03. The attorneys listed were [ ] New York.


This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
New York as reported by

New York advised that after consulting with his wife he recalls that he and his wife moved from New York to a Bronx, New York address on August 21, 1959. He stated that he and his wife were able to recall this date because they remembered that their electricity and water service was transferred from the name to the on the same day the moved. He stated that in the light of this definite knowledge, he checked his records and found that the services (water and electricity) were transferred on August 21, 1959.

stated that he and his family did not take up residence at until September 1, 1959.
Fehsal's Express Trucking

Company, 50 South Main Street, Pearl River, New York advised from records that his company moved on August 21, 1959 from New York to New York.

The Bill of Lading (B/L) (Fehsal's Express B/L #4386) reflected a notation "Leave 7 a.m., Elevator reserved for 11 a.m." An additional notation reflected that all items packed by customer, including mirrors were to go at owners risk. The B/L was signed and was noted to have been paid by check August 21, 1959. The amount of the move was $164.

was unable to advise which personnel participated in the move. He stated they might have been extra temporary employees and employees who no longer work for him.

was unable to estimate at what time the move was completed.

stated his records include the original B/L with the original signature of . He furnished a carbon copy of the original B/L. He also stated the B/L was signed at the destination of the move after its completion. The copy of the B/L, furnished by is being maintained in the files of the New York Office.

Date 4/18/62

On 4/9/62 at Pearl River, New York File # 53-1232

SA JOSEPH W. LUCCA: Jad Date dictated 4/12/62
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Fehsal's Express Trucking Company, 50 South Main Street, Pearl River, New York, advised that he does not personally recall the negotiations between himself and the in 1959 concerning their move from New York. Stated that he has no recollection of the having made any arrangements to move except for the arrangements made to move in August, 1959.

stated the only other person in his employ who might have handled the moving negotiations is

---

5/3/62 at Pearl River, NY

File # 58-1232
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Fehsal's Express Trucking Company, 50 South Main Street, Pearl River, New York, advised she frequently receives telephone calls from prospective customers and thereby schedules the dates of the move and makes the necessary arrangements.

stated she is familiar with the move of only by virtue of having consulted the records of the company. She does not specifically recall the transactions which led to the move and therefore cannot be sure she handled the negotiations of the move.
New York, advised that he was employed as a mover by Fehsal's Express Trucking Company, 50 South Main Street, Pearl River, New York, in 1959. He recalls working on a move from New York, to New York. While he cannot recall by name, he remembers taking part in a move from to a new building at He remembers that they left in the moving truck, early in the morning and that the party being moved and his wife and two children left in their personal automobile. When the moving truck reached the man being moved was already there. They had the elevators reserved for 11:00 a.m. in the morning, but since other movers were ahead of them, they had to wait in the truck. worked on the move from the truck to the elevator and did not go upstairs into the apartment. He cannot specifically recall how long the man being moved stayed at the day of the move. He recalls that the move went on into the afternoon until about 3:00 p.m.
New York, advised that he seemed to recall taking part in a move with his father from New York, to a new building in New York, when both he and his father were working in Fensal's Trucking Company. He recalls that the apartment being moved into was a new apartment in a new building. He vaguely recalls two children being present, but can not remember more specifically the names or identities of the persons present during the move.

Pointed out that if this move was a "light move", the party being moved would have had to sign the bill before the truck was unloaded. This would have been about 11:00 a.m. in the morning since the elevator was reserved for that time. If the move was a move to be paid for by the hour, the bill would have been signed at the end of the move, which would have been some time in the afternoon. Can not remember what type of move the move in question was.
Glenwood Management Corporation, 1200 Union Turnpike, Long Island, New York, advised that this concern manages the building at 630 West 246th Street, Riverdale, New York. Made available a list of tenants and when they took possession of their apartments for this building. This list indicates that took possession of on September 1, 1959. This date was the date the superintendent of the building notified her that the were in their apartment. The superintendent explained that this date of September 1, 1959, was the date the superintendent of the building notified her that the were in their apartment. The superintendent advised that she did not know the present whereabouts of but believed he could be contacted through the Building Service Union, Local 32, Bronx, New York.

advised that there was no one in the apartment before the moved in, since the building was new.

Her records indicate that gave as a bank reference the Chemical Corn Exchange Bank, Church Street Station.


by SA MAURICE P. LEEN/PG Date dictated 5/24/62
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The above information cannot be disclosed without the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum to
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A confidential source having access to the
advised in
April, 1962, that the phone number Kingsbridge 8-5337
in New York, on August 21, 1959. The
address. The former address was
New York.
NY 58-1232

ATTEMPTS TO VERIFY PRESENCE IN LOS ANGELES OR LAS VEGAS THROUGH HOTEL RECORDS

The following investigation was conducted by the Las Vegas Office:
Las Vegas, Nevada, advised on April 5, 1962, after causing a check to be made of hotel records that he could locate no information to indicate or ROY COHN was a guest at that hotel during August and September, 1959.
Auditor's Office, Sands Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada, advised that he had checked Sands Hotel records, but could locate no information to indicate that [ ] or BOY COHN was a guest at that hotel during August or September, 1960.
Dunes Hotel, Las Vegas Boulevard South, Las Vegas, Nevada, advised upon completion of a search through his Guest Registration Cards for the period August 15, 1959 through September 30, 1959 that he could find no record indicating that or ROY COHN were guests at this hotel during this period.

On 4/5/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada

by LOTE KINNEY, JR
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Las Vegas, Nevada, advised upon completion of a search through her Guest Registration Cards, and Guest Ledger Accounts for the period August 15, 1959 through September 30, 1959, that she could find no indication that [ ] or ROY COHN were guests at this hotel, during this period.
for the Riviera Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada, checked his microfilm records on April 5, 1962 for the year 1959 and ascertained there was no record of anyone registered at the hotel under the name of ROY COHN or during the year, 1959.

On 4/5/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada File # LV SH-3
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Stardust Hotel, made available to SA ARTHUR N. BARRETT the records of the Stardust Hotel. These records failed to reflect any registration under the names of [redacted] and/or ROY COHN for August and September, 1959.

On 4/5/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada

by ARTHUR N. BARRETT: LV
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On April 5, 1962, SA Robert D. Lee checked the room registrations for the year 1959 without locating any record of Boy Cohn having registered at the Hotel Fremont, 200 Fremont Street, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Date April 6, 1962

On 4/5/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada

File # NY 59-1232

LV 58-6

By Robert D. Lee
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Sahara Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada, searched hotel registration records for the period August 15 through August 27, 1959. He could locate no record indicating stayed at the Sahara Hotel during this period.
Hacienda Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada, searched hotel registration records for the period August 15 through August 27, 1959. He could locate no record indicating stayed at the Hacienda during this period.

On 4/5/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada
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The following investigation was conducted by the Los Angeles Office:

The Los Angeles Office checked records of the following hotels for any evidence that [_____] stayed in Los Angeles during August or September, 1959:

Beverly Hilton
Beverly Wilshire
Biltmore
Ambassador
Statler
Town House

No registration for [_____] was found in August, 1959, at any of these hotels.

The records of the Ambassador Hotel in the Bronx, New York, indicate [_____] arrived September 15, 1959, at 8:48 PM. He left September 16, 1959, at 9:35 AM. While at the Ambassador he stayed at bungalow number 130 (folio number 17219). All telephone call records for this period at the Ambassador Hotel have been destroyed.

Records of the Ambassador Hotel also show that bungalow number 130-H had only one occupant on September 15, 1959. This bungalow is a bedroom 15 feet by eighteen feet with a small terrace adjoining. Records do not indicate who paid the hotel bill or how it was paid. The ordinary rate in 1959 for this bungalow was $24.00 to $26.00 a day. [_____] paid $8.00. Hotel officials stated that this was one-half of the double rate of $16.00 for an ordinary room and it would have been customary for this rate if hotel business was slow and [_____] identified himself as [_____]
INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM BANK ACCOUNTS OF

Checks were made of banks throughout the New York City area to locate any bank accounts maintained by

NY 58-1232
Glenwood Management, New Hyde Park, New York, advised on May 8, 1962, that Glenwood Management operates the building at New York. Have as a bank reference when he obtained his apartment at this address, as at
The following should not be made public without the prior issuance of a subpoena duce tecum.

If the above information is needed in court proceedings a subpoena should be directed to

On 4/10/62 at Brooklyn, New York File # NY 58-1232

by SA CHESTER F. DZIERZANOWSKI/1bd Date dictated 4/12/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
The following should not be made public without the prior issuance of a subpoena duces tecum.

If the above information is needed in court proceedings a subpoena should be directed to
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A confidential source having access to the records of

The above mentioned information is not to be public except upon issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to
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A confidential source having access to the records of

The above mentioned information from the

is not to be made public except upon issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to
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INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM

At the request of United States Attorney MORGENTHAU, Southern District of New York, was interviewed concerning some of was not interviewed on the merits of this case upon the instruction of the United States Attorney.
Colorado, was interviewed at the office of the United States Attorney MORGENTHAU, Southern District of New York. She said that she usually used two addresses, one is Denver, in care of and the other is. She explained that one of her duties as was to keep a running record of his travels outside of for income tax purposes. She kept this record in pencil in her own handwriting on yellow sheets of paper.

Assistant United States Attorney IRVING YOUNGER made available records furnished him by with respect to travels. These records included handwritten notes, hotel bills, used airline tickets, cancelled checks and bank statements. She explained that she used all these sources, as well as phone calls she received from from time to time, to keep her running record of where was at any time.

A review of record of travels for the month of August, 1959 with her indicated she had him in Los Angeles at the Beverly Hilton Hotel for the period August 10 through August 14, 1959. On August 14, 1959, he left Los Angeles and went to Las Vegas where he stayed at the Desert Inn on August 14, 1959. On August 14 and 15, 1959, according to the records reflected that was at the Desert Inn. These records reflect that for the period August 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21, 1959, was at the Hotel Pierre, New York City. On August 21, 1959, he left New York and went to Detroit, Michigan, and stayed at the Statler Hilton in Detroit on August 21, 22 and 23, 1959.

On 4/2/62 at New York City  File # 58-1232
by SA MAURICE P. LEEN/pag  Date dictated 4/6/62
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A review of notes for the period September, 1959 indicated that [insert name] was at the Desert Inn in Las Vegas, Nevada, on September 10, 11, 12 and at least part of September 13, 1959. She said her records indicate that she left Las Vegas on September 13, 1959, and went to Los Angeles where she stayed at the Beverly Hilton Hotel on September 13, 14 and 15, 1959. Her records show a notation that on September 12, 1959, while [insert name] was at the Desert Inn, the "Party" was also there. According to [insert name], these are friends of whom she did not further identify. [insert name] went through the records she had available and which she furnished to [insert name] and she was unable to find an airline ticket showing departure on the 13th of September from Las Vegas to Los Angeles.

She explained that her records indicate that on September 15, 1959, [insert name] left Los Angeles for Las Vegas. She took United Airlines Flight number 615 at 7:30 P.M. She pointed to two airline tickets for these flights numbers 640102 and 640103 for United's flight 615. One ticket was made out for [insert name] and the other was for [insert name]. She said this is [insert name] stayed at the Desert Inn in Las Vegas on September 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20, 1959, with a friend of his named [insert name]. Her records indicated that [insert name] then stayed alone at the Desert Inn on September 21, 1959. She pointed out that her penciled notations indicate that [insert name] also stayed at the Desert Inn on September 15, 17, 18, and 19, 1959, and that [insert name] who gave an address of [insert name] stayed at the Desert Inn on September 15 and 16, 1959.
The records also contained a second check, check number 3648, drawn on [redacted] account at the First National Bank of Quincy, Illinois. This check was dated September 21, 1955 and was made in the amount of $20,000.00, payable to Cash. The lower right hand corner of the check, the signature portion, was torn off. There were no bank stamps of any kind on this check and no entries could be found on the bank statement for [redacted] said that she does not know any of the details as to why this check might have been written and pointed out that the check never cleared through the bank. She does not know why the lower right hand portion was torn off, but she assumes that [redacted] did this since he did not want the check to be honored. She said that any further details concerning this check would have to be obtained from [redacted]: himself.

In addition to the records which [redacted] made available to the United States Attorneys office, she said she has some additional records in a folder of airline statements. She advised that she would make these records available.
Her records indicated that on September 10, 1959, [redacted] made a trip from Las Vegas to New Castle, Wyoming, to Denver, Colorado, to Salt Lake City to Las Vegas. He used a chartered plane from Denver to New Castle.

On September 21, 1959, the records indicated to [redacted] left Las Vegas and went to Los Angeles and stayed at the Beverly Hilton Hotel on September 21 and 22, 1959. He left Los Angeles on September 22, 1959, via TWA flight number 2 which was scheduled to leave Los Angeles at 10:15 P.M. and went to New York where he stayed at the Hotel Pierre on September 23, 24, 25, 26 and 27, 1959.

Among the records [redacted] was one check, number 3640, dated September 14, 1959, was for $30,000.00, payable to the order of the Desert Inn. This check was drawn on the First National Bank of Olney, Illinois, on an account of [redacted] account number [redacted].

The bank's statement forwarded to [redacted] from the First National Bank of Olney under the date of September 18, 1959, shows a check drawn for $30,000.00. The check number 3640 was paid September 17, 1959. (Bank stamp 70-412 and was endorsed pay to the order of the Bank of Nevada, Las Vegas Branch Number 1, Wilbur Clark's Desert Inn, Desert Inn) [redacted] said this check was cleared for payment, but that she did not know why the check was written. She pointed out it was not unusual for [redacted] to write checks for very large sums and that any information concerning the details of why this check was written would have to be obtained from [redacted].
Colorado, was interviewed at the office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of New York. She said that she is presently address for some of his mailings.

She had a folder of airline statements pertaining to travels for the year 1959. Travel reflected on these statements were billed from the Delta Airlines, Atlanta, Georgia, on a Delta credit card account number, credit card number said that this is the personal credit card or and to her knowledge is the only one he used in 1959.

Bills for travel for the month of September indicate that on September 15, purchased four tickets on his credit card for United Airlines flight from Los Angeles, California, to Las Vegas, Nevada. The names of the four passengers for these tickets are not listed on the flight coupon which Delta Airlines sent in their bill to said that she could not recall who the four passengers might have been. It was brought to attention that records previously supplied by her on April 2, 1962, indicated that and were all registered at the Desert Inn in Las Vegas, Nevada, on the 15th of September, 1959.

These records also indicated that left Los Angeles on the 15th of September aboard United Airlines flight number 616, scheduled to leave Los Angeles for Las Vegas at 7:30 p.m. These records also reflected that stayed together at the Desert Inn from September 15 through September 20, 1959, and stayed alone September 21, 1959. stayed at the Desert Inn on September 15, 16, 17, and 19th, 1959, and stayed at the Desert Inn on September 15 and 16, 1959.
This information did not refresh recollection as to who the passengers were on the 15th of September who went from Los Angeles to Las Vegas on

credit card.

Records made available by on April 12, 1959, indicated that was at Los Angeles, California, at the Beverly Hilton Hotel on September 14, 1959. cannot recall exactly why she went to Los Angeles on the 14th or whether or not she was in the same hotel with at this time. She does not recall going to Las Vegas, Nevada, from Los Angeles, and cannot remember whether she might have been one of the four persons on the tickets purchased by credit card.

The records indicate that on September 16, 1959, two tickets were purchased on credit card for a trip from Las Vegas to Los Angeles to Denver, Colorado. said that she is not sure but believes that these tickets were utilized by and

said that she brought these tickets to the United States Attorney's office at the request of United States Attorney MORGENTHAU. The records reviewed with were turned over to Assistant United States Attorney
PRESENCE OF ROY COHN IN LAS VEGAS

United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, made available pertinent records of the Desert Inn, Las Vegas, Nevada. These records were furnished by the United States Attorney's Office. These records indicate that ROY COHN, Sunrise Hospital, stayed at the Desert Inn from August 14th to August 16, 1959. He paid for a room at the Desert Inn on August 14th and August 15, 1959. He paid a restaurant bill on August 16, 1959. The registration card pertaining to this stay, number H9631 under the column headed remarks, has the following statement:

"Complimentary acct. per ___________ by ___________"

Records of the Desert Inn also indicate that Colorado, also stayed at the Desert Inn, August 14th through August 16, 1959. He paid for a room on the 14th of August and for a room on the 15th of August. He paid certain fees for golf on the 16th of August and a restaurant bill on the 16th of August. Under the captioned headed remarks, the hotel records have the following notation:

"Complimentary acct. per ___________ by ___________"

Records of the Desert Inn indicate that Denver, stayed at the Desert Inn, August 14th through August 16, 1959. His bill indicated he paid for a room on August 144th and 15th and paid a restaurant bill on August 16, 1959. Under the column headed remarks the same notation as on COHN's and card is written and then crossed out.

Records of the Desert Inn indicate that ROY COHN, Sunrise Hospital, Las Vegas, stayed at the Desert Inn on September 11th through the 13th, 1959. These records indicate that he paid for a room on September 11th and 12th, 1959; on the 13th he was billed for three long distance calls.
Records of the Desert Inn indicate that Denver, stayed at the Desert Inn, Las Vegas, on September 10th through the 13th, 1959. He was charged for a room on September 10, 11 and 12, 1959.
On April 24, 1962, Assistant United States Attorney DONALD J. COHN, Southern District of New York, requested that an attempt be made to substantiate by investigation, information furnished to the United States Attorney's Office.

Investigation conducted by the Las Vegas Office indicated that there is no First National Bank of Las Vegas. There is a First National Bank of Nevada and a Bank of Las Vegas.
First National Bank of Casper, Wyoming, made available for review to Special Agent EDWARD F. BLOOM the bank's microfilm, which reflected the following information:

Check #4113
Drawn on the First National Bank of Casper, Wyoming
Account of: Toolpusher Supply Company, Casper
Payable to: PASTERNAK, Mile High Center, Denver, Colorado
Amount: $112,697.28
Date: September 10, 1959
Signed: PASTERNAK

The endorsements of the above-described check are as follows:

PASTERNAK

further advised that on September 10, 1959, & PASTERNAK cashed the above check at the First National Bank of Casper, and then purchased the following bank drafts:

Draft #3934
Dated: September 10, 1959
Drawn On: First National Bank of Casper on their American National Bank account, Denver, Colorado, which is the First National Bank of Casper's correspondent bank
Payable To: Bank of Las Vegas
Amount: $50,000.00
Signed: First

On 5/2/62 at Casper, Wyoming
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National Bank of Casper

Draft #3935
Dated: September 10, 1959
Drawn On: First National Bank of Casper on their
American National Bank account, Denver,
Colorado, which is the First National
Bank of Casper's correspondent bank

Payable To: _______________ & PASTERNAK
Amount: $62,679.28
Signed: ____________________ First
National Bank of Casper

Further advised that a check of the open
and closed accounts of his bank failed to reflect any
account in the names of _______________ & PASTERNAK, IRVING PASTERNAK,
or_____________

In the event the above information is to be made
a matter of public record, a subpoena duces tecum should
be issued and directed to
Date 4/30/62
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On May 4, 1962, Assistant United States Attorney DONALD J. COHN, Southern District of New York, requested that inquiry be made at the Bank of Las Vegas to determine whether the Desert Inn at Las Vegas may have deposited $50,000 to any account they might have at the Bank of Las Vegas, at about the time check number 3934 was cashed.
considerable checking, he had ascertained that advised that after

The above information is not to be made public, without issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, directed to
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At the request of Assistant United States Attorney SILVIO J. MOLLO, Southern District of New York, photostats of the following items in the case of (Civil Action Number) were obtained by the Denver Office:

- Complaint filed June 25, 1958;
- Motion for Cost Bond filed July 16, 1958;
- Motion for Bill of Particulars filed July 16, 1958;
- Motion to Dismiss filed July 22, 1958;
- Summons filed July 21, 1958;
- Stipulation filed August 26, 1958;
- Order filed August 26, 1958;
- Answer of ALLEN K. SWANN filed October 22, 1958;
- Stipulation filed October 24, 1958;
- Stipulation filed March 26, 1959.

It should be pointed out that purportedly gave an oral legal opinion to the group concerning the legality of the sale of certain stock for which they were indicted in the UDY case. This legal opinion, attorney's fee for which was suing, was used by the group to give reasonableness for their actions, in the UDY case.
No record of long distance calls is maintained by the New York Telephone Company after six months.

The following investigation was conducted to locate and interview the former superintendent of the building at Glenwood Management, New Hyde Park, New York, on May 8, 1962, advised that in August, 1959, the superintendent at was She does not have a current address for but advised that he could be contacted through the Building Service Employees Union.
Building Service Employees International Union, AFL-CIO, 1 East 53rd Street, New York City, advised that the last address he has for New York, as of September, 1960.

On 5/16/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232
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Assistant United States Attorney IRVING YOUNGER, made available pertinent records of the Hotel Pierre, New York City, which he had obtained from [ILLEGIBLE]. These records indicate that [ILLEGIBLE] stayed at the Hotel Pierre on July 28, 29, 30 and 31, 1959; and he also stayed at the Hotel Pierre on August 1, 2 and 3, 1959.

[ILLEGIBLE] also stayed at the Hotel Pierre on September 2, 3, and 4, 1959. He again stayed at the Hotel Pierre on September 25, 26 and 27, 1959. He again stayed at the Hotel Pierre on November 22 through 24, 1959.

Assistant United States Attorney YOUNGER requested that any out of town calls made by [ILLEGIBLE] from the Hotel Pierre, be identified.

Hotel, advised on May 1, 1962, that there is no information available concerning telephone calls made from the Pierre Hotel for the year 1959.

The following information concerning Roth Gerard and Company, 25 Broad Street, is set forth since the telephone listed to this firm was called by either [ILLEGIBLE] as developed in the investigation concerning [ILLEGIBLE].
A confidential source in a position to furnish information concerning businesses in the New York area furnished the following information concerning Roth, Gerard and Company, 25 Broad Street, Room 1010, New York 5, New York:

Financial report dated April 9, 1962, describes the company as a Broker-Dealer in stocks and bonds, with current assets of $88,981 and other assets of about $200,000. The net worth of the firm was listed as $301,208. [Redacted] were listed as general partners, and [Redacted] is a limited partner. The firm is engaged in security transactions governed by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As of April 11, 1961, ______ represented his net worth as ______.

On January 22, 1962, ______.

The operation of the business was described as commission house brokers, catering to a select clientele, which includes institutions, large portfolios, and large investors. The firm does no underwriting or commodities business and does not make markets in over-the-counter securities. The firm maintains one membership on the New York Stock Exchange and an associated membership on the American Stock Exchange, and is a member of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Two partners are active and three persons are employed by the firm. The firm clears through Ladenburg Thalmann and Company, 25 Broad Street.

[Redacted] is described as single, born in the United States in ______ received a Bachelor of Arts ______.

On 5/2/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232

by SA MAURICE P. LEEN/IVC 5/4/62 Date dictated 5/4/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
degree from

From 1956 to 1961 he was employed as a research analyst by Lehman Brothers.

He received a Bachelor of Arts degree from

From January, 1957, through May, 1961, he was employed as a securities analyst with

is employed as a buyer by and is not active in the firm.

is married, native born.

Located at 1 West 58th Street, New York City. This firm was chartered in August, 1961, and is active as a motivation/research organization. No financial details or inter-company relations concerning this firm were reported by this source.

A New York Stock Exchange bulletin of May, 1962, states that Roth, Gerard and Company announced that ROBERT H. KENMORE became a general partner.
The following information was developed through agency checks requested by the United States Attorney, Southern District of New York:
A confidential source in a position to furnish information concerning businesses in the New York area, furnished the following information concerning ROY M. COHN, 1165 Park Avenue, New York City:

This information was dated in April, 1961. COHN's employment address is listed as 20 Exchange Place, New York City, care of the firm of Saxe, Bacon, and O'Shea, Attorneys at Law. The report states that COHN's family was connected with the law firm of Curran, Mahoney, Cohn, and Stim, 29 Broadway, New York City. An inquiry was made concerning COHN by the Morgan Guaranty Trust Company, 140 Broadway, New York City.
A confidential source in a position to furnish information concerning business concerns in the New York area furnished information in April, 1962, indicating that New York City, formerly resided at New York. In May, 1953, he was

bank is listed as the "Chemical Corn New York Trust Company", Church Avenue Branch.

On 4/3/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232

by SAUROICE P. LEENE Date dictated 4/9/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
A confidential source in a position to furnish information concerning records of the New York Telephone Company advised that telephone number SA 2-6157 (non-listed) was listed to ROY M. COHN, 1165 Park Avenue, New York City. A bank reference was given by COHN in connection with this phone as National City Bank, Park Avenue.
AIRTEL

To: SAC, New York (58-1232)

From: Director, FBI (58-5100) - 38

BRIEFS

Reurairtel dated 5/29/62, advising that the USA has now requested investigation this matter with the exception of interviews with defendants presently on trial.

In submitting your report set forth in the opening paragraph of the details that this investigation has been limited at the specific request of USA Robert M. Morgenthau. Point out that USA requested the defendants on trial not be interviewed at this time.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Rosen

FROM: A. J. McGrath

DATE: 5/31/62

SUBJECT: ROY COHN
BRIBERY

By airmail dated 5/29/62, received this date our New York Office advised that U.S. Attorney (USA) Robert M. Morgenthau, Southern District of New York, requested into this matter with the exception of interviews with

This is the case in which

We previously conducted limited record checks in this matter at the request of USA Morgenthau. These inquiries revealed

be interviewed.

This investigation will be vigorously pressed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Office</th>
<th>Office of Origin</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Investigative Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Title of Case:**
- ROY COHN

**Character of Case:**
- Bribery

**References:**
- New York Teletype to Director, 5/18, 5/21/62.
- Bureau Airtel to New York, 5/18/62.
- New York Airtel to Director, 5/17/62.
- Los Angeles Teletype to Director, 5/23/62.
- Los Angeles Teletype to Director, 5/28/62.

**Approvals:**
- [Signature]

**Copies Made:**
- 2 - Bureau (58-5100)
- 2 - New York City (58-1238)
- 1 - Los Angeles (58-495)

**Dissemination Record of Attached Report:**
- [Handwritten notes]

**Notations:**
- [Handwritten notes]
United States Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Copy to:

Report of: Edmond F. Brick (A)
Date: 5/28/62
Office: Los Angeles, California

Field Office File No.: 58-495
Bureau File No.: 58-5100

Title: Roy Cohn

Character: Bribery

Synopsis:
Record check negative Fugazy's Travel Bureau, Inc., Beverly Hills, California, identified as Los Angeles, Colorado, registered Beverly Hilton Hotel, 9/21/59.

- RUC -
Fugazy Travel Bureau, Inc.,
9501 Santa Monica Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California,
advised that:

stated the office would have a file on
anyone that arranged a trip or tour through the Los Angeles
Office of Fugazy's. If only some other service was performed
or a change made in an itinerary that originated in a Fugazy
Office in another part of the country, and a charge was
made, the Los Angeles Office would have only the invoice
record for the charge, filed numerically by month.

said the Los Angeles Office had no file
on or ROY COHN indicating that they had not
originated a trip through the Los Angeles Office.

commented that COHN was an attorney for the company.

obtained the invoice copies for services rendered to
customers for which a charge was made in August and
September, 1950. No invoice was located for services
rendered to or ROY COHN.

On 5/23/62 at Beverly Hills, California
SAA EDMOND F. BRICK/MM

File #Los Angeles 58-495

Date dictated 5/23/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to
your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
AT BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

Wilshire Hotel, 9500 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California, on May 24, 1962, advised that there was no record of a registration in September of 1959 for a Mr. and/or or

Accounting Department, Beverly Hilton Hotel, 9876 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California, on May 28, 1962, advised there was no record of a registration in September of 1959 for a

Colorado, had registered at 7:25 A.M., September 21, 1959, and checked out at 5:32 P.M., September 22, 1959, occupying Room 250 at a rate of $21.00 per day.

The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, Los Angeles Central Directory, lists telephone Madison 4-5031 as the office telephone of attorney, Los Angeles, California.
AIRTEL

6/1/62

To: SAC, New York (58-1232)

From: Director, FBI (58-5100)

Re: Airtel dated 5/29/62 advising that a [redacted] is being instituted in this matter at the request of the USA.

This matter must receive top priority attention. Assign sufficient personnel to this matter to thoroughly explore the allegations expeditiously, and all leads should be set forth in teletype form with instructions to conduct investigation promptly and submit results within five days.

Keep the Bureau informed of all pertinent developments in this matter and ensure so as to reach the Bureau no later than 6/22/62 on completed investigation. Also submit a weekly summary airtel on accomplishments on Friday of each week until investigation completed.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIBERY

Re NY airtel to the Bureau.

At conference 5/28/62, USA MORGENTHAU, SDNY, advised that the FBI should conduct a [REDACTED] in this case. He requested that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] DARDI, who are presently defendants in the UDY case now on trial, not be interviewed at this time.
URGENT 6-5-62 11-40 PM JAA
TO DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/ /17/ AND SACS, LAS VEGAS AND LOS ANGELES
FROM SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/
ROY COHN: BRIBERY

NYO STILL ATTEMPTING TO VERIFY PRESENCE OF

IN LAS VEGAS ON AUGUST TWENTY THREE FIFTY NINE.

UNITED AIRLINES, NY, ADVISED THAT THE USUAL WAY TO
FLY TO LAS VEGAS FROM NY IS TO FLY FROM NY TO LOS
ANGELES AND THEN FROM LOS ANGELES TO LAS VEGAS BY
WESTERN, UNITED OR BONANZA AIRLINES. LOS ANGELES AND
LAS VEGAS ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK ANY AVAILABLE RECORDS
IN LOS ANGELES AND LAS VEGAS FOR WESTERN, UNITED OR
BONANZA AIRLINES FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST TWENTY THROUGH
TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE FOR ANY RECORD SHOWING

MIGHT HAVE BEEN A PASSENGER. LOS ANGELES AND JUN 5 1962

VEGAS SHOULD SET OUT LEADS FOR APPROPRIATE OFFICES IF FOLLOW-
UP IS NEEDED ON RECORDS OF WESTERN OR BONANZA AIRLINES. EXPEDITE
REPLY.

END

NY R 17 WA BH

JUN 12, 1962
URGENT 6-6-62 3-56 PM GRJ

TO DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/ AND SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/
NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES /58-495/ /RUC/ 1P

ET AL. BRIBERY. EX-10REC-10 58-5100-42

RE NEW YORK TEL TO DIRECTOR JUNE FIVE, LAST.
WESTERN, UNITED AND BONANZA: FIFTY NINE AIRLINE PAS-
SENGER RECORDS DESTROYED. RUC

END AND ACK PLSS

6-56 PM OK FBI WA DA FOR RELAY CLEANUP

TU DISC

53 JUN 13 1962
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIbery

A search of records Fugazy Travel Bureau, Spring Valley, New York and Main Office, NYC, conducted. No record of any trip by located. Fugazy employees, Spring Valley, advised in 1960 and not there in 1959.

Fugazy sales reports indicate ROY COHN and departed NYC aboard TWA Flight Number 1 on 8/13/59 scheduled for Los Angeles and Las Vegas. Flight coupon issued to COHN indicates a return date of 8/17/59. Flight coupon issued to has no return date indicated. ROY COHN was billed by Fugazy about 9/10/59 for a flight from NYC to Los Angeles to be made with of the insurance firm of Walsh and Walsh, 551 5th Avenue, NYC. Plans for this trip were evidently cancelled and no flight coupon in file at Fugazy Travel Bureau. No other record of flight by COHN from NYC to the West Coast in September, 1959 located in Fugazy files.
Special checking account maintained by [Name] from 1953 to 1961 located. Records concerning this account maintained in bank's archives on microfilm in Pawling, New York. Intensive search of these records being conducted by NYO. Search conducted to date reflects that a check for $1,315.09 was drawn on [Account] account on 8/24/59 payable to SHEARSON, HAMMILL, NY stock brokers.

[Name] obtained a loan for $5,520.00 on 11/22/59 from the Chemical Bank NY Trust Company. This loan was to be repaid in monthly installments of $230.00. [Name] prepaid this loan in August, 1960. [Name] applied for loan same bank in July, 1960 for $5,280.00. This application turned down by bank, reasons unknown and file destroyed.

Details of [Name] whereabouts for the period August 20 through August 24, 1959 unknown to [Name].

[Name] recalls that apartment building in which [Name] lives was first opened on 8/20/59.

Information concerning loan secured by [Name] being obtained from the archives of the First National City Bank, NYC. Account of [Name] Bankers Trust Company, NYC, closed 1953. No record or credit card issued to [Name] at American Airlines. Other credit card issuers being checked by NYO.

USA MORGENTHAU is making arrangements to bring [Name] to NYC for interviews by NYO early part of the week beginning 6/11/62.
# FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTING OFFICE</th>
<th>OFFICE OF ORIGIN</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLEVELAND</td>
<td>NEW YORK</td>
<td>6/5/62</td>
<td>5/29/62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Character of Case**

- Bribery

**Title of Case**

- [Blank]

**Special Agent in Charge**

- Roy Cohn

**Report Made By**

- SA(A) Roger F. Musy

**Typed By**

- jem be

---

**REFERENCES**


---

**NOTATIONS**

---

**DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>REQUEST REC'D</th>
<th>DATE FWD.</th>
<th>HOW FWD.</th>
<th>BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1972-078-231-4**

**COVER PAGE**
ROY COHN

BRIBERY

The Internal Revenue Service is subscriber to Cleveland, Ohio, telephone # Tower 1-2700, not listed Cleveland Telephone or City Directories.

- RUC -

Details:

On May 29, 1962, a review of the Cleveland Telephone Directory discloses that telephone number Tower 1-2700 or 861-2700, which is the same number under the new system, is listed to the Internal Revenue Service, 220 St. Clair Avenue, NW, Cleveland, Ohio. The Cleveland Telephone Directory does not list a telephone number under the name of
A review of the Cleveland City Directories for 1959, 1960 and 1961 failed to disclose the name of , however, there are numerous municipalities surrounding the City of Cleveland, and may reside in one of the municipalities which are not listed in the Cleveland City Directory.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN
BRIBERY

On 6/11/62, at the request of USA ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, SDNY, SAS JOSEPH L. TANGEL and BENJAMIN GRAVES conferred with USA MORGENTHAU and AUSAS WALPIN and MOLLO.

At this conference, AUSA MOLLO advised that
URGENT 6-15-62 4-44 PM EST BAW
TO DIRECTOR /58-5100/ SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/
"NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON"
FROM SAC, MIAMI /58-279/ /RUC/ 1 P
ROY COHN, BIBERY.
RE NEW YORK TEL JUNE FOURTEEN LAST.
AIR TRAVEL ACCOUNTS, ACCOUNTING OFFICE,
EASTERN AIR LINES, MIAMI, FLA., THIS DATE ADVISED HIS RECORDS,
ACTIVE AND CANCELLED ACCOUNTS, FAILED TO REFLECT ANY CREDIT
CARD IN THE NAME OF ROY COHN
END ACK PLS
WA 5-45 PM OK FBI WA MLL
RELAY
TU DISCM
Transmit the following in AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIBERY

RE NY airtel & to Bureau, 6/8 & 12/62.

of Moving Company, Pearl River, NY, advised move in August, 1959, paid for by the hour, indicating that the bill of lading was probably signed by sometime in the afternoon of 8/21/59.

No record of any travel booking for ... Perillo-Griffith Travel Service, Pearl River, NY.

No limousine service available in 1959 from NY, to the NYC airports.

Details of whereabouts for the period August 20 - 21, 1959 unknown to rental agents for the building at or to a workman who worked on the move.

EX 100

Bureau (58-5100), New York (58-1232)

MPL: bjb (5)

Approved: Sent M Per

Special Agent in Charge
Transmit the following in

(Type in plain text or code)

Via

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

NY 58-1232

☐ checking account indicates he
wrote a check on April 12, 1960, for $348.48 to Astral
Travel Bureau.

Astral Travel Bureau, NYC, has no record
of any booking for ☐ Record may be at Jersey
City office of Astral.

☐ No record that ☐ maintained a credit
card with TWA in NYC area. TWO central records at
Kansas City being checked. Central records for Delta
and United Airlines also being checked.

☐ opened brokerage account on
7/6/59 with Shearson Hammil, New York Stock Brokers.
Account still open. Transcript of all transactions
being obtained from archives of Shearson Hammil and
Company.

Official airlines guide for August,
1959, indicates ☐ could have taken any of 12 air
flights to get from Las Vegas to NYC by 10:00 a.m.
August 24, 1959.

Attempt will be made to locate and
interview crews of these flights.

Referenced NY airtel of 6/12/62, sets
forth ☐

Approved: _________________________ Sent ___________ M Per _______________________

Special Agent in Charge
Airtel

To: PACs Miami
    Kansas City
    Newark

From: Director, FBI (58-5100)-48

RE: Bribery

(00: New York City)

Be New York tel 6-14-62.

Make certain requested investigation is handled immediately and the results promptly furnished to the New York Office. This matter must receive top priority attention and results furnished no later than 6-20-62.

1-New York (58-1232) (For Information)
URGENT 6-14-62 4-54 PM JAA
TO DIRECTOR, FBI/58-5100/6/ AND SACS, KANSAS CITY AND MIAMI
FROM SAC, NEW YORK/58-1232/4 PAGES
ROY COHN. BRIBERY
USA, SDNY, RECEIVED ALLEGATIONS

NEW YORK OFFICE IS ATTEMPTING TO PLACE IN LAS VEGAS, AUGUST NINETEEN THROUGH AUGUST TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN FIFTY-NINE.

TWA NEW YORK CITY ADVISED THIS AIRLINE MAINTAINS RECORDS OF CREDIT CARDS FOR ITS AIR TRAVEL PLAN AT KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI. KANSAS CITY OFFICE IS REQUESTED TO CHECK AT THE ACCOUNTING SECTION, TWA, KANSAS CITY, FOR ANY RECORD OF A CREDIT CARD ISSUED TO

END PAGE ONE

MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR
NEW YORK AND
NEW YORK. ALSO CHECK FOR ANY CREDIT CARD ISSUED TO
FOR CARD
ISSUED TO OR ROY COHN ONE SIX FIVE PARK AVENUE,
AND TWENTY EXCHANGE PLACE, NEW YORK CITY. IF CREDIT CARD UNDER ANY OF
THESE NAMES IS FOUND AVAILABLE RECORDS SHOULD BE CHECKED TO LOCATE
AIRLINE COUPON OR CHARGE FOR A TRIP BY FROM NEW YORK CITY
TO LOS ANGELES AND LAS VEGAS AND RETURN FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST NINETEEN
THROUGH TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE. IF A CREDIT CARD IS LOCATED
FOR ROY COHN, AVAILABLE RECORDS SHOULD BE CHECKED FOR THE PERIOD
AUGUST NINETEEN THROUGH TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE FOR A TRIP
ON THIS CARD BY AND FOR ANY TRIP BY ROY COHN FROM NEW YORK CITY
TO LOS ANGELES OR LAS VEGAS AND RETURN FOR THE PERIOD OF SEPTEMBER
END PAGE TWO
PAGE THREE

NINE THROUGH FIFTEEN, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE.

RECORDS OF CHEMICAL BANK NEW YORK TRUST COMPANY INDICATE THAT [ ] WROTE A CHECK IN APRIL NINETEEN SIXTY FOR THREE HUNDRED FORTY EIGHT DOLLARS AND FORTY EIGHT CENTS TO THE ASTRAL TRAVEL AGENCY. THIS CHECK WAS CASHED BY ASTRAL IN JERSEY CITY AND BEARS THE BANK STAMP OF THE TRUST COMPANY IN NEW YORK. ASTRAL TRAVEL AGENCY, NEW YORK CITY ADVISED THAT THEY HAVE NO RECORD OF ANY BOOKINGS BY [ ] ALSO ADVISED THAT ASTRAL TRAVEL AGENCY HAS A BRANCH OR AFFILIATE LOCATED AT THREE THREE SIP STREET, JERSEY CITY, NEW JERSEY. NEWARK IS REQUESTED TO CONTACT ASTRAL IN JERSEY CITY FOR ANY TRAVEL BOOKINGS THEY MIGHT HAVE HANDLED FOR [ ] DURING THE PERTINENT PERIODS SET FORTH ABOVE IN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE. ALSO ASCERTAIN DETAILS OF CHECK WRITTEN TO ASTRAL IN APRIL NINETEEN SIXTY.

EASTERN AIRLINES, NEW YORK CITY ADVISES THEIR MIAMI OFFICE MAINTAINS ALL RECORDS RELATIVE TO CREDIT CARDS. MIAMI WILL CHECK AT EASTERN AIRLINES AT MIAMI FOR ANY CREDIT CARD ISSUED TO [ ] OR ROY COHN. IF LOCATED, SAME

END PAGE THREE
INVESTIGATION REQUESTED OF KANSAS CITY OFFICE SHOULD BE CONDUCTED BY MIAMI.

EXPEDITE INVESTIGATION.

END AND ACK PLS

YC NY R & WA RL

TU
URGENT 6-18-62 9-14 PM JAA

TO DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/ /8/ AND SAC'S CHICAGO AND ATLANTA

FROM SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/ 3 PAGES

SDNY/ ROY COHEN

BRIBERY

AUSA GERALD WALPIN, SDNY, ADVISED THAT _______________ STATED THAT

WHEN HE WAS WITH _______________ IN THE AMBASSADOR HOTEL, LOS ANGELES,

ON NINE FIFTEEN FIFTY NINE, HE ACCOMPANIED _______________ TO THE COCONUT GROVE

NIGHT CLUB IN LOS ANGELES. WHILE AT THE COCONUT GROVE, _______________ MET A MALE

FRIEND OF HIS, ACCOMPANIED BY THIS MAN'S WIFE AND TWO OTHER WOMEN.

THE ENTIRE PARTY OF THREE WOMEN AND THREE MEN THEN HAD THEIR PICTURE

TAKEN AT THE COCONUT GROVE ON NINE FIFTEEN FIFTY NINE.

LOS ANGELES IS REQUESTED TO ATTEMPT TO LOCATE AN AVAILABLE PHOTO-

GRAPH OF THIS GROUP. ATTACHED FOR LOS ANGELES IS A COPY OF A PHOTO-

GRAPH OF _______________ LOS ANGELES IS ALSO REQUESTED TO ASCERTAIN THE

END PAGE ONE
PAGE TWO

OCCUPANTS OF BUNGALOW ONE THREE ZERO - H AT THE AMBASSADOR HOTEL PRIOR TO ITS OCCUPANCY BY [ ] ON NINE FIFTEEN FIFTY NINE.

LOS ANGELES ALSO ASCERTAIN OCCUPANTS OF THIS BUNGALOW SUBSEQUENT TO ITS OCCUPANCY BY [ ].

THE ATLANTA OFFICE IS REQUESTED TO CONTACT THE ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT OF DELTA AIRLINES IN ATLANTA FOR ANY RECORD OF A CREDIT CARD ISSUED TO [ ].

NEW YORK, OR [ ], NEW YORK. ALSO CHECK FOR ANY CREDIT CARD ISSUED TO [ ], NEW YORK CITY, AND [ ], NEW YORK CITY, AND ROY COHEN, TWENTY EXCHANGE PLACE, AND ONE ONE SIX FIVE PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY.

THE CHICAGO OFFICE IS REQUESTED TO CONDUCT THE SAME INVESTIGATION AT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF UNITED AIRLINES, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. IF A
RGE THREE

CREDIT CARD IS LOCATED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE NAMES, AVAILABLE RECORDS SHOULD BE CHECKED TO LOCATE A FLIGHT COUPON OR CHARGE FOR A FLIGHT FOR A ___________ FROM NEW YORK CITY TO LOS ANGELES AND LAS VEGAS FOR THE PERIOD EIGHT NINETEEN THROUGH TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE. IF A CREDIT CARD IS LOCATED FOR ROY COHEN AVAILABLE RECORDS SHOULD BE REVIEWED FOR ANY TRIP BY ___________ ON THIS CREDIT CARD OR FOR A TRIP BY ROY COHEN FROM NEW YORK CITY TO LOS ANGELES OR TO LAS VEGAS FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER, NINETEEN FIFTY NINE. LOS ANGELES BEING ADVISED BY AIRMAIL.

END AND ACK PL5

WA NY R 08 WA WS

TU
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, KANSAS CITY (58-199) -RUC-
RE: ROY COHN, BRIBERY
OO: New York

Re New York teletype to the Bureau, 6/14/62

On 6/15/62, Credit Department, TWA, 1735 Baltimore, Kansas City, Mo., advised SA JAMES P. HORTON that it is necessary to have the name of the company who is the subscriber for a charge account or the account number before TWA can locate a credit record. Advised that a review of his credit records does not reveal the names of ROY COHN, or

Further advised that all tickets, including flight coupons, have been destroyed by his company for the year 1959 due to a CAB retention period of only two years for retaining tickets and flight coupons. Advised that a review of his files under United Dye and Chemical Corporation reveals no credit record for this company.

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, ATLANTA (58-198)(RUC)
SUBJECT: ROY COHEN

Re New York teletype, 6/18/62.

Delta Airlines, Atlanta, Ga., advised on 6/19/62 that Delta had no record of credit cards issued to and ROY COHEN. He said more often than not the cards would be filed under the firm name rather than the name of the individual. No record was found for COHEN by checking under Lionel Corp. or Championship Sports, Inc.

Further stated that Delta does not keep a record of charges from the credit cards over two years so the 1959 flight coupons have been destroyed. In addition, said Delta did not start serving Las Vegas and Los Angeles until 1961.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (58-495) (RUC)
RE: ET AL
ERIBERY
(CC: NEW YORK CITY)


Western Airline passenger records for the year 1959 destroyed.

Submitted for consideration of office of origin.

Report of SAA JAMES E. MC CLOSKEY dated 9/27/61 at Las Vegas entitled "JAMES RIDDLE HOFFA, Sunrise Hospital, Las Vegas, Nevada. MISCELLANEOUS - INFORMATION CONCERNING," cc NYC, on page 34, states that on 12/15/59 ROY M. COHN, NYC, Attorney, opened a personal account at the Bank of Las Vegas, Las Vegas, Nevada. The account was opened with a deposit of $40,000. Withdrawal of $38,000 and $1,000 on 12/21/59.

NYC file 62-12622; Bufile 63-6895.
NY 58-1232

interviewed instant, furnished substantially the same information he furnished when interviewed in the case in May, 1961. Knows of no role ROY COHN or might have played in 1959 Grand Jury hearing re UDY.

Diners Club Credit Cards located for and ROY COHN. Charges on the cards did not reflect any travel by in August or September, 1959.

Transcript of the activity in brokerage house at Shearron Hammill and Co. indicates he wrote checks in August, 1959 for $3817.05 and $1,359.09, to cover purchase of several stocks.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN
        BRIbery

Re NY airtel to the Bureau, 6/15/62.

interviewed. Stated he
denies knowing MURRAY GOTTSMAN or ROY COHN, and knows of
no role they might have played concerning the 1959 indictment
in UDy.

advised that he knows of no
interest or activities of ROY COHN with respect to securing
employment by [blank] in the US Attorney's Office, SDNY.

[blank] interviewed, furnished substantially
same information when interviewed in the [blank] case.

said that he learned from a friend of his,
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
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NEW YORK
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NEW YORK
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NY airtel to the Bureau dated 6/12/62.
NY airtel to the Bureau dated 6/15/62.

ADMINISTRATIVE
Leads based on the information set forth in
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SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE
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ADMINISTRATIVE (CONTINUED)

The confidential source who furnished information

concerning

The confidential source

LEADS

NEW YORK

At New York, New York

1) Will obtain a transcript of activity
in the brokerage account maintained by
with Shearson, Hammill and Company.

2) Will interview
USA MORGENTHAU advised that he will be bring
and to NYC and make them available for interview.

3) Will interview
re the details of this case.
Copy to: 1- USA, SDNY

Report of: MAURICE P. LEEN
Date: 6/21/62
Office: New York, New York

Field Office File No.: 58-1232
Bureau File No.: 58-5100

Title: ROY COHN

Character: BRIBERY

Synopsis: advised that he states that he does not know MURRAY GOTTESMAN or ROY COHN and knows of no role they might have played concerning the 1959 indictment in UDF. Bank records indicate obtained a loan for $5,520.00 in December, 1959. This loan was to be repaid in monthly installments of $230.00. The loan was prepaid in August, 1960.

Activity in checking account maintained by for the period May, 1959 through September, 1960 set forth. On 8/24/59, a check for $1,359.09 was drawn on this account payable to Shearson, Hammill and Company, Stockbrokers. On 8/24/59, a deposit was made to the account of $1,372.40. brokerage account

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
NY 58-1232

SYNOPSIS (CONTINUED):

at Shearson, Hammill and Company on 7/6/59. Efforts to ascertain details of [_____] whereabouts after his move on 8/21/59, through rental agents, Travel Bureau records in [_____] NY, and NYC, negative.

- P -
NY 58-1232.

DETAILS:

United States Attorney ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, Southern District of New York, on May 28, 1962, advised that he did not think it was desirable to conduct any interviews with IRVING PASTERNAK or VIRGIL DARDI at this time. PASTERNAK and DARDI are defendants in the UDY case now on trial in the Southern District of New York.
NY 58-1232

INTERVIEW OR
NY 59-1232

"Sworn to and subscribed before me on June 15, 1962 at New York City

"SA Maurice P. Leen
"SA Edward P. Hartnett, FBI"
INFORMATION CONCERNING LOAN OBTAINED
BY

b6
b7c
A confidential source in a position to furnish information concerning

On 6/1/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232

by SA MAURICE F. LEEN-ds Date dictated 6/5/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
NY 58-1232

ACTIVITY IN BANK ACCOUNT

12
A confidential source

The above records are not to be made public except upon the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum addressed to

On 6/1/62 at New York City File # NY 58 1232

by SA MAURICE P. LEEN:ds Date dictated 6/5/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
A confidential source

On 6/6-8/62 at Pawling, New York File # NY 58-1232

by SA JAMES A. MATTHEWS Date dictated 6/15/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
The above information was obtained on a confidential basis and is not to be made public except in the usual proceedings following the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum which should be directed to
NY 58-1232

VERIFICATION OF WHEREABOUTS,
AUGUST, 1959
NY 58-1232

New York, advised on June 6, 1962, that she and her husband were the superintendents of the building located at New York. She recalled as a tenant at this address. She also remembers that the building opened on August 20, 1959, since this is the day of the birth of her son. She was in the hospital on August 21, 1959, and does not know any of the details of moving into his apartment.

___________________________________________ recalled as a tenant at but could not recall him being present at the building or in his apartment on August 21, 1959. ___________ said that the rental agents for the building at that time were both of New York. She explained that as rental agents they would more likely to be in contact with tenants moving into the building.
New York, advised that he vaguely recalled having lived at New York, but could not remember anything specific concerning him. He stated that he did not recall any details regarding moving into this building in 1959.

On 6/12/62 at Mount Vernon, New York File # NY 58-1232

by SA MICHAEL R. CARRANO/Imp Date dictated 6/13/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
New York, advised that he could furnish no information regarding except that he recalled having been a tenant in New York, in 1959. He noted that he was not aware of until after he read about him in the newspaper which related that in some big case in New York City.
Trans World Airlines (TWA), 80 East 42nd Street, New York City, advised that TWA records on credit cards for the New York City area indicate no record for Roy Cohn.

Also checked for any record of a credit account maintained by the Westheim Travel Service, Incorporated, 342 Madison Avenue, in which he advised had an interest.

A review of the TWA account with Westheim Travel Service indicated no pertinent information.

Credit Card Association, American Express Company (AEC), 30 Church Street, New York City, advised SA Benjamin F. Graves on June 13, 1962, that his office had no record of credit cards maintained by Roy Cohn.

No credit card was maintained by Roy Cohn.

He advised that New York, maintained a credit card at the AEC until September, 1961, when the card was discontinued for inactivity. This credit card was number [ ] issued May 20, 1959. His name was given as [ ]. His occupation as an New York City, and as a bank reference he gave the Commercial Bank of North America (checking account). Records of the AEC reflect that no trips were charged to this credit card by anyone through August or September, 1959.

The following investigation was conducted by the Miami Office:
Accounting Office, Eastern Airlines, Miami, Florida, advised on June 15, 1962, that a check of active and cancelled accounts failed to reflect any credit card in the name of ROY COHN,
Fehsal's Express, Main Street, Pearl River, New York, advised after consulting his records pertaining to the move of the family that had raised an issue as to whether the move should be billed as a weight move or as an hourly job. Stated that the move was billed on an hourly basis although the weight of the move was also taken, according to for his own protection. He stated that had the weight charge been more than the hourly charge he would have had the right to charge the higher figure.

stated that since the job was billed on the hourly basis, he feels that the bill of lading was signed after the completion of the move into the apartment house. He stated that this procedure was in keeping with the normal business practice.


by SA JOSEPH W. LUCCA: remt Date dictated 5/31/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
New Jersey, advised he was employed as a moving man for Fehsal's Express, Pearl River, New York, during the month of August, 1959. was unable to recall moving the family from New York, to New York.
Travel Agency, 25 North Madison, Spring Valley, New York, advised that the records of the Spring Valley Office do not indicate that any travel bookings were made for [redacted] in 1959.

She advised further that [redacted], who was born [redacted] and who is the wife of [redacted] of the Spring Valley Office in 1960, explained that TUGAZY took over the traveling operations in 1959, and that she came to the travel agency in April, 1959. She recalls that [redacted] came to the agency shortly after she did and it is her recollection that [redacted] knew nothing of the travel business when she came to the Spring Valley Office. She pointed out that [Redacted] only comes to the office about once a week and does not seem to devote all her time to the travel business. She earns a minimum of $500.00, a year, according to [Redacted], stated from her personal recollection she is quite sure that they had no travel bookings for [redacted] in 1959.

On 6/1/62 at Spring Valley, New York  File # NY 58 1232

by SA MAURICE P. LEEN Date dictated 6/5/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Astral Travel Agency, 51 East 42nd Street, New York City, advised that he checked the agency's travel bookings and billings records from 1958 to 1960 and could locate no record of ever having done business with [ ] or ROY COHN.

He pointed out that there was an Astral Travel Agency located at 33 Sip Street, Jersey City, New Jersey.
Perillo-Griffith Travel Service, Incorporated, 59 East Central Avenue, Pearl River, New York, advised after a complete search of his records, both alphabetical and by airlines which travel to points on or near the West Coast, that he could locate no past booking for

On 5/21/62 at Pearl River, New York  File # NF-58-1232

by SA JOSEPH W. LUCCA Dated  5/25/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Jet Connections, Incorporated, 55 East Central Avenue, Pearl River, New York, advised that her company, which furnishes limousine service to the various New York airports, was established in August, 1960. She knew of no similar type service in the Pearl River, New York, area or Rockland County, New York, area.
Accounting Department, Fugazy Travel Bureau, 488 Madison Avenue, New York City, advised that she checked available records for all of Fugazy's offices in New York City and could locate no record of any booking for [_____]. She pointed out that these records included the following: (1959)

1. Individual account cards for the Greenwich Village and Madison Avenue offices of Fugazy.

2. Air reports for all airlines for both offices of Fugazy, the one in Greenwich Village and the one on Madison Avenue.

3. Invoices for both offices.

4. Unpaid bills.

Fugazy records indicated that ROY M. COHN purchased a ticket on Trans World Airlines (TWA), flight one on August 13, 1959. This flight originated at Idlewild Airport and the flight coupon indicates COHN's destination was Las Vegas, Nevada, by way of Los Angeles. The coupon indicated that he intended to return on August 17, 1959. Fugazy records indicated that on the same TWA flight one, on August 13, 1959, [_______] was booked as a passenger. No return date was given on [_______] flight coupon.

Fugazy records also indicate that ROY COHN, about September 10, 1959, made plans to travel with [_______] of the firm of [_______], from New York to Los Angeles. This flight was evidently canceled and no flight coupon could be located for the flight in Fugazy's records.

On 6/6/62 at 488 Madison Avenue File # NY 58-1232

SA MAURICE P. LEEN:mf

Date dictated 6/18/62
Credit Department, Shearson Hammill Company, 80 Pine Street, New York City, advised that opened a stock brokerage account on July 6, 1959. The account is still open, but does not have a current money balance.

said the account was brought to the firm through of the Brooklyn Office of Shearson Hammill Company.

He pointed out that all transactions in the account had been placed on microfilm and were located in a warehouse maintained by Shearson Hammill in New York City. He said that he could provide the transcripts of all activity through this account since the date of its opening.

On 6/6/62 at 80 Pine Street File # NY 58-1232

by SA MAURICE P. LEEN:mf Date dictated 6/12/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
ASAC Al Bryant, New York, telephonically advised 5:35 P.M., 6/25/62, that the New York Office had just received a request from AUSA Sylvio J. Mollo, head of the Criminal Division, U. S. Attorney's office, Southern District of New York, who requested the Bureau.

According to AUSA Mollo,
Memorandum to Mr. Rosen
Re: __________

ACTION TAKEN:

ASAC Bryant was advised that inasmuch as __________

ADDENDUM: AJM:DC

ASAC Bryant advised 6/26/62 that __________ He stated AUSA

Mollo had been appropriately advised of the results of this check, had expressed his sincere appreciation and stated that he desired no further investigation or action to be taken by the Bureau in respect to this matter.

RECOMMENDATION:

No further action necessary. Memorandum being submitted for record purposes.
USA MORGENTHAU advised that he had also contacted while he was in Paris. He had cut short his European trip and returned to NYC and was presently registered at the St. Moritz Hotel.
checked into the Plaza Hotel about 11:15 a.m., 6/27/62. A reservation had been made for him by who checked into the hotel the night of 6/26/62.

USA MORGENTHAU advised that said that is one of the "hangers on" around .

The above is for the information of the Bureau.
TO: Director, FBI
FROM: SAC, NYC (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIBERY

DATE: 6/26/62

For the information of the Bureau, there is enclosed herewith a book entitled "VICTORY OVER MYSELF", by FLOYD PATTERSON, which was sent by mail to the writer with the card of Mr. ROY M. COHN enclosed.

In view of the sensitive nature of our investigation in the captioned matter, I did not deem it advisable to return the book of Mr. COHN. Instead I had my secretary call his office and advise that I was out of town but that she wanted to acknowledge receipt of the book and my thanks for same. The book was received on 6/18/62 and acknowledged the same day. No further action is contemplated in this matter.

HGF:MT

2-Bureau (Encl.1)
1-NY 58-1232

53 JUL 9 1962
Date of Mail 6-28-62

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Branch.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL

Removed By 6 IIII 3 1962

File Number 58-5100-58

Permanent Serial Charge Out
To: SAC, New York (58-1232)

From: Director, FBI (58-5100)

AIRTEL


It is noted by review of rerep that a number of leads are still outstanding in this case. Advise by return airtel present status of investigation and expected date of submission of report.
URGENT 7-2-62 3-25 PM JAM
TO DIRECTOR FBI 58-5100 2 AND SACS CHICAGO AND LOS ANGELES
FROM SAC NEW YORK 58-1232 2P
ROY COHN, BRIBERY
USA, SDNY ADVISED ON THURSDAY, SIX TWENTY ONE LAST OR FRIDAY, SIX TWENTY TWO LAST ARRIVED AT DETROIT, MICHIGAN VIA AMERICAN AIRLINES. FLIGHT WAS SCHEDULED TO ARRIVE AT DETROIT, AT FIVE THIRTEEN PM, AND ARRIVED ONE HALF HOUR LATE. WHILE IN DETROIT, CONTACTED AS AN EMISSARY FOR ROY COHN. NYC ATTEMPTING TO VERIFY STAY IN DETROIT. AMERICAN AIRLINES, NYC ADVISED ONLY FLIGHTS ARRIVING IN DETROIT, MICHIGAN AT FIVE THIRTEEN PM WERE FLIGHTS ORIGINATING IN LOS ANGELES AND CHICAGO. AMERICAN AIRLINES FLIGHT NUMBER THIRTY FOUR ORIGINATED IN LOS ANGELES AND STOPPED AT OHARE FIELD IN CHICAGO AT FOUR ZERO FOUR PM ON SIX TWENTY ONE LAST AND ARRIVED IN DETROIT AT FIVE ZERO SEVEN PM. AMERICAN AIRLINES FLIGHT NUMBER FOUR SEVEN EIGHT ORIGINATED AT OHARE FIELD AND ARRIVED IN DETROIT AT FIVE FORTY PM.

END PAGE ONE
PAGE TWO

LOS ANGELES AND CHICAGO ARE REQUESTED TO CONTACT AMERICAN AIRLINES
FOR ANY RECORD OF [ ] FLIGHT TO DETROIT ON SIX TWENTY ONE OR
SIX TWENTY TWO LAST.

[ ] HAS HOME ADDRESS OF [ ] NEW YORK
OR CARE OF [ ]
NYC. SUTEL.
END AND ACK PLS
NY R 02 WA NH

cc Mr. Pearson
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Transmit the following in
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN
Bribery

Bureau (58-5100)
1-New York (58-1232)
MPL: plm
(5)

REC-5 58-5100-61
16 JUL 2 1962

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent

C C: WICH
Date of Mail 6-27-62

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Branch.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL

Removed By 65 JUL 11 1962

File Number 58-5100-62

Permanent Serial Charge Out
URGENT 7/2/62 9-43 PM AH

TO DIRECTOR, FBI 58-5100 AND SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232 VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES 58-495 1P FORMER AUSA., ROY COHN DASH BRIBERY.

RE NEW YORK TELETYYPE TO BUREAU, CHICAGO AND LOS ANGELES JULY TWO INSTANT.

AMERICAN AIR LINES, ADVISED THIS DATE THAT HE COULD NOT LOCATE ANY RECORDS OF FLIGHT TO DETROIT ON JUNE TWENTY ONE OR TWENTY TWO LAST. CHECKED SIX POSSIBLE FLIGHTS BETWEEN LOS ANGELES AND DETROIT AND LOCATED NO RECORD PERTAINING TO ACK AND HOLD 12-44 AM OK FBI WA BH FOR RELAY

RE: JUL 3 1962
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ET AL
BRIBERY
ReBuitel, 6/29/62.

This case is under continuous investigation and daily contact is maintained with the Office of the USA, SDNY.

A Pending report will be submitted by the NYO by 7/20/62.
Date of Mail 6-30-62

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Branch.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL

Removed By 65,1111 11:13

File Number 58-5100-65

Permanent Serial Charge Out
Date of Mail 7-3-62

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Branch.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL

Removed By 65 JUL 11 1962

File Number 58-5100-66

Permanent Serial Charge Out
Date of Mail 7-3-62

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Branch.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL

Removed By 65 JUN 17 1969

File Number 58-5100-67

Permanent Serial Charge Out
URGENT 7/5/62 R 4-25' PM MIM

TO DIRECTOR 15/158-5100/ AND SAC, DETROIT
FROM SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/

ROY COHN - BRIBERY

NYO ATTEMPTING TO VERIFY PRESENCE OF IN DETROIT
ON SIX TWENTY ONE OR SIX TWENTY TWO SIXTY TWO. WAS TO ARRIVE
IN DETROIT ON AN AIR FLIGHT WHICH ACTUALLY ARRIVED AT FIVE
THIRTEEN P.M. ON SIX TWENTY ONE OR SIX TWENTY TWO, SIXTY TWO. THIS
FLIGHT WAS A HALF HOUR LATE IN ARRIVAL. FLIGHT POSSIBLY ORIGINATED
IN NEW YORK CITY, LOS ANGELES OR CHICAGO.

DETROIT IS REQUESTED TO IDENTIFY THE AIR LINE AND FLIGHT IN
QUESTION, AND SET OUT LEADS TO DETERMINE WHETHER ADDRESS OF
NEW YORK, WAS A PASSENGER ON BOARD THIS FLIGHT.

SUTEL RESULTS.

END
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ET AL BRIBERY

Re NY airtel to Bureau, 6/30/62.

Interviewed, and advised

NY 3678-C* and NY 3679-C* furnished information

Concerning stay at Plaza Hotel, NYC. These informants advised after being interviewed by NYO, telephoned and requested that meet him, wanted to talk to about

Suggested that tell that if goes to

3 - Bureau (58-5100)
1 - New York (58-1232)

MPL: kxkk (5)

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
the USA, SDNY, and tells all he knows, he would receive favorable treatment from the USA. [______] did not agree to meet [______] and talk over the matter.

AUSA. SILVIO MOLLO, SDNY advised that [______] contacted [______] upon the advice of the USA's Office. MOLLO also advised that he talked to [______] and that [______] had not admitted any further implication in this case and had not agreed to voluntary furnish information to the USA's Office.

NY 3680-C* on 6/28/62 furnished information indicating that several prominent people in Las Vegas, Nevada, had written letters to the Honorable WILLIAM B. HERLANDS, US District Judge, NY, NY. The writers of these letters acknowledged business or social acquaintance with ALLARD ROEN and described contributions made by ROEN to the Community of Las Vegas. The letters generally requested HERLANDS to take into consideration, ROEN's excellent reputation in connection with his forthcoming sentencing before Judge HERLANDS. Among the writers of these letters are:

RALPH LAMB, Sheriff,
Clark County, Las Vegas, Nevada

DAVID ZENOFF, District Judge,
Eighth Judicial District Court,
Las Vegas

- 2 -
NY 58-1232

Investigation conducted by NYO, LA and Chicago, to locate air flight took to Detroit to contact [blacked out] on 6/21 or 22/62, negative to date.
URGENT 7-6-62 11-46 P AM LAA

TO DIRECTOR FBI 58-5100/ SAC LOS ANGELES
   SAC NEW YORK 58-1232/ NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC DETROIT 58-277/ 2P

RAY COHN - BRIBERY.

RE NEW YORK TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR JULY FIVE LAST.

AMERICAN AIRLINES FLIGHT THIRTYFOUR ORIGINATING LOS ANGELES FOR NEW YORK VIA CHICAGO AND DETROIT ARRIVED DETROIT JUNE TWENTYTWO LAST TWENTY MINUTES LATE. PLANE DUE FOUR FIFTY P. M., ARRIVED AT GATE FIVE TEN P. M. SAME FLIGHT ON JUNE TWENTYONE LAST SEVENTEEN MINUTES LATE, ARRIVED GATE FIVE ZERO SEVEN P. M. OTHER AIRLINES ARRIVING DETROIT HAVE NO PLANES AT SAME APPROXIMATE TIME.

LOS ANGELES IS REQUESTED TO CHECK PASSENGER LIST FLIGHT THIRTYFOUR FOR ABOVE DATES TO DETERMINE IF NEW YORK CITY,

AND NEW YORK, WAS PASSENGER ON BOARD.

FOR INFORMATION LOS ANGELES, NEW YORK OFFICE ATTEMPTING TO VERIFY PRESENCE OF IN DETROIT ON JUNE TWENTYONE.

END PAGE ONE
URGENT 7-6-62 3-44 PM GRJ

TO DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/ AND SACS, CHICAGO, DETROIT /58-277/, AND NEW YORK /58-1232/

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM SAC, LOS ANGELES /58-495/ /RUC/ 1P

ROY COHN DASH BRIBERY.

RE DETROIT TEL JULY SIX, INSTANT/ LOS ANGELES AND NEW YORK TELS JULY TWO, LAST.

FOR INFORMATION OF DETROIT AND CHICAGO, THE AMERICAN AIRLINES, LOS ANGELES, COULD NOT LOCATE ANY RECORD OF FLIGHT TO DETROIT ON JUNE TWENTY ONE OR JUNE TWENTY TWO, LAST. SIX POSSIBLE FLIGHTS BETWEEN LOS ANGELES AND DETROIT WERE CHECKED, AND NO RECORD LOCATED PERTAINING TO AMERICAN AIRLINES FLIGHT THIRTY FOUR CHECKED.

IF NOT ALREADY COVERED, CHICAGO IS REQUESTED TO ASCERTAIN IF BOARDED FLIGHT IN CHICAGO FOR DETROIT ON JUNE TWENTY ONE OR TWENTY TWO, LAST.

CHICAGO AND DETROIT ADVISED.

END AND ACK FOR 3 MSG POS 6-46187 0R B. FBI WA WS R RELAY AND 3 TU DISC.
PAGE TWO

OR JUNE TWENTY-TWO LAST. IF NOT LISTED ON PASSENGER
LIST AT LOS ANGELES, SET FORTH LEADS FOR CHICAGO TO ASCERTAIN
IF BOARDED FLIGHT THAT POINT.

SUTEL RESULTS.

END AND ACK PLs

WA 12-50 PM OK FBI WA DA FOR RELAY

LA OK FBI LA GRJ

TU DISCOOV
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Belmont

DATE: 7/5/62

FROM: A. Rosen

SUBJECT: ROY COHN

BRIBERY

This is to advise of the current status of captioned matter.

This is the case in which

and were interviewed by Agents of our New York Office 6/30/62, concerning this allegation.

58-5100

EIH: AOB
(6)
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont

USA Robert Morgenthau, SDNY, on 3/23/62, requested that we conduct a limited investigation to verify the presence of [ ] and Cohn in Las Vegas during August and September, 1959. On 5/28/62, he requested [ ] To date, we have not been able to place [ ] in Las Vegas during the pertinent period of the alleged payoff, despite extensive check of airline and hotel records, etc. However, records of the Desert Inn, Las Vegas, show [ ] and Cohn were both in Las Vegas on September 11, 12 and part of 13, 1959. We have not been able to verify the actual source of the $50,000 used in the alleged payoff.

ACTION:

This matter is receiving top priority attention.
URGENT 7-6-62 12-35 PM JLW
TO DIRECTOR 58-5100 /2/
FROM SAC NEW YORK 58-1232 2P

ROY COHN - BRIBERY

USA MORGENTHAU, SEVEN FIVE SIXTYTWO, REQUESTS INVESTIGATION BE CONDUCTED AT DESERT INN, LV, TO VERIFY PRESENCE OF THERE ON EIGHT TWENTYTHREE FIFTYNINE WHEN

USA REQUESTED SA FROM NYO MEET

INFORMATION REQUESTED BY USA INCLUDES
IDENTITY OF RESIDENTS AT DESERT INN EIGHT TWENTYTHREE FIFTYNINE FROM NYC, IDENTITY OF SECURITY GUARD AT DESERT INN OF EMPLOYEE ON DUTY AT CASHIER'S CAGE, DESERT INN CASHING AND ELSEWHERE AT DESERT INN, EIGHT TWENTY THREE FIFTYNINE, LOCATION OF ANY IOU RECORDS WHICH ALSO VERIFY

END PAGE ONE

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Evans
Mr. Malson
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Loyel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

MR. BELLOCH FOR THE DIRECTOR
CASE AND COHN.

ABOVE INVESTIGATION INTENDED TO VERIFY PRESENCE OF [BLANK] IN LV, EIGHT, TWENTYTHREE FIFTYNINE ESSENTIAL TO INSTANT CASE AND NOT ACCOMPLISHED TO DATE. ONCE IDENTITIES OF EMPLOYEES AND RESIDENCES ESTABLISHED, INTERVIEWES CAN BE CONDUCTED BY AGENTS FROM LV OFFICE [BLANK]. SA MAURICE P. LEEN, NYO, HAS INTERVIEWED [BLANK] IN NEW YORK.

ANTICIPATED THAT TWO DAYS STAY IN LV TO OBTAIN INFO FROM RECORDS DESERT INN REQUIRED. BY AUTHORITY IS REQUESTED TO HAVE SA LEEN OF NYO GO TO LV AND OBTAIN NECESSARY INFO FROM [BLANK].

END

NY R 2 WA MLL

CC-MR. ROSEN
TO:        DIRECTOR, FBI (92-3063)  
           (58-5100)  
FROM:     SAC, NEW YORK (92-365)  
           (53-1232)  
SUBJECT:  MORRIS BARNEY DALITZ aka AR    
           (OO: NEW YORK)  
           BRIBERY ET AL  

Re: Airtel, dated 7/3/62 and 6/14/62, captioned, "MORRIS BARNEY DALITZ aka AR".

Information furnished from LV 69-C* pertinent to New York investigation in the [ ] case has been set forth in referenced airtels. In the future, LV should furnish New York a copy of its communications setting forth information from LV 69-C* for New York file on [ ].

Any information furnished from LV 69-C* pertinent to New York investigation of ROBSON and COHN not previously furnished NYO should be furnished by LV.

5 Bureau (92-3063)  
(58-5100)  
2 Las Vegas (92-461)  
1 New York (92-365)  
1 New York (53-1232)  

MPL: ink  
(10)  

51 JUL 18 1962
TO: Mr. Belmont
FROM: A. Rosen
DATE: 7/6/62

SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIBERY

New York Office requested Bureau authority to send SA Maurice P. Leen to obtain information from

New York advised that U. S. Attorney (USA) Morgenthau, on 7/6/62, requested that investigation be conducted at the Desert Inn to verify the presence of ______ there on 8/23/59, as well as ________ investigation to corroborate ________ will be furnished to SA Leen who in turn will make this information available to USA Morgenthau.

______ is a key witness and USA Morgenthau feels that the procedure suggested by ______ should be followed. SA Leen is completely familiar with all the ramifications of this complex case, and has previously interviewed ______ in New York. It is believed that SA Leen can handle ______ who is known to be recalcitrant at times because of a fear that his cooperation will become known.

By way of background, this is the case in which ________

58-5100
REG-37-58-5100
EX-115
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont

RECOMMENDATION:

The New York Office recommends that because of the importance of this case, SA Maurice P. Leen be sent to Las Vegas to obtain necessary information. It is noted that SA Leen's trip to Las Vegas will take a maximum of two days.

The General Investigative Division concurs in the recommendation of the SAC, New York.
AIRTEL

To: SAC, New York (58-1232)
From: Director, FBI (58-5100)

Reftel dated 7/6/62.

This will confirm the telephonic authority furnished this date to SA Patrick J. Deery of your office for SA Maurice P. Leen to go to Las Vegas to obtain necessary information from Roen. This trip should be made at the earliest possible date and the Bureau should be informed of the material obtained from Roen.

Continue to press this case vigorously and keep the Bureau informed of all developments.

NOTE: A. J. McGrath furnished the telephonic authority to SA Deery of the New York Office.

See memo Rosen to Belmont, 7/6/62, captioned as above.

EJH:AOB.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY, COHN
BRIbery

Enclosed for each field office are three copies of a photograph of Raj Cohn allegedly

Las Vegas, Nevada, on Sunday, 8/23/59.

Records of

office about ten a.m. on Monday, 8/24/59. NYO is attempting to place him in Las Vegas on 8/23/59. Set forth below are the crew members and their home bases on flights he could have taken out of Las Vegas or Los Angeles to get him back to NYC in time for work for morning of 8/24/59:

3 - Bureau (58-5100)
2 - Kansas City (Encls. 3)
2 - Los Angeles (58-495)(Encls. 3)
2 - San Francisco (Encls. 3)
1 - New York 58-1232

MPL: je (11)

16 JUL 1962

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Pe
NY 58-1232

Home Base, San Francisco

Captain TWA FLT #2
Left: Los Angeles 11 p.m. 8/23/59
Arr: NYC Idlewild 6:55 a.m. 8/24/59

Hostess TWO FLT #532
Left: Las Vegas 6:10 p.m. 8/23/59
Arr: NYC LaGuardia 7:56 a.m. 8/24/59

Hostess TWA FLT #532
(see above)

Home Base, Los Angeles

Flight Officer TWA FLT #2
(see above)

Flight Officer TWA FLT #2
(see above)

Hostess TWA FLT #2
(see above)

Flight Officer TWA FLT #532
(Kansas City to NY portion - see above)

Captain TWA FLT #263
Left: Las Vegas 6:25 p.m. 8/23/59
Arr: Los Angeles 7:39 p.m. 8/23/59

Flight Officer TWA FLT #263
(see above)

Flight Officer TWA FLT #263
(see above)

Hostess TWA FLT #263
(see above)

-2-
NY 58-1232

Home Base, Kansas City

Captain  
TWA FLT #532  
(see above)

Flight Officer  
TWA FLT #532  
(see above)

Flight Officer  
TWA FLT #532  
(see above)

Captain  
TWA FLT #532  
(Kansas City to NY portion - see above)

Flight Engineer  
TWA FLT #532  
(Kansas City to NY portion - see above)

The San Francisco Office is requested to contact  
TWA, San Francisco International Airport, for  
location of crew members based at San Francisco and to have  
photograph of exhibited to them to see if they can  
recall as a passenger on 8/23 or 24/59.

Los Angeles Office is requested to contact  
TWA, Los Angeles Airport, for location of crew members  
based at Los Angeles and to exhibit photograph of  
to them for their identification.

Kansas City Office is requested to contact  
TWA, Kansas City Airport, for location of  
crew members based in Kansas City and for exhibiting of  
photograph of  
to them for a possible identification.

_______ is described as follows:

Name
DOB
POB
Residence
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>Eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dress (8/23/59)</td>
<td>Peculiarities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NY 58-1232
URGENT 7-13-62 12-27 PM JLW

TO DIRECTOR 12/58-5100 AND LAS VEGAS FROM SAS NEW YORK 58-1232 1P

ROY COHN: BRIBERY.

SA MAURICE P. LEEN, NYO, WILL DEPART IDLEWILD AIRPORT, NEW YORK, ON UNITED AIRLINES FLIGHT SEVEN FOUR SEVEN, SUNDAY, SEVEN FIFTEEN SIXTY-TWO, five forty-five PM. ARRIVE LAS VEGAS NINE PM, SAME DATE. SA LEEN WILL CONTACT LAS VEGAS OFFICE UPON ARRIVAL.

END

NY R 2 WA BH

5 JU 18 1962
FBI

Date: 7/13/62

Transmit the following in

PLAIN TEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL

REGISTERED MAIL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN

BRIBERY

ReNY airtel, 7/6/62.
After having testified, I made available to AUSA COHN the flight coupon he used to get from NYC to Detroit to see [redacted]. The flight coupon is #001-49-0235463 for American Airlines flight #931 leaving NYC 5:40 PM on 6/21/62. The name on the coupon is [redacted].

MURRAY GOTTESMAN, Attorney at Law, 217 Broadway, was contacted 7/13/62, in an attempt to arrange an interview with him by NYO. GOTTESMAN would not answer questions concerning [redacted] and attempted to ask several questions of interviewing agent. GOTTESMAN said he would not give information to the FBI [redacted] No further attempts will be made to interview MURRAY GOTTESMAN by NYO.

Flight Cruise on flights [redacted] could have used to get from Las Vegas to NY in time for work 8/24/59, have been identified for American Airlines and TWA. Leads have been set forth by separate communication to have photographs of [redacted] shown to these crew members for possible identification.
NY 58-1232

he will be interviewed by NYO.

Report being submitted 7/20/62.
REFERENCES:


-P-

ADMINISTRATIVE

Referenced NY airtel to Los Angeles, dated 7/12/62, requests Los Angeles to interview USA's Office, SDNY, had originally planned to have come to NYO but since is remaining on the West Coast, Los Angeles is requested to interview
NY 58-1232

ADMINISTRATIVE (cont'd)

Referenced NY airtel. dated 7/13/62. sets forth

LEADS

NEW YORK

At New York, New York

2. Will interview

3. Will determine the identity of flight crews on flights possibly utilized by ____ in returning to NY by 8/24/59 and have photographs of ____ exhibited to these crew members for possible identification.

COVER PAGE

-B*-
Copy to: 1-USA, SDNY

Date: 7/20/62 Bureau File No.: 58-5100
Field Office File No.: 58-1232
Title: ROY COHN

Character: BRIBERY

Synopsis: This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
SYNOPSIS (cont'd)

of [redacted], denies knowledge of any role [redacted] might have played in the 1959 Federal Grand Jury proceedings in the United Dye case. [redacted] says he has known ROY COHN for five or six years but advised that he has never had a criminal case referred to him by COHN concerning a stock fraud situation; relates that he took a phone call from [redacted] a friend of COHN's, for [redacted] requesting that [redacted] appear in court for and others in November, 1960, concerning United Dye case. [redacted] advised that he does not know ROY COHN and that he never acted as an intermediary for anyone in obtaining a job for [redacted] Activity in stock brokerage account opened by [redacted] on 7/6/59 set forth. Investigation to ascertain details of whereabouts, August, 1959, set forth.

-P-

-la-
INTERVIEWS CONCERNING IMPROPRIETIES
REGARDING FEDERAL GRAND JURY HEARINGS
UNITED DYE, 1959
Nevada, on June 26, 1962 at the office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, furnished the following sworn statement:

"June 26, 1962
New York, N.Y.

"I, being duly sworn, hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to Maurice P. Leen and Roger Young who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. No threats or promises have been made to me to induce me to furnish this statement.

I understand that I do not have to furnish this statement and that this statement may be used against me in a court of law. I understand that I have a right to consult an attorney."
I have read this statement of six pages initialed each page and correction it is true to the best of my recollection.

"/s/

"Storn and subscribed to before me on June 20, 1962 at N.Y.C.

"/s/ Maurice P. Leen, Special Agent, FBI


--

1234567890
Was interviewed at the office of the United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, on June 28 and 29, 1962. He furnished the following sworn statements:

"New York, New York  
June 28, 1962

I, __________________, being duly sworn, hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to Maurice P. Leen and Roger Young who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. No threats or promises have been made to me to induce me to furnish this statement. I understand that I do not have to furnish this statement and that this statement may be used against me in a court of law. I understand that I have a right to consult an attorney.

On 6/28/62 at New York City
File # NY 56-1232
by SA ROGER YOUNG
Date dictated 7/2/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
"I have read this statement of three pages and initialed each page and correction. It is true to the best of my recollection.

"Signed:

"Sworn and subscribed to before me on June 29, 1962 at N.Y.C.:

"/s/ Maurice P. Leen, Special Agent, FBI.

"Witness /s/ Roger Young, Special Agent, FBI, New York, N.Y., 6/29/62."

"New York, New York
June 29, 1962

"I, ______________________, being duly sworn, hereby make the following true and voluntary statement to Maurice P. Leen and Roger Young who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. No threats or promises have been made to me to induce me to furnish this statement. I understand that I do not have to furnish this statement and that this statement may be used against me in a court of law. I understand that I have a right to consult an attorney."
NY 58-1232

"Sworn to and subscribed by me on 6/29/62 at N.Y.C.
"/s/ Maurice P. Leen, Special Agent, FBI.

"Witness: "/s/ Roger Young, Special Agent, F.B.I.,
New York, N.Y., 6/29/62."
was interviewed at the office of the United States Attorney on June 26, 1962. He advised that he is [redacted]. He said that [redacted].
was interviewed on June 29, 1962 at his room in the Hotel Plaza in New York City. Stated that he resides at ___________ and has a mailing address of ___________.

On ___________ at ___________ File # ___________

SAA ROGER YOUNG New York, New York NY 56-1232
by ___________ Date dictated 1/2/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
York City, furnished the following sworn statement:

"New York, New York
July 9, 1962

I, being duly sworn, hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to Maurice P. Leen and Roger Young, who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. No threats or promises have been made to me to induce me to furnish this statement. I understand that I do not have to furnish this statement and that this statement may be used against me in a court of law. I understand that I have the right to consult an attorney.

On 7/6-9/62 at New York, New York File # NY 58-1232

SAS ROGER YOUNG &
by MAURICE P. LEEN/1fp Date dictated 7/10/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
"I have read this statement of four pages & initialed each page & correction. It is true to the best of my recollection.

"/s/ [Signature]

NY 158-1232
"Sworn to and subscribed before me on July 9, 1962 at NYC.
Maurice P. Leen, Special Agent, FBI
Witness: Roger Young, Special Agent, F.B.I., New York, NY, 7/9/62"
New York City, furnished the following information under oath. He was advised that he did not have to make any information available and that any information that he might furnish, could be used against him in a court of law. He was also advised that he had the right to consult an attorney.

stated that he was well aware of his rights in these matters and would furnish information freely. He advised that on August 16, 1955, he received a phone call from ALLEN K. SWANN. He believes that SWANN was calling from out of town, probably from Denver, Colorado. was in New York City. SWANN told him that he wanted to see him and talk about some legal advice that he had given him in 1955 and 1956 concerning the sale of stock. SWANN told him that he was concerned with a matter that he had before the Federal Grand Jury in New York City. said that he again talked to SWANN on the telephone on August 16, 1956, and recalls that he did some research in his files concerning matters he had talked to SWANN about in 1955 and 1956.

said that SWANN had first been referred to him by another attorney in New York City. He seems to recall that this attorney was of the law firm of who now have an office at in New York City. This was in the late Spring of 1955. At that time gave SWANN an oral opinion on a hypothetical case SWANN had presented him. One of these situations concerned the status of stock received in a merger by one or more persons who might be regarded as a group for purposes on determining whether they were in control of the corporation under the Securities Act of 1933. Some five or six months after this first meeting, SWANN again came to office and gave him additional advice. said that although he knew SWANN was an attorney representing and PASTERNAK, did not know, nor had he ever met either or PASTERNAK. He pointed out that SWANN was talking in terms of hypothetical

On 5/19/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232
by SASS EDWARD P. HARTNETT & MAURICE P. LEEN; JC Date dictated 6/21/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
situations as was the usual case when one attorney was consulting another attorney without the clients being directly involved. _______ also stated that he knew that SWANN was also a participant in some of _______ and PASTERNAK's ventures. _______ stated that in September, 1955, he mailed SWANN a memorandum concerning the point upon which he had furnished SWANN oral opinions. This memorandum is presently in possession and he feels that he cannot release it unless he gets the consent of PASTERNAK, _______ and SWANN. _______ stated that in his early meetings with SWANN in 1955, he was not aware of activities of SWANN, _______ or PASTERNAK in the United Dye and Chemical Corporation (UDY). It was not until the Spring of 1956 that _______ became aware of the group's interest in UDY. In the Spring of 1956, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) was conducting an investigation of Sapphire Petroleum and the activities of SWANN, PASTERNAK, _______ and others regarding Sapphire. The law firm of _______ represented SWANN before the SEC in this matter. SWANN was in New York City several times during this period and _______ saw him several times. In about May, 1956, _______ firm became aware that the SEC was looking into the activities of SWANN and his group with respect to UDY. _______ firm furnished the SEC with certain materials they had obtained from SWANN pertaining to the activities of SWANN, _______ and PASTERNAK. This matter in 1956 had nothing to do with a merger problem and it was not until August, 1956 that _______ became aware that _______ pointed out that it was not until recently that he knew that the merger being questioned by the Government in the UDY case took place in May, 1956. He learned this recently from conversations he had with Assistant United States Attorney DONALD COMM, Southern District of New York. The conversations _______ had with SWANN in September, 1955 concerned themselves with the Garnak Drilling Company. In this connection, _______ discussed the status of mergers with SWANN in a hypothetical fashion. _______ pointed out that by 1956 he received the impression that for purposes of the Securities Act of 1933, _______ and PASTERNAK would be considered as
acting as a group and so cautioned SWANN, still not connecting
the matter with the UDY Corporation. explained that
the legal question involved was twofold; one, was there a group
for purposes of control within the terms of the Securities
Act of 1933, and two, did the group in fact control. He gave
opinions on both points to SWANN and cautioned SWANN that if
his dealings were with and PASTERNAK, it is likely
that he would be considered as part of a group for purposes
of the Act. This would require registration with the SEC
before stock could be sold.

On August 19, 1959, SWANN visited in office in New York City. He said that he had decided to talk
to an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern District
of New York. said he does not recall now that SWANN
mentioned the name of at that time, but he feels
reasonably certain that SWANN did. said he did not know
at that time and had not heard of his name before
this. SWANN also gave the impression that SWANN then
believed that the Government was not really interested in him
and that perhaps if he testified about the advice that
had given him, among other things, it would serve to keep
him, and PASTERNAK off of any indictment that might
be returned. At this meeting on August 19, 1959, said

SWANN wanted to go with him to tell the
Assistant United States Attorney about the opinions he had
earlier given to SWANN. SWANN wanted to act as his
attorney.

On August 20, 1959, met with SWANN and
office in the United States Court House.
They talked for about 20 minutes. said they did not
discuss but talked about the oral opinions he had given SWANN.
said that according to his records, he had a meeting with
and SWANN after Later,
received a phone call from and it was either in this
phone call or in the meeting that
he does not know and knows of no role he might have played in this matter. said he knows ROY CONN only because of a business dealing he had with him in the past. had represented certain debenture holders of Hathaway Instruments who were not satisfied with the arrangements the Lionel Corporation had made when Lionel took over Hathaway. ROY CONN called in about 30 people in a conference and CONN, representing Lionel, and the others sat around a conference table discussing the various interests involved. said this is the only contact he has ever had with ROY CONN and he knows of no role that CONN might have played in the 1959 Grand Jury proceedings concerning UDY.

On August 19, 1959, after had talked to SWANN, went to see WILLIAM MORAN in charge of enforcement at the SEC to try to ascertain, if he properly could, if SWANN was involved in their investigation and to see if the SEC had any views concerning the legal questions SWANN and had discussed concerning the sale of the stock of a merged corporation.

On the day after
pointed out that when SWANN first came to see him on August 19, 1959, he immediately asked for $1,000.00 from SWANN before he would discuss any of SWANN's legal problems with him. This $1,000.00 SWANN gave to ________ by check. ________ does not recall if this was SWANN's personal check or a check of SWANN, ________ and PASTERNAK. It is his best recollection that it was SWANN's check. ________ said that he felt this payment was necessary since he had not been paid for prior legal services he had given to SWANN, PASTERNAK, and ________. He said that in 1958 his firm had to sue SWANN, PASTERNAK, and ________ in Denver for legal services due the firm. These services were rendered in 1955 and 1956 for SWANN, ________, and PASTERNAK. ________ said that he has a memorandum which sets forth the details of the services his firm performed and for which they sued in Denver. ________ said that he did not feel he could release this memorandum to the government unless he obtained an agreement from SWANN, ________, and PASTERNAK to do so. The suit in Denver was for $5,000.00 and a lesser sum was received in settlement. This sum was about $2500.00, according to ________

________ stated that looking back at the events in 1959, he recalled that he then had the definite impression that SWANN knew that ________ did not then know, nor does he now know, how SWANN could have known this.

________ said that he did not want to furnish a signed statement
On June 22, 1962, in California, was interviewed at the New York Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation on June 22, 1962. He was advised that he need not make any statement, that any statement he did make could be used against him in a court of law and that he had a right to consult an attorney. Refused to be interviewed under oath and said that he did not feel that it was advisable that he furnish a signed statement.

Advised that in 1957 he resided at New York.
in the Sands Hotel.

said that he does not know the details of any plans made with respect to their trip to Los Angeles and Las Vegas. He said he recalled that when was at the Beverly Hills Hotel, went over and talked to a Judge KAUFMAN. He believes that this was a Federal Judge from New York City, who had tried the ROSENBERG case. recalls that the judge seemed to be on vacation with his family and that met the judge accidentally in the lobby.

stated that he does not know of any role played in the 1959 Grand Jury hearing concerning the United Dye case although he has heard several rumors concerning this indictment.
New York City, was advised that he need not furnish any information to the FBI, that any information he did furnish could be used against him in a court of law and that he had a right to consult an attorney.

Furnished the following information while under oath:

Advised that he resides at

New York, and practices law at

New York City. He is single. He advised that:

Stated that he does not recall that he ever represented as an attorney anyone connected with United Dye Corporation. He said that he does not know IRVING PASTERNAK or ALLARD ROBN.

Said that he reviewed his records and located a memorandum he had written concerning the telephone call he had received from

He explained that as a friend of his with whom he shares office space at New York City.

Said that he found this memorandum in some files in office. He described the memorandum as a memo from him to

Setting forth that had called

And had asked to appear in court to ask for an adjournment in a criminal case in the SDNY. Said that he made available a copy of this memorandum to the United States Attorney's Office. SDNY. Said that he is not aware of any connection that ROY COHN might have had with the phone call he took from

He pointed out that and COHN were old friends. Said that he himself has known ROY COHN for five or six years and has seen him socially on many occasions. He said that he has not seen COHN lately and has not talked to

On 7/6/62 at New York, New York File # NY 58-1232

SAS ROGER YOUNG & MAURICE P. LEHR/tp

by Date dictated 7/10/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
said that he is sure that ROY COHN had never asked him to represent anyone in a criminal case in the SDNY or elsewhere. He said he never talked to anyone at the United States Attorney's Office concerning a stock fraud case in the SDNY. He said ROY COHN never referred a criminal case to him.

He pointed out that he was admitted to the practice of law in New York State in and then took up office space in the same office as was working for at the time.

said that he has been to Las Vegas, Nevada, only on one occasion, this being a trip he made to the West Coast during the Christmas holidays of 1959. He recalled that it was suggested to him by either or COHN that he look up MORI DALITZ at the Desert Inn in Las Vegas. said that he never met DALITZ at Las Vegas. He also said that he had never met ALLARD ROHN during this trip to the West Coast.
Assistant United States Attorney GERALD WALPIN, on July 11, 1962, advised that had made available to the United States Attorney's Office the original and a copy of a memorandum had made of a phone call that he took on November 14, 1960 from Mr. WALPIN made available a copy of this memorandum. The memorandum is dated November 14, 1960, 6:06 PM, and states that called and that if has not engaged the next morning, would like him to appear in the Southern District to represent A. K. SWAN, Esq., ALLARD ROEN and IRVING PASTERNAK. is to ask for an adjournment of at least three weeks so that the defendants can obtain adequate counsel and prepare their case. The memorandum has a notation in it that Assistant United States District Attorney LONDIN agreed that the defendants need not appear on November 15, 1960 and that Judge HERLANDS would be sitting and that he might approve more than one week. On the bottom of the first page of this memorandum appears the notation, appears for as is not admitted to the Federal Bar."

Mr. WALPIN made available the stenographer's minutes of file in the case entitled United States vs. These minutes indicate that appeared in court on November 15, 1960 to request an adjournment of the pleadings. was representing SWANN, ROEN and PASTERNAK. urged the court to grant an adjournment to December 6, 1960, and received an adjournment to November 22, 1960 when Attorney appeared for the defendants and pleaded not guilty.

In the investigation conducted by the New York Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) in the case entitled ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY-BRIBERY, information was received from United States Attorney ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, Southern District of New York, in September, 1961, that he had received information that had a number of stocks stolen from his residence which he did not report to the Police. Mr.
MORGENTHAU advised that his office learned that [name redacted] was in possession of stock certificates in the name of [name redacted], which he was attempting to sell. Mr. MORGENTHAU advised that the value of the stock was approximately $30,000.00 and that he wanted to verify this information because of a contact [name redacted] had with a defendant in a criminal case which might have a bearing on the investigation.
New York, was advised that he did not have to furnish any information to the Federal Bureau of Investigation and that any information he did furnish could be used against him in a court of law. He was further advised that he had the right to consult with an attorney. He furnished the following information while under oath:

Advised that he was formerly the

In 1957 he went to work for the, which was owned by the United States Government and administered through the Department of Justice. He was

Stated that he first met

In late 1954 or early 1955 when

Was working in the law office of an attorney with offices at New York City.

Has known for 15 or 20 years as a friend. Advised that has never been his attorney.

Has known since his first meeting about 1954 to the present time. During these years he said he has probably seen 50 times or more usually on a social basis. He last saw in March of April, 1962, when went to see partner, concerning a real estate syndicate, had invested $3,000 in this syndicate and had gone to see to see how the venture was progressing.

About 6 months after he had first met stated that he was aware that was having difficulties with his wife and that either or his wife was contemplating a divorce. At this time was very dissatisfied with his job in New York and wanted

On at New York, New York File # NY 58-1232

SA ROGER YOUNG

SA MAURICE P. LEEN :vmc

Date dictated 6/21/62
a chance wrote to while was still with

In this letter expressed a desire to work

said that he could not

recall whether wanted a part-time job or a

full time job.

he was made

aware that at this time was looking for a

part-time position. then not in touch with

advised there was a possibility that could get

a job. Sometime near

the end of 1956, wrote a letter to stating that there was no openings for part-time attorneys but that they would keep name on file for future reference.

Sometime in said that he was present when PAUL WILLIAMS, United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, and were talking in a general way and discussed the shortage of attorneys in the United States Attorney's Office. said that he then wrote a letter to WILLIAMS and mentioned that

as a competent attorney and a trust worthy individual and might be interested in a job with the United States Attorney's Office. said that he wrote this letter of his own accord and was not asked by to do so. He also stated that he was not asked by anyone else to write this letter or to make any efforts whatsoever to get job at the United States Attorney's Office. WILLIAMS acknowledged this letter and told to have contact WILLIAMS' secretary. relayed

this information to either in a personal meeting or a telephone call. said that he was aware that

still wanted to leave office since he was dissatisfied with the money he was making and other things. said that he did nothing further to see that obtained a position at the United States Attorney's Office and it was not until months
later__ During the time 

said that he visited about 5 or 6 times. He stated these calls were usually social calls and he did not discuss with the status of any defendants in any cases that might be handling at 

He said he could recall had mentioned several times what good work he was doing on cases assigned to him and on one occasion mentioned that he had been commended for the work he was doing. emphasized that at the time he wrote the letter to Mr. WILLIAMS suggesting he felt he was acting solely because he knew knew that there possibly was a need for men in the United States Attorney's Office, and knew that was dissatisfied with his present position. He said he did nothing to secure character references for and did not act as a character witness for other than writing the letter to Mr. WILLIAMS mentioning as a competent attorney and a trust worthy individual who might be interested in a job with the United States Attorney's Office. He said the only person he talked to with respect to capabilities was 

stated that he does not know IRVING PASTERIAK, ALLARD ROE, or ALLEN K. SWAN. said he has never let ROY COHN and does not know COHN other than what he has read about him in the newspapers. In addition he stated he knows no attorney who is a member of COHN's firm. 

said he was sure that no one had contacted him as a possible intermediary or anything else with respect to obtaining a job for at the United States Attorney's Office.
said that he has been in Las Vegas on one occasion when he stayed for 4 or 5 days at the Flamingo Hotel. This was in 1960, when he took his family to visit his brother-in-law, who resides at Los Angeles, California. He and his family stayed on the West Coast for about a month, spending 4 or 5 days in Las Vegas where they stayed at the Desert Inn and Sands Hotels. While at Las Vegas he said he met no one working at the Desert Inn and did not meet ALLARD ROEN.

stated that his home in was robbed on March 26, 1961, of various items including about $30,000 worth of stock. He said he wrote a letter to the insurance company to obtain payment for these stolen items and that later he believes he placed the value on the stolen property between $50,000 and $75,000. stated that he made available to Assistant United States Attorney GERALD WALSH the letter that he had sent to the insurance company concerning his lost articles.

stated that he reported this theft to the Mineola Headquarters of the Nassau County Police Department and they sent two radio cars to his house on the night of the theft, March 26, 1961. He said that Detective from the 3rd Squad of the Nassau County Police Department was in charge of the detail which was sent in answer to his telephone call.

stated that he did not know before he met him on March 26, 1961. pointed out that when he called the Nassau County Police Department they referred him to the Mineola Headquarters, which is just as close to his house as the of the Nassau County Police Department located in. He said he had no particular reason for calling the Mineola Headquarters, but that this was the number the operator referred him to.
stated that he has never had any contact with officials of United Dye Corporation and was not aware that had played any role in connection with a government investigation of United Dye Corporation.
Lieutenant Fourth Squad, Nassau County Police Department, 214 Hillside Avenue, Williston Park, New York, advised that the following information is contained in Detective Division Case Number 3-797-1961. On March 26, 1961, at 9:50 PM, New York, telephone number PI 7-8510, reported that his residence had been burglarized between the hours of 5:30 PM on March 25, 1961, and 9:00 PM on March 26, 1961. Lieutenant stated that he left his residence with his wife and children at 5:30 PM on Saturday, March 25, 1961, for the purpose of going to Brooklyn, New York, to see and his family then returned to their residence at New York, at 9:00 PM on March 26, 1961 and discovered that their home had been burglarized. The residence is a two story brick frame split level home which faces west on the east side of . Entry to the residence by the perpetrators or perpetrators of the burglary was made by apparently lifting the sliding doors which are located in the dining room and face east. These doors were lifted slightly off the track that they run upon and in so doing, the doors become unlocked. It appeared that the exit from the residence after the burglary was committed was also made by war of the sliding doors located in the dining room. Lieutenant stated that no latent fingerprints were obtained and no suspects developed to date. This case was the subject of Nassau County Alarm Number 9781 which was sent at 1:54 on March 26, 1961. Lieutenant stated an immediate canvass of the neighborhood where resides, resulted in interviews with the following persons:

- Searington, New York;
- New York;
- New York. These interviews were all negative. Lieutenant stated that this burglary is still a pending case with the Third Squad of the Nassau County Police Department. Lieutenant made available the list of items stolen from the residence, but no values were listed.

On 6/25/62 at Williston, NY File # NY 58-1232

by SA ARTHUR D. KEEFE: mts Date dictated 7/2/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
COMMUNICATIONS BUREAU

March 27, 1960

THE COMMUNICATIONS BUREAU SHALL TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING:

Stolen during the commission of a Burglary in March 25 and March 26, 1961:

1. 200 shares of Associated Sales Analysts Stock
2. 1000 shares of Silver Creek Precision Stock
3. 2660 shares of Polyseal Corporation Stock
4. 10,000 shares Century Mining Stock
5. 100 shares of Crane Carrier Stock
6. 3000 shares of Jubilee Iron Stock
7. 2000 shares of Norbean Stock
8. 1000 shares of Chibougamau Mining Stock
9. $1250.00 in United States Currency
10. 100 United States Silver Dollars
11. Ladies Gold OMEGA Wrist Watch (Automatic) with black band and round face
12. Ladies Gold Lady Hamilton Wrist Watch with wide gold band and square face
13. Wide Gold Wedding Band with Orange Blossom, with inscription AJR to RMK 6/4/39
14. Gold Indian Head coin with gold chain
15. Man Platinum Ring with Saphire Stone
16. White Gold Bracelet with 9 diamonds
17. Gold Link Bracelet
18. Gold Star Fish Pin with a Pearl in the center
19. Gold Wedding Ring with diamonds around the outside
20. White Gold Engagement Ring with square cut 1/2 carat diamond

TO BE TRANSMITTED:

SIGNED

TIME

DATE

TITLE
The Communications Bureau shall transmit the following:

21. Check Book on the Franklin National Bank, County Seat Office
22. Check Book on the State Bank of Long Beach

Authority:  Third Squad
Detective:   
D.D.:       

TO BE TRANSMITTED:

TIME:        
DATE:        
SIGNED:      

TITLE:  DETECTIVE
New York City, advised, on July 13, 1962, that he did not wish to furnish information to the FBI concerning his meeting with and he feels that this is sufficient.
Credit Department, Shearson Hammill Company, 80 Pine Street, New York City, made available the transcript of the activity in the stock brokerage account opened by [redacted] on July 6, 1961. Activity reflected in this account is as follows:

On 7/26/62 at New York, New York File # NY 50-1232
by MAURICE F. LEHRNI DATE DICTATED 7/26/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>DAY YR.</th>
<th>DEBT OR SOLD</th>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/109</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>CHADBORN GOTHM INC</td>
<td>4 7/8</td>
<td>474.65</td>
<td>91.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/109</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT CO</td>
<td>46 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td>251.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/179</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>HUPP CORPORATION</td>
<td>6 5/8</td>
<td></td>
<td>131.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/209</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>CHADBORN GOTHM INC</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>000CR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/249</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>HUPP CORPORATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW BALANCE JULY 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECURITY POSITION:**

DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT CO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DAY YR</th>
<th>BOTTED OR RECEIVED</th>
<th>SOLD OR DELIVERED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE OR ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE DEBIT UNLESS MARKED “CR”</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 139</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CHECK RECEIVED</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>3,817.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 149</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT CO</td>
<td>AMERICAN CYANAMID</td>
<td>638.78</td>
<td>623/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 149</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AMER-MOTORS CORP</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>458.24</td>
<td>447/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 149</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT LOC</td>
<td>GREYHOUND CORP</td>
<td>97.26</td>
<td>457/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 149</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>INTL BUSINESS MACH</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>444.35</td>
<td>21 3/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 149</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>KERR MC GEE OIL</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>406.25</td>
<td>400 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 149</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>RAWTHEON COMPANY</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>560.50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 149</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>SPERRY RAND WTS</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>478.44</td>
<td>46 7/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 149</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>UNIV OIL PRODUCTS</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>482.23</td>
<td>23 5/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 199</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>DUPLAN CORP</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>547.94</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 209</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>PCD40 DUPLAN CRP</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>547.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 209</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>SPERRY RAND WTS</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>251.00</td>
<td>12 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 259</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>BUTTE COPPER &amp; ZINC</td>
<td>NATIONAL CAN CORP</td>
<td>924.63</td>
<td>5 1/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 259</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>RAYTHEON COMPANY</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>834.46</td>
<td>9 1/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 269</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CHECK RECEIVED</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td>1,359.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 269</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>UNIV OIL PRODUCTS</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECURITY POSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DAY YR</th>
<th>BOTTED OR RECEIVED</th>
<th>SOLD OR DELIVERED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE OR ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE DEBIT UNLESS MARKED “CR”</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>AMERICAN CYANAMID</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>BUTTE COPPER &amp; ZINC</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SEE REVERSE SIDE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>BOUGHT OR RECEIVED</th>
<th>SOLD OR DELIVERED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE OR ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE DEBIT UNLESS MARKED &quot;CR&quot;</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT LO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GREYHOUND CORP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INTL BUSINESS MACH</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NERR MC GEE OIL</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NATIONAL CAN CORP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SEE REVERSE SIDE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE NO.</th>
<th>BUY OR SELL</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE OR ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE DEBIT UNLESS MARKED &quot;CR&quot;</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 319</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>GREYHOUND CORP</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 039</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT CO</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 039</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>KERR MC GEE OIL</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 049</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AMERICAN CYANAMID</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 089</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NATIONAL CAN CORP</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 169</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>INTL BUSINESS MACH</td>
<td>5-7/8</td>
<td>93.42</td>
<td>93.42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 179</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NY RH &amp; HARTFORD RR</td>
<td>CK REC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 179</td>
<td></td>
<td>BUTTE COPPERZINC</td>
<td>NEW BALANCE SEP 24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600CR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SECURITY POSITION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>NY NH &amp; HARTFORD RR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>BOUGHT OR&lt;br&gt;RECEIVED</td>
<td>SOLD OR&lt;br&gt;DELIVERED</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PRICE&lt;br&gt;ENTRY</td>
<td>DEBIT</td>
<td>CREDIT</td>
<td>BALANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/259</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NY NH &amp; HARTFORD RR</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td>5/29</td>
<td>578.44</td>
<td>603.70</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/309</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AMER MOTORS CORP</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td>5/29</td>
<td>482.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/309</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>BUTTE COPPER &amp; ZINC</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td>5/29</td>
<td>678.44</td>
<td>603.70</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/4/09</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>GLEN ALDEN CORP</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>5/29</td>
<td>627.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AMER MOTORS CORP</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td>7/29</td>
<td>152.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/19</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>BUTTE COPPER &amp; ZINC</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>7/29</td>
<td>627.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/089</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>GLEN ALDEN CORP</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>7/29</td>
<td>627.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/149</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PACIFIC TIN CONS CRP</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>7/29</td>
<td>627.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/159</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>PACIFIC TIN CONS CRP</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>7/29</td>
<td>627.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/219</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>PACIFIC TIN CONS CRP</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>7/29</td>
<td>627.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SEE REVERSE SIDE
**IN ACCOUNT WITH**

**SHEARSON, HAMMILL & CO.**

**FOUNDED IN 1902**

OFFICES IN PRINCIPAL CITIES FROM COAST TO COAST

ALL CHECKS, INSTRUCTIONS, ETC., SHOULD BE SENT TO THE OFFICE SERVICING YOUR ACCOUNT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE NO.</th>
<th>BUY OR RECEIVED</th>
<th>SOLD OR DELIVERED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE OR ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE DEBIT UNLESS MARKED &quot;CR&quot;</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 109</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>PACIFIC TIN CONS CRP CHECK RECEIVED</td>
<td>4 3/4</td>
<td>100.70</td>
<td>100.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 109</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>PACIFIC TIN CONS CRP</td>
<td>CKRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 189</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>MINERAL &amp; CHEM OF AM</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 209</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>CALLAHAN MNG CORP</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 249</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>SECURITY POSITION</td>
<td>6 3/8</td>
<td>326.13</td>
<td>319.22</td>
<td>489.89 CR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 249</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>MINERALS &amp; CHEM AM</td>
<td>16 3/8</td>
<td></td>
<td>319.22</td>
<td>489.89 CR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 249</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW BALANCE NOV 25</td>
<td>50 3/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>496.80</td>
<td>489.89 CR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECURITY POSITION

CALLAHAN MNG CORP

**SEE REVERSE SIDE**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>BUY OR RECEIVED</th>
<th>Sold or Delivered</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAL. FWD. NOV. 25 ON ACCOUNT CALLAHAN NNG CORP NY NH &amp; HARTFORD RR; NEW BALANCE DEC 31 SECURITY POSITION</td>
<td>CRPD</td>
<td>489.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>489.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>NY NH &amp; HARTFORD RR</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td>202.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>202.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SEE REVERSE SIDE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>DAY VR.</th>
<th>DOUGHT OR RECEIVED</th>
<th>SOLD OR DELIVERED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE OR ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAL. FWD. JAN 28 ON ACCOUNT</td>
<td>CKPD DEL</td>
<td>20.52</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.52CR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CONS RY CUBA 6% PFD NEW BALANCE FEB 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>00CR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SEE REVERSE SIDE*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE No.</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>yr</th>
<th>BOUGHT OR RECEIVED</th>
<th>SELL OR DELIVERED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE OR ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE DEBIT UNLESS MARKED &quot;CR&quot;</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 050</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAL W/D DEC 31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202.88</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 110</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK RECEIVED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202.88</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NATIONAL CAN CORP</td>
<td>7 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>941.95</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>NY NH &amp; HARTFORD RR</td>
<td>DEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>353.08</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 140</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>PACIFIC TIN CONS CRP</td>
<td>6 1/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>297.99</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 150</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>CALLAHAN MNG CORP</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>297.99</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 150</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>NATIONAL CAN CORP</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>202.88</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 150</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>PACIFIC TIN CONS CRP</td>
<td>REC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>941.95</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 210</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>CONS RY CUBA 6%PFD</td>
<td>15 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>789.75</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 210</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>CONS RY CUBA 6%PFD</td>
<td>15 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>469.65</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 210</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>CONS RY CUBA 6%PFD</td>
<td>15 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>313.10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 210</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>CONS RY CUBA 6%PFD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECURITY POSITION**

| 100 | CONS RY CUBA 6%PFD | 2 |

*SEE REVERSE SIDE*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Bought Or</th>
<th>Sold Or</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Price Or Entry</th>
<th>Debit</th>
<th>Credit</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/250</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Univ Oil Product.</td>
<td>29 1/4</td>
<td>573.09</td>
<td>573.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/280</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Univ Oil Products On Account</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See Reverse Side
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>BILLED OR PURCHASED</th>
<th>SOLD OR DELIVERED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PRICE OR ENTRY</th>
<th>DEBIT</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>INTL BUSINESS MACH INTL BUSINESS MACH NEW BALANCE MAY 26</td>
<td>464 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>458.12</td>
<td>458.12CR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SEE REVERSE SIDE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE NO.</th>
<th>Bought or Sold</th>
<th>Received Delivered</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Price or Entry</th>
<th>Debit</th>
<th>Credit</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BAL FORWARDED MAY 26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>458.12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCD 1 INTL BUS MACH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW BALANCE JUN 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See reverse side*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE NO.</th>
<th>DAY NR</th>
<th>Sought Or Received</th>
<th>Sold Or Delivered</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Price Or Entry</th>
<th>Debit</th>
<th>Credit</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Debit Unless Marked “CR”</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7250</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>SPERRY RAND WTS</td>
<td>REC 9 1/2</td>
<td>181.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>183.91</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7280</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>SPERRY RAND</td>
<td>CKPD 9 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00CR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>SPERRY RAND WTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW BALANCE JUL 28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Shearson, Hammill & Co.**

In Account with

Founded in 1902

Offices in principal cities from coast to coast

All checks, instructions, etc., should be sent to the office servicing your account.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Bought or Received</th>
<th>Sold or Delivered</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Price Entry</th>
<th>Debit</th>
<th>Credit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/25/60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bal. Forward Jul 28 on Account New Balance Aug 25</td>
<td>CKPD</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00CR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New York, advised the total purchase price of the home he purchased from was $18,667.28. He assumed the mortgage of $12,624.00. The difference between the mortgage and total purchases prior he made up with three checks. On August 6, 1959 he purchased a certified check on the Rockland National Bank for $4,000.00, payable to This check bears Rockland National Bank number 4748 and check number 292 in personal checkbook.

This check was paid on August 8, 1959.

Also on August 8, 1959 gave his personal check for $211.65 made payable to This is check number 298 in personal checkbook. In addition on August 8, 1959 gave a personal check for $70.00 to cover the taking over of certain household effects.

On August 13, 1959 purchased an certified check for $1,825.00, which was to serve as a 10% down payment on the purchase of house. This check was made payable to and bore Rockland National Bank number 3064. It is check number 222 in personal checkbook.
on June 20, 1962, that he is employed by the Wallace-Zorn Photographers Studio located at 648 North Martel Avenue. In his capacity as an employee of the photography studio, he develops photographs taken at the Cocoanut Grove Night Club.

stated that all of the 1959 negatives are in his dark room at the Cocoanut Grove Night Club and that he would make an examination of all of the negatives of September 15, 1959, in an effort to locate the photograph desired.

On June 21, 1962, made available a negative of a photograph which had been taken at the Cocoanut Grove Night Club on the evening of September 15, 1959. This negative reflected a party of six persons, three men and three women.

On 6/20;21/62 at Los Angeles, California File #: Los Angeles 58-495

by SAA JOHN M. AGNEW Date dictated 6/25/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
owner of Wallace-Zorn Photography Studio, 648 North Martel Avenue, telephonically advised that he does not have any records which would indicate the identity of persons in photograph that was taken on September 15, 1959 at the Cocoanut Grove Night Club nor any record of person who paid for photograph.

stated that the girls who take the photographs work on a strictly cash basis and they do not request names.

stated that the girl who probably took the photograph in question is no longer employed by his studio and he had no idea as to her present whereabouts nor could he furnish any information which might assist in locating her.

On 6/26/62 at Los Angeles, California File # Los Angeles 58-495

by SAA JOHN M. AGNEW:wwv Date dictated 6/26/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Hotel, 3400 Wilshire Boulevard, advised that the registration cards for the hotel are filed in alphabetical order only and without knowing the persons name, the only way to determine the occupants of bungalow 130-H prior to or subsequent to September 15, 1959, would be to review all of the 1959 hotel registration cards. Pointed out that this project would take between four and five days to complete since it would involve reviewing each of the registration cards to determine which room or bungalow they were assigned at the time they registered at the hotel.

Stated that the arrival sheet of the hotel has been destroyed for the year 1959. Stated that there was no other type of records available at the hotel which would identify persons who occupied bungalow 103-H during the pertinent period.

On 6/20/62 at Los Angeles, California File # Los Angeles 58-495

by SAA JOHN M. AGNEW

Date dictated 6/25/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
of the Avis Rent-A-Car, 1207 West Third Street, advised that all of the 1959 car rental contracts were in storage in the Hollywood office at the present time. ______ pointed out that he would not be able to make a search of these records until June 23, 1962, since he had to conduct an audit in Pasadena, California, on June 22, 1962.

______ was requested to examine the car rental contracts for the period August 19 to 25, 1959, to locate a possible rental of a car to the following below listed persons:

On June 25, 1962, ______ telephonically advised that he had made a search of the car rental contracts for their offices located at the International Airport and the Ambassador Hotel for the period August 17 to 26, 1959, and that he was unable to locate any car rental contracts for the above listed persons.

______ pointed out that to be able to locate a car rental contract you actually need the vehicle or contract number and, if possible, to know the date or year the particular car was rented. The name alone is very difficult to locate since it means a review of the entire records.

On 6/21, 25/62 at Los Angeles, California File # Los Angeles 58-495

by SAA JOHN M. AGNEW:mmw Date dictated 6/25/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Astral Travel Service, 83 Sip Avenue, Jersey City 6, New Jersey, stated that he recalls making travel arrangements for only one occasion. He remembered because at the time he made the travel arrangements, name had been in the newspapers concerning a case he was working on.

said he remembers that was referred to Astral by someone but he could not remember the name of the person who referred him. He said that all arrangements were made by telephone and he believes he spoke only to believes that the reason came to Astral for reservations was because it was during the Easter season and flight space was scarce. During peak periods, such as Easter time, people place their names for reservations with a number of travel agencies in hopes of obtaining space through one of them.

The billing for a travel is dated April 25, 1960, and is addressed to New York. The bill covers flight #647 on Eastern Airlines from Idlewild to Miami, departing 9:15 p.m. on April 27, 1960, and return flight #650 on Eastern Airlines departing Miami at 10:00 p.m. on May 9, 1960. This bill included two full fares and two half fares.

checkbook for April, 1960 shows that he deposited a check in the amount of $348.48, in Astral's account at the Trust Company of New Jersey, Jersey City, New Jersey, on April 14, 1960.

stated that he feels certain he would have remembered if he had traveled through Astral at any other time.

reviewed his files for August, 1959, and September, 1959, but could not locate a record of making travel arrangements through Astral during those months.
Investigation conducted by the Los Angeles Office, in June, 1962, indicated that there were no passenger records in existence for the year 1959 for passengers of Western Airline which services Las Vegas and Los Angeles.

On June 15, 1962, First National Bank in Yonkers, 20 South Broadway, Yonkers, New York, advised that a check of bank records failed to locate any bank account in the name of [redacted] could not locate any safe deposit box for [redacted], either current or closed.

United Airlines, 80 East 42nd Street, after consulting an airlines guide for the month of August, 1959, advised that in order for a traveler to arrive in New York City on August 24, 1959 at 10:00 AM, the following air flights could have been utilized by him from Los Angeles and Las Vegas:

United Airlines

1. United Flight Number 140
2. United Flight Number 616
3. United Flight Number 711

Trans World Airlines

1. Trans World Airlines Flight Number 532
2. Trans World Airlines Flight Number 263
3. Trans World Airlines Flight Number 2

American Airlines

1. American Airlines Flight Number 8
2. American Airlines Flight Number 6
3. American Airlines Flight Number 10
It is to be noted that records at [ ] about 10:00 AM on the morning of August 24, 1959.
FBI

Date: 7/18/62

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT (Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIRMMAIL (Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, LAS VEGAS (58-8)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN

BRIBERY

3 - Bureau
1 - New York (58-1232) (Info)
1- Las Vegas

MPL: sak (5)

Approved: Wick

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

Per
LV 58-8

Full details on this check are contained in Las Vegas file 58-8. A copy of this is being made available to Las Vegas for coverage of these leads.

Photographs of are being made available to the Las Vegas Office for coverage of these leads.

The New York Office will interview New York City residents who stayed at the Desert Inn during the pertinent period, August, 1959, for any information they may have on this case.
FBI

Date: 7/23/62

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN

BRIBERY

Enclosed for each field office are copies of a photograph of

NYO is attempting to place in Las Vegas
on 8/23/59.

Records of in August, 1959, indicate that was back at work in NYC about 10:00 a.m. on Monday, 8/24/59.

Set forth below are the crew members and their home bases on air flights could have taken out of Las Vegas or Los Angeles to get him back to NYC in time for work on the morning of 8/24/59:

3 - Bureau (58-5100)
2 - Buffalo (Encl. 1)
2 - Cincinnati (Encl. 1)
2 - Los Angeles (58-495) (Encls. 2)
1 - Newark (Encl. 1)
1 - New York (58-1232)

MPL: map (12)

REO-91 58-5100-80

Approved:

Special Agent in Charge
NY 58-1232

Los Angeles

Captain
Flight Officer
Flight Engineer
Second Officer

The above were crew members on American Airlines Flight #6 which left Los Angeles 5:00 p.m., 8/23/59 and arrived NYC 12:55 a.m., 8/24/59. The home addresses of these individuals can be obtained from Captain American Airlines, Los Angeles International Airport.

Stewardess
Captain

were crew members on American Airlines Flight #10 which left Los Angeles 11:15 p.m., 8/23/59 and arrived in NYC 7:10 a.m., 8/24/59.

Cincinnati

Stewardess

Stewardess was on Flight #8 which left Los Angeles 2:30 p.m., 8/23/59 and arrived in NYC 10:25 p.m., 8/23/59.

Buffalo

Second Officer who was on Flight #10 which left Los Angeles 11:15 p.m., 8/23/59, arrived in NYC 7:10 a.m., 8/24/59.
The Los Angeles, Cincinnati and Buffalo Offices are requested to obtain the home addresses of crew members in their areas and to exhibit a photograph of the crew members for their possible identification as a passenger on an American Airlines flight on 8/23 or 24/59.

The Newark Office is requested to show a photograph of American Airlines Second Officer who lives at , New Jersey, telephone IL 8-9033, for his possible identification. was on American Airlines Flight #8 leaving Los Angeles 2:30 p.m. and arriving in NYC 10:25 p.m. on 8/23/59.

is described as follows:

Name
Date of birth
Place of birth
Residence

Employment

Height
Weight
Hair
Eyes
Dress

Peculiarities
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIBERY

FBI

Date: 7/25/62

PLAIN TEXT

Transmit the following in

(Airtel)

(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

3 - Bureau (58-5100)
1 - Chicago
1 - Detroit
1 - New York (58-1232)

MPL: mgr
(7)

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
was contacted by NYO on 7/25/62 at which time he said that he would consent to an interview by the FBI at NYO on 7/26/62 pending a conference with his attorney.

LEADS

CHICAGO

At Chicago Illinois

The Chicago Office is requested to interview [REDACTED] Chicago, The details of a meeting with [REDACTED] at Detroit should be ascertained and specifically it should be ascertained when [REDACTED] made the appointment to see [REDACTED] in Detroit.
At Detroit, Michigan

Will locate and interview NICK PIETROWSANTI and ascertain the details of his meeting with [redacted] in Detroit. It should be determined when [redacted] made the appointment to see PIETROWSANTI.

For the information of Chicago and Detroit, went to Detroit from New York City on American Airlines arriving in Detroit about 6:30 p.m. on Thursday, 6/21/62. [redacted] flew to Detroit from Chicago with Mr. LAMB and stayed over night in Chicago returning to New York on Friday night.

Based upon information previously furnished by [redacted] and PIETROWSANTI might well be secondary.
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TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: REBURY et al

ReBureau dated 7/25/62.
For the information of the Bureau,

NYO will interview in NYC when available.

MPL: apo
(5)

58-5100-82

REC-61 13 JUL 27 1952

Approved: WcR

Sent M Per

Special Agent in Charge

53 AUG 6 1952
The Attorney General

July 16, 1962

Director, FBI

NEVADA GAMBLING INDUSTRY

A source of our Las Vegas Office has advised that Las Vegas gamblers are greatly concerned over the extreme pressure being applied by the Federal Government on the Nevada gambling industry.

According to our source, these gamblers identified Mr. Herbert J. Miller, Jr., as the head of the Criminal Division, Department of Justice, and the person in charge of all the investigations directed at the Nevada gambling industry. It was indicated that an approach had been made to Mr. Miller to determine whether he would "trade Las Vegas" for Roy Cohn." According to our informant, Mr. Miller flatly rejected this approach by these gamblers.

Roy Cohn, mentioned above, undoubtedly is the individual who was chief counsel for the late Senator McCarthy's investigative committee. Roy Cohn and [redacted] in New York City are the subjects of an investigation presently being conducted by this Bureau under the Federal Bribery Statutes.

The above is for your information. It is requested that the information furnished by the confidential source be given complete security in order that the source will not be jeopardized.

The Deputy Attorney General

[Redacted]
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Belmont
FROM: C. A. Evans
SUBJECT: ANTI-RACKETEERING

DATE: July 13, 1962

We learned from our Las Vegas Office on July 12, 1962, of a discussion had between

[Redacted]

was discussing with the extreme pressure being applied by the Federal Government at the present time on the Nevada gambling industry. During this discussion, said, "you know I'm going to tell you something. The guy who's the head of the Criminal Division in the Department of Justice is a guy named Miller. Miller is right under Kennedy. He is in charge of all these investigations. Now this is just between you and me and nobody else. Miller was contacted to determine if he would be willing to trade Las Vegas for Roy Cohn and Miller said 'absolutely no.'"

The above appears to be a clear indication the hoodlum element would trade Roy Cohn, former chief counsel for the late Senator McCarthy's Senate Committee and who is presently under investigation with in New York City for violation of the Federal Bribery Statutes, or anyone else, if such action would relieve the investigative pressure presently being applied to the Nevada gambling industry.

1 - Mr. Rosen

RDC: asg
(7)
URGENT 7-30-62 10-21 PM JAA

TO  DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/ -10- AND SAC, KANSAS CITY /58-1232/

FROM SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/ ROY COHN, BRIBERY.

REKCAIRTEL TO THE BUREAU SIX NINETEEN, SIXTY TWO.

THE NYO IS STILL ATTEMPTING TO VERIFY THE PRESENCE OF

IN LAS VEGAS, NEV. ON EIGHT TWENTY THREE FIFTY NINE.

INVESTIGATION AT THE NYO INDICATES THAT COULD HAVE UTI-

LIZED TWA FLIGHT NO. FIVE THREE TWO LEAVING LAS VEGAS SIX TEN PM, EIGHT

TWENTY THREE FIFTY NINE, ARRIVING NYC AT SEVEN FIFTY SIX AM, EIGHT

TWENTY FOUR FIFTY NINE, OR FLIGHT NO. TWO SIX THREE, LEAVING LAS VEGAS

SIX TWENTY FIVE PM, ARRIVING LA SEVEN THIRTY NINE PM, BOTH ON EIGHT

TWENTY THREE FIFTY NINE, AND FLIGHT NO. TWO, LEAVING LA, ELEVEN PM,

EIGHT TWENTY THREE FIFTY NINE, ARRIVING NYC SIX FIFTY FIVE AM, EIGHT

TWENTY FOUR FIFTY NINE.

RESERVATIONS MANAGER, TWA, NYC, ADVISED THAT HE BELIEVES FLIGHT

COUPONS RECORDS OR HOSTESS SEATING CHART MAY BE ON MICROFILM AT KC

OFFICE, TWA, ONE SEVEN THREE FIVE BALTIMORE, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.

END PAGE ONE
PAGE TWO

FOR THESE FLIGHTS.

KC IS REQUESTED TO RECHECK TWA, KC FOR ANY VERIFICATION OF
EXISTENCE OF MICROFILM RECORDS FOR EIGHT TWENTY THREE AND TWENTY FOUR
FIFTY NINE.

END

NY R 10 WA RL
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BRI BERY

REFERENCES:
New York airtel to Los Angeles dated 7/12/62 (inter office).
Las Vegas airtel to Los Angeles dated 7/17/62 (inter office).
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NEW YORK
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Copy to:

Report of:  
JOHN M. AGNEW (A)  
Office: Los Angeles, California  
7/27/62  

Date:  
Field Office File No.: 58-495  
Bureau File No.: 58-5100  

Title: ROY COHN  

Character: BRIbery  

Synopsis: Personnel on TWA Flights between Las Vegas, Nevada, Los Angeles, California, and New York City on August 23-24, 1959, unable to identify photograph of as being a passenger on any of these flights. furnished sworn signed statement advising that in New York City.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
On July 13, 1962, Trans World Airlines (TWA), Los Angeles International Airport, furnished the following information to SA ROBERT H. MATHESON:

- Captain Fullerton, California, telephone number L'Ambert 5-9542.
- Rolling Hills, California, telephone TE 3-8195.
- Anaheim, California, telephone PR 6-0717.
- California, telephone JAsper 9-1528.
- Buena Park, JAsper 7-9634.
- Orange, California, telephone KEllogg 2-2080.
- Los Angeles 64, California, telephone TL 4-9848 or Manhattan Beach, FR 6-9730 (above).
Manhattan Beach, California, telephone FR 6-9730, advised she is currently employed as a stewardess with Trans World Airlines (TWA).

She stated that she formerly resided at Manhattan Beach, California.

She stated that she is currently employed as a stewardess with TWA since about February, 1959.

She stated that she had vague recollection of working on Flight 263 from Las Vegas, Nevada, to Los Angeles, California, on one occasion during August, 1959. She stated that the reason she remembered this flight was because it was the first time she worked on a flight that was in command of.

She was shown a photograph of and she stated that she could not identify this person as anyone she had ever seen before. She stated that because of the time lapse since August 23, 1959, she would not be able to identify any passenger aboard this flight. She stated that she could not state that was or was not a passenger on TWA Flight 263 on August 23, 1959.

On 7/16/62, Manhattan Beach, California file # Los Angeles 58-495

by SA ROBERT H. MATHESON, JR: Date dictated 7/20/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Fullerton, California, was interviewed at the Los Angeles International Airport and was exhibited a photograph of

After observing this photograph, he advised that he could not recall being on TWA Flight 263 on August 23, 1959, from Las Vegas, Nevada to Los Angeles.

could not furnish any information regarding or any additional information regarding this matter.
exhibited a photograph of Rolling Hills, was stated after observing a photograph of that he could not recall being on TWA Flight No. 2 between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

could furnish no information regarding nor could he furnish any specific information regarding this matter.
was exhibited a photograph of [redacted]. After observing this photograph, he advised that he could not recall being on TWA Flight No. 2 between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

stated that he could not recall [redacted] on this flight.

On 7/18/62 at Anaheim, California

File # Los Angeles 58-495

by SA J. M. AGNEW/djh

Date dictated 7/23/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
exhibited a photograph of
this photograph, he advised that he could not recall being
on TWA Flight No. 532 on August 23-24, 1959, between Las Vegas, Nevada
and New York City.

stated that he did not recall being
on this flight and he was unable to furnish any specific information
regarding this matter.

On 7/13/62 at Brea, California File # Los Angeles 58-495
by SA J. N. AGNEW/djh Date dictated 7/23/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
I was exhibited a photograph of [__]. After observing the photograph of [__], he advised that he could not recall this individual being on TWA Flight 263 on August 23, 1959, between Las Vegas, Nevada and Los Angeles.

He stated that he could not furnish any specific information regarding [__] and he was unable to furnish any additional information regarding this matter.

On 7/18/62 at Buena Park, California File # 58-495

by SA J. M. AGNEW/CA Date dictated 7/23/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
was exhibited a photograph of [Redacted]. After observing this photograph, he advised that he could not recall being on TWA Flight 263 between Las Vegas, Nevada and Los Angeles on August 23, 1959. He stated that he could furnish no specific information regarding ROBSON and also that he could not furnish any additional information regarding this matter.
a photograph of ________ was exhibited. After observing the photograph of ________ she stated that she could not recall being on TWA Flight No. 2 between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

Miss ________ could furnish no information regarding or any specific information regarding this matter.

On 7/23/62 at Los Angeles, California. File # Los Angeles 58-495

SA J. M. AGNEW/djh Date dictated 7/23/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
at his residence and thereafter he furnished the following statement:

"I, ___________________________, being duly sworn, hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to JOHN M. AGNEW and GERALD R. FEMLING who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. No threats or promises have been made to me to induce me to furnish this statement. I have been advised that I do not have to furnish a statement, and that any statement I make can later be used against me in a Court of Law. I understand that I have a right to consult an attorney at any time.

"I presently reside at ___________________________, Los Angeles with my wife. I was born on ___________________________ at Brooklyn, New York.

On 7/19/62 at Los Angeles, California File # Los Angeles 58-495

by SAS GERALD R. FEMLING and J.M. AGNEW/djh 7/23/62 Date dictated

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
"I wish to state that I have cooperated fully with the United States Attorney's office in New York City and I am willing to assist the Government in this matter at any time.

"I have read the above statement which consists of nine pages and it is true and correct to the best of my memory.

"Sworn to and subscribed to before me on this 19th day of July, 1962, at Los Angeles, California.

"/s/JOHN M. AGNEW, Special Agent FBI, Los Angeles, California.

"Witnessed: /s/GERALD R. FELTON
Special Agent, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Los Angeles, California, July 19, 1962.

stated that he was not positive as to the dates involved in this matter, however, he wished to point out that he has furnished all of the exact dates to the United States Attorney's office in New York City at the time he was discussing this matter with one of the Assistant United States Attorneys. At that time, they sat down and discussed the matter fully and pinpointed the exact dates.

reiterated the fact that he was cooperating fully with the United States Attorney's office in New York regarding this matter, and he has testified before the Federal Grand Jury pertaining to this matter.

At the present time
of Sy Devore's Men's Clothing Store, 1533 North Vine Street, advised that a search of the sales slips for the period September 3 to September 9, 1959, for the men's shop at the Sands Hotel in Las Vegas, Nevada, failed to locate a sale to a """" during this period of
time. Also, no sales slip could be located reflecting the amount of $464.46 for the purchase of two or three men's suits from the men's shop located in the Sands Hotel.

could not suggest any other records that might reflect the particular sale and he stated that the sales slips should reflect any sales that were made in the men's shop and charged to a particular room at the Sands Hotel.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
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OFFICE OF ORIGIN: NEW YORK
DATE: 7/30/62
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TITLE OF CASE:
ROY COHN
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Captain with American Airlines and during the summer of 1959 he was employed by the same company as a First Officer on a Boeing 707 jet flight between New York and Los Angeles.

On the Boeing 707, the entrance to the aircraft is forward of the wing and the passenger compartments are all over, or aft of the wing. When entering the aircraft, the pilots turn left and go into the pilot's compartment and the cockpit and all the passengers turn right and go to the seating area. During the summer of 1959, Mr. QUESADA was the head of FAA and he insisted that all the crew be present in the cockpit at all times and as a result the flight crews on the jet aircraft had little or no contact with any of the passengers. The only time he had any contact with the passengers was when he went aft to the rest room which was located forward of the passengers compartment. Occasionally he met one of the male passengers there, but the meeting was actually a passing in the corridor.

After viewing a photograph of he stated that he was unable to recall at all and did not recall that was ever a passenger on any of his flights. He stated that may have flown as a passenger often and he would not have known about it except through chance.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, SAN FRANCISCO) (58-342) (RUC)

ROY COHN
BRIBERY
(00: NY)

RE NY airtel to Bureau 7/11/62.

The following TWA employees based at San Francisco were contacted by SA GEORGE HARTLEY on the dates indicated and each advised that he or she was not acquainted with did not recognize his photograph, and had no recollection of his being a passenger on August 23 or 24, 1959:

Captain interviewed at TWA Operations Office, San Francisco International Airport on 7/27/62.

interviewed at her residence, Redwood City, California, on 7/30/62.

interviewed at her residence, San Francisco, on 8/1/62.

Bureau
2 New York (58-1232)
1 San Francisco

CH:ER
(6)

Approved: ___________________________ 
Special Agent in Charge

Sent: ___________________________ 
Per: ___________________________
 royalty line
ROY COHN

Character: Bribery

Synopsis: Captain American Airlines, unable to recall any contact with subject

Details:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN
BRIBERY

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge
NY 58-1232

____________________ interviewed. Made two
phone calls to____________________ telling
b return to USA at once. Says ________ told her ________
Brokerage accounted for____________________ reviewed and
account of____________________ being
reviewed.
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U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1962-76224-1
Report of:  SA JOHN M. AGNEW (A)  
Date:    7/31/62  
Office: Los Angeles, California  
Field Office File No.: 58-495  
Bureau File No.: 58-5100  
Title: ROY COHN  
Character: BRIEGERY  

Synopsis: American Airlines personnel unable to identify photograph of ___ as being a passenger on flights from Los Angeles to New York City on 8/23-24/59.  

DETAILS  
On July 27, 1962, __________ Security Officer, American Airlines, furnished the following information as to residence and phone numbers of the following below listed American Airlines personnel:  

Captain __________ Palos Verdes, telephone number FR 5-4629.  
First Officer __________  
Inglewood, California, home telephone number OR 1-4381.  

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
LA 58-495

Flight Engineer
Anaheim, California, home telephone number PR 4-9917.

Captain
Malibu, California, home telephone number GL 6-2689.

Second Officer
Inglewood, California, home telephone number OR 2-5479.

Hostess
Los Angeles, California, no home telephone number.

stated that all of the above American Airlines employees could be contacted at their residences on Monday, July 30, 1962, except for who were due to arrive at International Airport from a flight at approximately 11:30 a.m. on July 30, 1962.
Captain was exhibited a photograph of and after observed this photograph he advised that he could not recall being a passenger on flight number six between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

Captain stated that he could furnish no information regarding nor could he furnish any additional information regarding this matter.
Captain was exhibited a photograph of and after observed this photograph he advised that he could not recall as being a passenger on flight number ten between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

Captain stated that he could furnish no information regarding nor could he furnish any additional information regarding this matter.
First Officer for American Airlines was exhibited a photograph of [redacted], and after observing this photograph, he advised that he could not recall [redacted] as being a passenger on flight six between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

[redacted] stated that he could furnish no information regarding [redacted], nor could he furnish any additional information regarding this matter.

- 5 -

On 7/30/62 at Inglewood, California File #Los Angeles 58-495

by SAs JOHN M. AGNEW (A) and RICHARD J. BUXTON (A); scw

Date dictated 7/31/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Second Officer for American Airlines, and with home residence of Inglewood, was interviewed at the Los Angeles International Airport and was exhibited a photograph of [redacted]. After observing this photograph, he advised that he could not recall it as being a passenger on flight number six between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

He stated that he could furnish no information regarding [redacted] nor could he furnish any additional information regarding this matter.

- 6 -

On 7/30/62 at Los Angeles, California  File # Los Angeles 58-495

by SAs RICHARD J. BUXTON (A) and JOHN M. AGNEW (A) date dictated 7/31/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Hostess Supervisor for American Airlines, and with residence of 2162 Rosco Mare Road, Los Angeles, was interviewed at the Los Angeles International Airport and was exhibited a photograph of . After observed this photograph, she advised that she could not recall as being a passenger on flight number ten between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

stated that she could furnish no information regarding nor could she furnish any additional information regarding this matter.
Flight Engineer for American Airlines, was exhibited a photograph of [redacted] After observing this photograph, he advised that he could not recall [redacted] as being a passenger on flight number six between Los Angeles and New York City on August 23-24, 1959.

[Redacted] stated that he could furnish no information regarding [redacted] nor could he furnish any additional information regarding this matter.
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U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1975

51 AUG 13 1962
LV 56-8

THE NEW YORK DIVISION:

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK:

Review file on ____ to determine if in interviews.

explained under Administrative Data. If this matter has not been pursued, New York will advise Las Vegas whether they desire to have ____ interviewed to determine if the book is still in existence for 1939.

THE LAS VEGAS DIVISION:

AT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA:

As set forth in instant report.

Las Vegas will interview ____ along the same lines set forth in referenced airtel.
SA R. BURNS TOOLSON
Office: Las Vegas, Nevada
8/4/62
Field Office File No.: (58-5100)
Las Vegas (58-8)
Title: ROY COHN

Character: BRIBERY

Synopsis: 

DETAILS:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Nevada, advised as follows:

[Blank space]

[Blank space]

[Blank space]

[Blank space]

[Blank space]

[Blank space]

[Blank space]

[Blank space]

[Blank space]

On 8/1/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada File # LV 58-3

by SA R. BURNS TOOLSON; sak 2 Date dictated 8/1/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Vegas, Nevada, advised as follows:

He is presently employed at

He does not know personally and does not recall ever personally having

He does not recall a

A photograph of was exhibited to and he advised he does not recall ever having seen this individual.

7/31/62 Las Vegas, Nevada 3 File # LV 358-8

SA R. BURNS TOOLSON: sak 8/1/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Nevada, advised as follows:

He was employed

recalls he was on vacation during August, 1959, and consequently has no knowledge of

A photograph of was exhibited to and he advised he does not recall ever having seen this individual.

On 8/2/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada File # LV 58-8

by SA R. BURNS TOOLSON sak 4 Date dictated 8/2/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Las Vegas, Nevada, advised as follows:

He does not recall

A photograph of ___________ was exhibited to ___________ and he advised he does not recall ever having seen this individual.

__________ recalled that in the past ___________ but he is unable to recall as to ___________.

8/1/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada File # LV 58-8

SA R. BURNS TOOLSON: sak 5 Date dictated 8/1/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
advised as follows:

Las Vegas, Nevada,

He is presently employed as

A photograph of was exhibited to

and he advised he does not know this individual.

On 8/2/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada  File # LV 58-8

by SA R. BURNS TOOLSON: sak  G  Date dictated 8/2/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Las Vegas, Nevada, advised as follows:

She has been employed as

A photograph of [name redacted] was exhibited to [name redacted] and she advised she does not recall ever having seen this individual.
Las Vegas, Nevada, advised as follows:

He was employed as:

A photograph of [ ] was exhibited to [ ] and he advised he does not know this individual.

On 8/3/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada File # LV 58-8

by SA R. BURNS TOOLSON: sak 8 Date dictated 8/3/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Las Vegas, Nevada,

He stated the photograph of is not known to him.

Date August 4, 1962

On July 31, 1962 at Las Vegas, Nevada

File # LV 58-8

by SA ARTHUR N. BARRETT

Date dictated 8/2/62
he was advised he was in Las Vegas, Nevada, and that he was unsatisfactory in his appearance and demeanor.

He stated that he does not know the photograph displayed to him as a person that he can recall as being taken.

He stated that the only person who might have

On August 4, 1962, in Las Vegas, Nevada, File # LV 58-8

by SA ARTHUR N. BARRETT

Date dictated 8/2/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Las Vegas, Nevada,

He was employed as

The name [REDACTED] meant nothing to him and he was not able to identify a photograph of [REDACTED] as any person he saw around [REDACTED] during August, 1959, or at any other time.

On 8/1/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada File # LV 58-8

by SA JAMES E. MC CLOSKEY: sak Date dictated 8/1/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Vegas, Nevada, advised as follows:

He has been employed at

He was not able to identify either by name or photograph and advised he could not recall seeing during August, 1959, or at any other time.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Vegas, Nevada, advised as follows:

He has been employed at

He was not able to identify a photograph of as any person he saw at the during August, 1959, or at any other time.
IN Nev a, advised as follows: Nevada, advised as follows:

He is currently

He was not able to identify either by name or photograph and stated he could not recall seeing during August, 1959, or at any other time.

On ___________ of Las Vegas, Nevada

Date dictated ___________

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Las Vegas, Nevada, advised on August 1, 1962, that he was on his shift.

also advised that was also on the "graveyard" shift with him during that period but is no longer with in that he is employed with an escort service in town.

On 8/1/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada File # LV 58-8

by SA CLAIR I. PETERKIN, JR. Date dictated 8/3/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Las Vegas, Nevada, advised that he was employed for about ten years until forced to retire a short time ago due to ill health.

Advised that in 1959, he was working in the parking lot on traffic patrol on the night shift. Consequently he was never requested to or perform a similar task.
I.V 53-8

The following additional security guards were interviewed regarding instant matter and they all advised that in August and September, 1959, they either worked outside the casino where their duties were strictly traffic control, or they were working on the night shift when all local banks were closed. None of these individuals were able to furnish any pertinent information.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, CINCINNATI (58-163)(P)

SUBJECT: ETC., ET AL

BRIBERY

Re New York airtel to Bureau, 7/23/62, and
Bureau airtel to New York, 7/25/62.

Investigation Columbus, Ohio, reflects is now wife of Capt. Bomb Squadron, Lockbourne Air Force Base, residing Columbus, Ohio. Capt. completed specialized school for Squadron Officers, Montgomery, Alabama, and he and on motor trip in South and not available for interview. They are expected to arrive in Columbus, Ohio, Monday, August 6, 1962. will be interviewed at that time.

Bureau
2 - New York (58-1232)
2 - Cincinnati

REM: clh
(6).
URGENT 7-26-62 1-28 PM BK
TO DIRECTOR, FBI 58-5199 -3-

FROM SAC, NEW YORK 58-1232

NRR

BRIBERY

ON JULY TWENTY-SIX INSTANT, [NAME] OF

[COMPANY NAME]

ADvised that he represented [NAME] and that he did not think it advisable that [NAME] be interviewed by [NAME] without [NAME] or a member of Cohn's firm being present.

Shortly after the call, [NAME] contacted [NAME] and said that he had talked to Roy Cohn about [NAME]'s request that [NAME] be interviewed. He said that he had also talked to George Sokolsky and that both Cohn and Sokolsky were furious. [NAME] said that Sokolsky had said that he was finished with the Bureau and that we would hear from Sokolsky about this matter. Then said that since he had an interest of his own in this matter, he would come to

[NAME] to be interviewed without his attorney being present. He said

END PAGE ONE

67 AUG 9 1962
PAGE TWO

That he knew Roy Cohn would be very disturbed about this but that he would do it anyway. It was explained to the

that he had every right to be represented by an attorney and that the Bureau at no time insisted that he be interviewed alone.

Made a second phone call to NYO—and advised that he wanted it understood that he did not advise

not to appear at NYO for interview, but had merely advised

that he had a right not to be interviewed.

End and Ack

G0PPR PAGL LINE 12 WORD 3 FROM RPT FRON

End and Ack

NY R 3 WA MLL
Memorandum

TO: Mr. McGrath

FROM: A. C. Larson

DATE: July 28, 1962

SUBJECT: BRIBERY ET AL.

This is to advise of the action taken by Supervisor A. C. Larson of the General Investigative Division upon receipt of New York teletype of 7/26/62, which indicated that George Sokolsky was concerned over the Bureau's investigation of this matter. Result of contact by Wick with Sokolsky concerning this matter is also set forth.

BACKGROUND:

This is the investigation being conducted into the alleged

on 7/24/62 that he be interviewed by the New York Office.

The New York Office arranged an appointment for [_____] to be interviewed on 7/26/62. On that date the New York Office advised by teletype that [_____] attorney contacted the New York Office and stated that he did not think it advisable for [_____] to be interviewed without the presence of his attorney. Shortly thereafter [_____] contacted the New York Office and indicated that he had discussed this matter with George Sokolsky and Roy Cohn who had expressed concern over this investigation.

In view of the above, Supervisor Larson contacted Mr. DeLoach's office upon receipt of this teletype concerning George Sokolsky and discussed the matter with Supervisor Ben Fulton. After discussing the contents of the New York teletype, Supervisor Larson pointed out that a note was being prepared as to the background of this case for the Director's information. Inquiry was made as to the Bureau's
Memorandum to Mr. McGrath
RE: _______ ET AL.

relations with Sokolsky. Their views as to the appropriate handling of the Sokolsky interest were asked. It was pointed out that consideration was being given to recommending that Sokolsky be contacted by someone from Mr. DeLoach's office.

Fulton was unable to assist in this matter. After consulting Mr. Wick he stated that Wick had discussed the matter with the New York Office telephonically and felt the allegations made by _______ as to Sokolsky's interest in this matter were baseless. He further advised that relations with Sokolsky are such that if he had an interest in this matter or if he, Sokolsky, disagreed with the manner in which the Bureau is handling the investigation he would consult the Bureau before taking any action. Fulton stated it was not felt that a recommendation to contact Sokolsky was appropriate.

The incoming teletype from the New York Office dated 7/26/62 expressing _______ views and stating that _______ had talked to George Sokolsky and he was obviously greatly concerned, was immediately sent forward with a note for the Director's attention. The note attached to the wire identified this case and furnished brief background concerning the matter. It was also pointed out that there has been no indication as to how Sokolsky is involved in this matter and the reason for his interest in it at this time was unknown.

FACTS ARE ALL WRONG - EN/31

On 7/27/62, teletype from the New York Office was sent forward with a note for the Director's information indicating that the New York Office had stated that upon the conclusion of the interview of _______, a letter to Inspector DeLoach at the Bureau setting forth _______ contacts with various Las Vegas people and others reputed to be engaged in hoodlum activities and that this letter was sent to Mr. DeLoach on the basis of advice received from George Sokolsky. The letter had not been received in Mr. DeLoach's office on the 27th, and still has not been received as of the morning of the 28th.

INTERVIEW WITH SOKOLSKY:

George Sokolsky was contacted at his Summer residence in Otis, Mass., by Mr. Wick on the afternoon of 7/27/62, at which time Sokolsky advised that he was disturbed in connection with the investigation of this case. He also advised that he believed that this investigation is becoming a harrassment to Cohn, _______ and others. He said that while _______ improper questions were asked by an assistant from the Department in Washington. He thought this was wrong.
Memorandum to Mr. McGrath
RE: ET AL.

Mr. Sokolsky said that he talked with Lou Nichols about the advisability of writing in a column, blasting the Department on the impropriety of questions asked in the grand jury. Nichols had advised against this action. Mr. Sokolsky said he did not intend to do anything until he had talked further with the Bureau, but he did feel the FBI was being used and if we were forced to continue this line of investigation the FBI could well get the reputation of persecuting people and he did not want this to happen.

Sokolsky was told that the FBI was only carrying out the orders of the United States Attorney in New York who is in personal touch with the Attorney General. Sokolsky said that he would hold off writing any column until he had thoroughly discussed the matter with Mr. DeLoach.

Interview with

Pursuant to the request of the U. S. Attorney (USA) to interview [redacted] an appointment was made with [redacted] to conduct the interview on 7/26/62. On that date [redacted] attorney called the New York Office and advised that he felt it was advisable that [redacted] be interviewed in the presence of his attorney. It was explained to his attorney that [redacted] had every right to be represented by an attorney and that at no time did the New York Office insist that [redacted] be interviewed alone.

Subsequently called the New York Office and said that since he had an interest of his own in this matter, he would come to the New York Office without his attorney for interview. He indicated this was against the advice of his attorney but said he would do it anyway. It was again explained to [redacted] that he was entitled to an attorney for this interview.

Voluntarily appeared at the New York Office for interview. [redacted] was advised that this interview was being conducted at the specific request of the U. S. Attorney. The New York Office did not indicate in the teletype that [redacted] was so advised but will include this in the detailed report of the interview.

ASAC Bryant, New York, was instructed of the importance of following this procedure when conducting controversial interviews. Bryant said this procedure is well understood by that office and is followed in all instances. He expressed regret that the teletype regarding this interview did not reflect this information.
Memorandum to Mr. McGrath
RE: ET AL.

We sincerely regret that we did not follow through to make sure that New York had advised that the interview was at the request of the USA prior to sending the teletype to the Director. We do want the Director to know that we are always alert to make certain interviewees are informed that the interviews are being conducted at the request of the USA or the Department.

ACTION:

This is for your information.

Act. [Signature]

- 4 -
Date:  7/26/62

GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

This is the case in which Sokolsky involved in this matter, and the reason for his alleged interest at this time is unknown. It has been requested that an interview be conducted in this regard. There has been no indication and Roy Cohn, were allegedly

and requested

be interviewed if he presents himself.
# Federal Bureau of Investigation

**REPORTING OFFICE**
CINCINNATI

**OFFICE OF ORIGIN**
NEW YORK

**DATE**
8/6/62

**INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD**
7/31-8/6/62

**REPORT MADE BY**
WAYNE MORSE

**CHARACTER OF CASE**
BRIBERY

**REFERENCES:**
- Cincinnati airtel to Bureau, 8/2/62.

**APPROVED**
3-Bureau (58-5100)
3-New York (58-1232)
1-Cincinnati (58-163)

**DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT**

**NOTATIONS**
Report of: SA WAYNE MORSE
Date: 8/6/62

Field Office File No.: 58-163

Title: ROY COHN

Character: BRIbery

Synopsis: Stewardess, American Airlines, Columbus, Ohio, unable to identify photo of as being identical to anyone she could recall seeing on flight #8, Los Angeles, California, to New York City.

RVC -
DETAILS:

AT COLUMBUS, OHIO

On July 31, 1962, [name] advised that [name] now reside at Columbus, Ohio. She advised that [name] is a Captain in the U.S. Air Force assigned to the 352nd Bomb Squadron, Lockbourne Air Force Base. She related that Captain [name] had completed a specialist school at Montgomery, Alabama, and he and [name] are currently on a motor trip in the south and are expected to arrive in Columbus, Ohio, Monday, August 6, 1962.

On August 6, 1962, [name] formerly of Columbus, Ohio, advised that she formerly had been employed as a stewardess with American Airlines. Mrs. [name] stated that she recalled having a flight out of Los Angeles, California, to New York City during the afternoon and evening hours.

[name] after viewing the photograph of [name] stated that she could not recall this individual as being identical to anyone having ever been a passenger on Flight #8 from Los Angeles, California, to New York City.
TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING IN PLAIN TEXT (TYPE IN PLAIN TEXT OR CODE)

Via AIRTEL REGISTERED MAIL (PRIORITY OR METHOD OF MAILING)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
ATTENTION: Asst. Dir. C. D. DeLoach
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN, BRIBERY.

Re telephone call of Asst. Director DeLoach to NYO, 8/1/62.

There are attached sworn statements by Special Agents Maurice P. Leen and Edward P. Hartnett categorically denying that any statement was made to [interviewed to date in this case. It is to be noted that in connection with this investigation information was developed from

BUREAU (4 ENCLS.) (RM)
1 - NEW YORK (58-1232) (#23)

AMB: DJG (5) ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

ENCLOSURE

C.C.: Wick

Approved: LAX Special Agent in Charge
Sent PERS. REC. UNIT

5 AUG 1962
New York, N. Y.
August 1, 1962

I, Edward P. Hartnett, being duly sworn, depose and say the following:

In connection with the investigation of the case entitled, Roy Cohn; Bribery, I participated with Special Agent Maurice P. Leen in the interviews of apartment on July 24 and 30, 1962. At no time during these interviews did I hear Special Agent Leen state that Roy Cohn is in great trouble.

I recall that during both interviews repeatedly asked what the investigation was about. He explained to that she was being interviewed in connection with an official investigation of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. At no time were any of the allegations in this case against Cohn and mentioned in any way.

I further recall that during the interview on 7/30/62 she inquired if Roy Cohn was in trouble, and that Special Agent Leen declined to make any comment concerning this matter.
Both interviews were conducted in a friendly atmosphere and in fact had offered to mix drinks for the agents. The offer was politely refused.

The questions during both interviews concerned primarily the activities of Roy Cohn's name came up as being a mutual friend and no inference could have logically been drawn that Cohn was the subject of the investigation.

Edward P. Hartnett, Special Agent.

Executed and sworn to before me this 1st day of August, 1962.

Alton H. Bryant, Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Federal Bureau of Investigation.
New York, N. Y.
August 1, 1962.

I, Maurice P. Leen, being duly sworn, depose and say that I have been advised that in connection with the investigation of the case entitled, Roy Cohn; Bribery, an allegation has been made that I said to a woman that I had interviewed that Roy Cohn "is in great trouble."

In connection with this investigation, I have interviewed two women, and I reviewed certain records pertaining to and at no time did I go into any of the merits or allegations of this case. At no time did I mention the name of Roy Cohn to in any connection whatsoever. This interview was conducted at the office of the United States Attorney Robert M. Morgenthau, Southern District of New York and during part of the review of these records Assistant United States Attorney was present.
I and Special Agent [ ] interviewed [ ] at her apartment on 7/24/62. We interviewed her concerning the details of two phone calls she had made to [ ].

At no time during this interview did I make the statement that Roy Cohn was in any trouble and at no time did I say that Roy Cohn "is in great trouble."

I received a phone call from [ ] on 7/26/62 at which time she told me that she had neglected to tell me some of the details concerning [ ], New York, which we had covered in the interview of 7/24/62.

On 7/30/62 I reinterviewed [ ] with Special Agent Edward Hartnett present and took a sworn statement from her. At no time during this interview did I make the statement that Roy Cohn is in great trouble. [ ]

mentioned that she was a personal friend of Roy Cohn, the [ ]. She also said that she knew [ ] mentioned that she was a personal friend of Roy Cohn, the [ ]

She said that from reading the newspapers, she was aware that [ ] and Roen were in some trouble with the U. S. Government concerning some corporation. [ ] then asked me directly, "Is Roy Cohn in trouble?" I answered this question by saying that I would make no statement concerning this matter. I then pointed out to [ ] that the interview of her by agents of the FBI was in connection with an official investigation being conducted by the FBI.
During both interviews repeatedly asked what this investigation was about since she said the details that we asked her concerning did not make sense to her and she did not know what it was we were investigating. At no time during these interviews did we mention in any way at all the allegations made in this case concerning Roy Cohn and

[signature]

MAURICE P. LEEN
Special Agent.

Executed and sworn to before me this 1st day of August, 1962.

Alton M. Bryant,
Assistant Special Agent in Charge,
Federal Bureau of Investigation.
TO: Mr. Mohr  
FROM: C. D. DeLoach  
DATE: August 1, 1962  

I had quite a conversation with George Sokolsky last night regarding thecaptioned case. This is the investigation being conducted into

The U. S. Attorney handling this matter felt he had perjured himself in this testimony and requested, on 7-24-62, that he be interviewed by the New York Office. After our Agents contacted his attorney, he called and stated he did not think it advisable for him to be interviewed without the presence of his attorney. Shortly thereafter, we contacted our New York Office indicating he had talked the matter over with George Sokolsky and Roy Cohn who had expressed concern over this investigation.

Wick, in my absence, discussed this matter with Sokolsky 7-27-62. Sokolsky was previously quoted as saying he desired to write an article reflecting the handling of this matter by the FBI. He told Wick the investigation is becoming an harassment to Cohn and others. Sokolsky had previously told L. B. Nichols that he might write a column blaming the Department and indicating that the FBI was "used."

Upon talking with George on the night of 7-31-62; I told him I had just gotten back from five days leave and had learned he had a "beef" regarding this case. He then launched into a tirade about the impropriety of the FBI harassing innocent people. He stated he was considering writing an article about this matter. He made specific reference to an Agent by the name of Leen (Special Agent Maurice P. Leen of the New York Office) who reportedly told a woman he had interviewed, "Roy Cohn is in great trouble." Sokolsky stated the Agent had no right to say this. Sokolsky said that our New York Agents had apparently told him they would not interview him if he insisted upon his attorney being present.

1 - Mr. Belmont  
1 - Mr. Evans  
1 - Mr. Rosen  
1 - Mr. Jones
I told George that his attitude was typical of the type he developed every year at this time, that it seemed to me that each summer when he went to Otis, Massachusetts, to his farm he did nothing but sit on the edge of the lawn with a 60 foot extension to his telephone and pontificate like the Pope. I told him that he had a background of 20 years friendship with the FBI and that it seemed rather ridiculous that he was willing to throw all of this away simply based upon the words of other people. I told him that obviously he had taken this matter out of its right perspective, that for many months how he had gone through great pains to build up the Department of Justice in all categories yet he was losing sight of the fact at the present time that the Department issued instructions to the FBI. The point was made that obviously we were conducting this investigation at the instructions of the Attorney General.

George interrupted me at this point and stated one week ago the Attorney General had looked him squarely in the eye and had told him he knew nothing about this case. I told George this could not be true inasmuch as Sokolsky, had told me approximately two weeks ago that he had thoroughly discussed this case with the Attorney General and that the Attorney General had ordered U. S. Attorney Morgenthau to arrange an appointment with Roy Cohn. George admitted this but stated that he had meant to say that the Attorney General was not personally supervising this case. I told George this made no difference, that the Department of Justice, of which the Attorney General is the head, had specifically instructed the FBI to carry out this investigation and that George should look to the Department rather than the FBI for leveling his criticism.

George tried to make the point that the FBI should stand up against the Department when we knew we were being "used." I told him this attitude was perfectly ridiculous particularly for an old line columnist like himself who should know better than to make such statements.

I told George we would make an immediate check concerning whether Special Agent Leen had made the above-mentioned statement or not. I also explained to him the desirability of interviewing individuals without the presence of attorneys who constantly interrupted and prevented efficient handling of interviews. I mentioned this, of course, did not preclude the right of any individual to contact their attorney and that our activities in the past consistently reflected that individuals were always advised of their rights to contact an attorney.

George asked me what I was so mad about. I told him I was not angry about anything, however, merely desired to square him away before he went off half cocked. He then stated that I should know that he was pulling a bluff. I told him I suspected this all along, particularly in view of the fact I knew he would call me before writing anything derogatory concerning the FBI. He stated this would always be true, however, he wanted to "scare the hell" out of L. B. Nichols inasmuch as Nichols had been trying to take away certain prerogatives of his at Schenley Industries. I told
Memorandum  

TO: Mr. Mohr  
FROM: C. D. DeLoach  
SUBJECT: ROY COHN — BRIBERY

DATE: August 6, 1962

This is the investigation being conducted into the alleged payment of

As fully reported in previous memoranda, and particularly in my memorandum of 8-1-62 (attached), George Sokolsky was told by someone (obviously either Cohn or) that a Special Agent, Maurice P. Leen, had told,

"Roy Cohn is in great trouble." Sokolsky made threats to L. B. Nichols and to Wick of my office that he planned to write an article about the harassment by the FBI of Cohn and specifying the alleged ill-advised remark by Special Agent Leen.

As my memorandum of 8-1-62 reports, I talked to Sokolsky at some length about this matter with the result that he eventually admitted that his threat was a bluff, that he only made this threat to write an article to throw a scare into L. B. Nichols, with whom he is having some difficulty at the present time at Schenley Industries. I told Sokolsky at the time of our conversation I intended to check very thoroughly into the alleged remark by Agent Leen and that I would be back in touch with him. The Director approved this action.

There is attached an Airtel from our New York Office dated 8-1-62 which, in turn, encloses signed statements from Agent Leen and Special Agent Edward P. Hartnett, who was present during the two interviews with such a statement. As a matter of fact, the Agents point out that seven times asked them to tell her what kind of trouble Roy Cohn was in. The Agents very properly refused to do this.

Enclosures
1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Evans
1 - Mr. Jones

CDD: sak

EX-116

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE
I called Sokolsky back at 11:30 a.m., 8-6-62, and told him I had all the details and was ready to square off with him about this matter. I then read to him the statement executed by Special Agent Leen and told Sokolsky this was confirmed by a second statement executed by Special Agent Hartnett who was present during the interviews. I told Sokolsky this confirmed our earlier beliefs that our Agents had made no such statement and that he, Sokolsky, had gone off half cocked in believing someone else who obviously was intentionally trying to get him "stirred up."

George led into and Cohn with some vengeance. He stated he now realized that he was absolutely wrong in making the allegation against Agent Leen. He stated he had been used by and Cohn and that he resented this very much. He went on to say that the woman interviewed by the Agents, is a "dope" and he does not trust her in the least. He added that and Cohn should learn to keep their mouths shut and that he planned telling both of them that he will not be used any further in connection with the case. George also told me that obviously Cohn or had caused to ask the Agents, "What type of trouble is Roy Cohn in?" He stated this, too, was very ill-advised and was a stupid tactic on the part of Cohn and

I took the opportunity of telling George once again that he should keep several things in mind. First, that the FBI was investigating this case at the instructions of the Attorney General and second that when instances of the above nature arise he should call me personally rather than going off half cocked. I told him that we had always leveled with him in the past and would do so in all instances; however, when he took somebody else's word against ours without checking, that it was his own fault that he was "used." He stated he now realized this fact and appreciated our being so blunt in correcting him.

ACTION:

No administrative action is recommended in connection with Agents Leen and Hartnett inasmuch as it appears obvious that they conducted themselves in a proper manner. We will keep in touch with Sokolsky regarding this matter.
DeLoach to Mohr  8-1-62
Re: __________

George he could scare Nichols all he wanted to but he should stay the hell away from the FBI in connection with such threats.

We went on for some period of time in the usual argumentative manner in which I have dealt with George for some years. He obviously has no intention of writing a column concerning the FBI and fully understands that we conduct these investigations at the specific instructions of the Attorney General. He obviously bluffed L. B. Nichols into thinking that he was going to write a column which would include the FBI.

I have instructed ASAC Winterrowd of the New York Office to immediately obtain an affidavit from Special Agent Leen in connection with George' allegation. Based upon the facts obtained, I plan to call George back and, if our condition is favorable, ram these facts down his throat.

ACTION:

This matter will be followed closely.
The Attorney General

August 13, 1962

Director, FBI

ROY COHN
BRIEGERY

Information has been received by this Bureau which indicates that efforts have been made to influence important potential Government witnesses in this matter.

This is the case in which and Roy Cohn were allegedly

It has been ascertained from a confidential source that

The investigation into this matter is continuing and important developments will be brought to your attention.

1 - The Deputy Attorney General

Mr. Herbert J. Miller, Jr.
Assistant Attorney General

Mr. Evans

ACL: DOM

(11)

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPING UNIT

See memo Rosen to Belmont 8/10/62, ACL
Transmit the following in

(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN
BRIBERY

Rerep of SA R. BURNS TOOLSON, dated 8/4/62, at Las Vegas, on the above captioned matter.

Referenced report sets forth information obtained confidentially from

It is to be noted that [Handwritten note]

and stated that there were [Handwritten note]

Information furnished by LV 69-C* indicates that both ROEN and [Handwritten note]

have evidently received information concerning this case which they are not making available to the USA's office, SDNY, or the FBI. This informant [Handwritten note]

Approved: [Handwritten signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per

REC 2A 5/8-5100

1 New York (58-1232)

MPL: [Handwritten note]

12:20 pm

9/12/62

12:20 pm

12/20/62
The above information has not as yet been furnished to the USA's Office, SDNY. It is suggested that the NYO advise USA, SDNY, of the possible existence of this either by the USA's Office or the Bureau. It is also suggested that the USA be advised that a confidential source, whose identity cannot be divulged, has advised that
The identity of LV 69-C* would not appear to be in danger of being disclosed since apparently has talked to many people concerning the allegations in this case.

Bureau authority to make the information known to USA MORGENTHAU as to is requested for whatever action is deemed advisable by the USA.
URGENT 7-26-62  10-16 PM  JAA

TO  DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/  -10-

FROM  SAC, NEW YORK /58-1232/  2 P

ETC., ET AL., BRIBERY.

INTERVIEWED. FURNISHED SUBSTANTIALLY SAME INFO

GIVEN E8J AND PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED BUREAU.

UPON CONCLUSION OF THE INTERVIEW  SAI'D THAT

UPON THE ADVICE OF  HE HAD SENT A LETTER TO INSPECTOR D

LOACH AT THE BUREAU SETTING FORTH  CONTACTS WITH VARIOUS LAS

VEGAS PEOPLE AND OTHERS REPUTED TO BE ENGAGED IN HOODLUM ACTIVITIES.

END PAGE ONE

EX-116  

WERE SUBJECTS IN ORIGINAL SFC CASE

SEND DIRECTOR  7-27-62

56 AUG 21 1962  MR. BELMONT FOR THE DIRECTOR
PAGE TWO

THIS LETTER MAY HAVE INFO CONCERNING INSTANT CASE. ALSO ADVISED THAT WAS VERY UPSET ABOUT THIS INVESTIGATION AND SAID THAT HE WOULD CONTACT THE BUREAU IN THIS MATTER. ALSO SUGGESTED THAT THE NYO COULD GET THE TRUE STORY FROM ROY COHN AND THAT HE THOUGHT THE NYO SHOULD CONTACT COHN. HE ALSO SAID THAT HE WOULD SUGGEST TO ROY COHN THAT ROY COHN CONTACT THE NYO. NYO WILL NOT CONTACT COHN. USA, SDNY, DOES NOT WANT COHN INTERVIEWED AT PRESENT REGARDING DETAILS OF THIS CASE.

END

NY R 10 WA RL

TU RL

CC: MR. ROSEN
CC: MR. LARSON 2256
REFERENCE: Las Vegas airtel to Los Angeles dated 8/1/62, (interoffice).

ADMINISTRATIVE

The Los Angeles office indices does not contain any reference to __________________

LEADS

LAS VEGAS

AT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA. Information copy of this report furnished to your office because of the current investigation being conducted within your office regarding this matter.
NEW YORK

AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK. An extra copy of this report is being designated for your office in the event you desire this report to be disseminated to the U. S. Attorney.
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: JOHN M. AGNEW
Date: 8/7/62
Field Office File No.: 58-495
Title: 

Character: BRIBERY

Synopsis:

California, states he was not employed by the Desert Inn Hotel, Las Vegas, Nevada, as a cashier but only [illegible] in the Los Angeles area while attending law school did not recognize a photograph of and he could not furnish any information regarding a cash withdrawal from the cashier's cage at the Desert Inn on 8/23/59.

- RUC -
California, was interviewed at his place of business pursuant to appointment made by telephone on the same day. He was asked if he has ever been employed as a cashier at the Desert Inn Hotel or casino at Las Vegas, Nevada. He said he has never been employed as a cashier at the Desert Inn Hotel or casino, although he has been employed by

said he came to California from Cleveland, Ohio, and that he had stopped in Las Vegas, Nevada, for a short time at the residence of

Las Vegas, Nevada. He related that he married a daughter of

of Las Vegas, Nevada, married another daughter of

He said is employed as a cashier at the Desert Inn and has been so employed for a number of years.

claimed that his employment in the

was during the period

he attended at Los Angeles, and that he was a student at the school in 1959, in his last year of training.

He said he was involved in an automobile accident a very short time after graduating from law school, and he was seriously injured. He has not completely recovered from these injuries and is decidedly crippled at present in his left leg and walks with extreme difficulty with the use of a leg brace and a cane.

He related that the only time he has ever been inside a cashier's cage at the Desert Inn was a single occasion, date he could not recall, but the purpose was to obtain an aspirin tablet.

He said he and his wife have been invited to spend week ends in Las Vegas, free of charge, through the courtesy of on a number of occasions and these invitation have been accepted, but that his trips

8/6/62 Reseda, California

File # Los Angeles 58-495

by SA'S LOGAN J. LANE and JOHN M. AGNEW

Date dictated 8/7/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
were for the purpose of personal pleasure.

He said

but that he did not know what the debts represented. He said he has made a complete statement concerning his employment by Inspection Division, Internal Revenue Service, Los Angeles, California, telephone RI 9-4711, Extension 1257. He said his name was on the payroll of the Desert Inn but that he was never a cashier, never handled funds at the casino cashier's cage and has no knowledge of business transactions at the hotel.

in the Los Angeles area associated with, that he and his wife have four children, and reside in a nice home which was "paid for by insurance money" he received in settlement for personal injuries in the automobile accident previously referred to.

He was specifically asked if he was on duty in the cashier's cage at the Desert Inn on August 23, 1959, and he denied any knowledge of any activity at the hotel on that particular date or having been connected with business transactions at the cashier's cage on any occasion. He was shown a series of three photographs, two front and one side view, of stated the photograph was not recognized by him as that of any person with whom he is acquainted and that he could not identify the person in the photograph.
This is the case in which

U. S. Attorney feels that he be interviewed. There is no indication

No indication the letter to Mr. DeLoach has been received as yet.
FBI

Date: 8/13/62

Transmit the following in [Type in plain text or code]

Via AIRTEL [Priority or Method of Mailing]

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: BRIBERY ET AL

Approved: [Signature]

Sent M Per

Special Agent in Charge
Interviewed 8/10/62. Advised that he is a

He said he has been

work in the Desert Inn since 1950. He said that for the past
several months there have been certain problems with respect
to expansion in Las Vegas of the Desert Inn and Stardust
Hotel. He specified these problems as follows:

1. A question as to the number of rooms to be
   included in a high riser building attached to the Desert Inn;

2. Negotiations with Sachs Fifth Avenue and Nieman
   Marcus concerning store space on the ground floor;

3. A question as to whether or not a health club
   should be included at the Stardust.

He said that Moeb Dalitz made many of the
decisions at these hotels concerning these expansion problems,
and others concerning these construction

him that he would be in NYC at that time and since Roen was
also there on other business, they would get together on the
matter. Said that he did not specifically ask anyone
to get in touch with Dalitz in Europe to get Dalitz back to
the US. He said he did not ask to get in

touch with Dalitz in Europe for him. He recalled that he

would be a good idea if Dalitz were here to make some of the
decisions necessary. To his

knowledge was in no way involved with any of the problems
occasioned by the expansion of the hotels in Las Vegas.
advised on 8/10/62 that he did not know ROY COHN and that he had only met him on one occasion at a luncheon engagement. COHN had with some attorney friend of mine that he never contacted him to have him represent him in any legal matter. He said he was contacted sometime prior to August, 1959, to represent him and that he met him in NYC and asked him to act as his attorney. He considered the matter and decided that he did not want to represent and the Las Vegas people because of connections he thought they had that he did not want to get involved with. He consulted his diary and said that he met on this matter on 12/7/58 and that he had been suggested to as an attorney by Colorado telephone number AM 6-0636. He explained that he contacted on this matter and that was referred to by attorney who was a lifelong friend of mine, and said he is quite sure that ROY COHN was not involved in this matter at all.
Date of Mail 8-10-62.

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Branch.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL

Removed By 05 AUG 28 1962

File Number 58-5100-104

Permanent Serial Charge Out
Copy to: 1 - USA, SDNY (ATT: AUŠA SILVIO J. MOLLO)

Report of: SA MAURICE P. LEEN
Date: 8/16/62

Field Office File No.: NY .58-1232.
Bureau File No.: 58-5100

Title: ROY COHN

Character: BRIBERY

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
INTERVIEWS CONCERNING IMPROPRIETIES REGARDING FEDERAL GRAND JURY HEARINGS UNITED DYE, 1959
Furnished the following information under oath. was advised that he need not furnish any information and that any information he did furnish could be used against him in a court of law. was also advised that he had the right to consult an attorney. said that he had talked to his attorney, and that he, had decided to furnish any information requested by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).
and that he would be glad to make this correspondence available.

said that he did not desire to furnish a signed statement unless the statement was reviewed by his attorney. He mentioned that his attorney was
Previously, [Redacted] has advised his attorney is [Redacted] of the law firm of [Redacted].
New York City, being duly sworn, hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to Maurice P. Leen and Edward P. Hartnett who have identified themselves as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. No threats or promises have been made to me to induce me to furnish this statement. I understand that I do not have to furnish this statement and that this statement could be used against me in a court of law. I understand that I have a right to consult an attorney.
"Sworn to and subscribed before me in NYC on 7/30/62.
Maurice P. Leen, Special Agent, FBI.
Witness - Edward P. Hartnett, Special Agent, FBI, NYC, 7/30/62."

\( \text{was previously interviewed on July 24, 1962. In relating the details of her contacts with} \)
returned to the United States on [illegible] with aboard the SS FRANCE. She met [illegible] at the boat and went with her to the St. Regis Hotel in New York City.
By letter dated August 8, 1962, made available a bill of the New York Telephone Company, for PL 1-3434. On June 25, 1962, this bill reflects charges for two phone calls to Paris, France from New York City. The first charge was for $40.00, the second for $12.00. Tax on the calls was computed at $5.20 for a total bill for the calls of $57.20.

She also made available a copy of an invoice dated July 31, 1962, reflecting a charge to the Desert Inn of $57.20. The invoices reference and has the following explanation:

"Phone call from (Paris) as per instructions from"
furnished the following information under oath. He was advised that he did not have to make any statement, that any statement he did make could be used against him in a court of law and that he had the right to consult an attorney.

On 8/10/62 at NYC File #: NY 58-1232

SAS MAURICE P. LEEN and EDWARD P. HARTNETT:mf

Date dictated 8/13/62
On 7/17/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada File # NY 58-1232

by SA MAURICE P. LERN/LCM Date dictated 7/23/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
said he does not know and while he does not know RAY COHN by sight, he can not recall that COHN or in any way contacted the group during the evening. He can not recall that anyone else contacted the group while they were at
furnished the following information under oath. he was advised that he did not have to make any statement, that any statement he made could be used against him in a court of law and that he had the right to consult an attorney.

advised that sometime prior to August, 1959, he was contacted by an attorney concerning the possibility that he had been with others in Las Vegas, Nevada, with respect to the possibility that he could represent him. He considered this matter thoroughly and decided that he could not represent since he associated and since he did not want to get tied up with that group, who he thought may have been involved in matters which would not enhance law practice.

said that he is sure that ROY COHN had never asked him to represent but said that he would ascertain this from his office records and make the name available. pointed out that the did not know ROY COHN and had only met COHN on one occasion when COHN was at lunch with some attorney friend of and was introduced to COHN as a member of the luncheon group. He has had no business dealings with COHN.

On August 13, 1962, telephonically advised that his records indicate that the attorney, was the attorney who contacted regarding
possible representation of attorney. This was in December, 1958, and met with on December 7, 1958, according to is an attorney practicing in telephone number AN 6-0636. was referred to by a mutual friend, the attorney,
INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM THE
DESERT INN, LAS VEGAS
These names are as follows:

7/16 & 17/62 at Las Vegas, Nevada  File # NY 58-1232

by SA MAURICE P. LEEN/30cm                Date dictated 7/23/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
FINANCIAL INFORMATION CONCERNING
AND HIS FAMILY
A confidential source in a position to furnish information concerning

On 7/2/62 at New York City File # NY 58-1232

by SA MAURICE P. LEEN/tcm Date dictated 7/9/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
NY 50-1232

The above records are not to be made public except upon the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum addressed to
INVESTIGATION WITH AIRLINES PERSONNEL
New York, was exhibited a photograph of and she stated that she had no recollection of being a passenger on Flight 10, American Airlines, August 23-24, 1959.

stated that the photograph was not familiar to her and also that she was unable to provide any information regarding the identity of any other passenger on the flight.
Stewardess, American Airlines, was exhibited a photograph of and advised that to the best of her knowledge she does not recall seeing on any flight when she was on duty. She stated that too long a period of time has elapsed since the questioned flight to recall any passenger on the trip.
The following investigation was conducted by the San Francisco office:

Trans World Airlines, (TWA), advised on July 27, 1962 that he could not identify a photograph of and did not recollect being a passenger August 23 or 24, 1959. Stewardess, TWA, Redwood City, California on July 30, 1962 advised she could not identify a photograph of and did not recall his being a passenger on any TWA flights in August, 1959.

TWA, stewardess, San Francisco on August 1, 1962 advised she could not identify a photograph of and had no recollection of his being a passenger on August 23 or 24, 1959.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN BRIbery

Re New York airtel to the Director, dated 7/25/62, which set forth a lead for the Chicago Office to interview and a lead for the Detroit Office to interview NICK PIETROSANTE.

Chicago and Detroit are requested to expedite submission of a report covering these interviews.

Bureau airtel to New York, dated 7/25/62, instructs that auxiliary offices should complete their investigation in this case and submit a report within five days of receipt of request of the investigation.

Bureau (58-5100)
1-Chicago
1-Detroit
1-New York (58-1232)

MPL: pdw (7)

Approved: J
Sent M Per

5 AUG 1962

Official Agent in Charge
To: SAC, New York (58-1232)
From: Director, FBI

Re: Report dated 8/16/62.

The following was added to cover page C of report and should be added to your office copies of this report: "Persons interviewed were informed that this investigation is being conducted at the specific request of the U. S. Attorney." You should continue to make certain that interviewees in the future are also advised of this.

The information developed by Las Vegas from LV 69-C* and that developed regarding the ICU record maintained by the Desert Inn should be furnished to the USA for his consideration in this matter. The identity of the sources of this information should of course be protected.

Continue to press this matter vigorously and report by 9/11/62. Advise all auxiliary offices when setting forth leads to report on results of investigation within five days from receipt of the request. This matter must receive top priority attention.
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**NOTATIONS**: [Redacted] STAT. SECT.
CG 58-466

DETAILS: AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

On August 8, 1962, Chicago, Illinois, advised that he would make himself available for an interview on August 9, 1962.
Chicago, Illinois, after referring to his calendar and his expense voucher for the month of June, 1962, advised that he was in Detroit, Michigan, during the period of June 19, 1962, through June 21, 1962. He advised that he met____ at the Statler Hotel in Detroit, Michigan, on the evening of June 21, 1962, and that they had dinner, and flew from Detroit to Chicago the same evening together.____ furnished details of his trip to Detroit and his meeting with____

On August 10, 1962, the information furnished by____ was incorporated in the following signed sworn statement:

"August 10, 1962
Chicago, Illinois

"I,____ being duly sworn, hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to Billy E. Webb and Charles E. Price, who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. I understand this statement may be used in a court of law.

"I reside at____ Illinois, and my residence telephone number is HI 6-0532.
I am employed as____ Chicago, Illinois, and have been employed by this company for approximately five and one half years.

"Approximately one year ago,____ started a travel service within its operations and entered into an agreement with____ Incorporated of New York City, to handle this service. During the negotiations I met____ later became a wholly owned subsidiary of____ of New York City, and____ obtained a stock option and representation on the board of directors of____

8/9-10/62 at Chicago, Illinois

On____ at____ File # CG 58-466

SAs BILLY E. WEBB and CHARLES E. PRICE/doc

Date dictated 8/17/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
I was selected by The executive chairman of this board in New York City.

"On June 19, 1962, or prior thereto, the exact date I am now unable to recall, I received a telephone call from [ ] and was advised he was to be in Chicago for a meeting with some people on June 22, 1962, and would more than likely arrive in Chicago on the evening of June 21, 1962. It is my recollection that I told Fugazy I did not believe I would be in Chicago on June 21, 1962, as I had to visit Detroit, Michigan, during that week on some business. I told him that I would stay at the Statler Hotel while in Detroit, and believe he suggested that he might fly into Detroit on June 21, 1962, and we could meet and have dinner and return to Chicago together. I believe [ ] said he could do a favor for Roy Cohn while in Detroit. I have no knowledge of the nature of the favor.

"I departed Chicago on June 19, 1962, by automobile with my wife and a partner of the accounting firm of Arthur Anderson, for Detroit, and arrived there the same day. I registered at the Statler Hotel. The purpose of my trip to Detroit was to negotiate a purchase for [ ] and this was the only reason for my trip. I completed this business in the early afternoon of June 21, 1962.

"On June 21, 1962, sometime before noon, I received a message at the Statler Hotel that [ ] telephoned. I placed a person to person telephone call to his office in New York City during the early afternoon of June 21, 1962,
from a suite located in a men's club in downtown Detroit. I do not recall the name of this men's club, but I believe it was the Detroit Athletic Club. This telephone call was made on my credit card which is numbered [redacted]. The operator was unable to complete this call at this time; however, shortly thereafter I talked to [redacted] and asked him where and when we should meet. I believe he said he would meet me in the Statler Hotel lobby around 6:00 PM on June 21, 1962. I recall asking [redacted] if he wanted me to meet him at the airport and recall him telling me that he was going not by someone else with whom he had to discuss a matter and that they would discuss this matter during the ride from the airport to the hotel. I do not know who he did not at the airport nor do I know what was discussed.

"I was waiting in the lobby of the Statler Hotel on the evening of June 21, 1962, and observed [redacted] and one other white male, whom I had never seen before, enter the lobby together. I believe this was somewhere around 6:30 PM. I assume this was the person who met me at the airport as I showed annoyance as [redacted] was late, and he remarked his plane was late, and this person indicated a confirmation of this. I was introduced to this man with [redacted], but I am now unable to recall his name, I would describe this man as being in his upper [redacted] about [redacted] or [redacted] medium build, and weighing between [redacted] and [redacted] pounds. I remember no distinct characteristics about him with the exception that this individual appeared to be extremely nervous and may possibly have smoked cigars, I believe I would recognize him if seen again.

"This individual, immediately after being introduced to me, asked [redacted] if he could talk to him, and they moved a short distance away from me and carried on a conversation for approximately ten minutes. I do not know what the conversation
concerned, and it was not discussed with me
by [_____] When the two finished their talk, [_____] and I left the hotel lobby, and I last observed this individual standing in the lobby of the hotel. I do not know if he also left the hotel immediately or not. I remember distinctly that there was only this individual with [_____] when they entered the hotel lobby. I do not know how [_____] got from the airport to the hotel but assume it was by automobile with this individual to whom I was introduced.

[_____] and I, when departing the hotel lobby, went to a restaurant, name unrecalled, for dinner. This restaurant is located on the same street and on the same side of the street as the Statler Hotel, and I believe it is across the street from one of the other main hotels in Detroit, [_____] said he was to see a Nick Pietronsanti at this restaurant. When we arrived at the restaurant, I was introduced to Pietronsanti, who was already there, and he joined us at our table. [_____] and I had dinner, and [_____] talked with Pietronsanti relative to Pietronsanti joining his organization and [_____] in the Detroit area for the major corporations. We were in this restaurant for over an hour, and when we departed, [_____] and I took a taxi from the restaurant to the airport where we later boarded a plane for Chicago.

"I recall that one day after June 30, 1962, (and I believe it was the day that [_____] was questioned or appeared before some type of committee) receiving a telephone call at my residence somewhere after 9:00 PM from [_____] told me that I would probably be questioned concerning the man who met him at the airport in Detroit the evening that we had dinner together, [_____] at this time again told me this man's name, but I am unable to recall it."
"The following morning, after this call from [___] I telephoned [___] at his office for the purpose of obtaining the name of this individual who had met him. [___] told me not to worry about it as he had spoken to Roy Cohn and told him that he had called me the night before, and Cohn said this wasn't necessary. [___] did not at this time tell me the name of the man who met him.

"I have read the foregoing statement consisting of five (5) typewritten pages and declare that it is true.

"/s/ [___]

"Sworn to and subscribed before me on 3/10/32 at Chicago, Illinois.
/s/ Charles E. Price, Special Agent, Federal Bureau of Investigation


[___] did not desire to set forth the name of the partner of ARTHUR ANDERSON, Certified Public Accountant Firm, who accompanied him and his wife to Detroit in the signed statement, but advised that this man's name is [___] of Chicago, Illinois, also advised that he had asked to be removed from the board of directors of [___] and that he would submit his resignation in the immediate future.

Upon request, [___] was furnish a copy of the above statement.
AIRTEL

To: SAC, New York (58-1232)

From: Director, FBI (58-5100)

Furnish the Bureau an airtel summary by 8/31/62 of investigation conducted since the last report. All investigation presently outstanding must be completed and a report submitted by 9/11/62. Afford this matter top priority attention.

ACL/nkr
(4)
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AUG 31-62 -
called NICK PIETROSANTE at his home on two occasions in 6/62. First call concerned PIETROSANTE's interest in employment. Second call arranged appointment. PIETROSANTE met with [_____] in Detroit for dinner during period 6/19-21/62. During stopover en route Chicago, Ill., PIETROSANTE also met with [_____] in New York City relative to employment week end of 7/14/62. [_____] unknown to PIETROSANTE.

DETAILS:

At Bloomfield Hills, Michigan
NICK PIETROSANTE, 32305 Chesterbrook, Farmington, Michigan, was interviewed at Cranbrook School at which time he furnished the following information:

He first met _________ at a sports banquet in Jamestown, New York, some time ago, date unrecollected. He described ________ as a type of individual who is interested in athletes engaged in various sports. He is acquainted with several members of the New York Giants football team.

About eight weeks prior to interview date, PIETROSANTE received a call from _________ at his home at which time ________ asked him if he would be interested ________.

PIETROSANTE stated he would be interested _________ and indicated he would recontact PIETROSANTE for further discussion.

About a week later, _________ called again at his home and related he was going to Chicago, and would stop in Detroit to discuss the matter of employment. As PIETROSANTE recalls, a dinner meeting was arranged for the next evening.

PIETROSANTE could not recall the exact date, but it occurred at the time of the Material Handling Show at Cobo Hall in Detroit. He believes this was just after the middle of June, 1962.

_______ accompanied by _________ in Chicago, met PIETROSANTE at the Wonder Bar about 7:30 P.M., where they all had dinner. It was PIETROSANTE's recollection _________ had traveled by American Airlines and had arrived at the airport about 6:30 P.M. and the two men had come directly to the restaurant.

To his knowledge, no other individuals accompanied _________ and no reference was made to anyone who may have done so. He does not recall ________ making any calls while in his company.

SA JOHN L. KNOTT(A)/rlk

8/15/62

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Conversation consisted of the discussion of the establishment of the [ ] in Detroit and the possible employment of PIETROSANTE as well as some discussion of sports and casual conversation.

PIETROSANTE stated he is aware that [ ] and ROY COHN were engaged in [ ] He added he was interested in sports promotion in Detroit and at the time felt the association with [ ] might be helpful in this regard. The travel agency also appeared to have an excellent potential as it was his understanding [ ] makes a lot of trips to Detroit in connection with this business and has several large accounts in Detroit, particularly Nash-Kelvinator.

After dinner at approximately 9:30 P.M., [ ] and [ ] left to go to the airport to catch their plane to Chicago. As he recalled, the plane left Metropolitan Airport at 10:20 P.M. and there was some conversation as to taking a cab in order to make the plane. He believes a cab was used at his suggestion.

PIETROSANTE was scheduled for an appearance at Governor's Day at Hershey, Pennsylvania, on July 16, or 17, 1962.

He flew to New York with his wife for the preceding week end and stayed in [ ] suite at the St. Moritz. The trip to New York was paid for by "Sports Illustrated."

Friday night was spent at Toots Shor's restaurant and he and his wife saw a Broadway show on Saturday night. While in New York, [ ] introduced him to the New York [ ] name unrecalled, and there was more discussion relative to his employment and the business.

Saturday afternoon he played golf with [ ] MOE DALITZ, and ED SULLIVAN. After the week end, Mr. and Mrs. PIETROSANTE were flown to Hershey in a plane belonging to the Lionel Corporation in which [ ] PIETROSANTE has not been in contact with since that date.
PIETROSAnte states he is unacquainted with a
and the name is not familiar to him.
On August 10, 1962, Sales Office, Cobo Hall, advised the Material Handling Show was held June 19, 1962, through June 21, 1962.

The Wonder Bar is located at 1221 Washington Boulevard, which is almost opposite the Sheraton-Cadillac Hotel.
Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Branch.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC; NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN
BRIbery

Ref: NY Airtel to Bureau 8/27/62 and Buairtel to NY 8/27/62.

Since last report following investigation has been completed:

Complete financial background investigation regarding has been completed and nothing of importance has been developed.

Details of has been obtained and nothing of importance noted.

Toll calls from telephones listed to for month of June, 1962, obtained and show calls by on 6/25 and 6/26/62 to in Paris, France.

Investigation conducted at Avis Rent-A-Car to determine if rented a vehicle during 8/19-24/62 was negative.

(6) Bureau (58-5100)
(1) New York (58-1232)

AJA:tb

REC-55

58-5100-1/3

12 SEP 1 1962
NY 58-1232

All reservation cards of Air France were reviewed for pertinent period to determine if MOE DALITZ reservation to return from France was made in US. Indications from review are that reservation was made in France. Air France presently checking their records in Europe to determine facts regarding reservation.

Interviewed but could not recall taking a photograph of nor was he able to identify photograph of

NYO presently interviewing 10 NYC residents who were at Desert Inn 8/22-24/59, to attempt to find witness who observed there at that time.

Remaining airlines personnel on pertinent flights during pertinent period interviewed with negative results regarding

NYO presently conducting financial background investigations concerning and other investigation set forth in NY airtel of 8/27/62.

Daily contact being maintained with office of USA, SDNY.

For information of Bureau, conference scheduled this date with AUSAs and WOLPIN called off by AUSA because of court appearance by AUSAs. Conference tentatively scheduled for 9/4/62, at which time discussion to be held regarding investigation outstanding in this matter and what
NY 58-1232

Further investigation is to be requested by USA, SDNY. Bureau will be advised of results of this conference on 9/4/62.

This matter receiving top priority attention by NYO and will continue to be pressed vigorously by this office.

- 3 -

Approved: ___________________________ Sent _____________ M Per _____________

Special Agent in Charge
TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM : SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN

BRIBERY

Re NY airtels to Bureau, 8/27/62 and 8/31/62.

Conference with AUSAs, SDNY, which had been scheduled for 9/4/62, postponed by USA's Office because of one AUSA on AL, another occupied all day at trial, and USA MORGENTHAU'S resignation.

AUSA recontacted 9/5/62 and arrangements made for conference to be held along lines set forth in reairtels at 4 P.M. on 9/6/62. AUSA

Bureau will be promptly advised of results of conference. This matter receiving top priority attention by NYO and will continue to be pressed vigorously by this office.

Approved: [Signature]

Sent: [Signature] M Per: [Signature]
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Enclosed are two copies each of two letterhead memoranda prepared by the New York Office dated 5/14/64, which are self-explanatory.

As indicated in the memoranda, retrial of this case is scheduled to begin in U. S. District Court, Southern District of New York, 6/9/64. Accordingly, it would be appreciated if, through appropriate sources available to you, the requested investigation in France and in the Balearic Islands could be completed as soon as possible in order that the results may be made available to the U. S. Attorney, Southern District of New York.

Enclosures (4)

1 - Foreign Liaison Section (Route through for review)
Date: 5/14/64

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT

AIRTEL

Via ___________ ____________ __________ __ ______________ __ ______ __

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
BRIEBRY; OOL; PERJURY

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 5/12/64, BY #274-508 +274/633

Enclosed herewith are the original and 5 copies
of a LHM requesting investigation be conducted by the
Legat, in Paris, France.
The United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, advised that he has received information to the effect that Gottesman has made telephone calls to Pierre Du Pasquier. The United States Attorney (USA) has requested that Du Pasquier be interviewed to determine his relationship with Gottesman and to attempt to ascertain any European investments on the part of Gottesman.

Investigation has reflected that Du Pasquier is located at 26 Avenue Des Champs Elysees, Paris, France.

It is further requested that the above investigation be expedited since re-trial in this matter is scheduled to begin June 9, 1964.

All persons interviewed in this investigation are to be informed that the investigation is at the request of the USA, Southern District of New York.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN BRIBERY; OIJ; PURJURY

ReNYairtel to the Bureau dated 5/12/64.

AUSA SDNY, advised this date that on 5/15/64, Defense Counsel entered motion to show cause why there should not be a continuance in this matter as well as a change of venue due to adverse publicity engendered during last trial.

FRANK RAICHLE, Attorney for COHN, also stated that should a continuance not be granted he would have to withdraw as counsel in the new trial.

USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY scheduled hearing on above motion for 9:30 A.M. 5/19/64.

NYO continues to follow this matter closely.
FBI

Date: 5/19/64

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT

(Typed in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT:

ROJ COHN;
MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
BURGLARY; OOJ; PERJURY

ReNYairtel to Bureau, 5/18/64.

Hearing was held this date before USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, regarding the defense motion for a continuance in this matter. Judge BONSAL after hearing all arguments stated that government should answer defense motion for continuance by 5:00 pm on 5/22/64.

NYO continues to follow this matter closely.

b6
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FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE
NEW HAVEN

OFFICE OF ORIGIN
NEW YORK

DATE
5/15/64

INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
5/14/64

TITLE OF CASE
ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN

REPORT MADE BY
SA HENRY J. SOMERS

CHARACTER OF CASE
BRIBERY; OOH; PERJURY

REFERENCE
New York airtel to New Haven, 5/12/64.

- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

Bureau

3 - New York (58-1232)
   (1 - USA, New York)

1 - New Haven (58-109)

DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT

AGENCY

REQUEST RECD.

DATE FWD.

HOW FWD.

56 JUN 1 1964

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 19—76324-4
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, New York

Report of: SA HENRY J. SOMERS
Date: May 15, 1964

Office: NEW HAVEN

Field Office File No.: 58-109
Bureau File No.: 

Title: ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN

Character: BRIBERY; OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; PERJURY

Synopsis: Investigation reflects that

currently resides

information as reflected in the files of the postal agent set forth. No credit record for at

RUC

DETAILS:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
The following individuals were all contacted on May 14, 1964, and they all advised after a review of their records which review included all of their branches, that they were unable to locate any record for indicating that she has availed herself of any of the facilities of their respective banks:
advised as follows:

resides in

and the

In addition to

He advised that

she recently obtained employment with

and it was understanding that this is primarily a part-time job. He does not know her salary but advised that since she has resided in his apartment she has paid her financial obligations promptly and as agreed and has never been a source of any difficulty.

He produced a letter from his files which letter was dated June 12, 1963, signed by on the stationery of

also advised that his files contain a report dated June 10, 1963, compiled by the Credit Bureau of Greater New York, 853 Broadway, New York City, and dated June 10, 1963, which indicates that was residing at that time at New York City. A checking account was reflected as, Commercial Bank of No. America, regular checking - as of August, 1960 balances in low 3 figures, routine non-borrowing, account satisfactory.

A regular checking account was reflected as Ind. Bank of Commerce and in April, 1961, balances were in high 3 figures, routine non-borrowing, account satisfactory. This report reflected the following trade in the name of

On 5/14/64 at Fairfield, Connecticut File # NH 58-109

by SA HENRY J. SOMERS: mac Date dictated 5/15/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
November 1, 1961 - Had 29 payments to make to a department store, attorney account.

August, 1961 - Had 23 payments to make to a department store, slow payment.

May, 1961 - Had 25 payments to make to a grocery store, slow payment.

The report indicates she had been on file since October, 1959, and four stores indicated a high credit of $70 and she paid within 30/60/90 or 120 days.

[Unreadable text] advised that his files contain no additional information concerning [Unreadable text]
On May 14, 1964, [ ] Bridgeport Credit Rating Bureau, 575 Broad Street, Bridgeport, Connecticut, which Bureau covers the Town of Fairfield, Connecticut, advised that a search of her files failed to contain any information identifiable with Connecticut.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE: CHICAGO
OFFICE OF ORIGIN: NEW YORK
DATE: 5/18/64
INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD: 3/20 - 5/13/64

TITLE OF CASE: ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
CHARACTER OF CASE: BRIbery; perjury

REPORT MADE BY: CHARLES E. PRICE


LEADS

ST. LOUIS

AT ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI. Will locate and interview to determine if she has any information concerning what may have happened to the registration records of at the Sheraton - Chicago Hotel after being returned to the record by the then assistant auditor of said hotel.

CHICAGO

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. Will continue to follow with Controller, Sheraton - Chicago Hotel, and report results of search

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

58-5100-255

REC 22

3 MAY 1964

STAFF SECT.

PROPERTY OF FBI. This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.
for records.

**ADMINISTRATIVE**

The investigation reflected in this report has been previously furnished to the office of origin by inter-office referenced communications. All leads were covered and reported within five days.

The Bureau has instructed all persons interviewed be informed that this investigation is being conducted at the request of the USA and also that all leads be covered and reported in five days upon receipt.

The telephone call mentioned on page three of this report, refers to a call received by SA Charles E. Price from Assistant United States Attorney Southern District of New York.
ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN

BRIBERY; OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; PERJURY

Sheraton - Chicago Hotel unable to produce registration records of_______ for period 9/19 - 27/62. In answer to subpoena received, hotel representative stated or inferred said records turned over to FBI, Chicago, in September, 1963. ________ of hotel, advised registration records not turned over to FBI, Chicago. ________ stated he did not intend to infer when talking with AUSA, SDNY, regarding subpoena received, that records were turned over to FBI, Chicago. States ________ of hotel, stated said records returned to hotel record room in September, 1963. AUSA ________ SDNY, advised. Search for records at hotel continues.
On March 20, 1964, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) [Southern District of New York (SDNY)], advised that a representative of the Sheraton - Chicago Hotel, Chicago, Illinois, in answer to a subpoena received, stated that the hotel registration records of for September, 1962, were turned over to the FBI in Chicago, Illinois, in September, 1963.

On March 20, 1964, Sheraton - Chicago Hotel, was advised by SA ALTON E. BRAMBLETT that no original records of his hotel were obtained by the FBI at Chicago during September, 1963. He was advised that the original hotel registration records reflecting the registration of during the period September 19, 1962 - September 27, 1962, July 27, 1962 - October 1, 1962, and August 4, 1962 - October 1, 1962 respectively, were reviewed by Sheraton - Chicago Hotel, on September 20, 1963, and that furnished information from these registration records to SA CHARLES E. PRICE. He was also advised that on September 23, 1963, made available for review the hotel's long distance telephone tickets for the month of September, 1962, and that these records were reviewed by SAs CHARLES E. PRICE and BILLY E. WEBB for the purpose of determining what long distance telephone calls were made from these individuals while at the hotel. One copy of 59 long distance telephone tickets was obtained by SA PRICE. The copies of the telephone tickets were the only "records" obtained by the FBI from the hotel, and these copies were made on the hotel's copying machine. The original telephone tickets, registrations, and ledger cards for these individuals, were left at the hotel in the possession of stated he would immediately institute a search for the desired records.
On March 25, 1964, I was contacted by SA CHARLES E. PRICE and he advised that he had been unable to locate the desired records. He stated he did not intend to infer when 'talking' with AUSA SDNY, relative to subpoena received by the hotel for the registration records of that the original registration records nor any other records of the hotel were turned over to the FBI in Chicago. He stated he had been advised by at the hotel, and who has since been transferred to the Sheraton - Gibson Hotel, Cincinnati, Ohio, that the original records were returned to the hotel's record room by him after they had been reviewed. He stated the search for the records continues and that he will advise AUSA if and when they are located.

On March 26, 1964, Sheraton - Chicago Hotel, advised that efforts to locate the desired records had been fruitless to date. He stated, however, that a search of the file room, this date, resulted in locating the original long distance telephone tickets for the hotel during September, 1962.

On April 4, 1964, SA PRICE telephonically advised AUSA SDNY of the fact that the registration records of were not turned over to the FBI at Chicago by the Sheraton - Chicago Hotel, and that they were last seen by SA PRICE in the possession of Matters concerning which SA PRICE could testify were discussed with.

AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK

On May 6, 1964, AUSA SDNY, advised the New York Office that during the recent trial in this matter he had a discussion with who was formerly assistant auditor of the Sheraton - Chicago Hotel. He stated that recalled the registration records of and stated that these records were furnished
to SA CHARLES E. PRICE in September, 1963, for review, by a female employee of the hotel whose first name was either [redacted] He thought this female clerk may recall what happened to the records after they were returned to her. He requested that this female employee of the hotel be interviewed concerning these records.
Sheraton – Chicago Hotel, Chicago, Illinois, advised that he has been unable to locate the registration records for the period September 19, 1962 – September 27, 1962, July 27, 1962 – October 1, 1962, and August 4, 1962 – October 1, 1962, respectively. He stated that 1961 and 1962 registration records of the hotel are now on microfilm and a search of the film as well as a search of the record room has proven fruitless in regard to locating these records.

He was advised that of the hotel, had allegedly related to the United States Attorney’s Office in the Southern District of New York (SDNY), that he recalled the records were furnished in September, 1963, to SA CHARLES E. PRICE for review by a female employee of the hotel whose first name was and he thought this female employee may recall what happened to the records after SA PRICE returned them to her.

He was advised by SA PRICE that on September 20, 1963, directed a Negro female clerk to pull the desired registration cards and the ledger sheets pursuant to the inquiry being made by SA PRICE. This female employee left office and she thereafter returned with the desired records. She gave them to who in turn reviewed them and furnished the information appearing thereon to SA PRICE. Arrangements were made to review the September, 1962, long distance telephone tickets charged to the rooms occupied by the above mentioned persons and the registration records and ledger sheets were last seen in the possession of.

He was advised that on September 23, 1963, SA PRICE and SA BILLY E. WEBB reviewed the September, 1962, long distance telephone tickets for the hotel, and a copy of each ticket desired was obtained from the hotel. These copies were made on the copying machine of the hotel by a female employee of the hotel at the direction of No original

On 5/13/64 at Chicago, Illinois File # CG 58-466

SAs CHARLES E. PRICE & O. ARTHUR GRAN /mjs Date dictated 5/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
records of any kind were taken from the hotel by SA PRICE.

stated there is no female employee by the name of employed in his department at the hotel. He then placed a long distance telephone call to in Cincinnati, Ohio and advised him that he directed a female clerk at the hotel, to pull the records desired by SA PRICE and that she did this and brought them to his office. He reviewed these registration records in the presence of SA PRICE and furnished him the desired information. SA PRICE thereafter reviewed the hotel's long distance telephone tickets for September, 1962, and was furnished a copy of each ticket he desired. He said the original registration records and ledger sheets were not returned to him nor SA PRICE as he recalls being told by SA PRICE that these records may later be subpoenaed and suggested that they be filed in a place where they would not be lost or destroyed. It is his recollection that he then placed these records in an envelope and returned them to the hotel's record room himself. He does not recall whether he put them in a record storage box or if he merely placed them on top of a storage box.

immediately made a search of the logical boxes in the record storage room in an effort to locate this envelope and he was assisted by SA PRICE and . ARTHUR GRAN. However, the desired records were not located. stated that it is most possible that these records have been destroyed explaining that since February, 1964, the hotel has been in the process of microfilming its records and destroying the originals. Any loose records or records which were improperly filed may well have been thrown aside and thereafter destroyed. He said, however, that he will have the record room emptied and each box containing records opened.
in an effort to locate the desired records. He estimates that this will take approximately two weeks.

concluded by stating that resigned from the hotel in February, 1964, for the purpose of having a cancer operation. She thereafter had this operation and her last known address is [redacted] which he believes is the residence of her mother, name unknown. He said is a Negro female, born [redacted], and that while in Chicago she resided at telephone care of
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
BRIbery; Oei; PERJURY

Re New York airtel to Bureau, 5/19/64.

AUSA SDNY, advised that
USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, on this date, denied defense
motions for a continuance or change of venue. Judge BONSAL
reiterated new trial in this matter will start 6/9/64.

AUSA further advised that GOTTESMAN's
attorney, on this date, filed a writ of
mandamus with the Second Circuit Court of Appeals to direct
Judge BONSAL to grant severance of GOTTESMAN. AUSA
related that arguments on the writ will be heard by the
Circuit Court on 6/1/64.

Pre-trial conference re housekeeping matters
at new trial to be held in Judge BONSAL's chambers at 4:00 PM
5/27/64.

NYO continues to follow this matter closely.
Enclosed are two copies of a letterhead memorandum dated 5/25/64 prepared by the New York office, which is self-explanatory.

As indicated in the memorandum, retrial in this case is scheduled to begin in USDC, SDNY, 6/9/64. Accordingly, it would be appreciated if, through appropriate sources available to you, the requested investigation could be completed as soon as possible in order that the results may be made available to the U. S. Attorney, SDNY.

Enclosures (2)

1-Foreign Liaison (Route through for review)

CAN: cad

Detached and forwarded direct. Dismiss 1/28/64 with S. T. Terry Keenan, Foreign Liaison.
Transmit the following in
PLAIN TEXT
(Type in plain text or code)

Via
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN;
MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
BRIbery; OOf; PERJURY

Enclosed herewith are the original and five copies
of a letterhead memorandum requesting investigation be conducted
at Toronto, Canada, by the Legat, Ottawa, Canada.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 5/26/64 BY 2024.03.28
#879.508

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

Per
In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
New York, New York
May 26, 1964

Roy Cohn;
Murray E. Gottesman

During recent trial in this matter, which ended in a mistrial on April 19, 1964 due to the death of the father of a juror, Cohn testified that he had contacted an attorney in

The United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, advised that allegedly had an interest in a

The United States Attorney related that it was interest in this corporation which was supposedly the subject of the inquiry by Cohn.

The United States Attorney has requested that be interviewed to determine any contacts he may have had with Cohn or. The United States Attorney further requested that an attempt be made to obtain copies of any correspondence between and Cohn or

It is requested that the above investigation be expedited since new trial in this matter is scheduled to begin on June 9, 1964.

All persons interviewed in this investigation are to be informed that the investigation is at the request of the United States Attorney, Southern District of New York.

PROPERTY OF FBI

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
SAC, New York: (58-1232) 5/23/64

Director, FBI (58-5100)

etc.;
NOT COMMON; et al.
BRIEF; GOJ; PERJURY

It has been learned informally from
Washington Office, Fugazy Travel Bureau,
that one Stanford, Connecticut, Telephone
Number DA2-2223, may have information regarding Roy Cohn's
activities, is willing to talk to a Special Agent about Cohn
and to testify if need be. The type of information
may have is not known to Bureau, reportedly 

New York discuss the above with USA Morgenthal, SDIW,
and if interview of Louis is desired, set forth appropriate
lead.

CAN/seo
(5)

NOTE: The above information was obtained by SA C. R. Davidson
from

JUN 1 1964
SAC/SEO
(5) 3100-355

Mail Room
Telegraph Unit

Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
Carper
Coffman
Coward
DeLouch
Evens
Gale
Rosen
Sullies
Tavel
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holmes
Gandy

REG-114
To: Director, FBI (58-5100)

From: Legat, Paris (58-11)(P)

Subject: BRIBERY; COJ; PERJURY

ROY COHN;
MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN

ReBulet 5/15/64 with enclosures.

Enclosed for Bureau are 3 copies of a LHM dated May 27, 1964. 2 copies of this communication along with its enclosure are being furnished to the Bureau for referral to the New York Office.
Mr. PIERRE DU PASQUIER was born in Le Havre, France, on August 14, 1891. He is a stockbroker and maintains offices at 26 avenue des Champs Elysees, Paris 16. Mr. DU PASQUIER has an honorable reputation and has no criminal record in France.

Upon personal interview, Mr. DU PASQUIER stated that he has no personal acquaintance with a MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN. He stated that this person is totally unknown to him. A search of Mr. DU PASQUIER's stockbroker client file failed to reflect the name GOTTESMAN.

Mr. DU PASQUIER explained that he has connections with the New York stock exchange and that he maintains an agency in that city called the Du Pasquier and Company Agency. It is located at 61 Broadway, New York City. It is possible that this branch office of his firm could have contacts with MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN BRIBERY; OOJ; PERJURY

ReNYairtel to Bureau, 5/26/64.

AUSA SDNY, advised this date that on 5/27/64, FRANK RAICHLE, Attorney for COHN, made motion for writ of mandamus from Second Circuit Court of Appeals to direct USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, to grant continuance or change of venue to COHN. AUSA related that this motion will be argued before circuit court on 6/1/64 along with previous motion for writ of mandamus by described in reairtel.

AUSA further advised that on 5/27/64 conference in Judge BONSAL's chambers, housekeeping matters were discussed. He added that RAICHLE stated he could not represent COHN if trial were to start 6/9/64 because of previous commitments. AUSA stated that Judge BONSAL told RAICHLE he would have to represent COHN at trial starting that date or provide a suitable substitute.

NYO continues to follow this matter closely.
AIRTLM

TO: SAC, New York (58-1232)  
FROM: Director, FBI (58-5100)

RE: 
AIR'TEL

Bill Beil; COJ; PERJURY

Airaitel 6/2/64.

You are to personally review this matter to insure that in those instances in which you have granted SA Arthur J. Achenbach authority to sit at counsel table in the forthcoming trial, pursuant to request of USA, SDNY, his presence there is absolutely vital.

Continue to keep Bureau informed of pertinent developments.

1 - Mr. Nuzum

CAN:jds (4)
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

ROY COHN;
MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
BRIBERY; OOJ; PERJURY

USA ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, SDNY, has requested that SA ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH be present at counsel table for new trial in this matter which is to commence 6/9/64. USA, SDNY, has presented this request because of the complicated nature of the investigation, the sizeable number of exhibits involved and anticipated requests for further investigation as the trial progresses.

In discussions regarding this request, it was pointed out to USA MORGENTHAU that it was felt SA ACHENBACH's presence at counsel table should be restricted to actual periods of testimony by witnesses concerning whom the Bureau has done extensive investigation and where a large number of exhibits exist relating to the particular witness. This would exclude SA ACHENBACH from spending unnecessary time at counsel table such as during reading of Grand Jury minutes or testimony of individuals concerning whom there are no Bureau exhibits.

As a result of these discussions, USA, SDNY, has requested SA ACHENBACH be at counsel table during testimony of the following:

1: ____________________________

3: Bureau
   New York

AJA: LW
(5)

Approved: _____________________

Sent ______ M Per ________

Special Agent in Charge
Representatives of various hotels, companies, restaurants, etc.

USA also requested that SA ACHENBACH also be present during testimony of any defense witness whom the Bureau has investigated or interviewed. It should be noted that the eight witnesses enumerated above have been interviewed and investigated by the Bureau and a number of FD 302s concerning them were furnished to the defense during last trial.

In view of the above, it is recommended that USA MORGENTHAU's request for SA ACHENBACH to be present at counsel table be approved for limited periods set forth above.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

ROY COHN
MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
BRIBERY; OOF; PERJURY

RenYairtel to Bureau, 5/28/64.

AUSA, SDNY, advised this date that on 6/2/64 Second Circuit Court of Appeals denied defense motions for writs of mandamus for severance of GOTTESMAN and continuance or change of venue in COHN trial.

AUSA related that hearing was held this date before USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, on defense application to substitute attorney EMILE ZOLA BERMAN for FRANK RAICHLE as counsel for COHN in coming trial. BERMAN informed Judge BONSAL that in order to adequately prepare his defense of COHN he would need a postponement of the trial until 9/1/64.

AUSA stated further that Judge BONSAL then denied the application and directed that RAICHLE and he present at USDC, SDNY, on 6/9/64 for start of trial of COHN.

NYO continues to follow this matter closely.

REG-122 58-5100-362

15 JUN 4 1964

Approved:
Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
FBI

Date: 6/5/64

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

AIRTEL

Via

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN BRIbery; OOJ; PERJURY

ReNYairtel to Bureau 6/3/64, and Bulletin to NY 5/28/64.

Through efforts of Stamford RA, arrangements made to have SAs ARTHUR J. AUCHENBACH and CHARLES J. GARVEY of NYO interview_______ at Stamford, Conn., on 6/8/64. UACB, SAs AUCHENBACH and GARVEY will proceed to Stamford that date to conduct interview.

AUSA________ SDNY, advised this date that hearing was held before USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, this date regarding FRANK RAICHLE discontinuing as defense attorney for COHN. He stated COHN asked Judge BONSAL if he would object to COHN acting as his own attorney and Judge BONSAL stated that he probably would not allow it.

AUSA________ stated that Judge BONSAL advised RAICHLE to be prepared to act as counsel for COHN at trial which will begin at 9:30 a.m. on 6/9/64.

NYO continues to follow this matter closely.

(3-Bureau
2-New Haven (58-109)
1-New York
A:JA: mkp
(7)
58 JUN 6 1964

Approved: Special Agent in Charge
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Belmont

FROM: A. Rosen

DATE: June 8, 1964

SUBJECT: ROY COHN;
MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
BRIBERY; OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE;
PERJURY

This is to advise that retrial of Roy Cohn and
Murray E. Gottesman, New York Attorney, is scheduled to begin
in U. S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
Previous trial of Cohn and Gottesman ended on 4/19/64, in the
fourth day of deliberation by the jury when the judge declared
a mistrial due to the death of the father of a juror.

Considerable investigation was conducted by our
New York Office pursuant to specific requests received from
U. S. Attorney Robert Morgenthau who conducted an extensive
Grand Jury probe of the alleged bribe.

The extensive investigation failed to obtain
corroboration of the allegation of the bribe. However, on
9/3/63, the Federal Grand Jury returned an indictment charging
Cohn and Gottesman with perjury, conspiracy and obstruction
of justice in connection with their testimony before the Grand
Jury.

The foregoing is for information. You will be ad-
vised of pertinent developments.

1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Malley
1 - Mr. McGrath
1 - Mr. Nuzum

58-5100

CAN: job
(7) " job"

56 JUN 18 1964
NEW TRIAL STARTED NINE THIRTY AM THIS DATE BEFORE US DJ, DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY. FRANK RAICHLE, COHN'S ATTORNEY, MADE ANOTHER MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS COHN'S COUNSEL FOR TRIAL. JUDGE BONSAL DENIED MOTION AND DIRECTED RAICHLE TO DEFEND COHN.

DAY SPENT IN SELECTION OF JURY. DEFENSE USED NINE OF TEN CHALLENGES, GOVERNMENT FOUR OF SIX. TRIAL RECESSED AT FOUR FORTY PM.

RAICHLE MADE MOTION TO DIRECT GOVERNMENT TO FURNISH DEFENSE WITH TESTIMONY OF BEFORE SEC. ARGUMENT TO BE HEARD ON MOTION AT NINE FIFTEEN AM TOMORROW. TRIAL RESUMES TEN AM TOMORROW WITH SELECTION OF FOUR ALTERNATE JURORS.

AUSA, SDNY, ADVISED THAT ON JUNE EIGHT LAST,

ENTERED MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE BASED ON MISCONDUCT BY REPRESENTATIVES OF USA'S OFFICE IN DELAYING SENTENCING OF IN UDY CASE. JUDGE BONSAL DENIED MOTION AND IMPROUNDED MOTION PAPERS.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER CLOSELY.

END AND PLS HOLD...
ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN, BIBLIOGRAPHIC

OJJ, PERJURY.

RENYTEL JUNE NINE LAST.

AUSA SDNY, ADVISED USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, IN

DATE DENIED DEFENSE MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF TESTIMONY OF

BEFORE SEC.

TRIAL RESUMED AT TEN AM THIS DATE AND FOUR ALTERNATE JURORS

SELECTED. GOVERNMENT OPENING BY AUSA PRESENTED. FRAN

RAICHE PRESENTED OPENING FOR COHN AND FOR GOTTESMAN.

AUSA MADE MOTION AT START OF DAY TO HAVE COMMENTS OF NY

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE GREENBERG REGARDING GOVERNMENT WITNESS

EXCLUDED FROM TRIAL. JUSTICE GREENBERG IN A CIVIL SUIT AGAINST

HAD LABELED A PERJURER AND THIS COMMENT RECEIVED WIDE

PRESS COVERAGE. JUDGE BONSAL RULED THAT NO REFERENCE SHOULD BE MADE TO

THIS STATEMENT IN OPENINGS AND TOOK UNDER ADVISEMENT RULING REGARDING

TRIAL PROPER.

END PAGE ONE

EX-114

6 JUN 11 1964
PAGE TWO

TRIAL RECESSED AT FOUR TWENTY FIVE PM. AUSA INFORMED COURT

GOVERNMENT'S CASE WOULD BEGIN WITH READING OF FGJ MINUTES RE COHN'S

APPEARANCE. DEFENSE OBJECTED QUESTIONING COMPETENCY AND MATERIALITY

IN VIEW OF DISMISSAL OF CONSPIRACY COUNT OF INDICTMENT. JUDGE BONSAL

POINTED OUT THAT ATLAST TRIAL DEFENSE STIPULATED TO COMPETENCY OF FGJ

MINUTES. FRANK RAICHLE STATED THAT WAS A MISTAKE AND WOULD NOT DO

SO NOW. JUDGE BONSAL DIRECTED DEFENSE TO REVIEW FGJ MINUTES OF COHN

THIS EVENING AND INDICATE PORTIONS THEY FELT SHOULD BE EXCLUDED. HE

WILL HAVE HEARING RE COMPETENCY AND MATERIALITY AT NINE TEN AM

TOMORROW. TRIAL RESUMES AT TEN AM TOMORROW.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER.

END AND PLS HOLD.............
FBI

Date: June 8, 1964

Transmit the following in

(Type in plain text or code)

Via Airtel REGISTERED

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

To: Director, FBI (58-5100)
   SAC, New York

From: Legat, Ottawa (58-5) (RUC)

Subject: ETC.:
   ROY COHN;
   MURRAY E. GOFFMAN
   BRIBERY; OOFF; PERJURY

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE...5-28-64.

ReBUlet 5-28-64.

On this date.

3 - Bureau
2 - New York (Airmail)
1 - Ottawa
MLI:jhc
(6)

Approved: __________________________ Sent __________ M Per __________

Special Agent in Charge
ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN, BRIBERY.
TO: LEGAT, Ottawa (58-5)
FROM: Director, FBI (58-5100) 369
ROY COHN, ET AL. EX-103

ReLogatairtel 6/8/64 and Bullet 5/28/64.

The contents of reairtel were disseminated by the NYO to AUSA, SDNY, who is principal Government counsel during the trial of Roy Cohn and Murray Gottesman which is currently in progress in USDC, SDNY. AUSA requested that the Law Association of Upper Canada, Toronto, Ontario, be expeditiously recontacted to determine the name of the individual of the Canadian Bar who can testify there is no member of the Bar named AUSA stated there is a possibility that individual may be called to testify concerning the above at the current trial.

Accordingly, it would be appreciated if, through appropriate sources available to you, the requested recontact could be made as soon as possible. Suairtel results with copies to New York.

1 - Foreign Liaison Unit (Route through for review)
CODED TELETYPE

FBI NEW YORK

10-57 P DEFERRED 6-11-64 DAE

TO DIRECTOR -12- 58-5100

FROM NEW YORK 58-1232

ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN, BRIBERY, b6 b7c

O0J, PERJURY.

RELEGAT OTTAWA AIRTEL TO BUREAU AND NY JUNE EIGHT LAST.

CONTENTS OF REAIRTEL DISSEMINATED THIS DATE TO AUSA SDNY. HE REQUESTED LEGAT OTTAWA EXPEDITIOUSLY RECONTACT LAW ASSOCIATION OF UPPER CANADA TO DETERMINE NAME OF INDIVIDUAL OF CANADIAN BAR WHO CAN TESTIFY THERE IS NO MEMBER OF BAR NAMED AUSA STATED POSSIBILITY THIS INDIVIDUAL MAY BE CALLED TO TESTIFY TO ABOVE AT CURRENT TRIAL IN THIS MATTER AT USDC, SDNY.

END AND PLS HOLD..............

EX-103

airtel to Legal Ottawa 6-12-64

cc - Paul Roberts

4. JUN 15 1964
BRIBERY, O0J, PERJURY.
REMYTEL JUNE ELEVEN LAST.
TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM THIS DATE. DAY SPENT IN READING COHN-S
TESTIMONY BEFORE FGJ, SDNY, BY AUSA- S________ AND DONALD
J. COHN, SDNY. TRIAL RECESSED AT FOUR PM TO RESUME AT TEN AM JUNE
FIFTEEN NEXT.
AUSA ______ ADVISED THAT READING OF FGJ TESTIMONY OF GOTTESMAN SHOULD
BE CONCLUDED ON MORNING OF JUNE FIFTEEN. GOVERNMENT WILL THEN CALL
SAS MAHER AND BLASINGAME AS WITNESSES. AUSA ______ SAID THEY WILL
BE FOLLOWED BY SEC AGENTS AS WITNESSES.
NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER CLOSELY.
END AND PLS HOLD

EX-108
REC 30
15 JUN 15 1964

JUN 19 1964
From New York 58-1232

Bribery, Ooj, Perjury.

Remytel June Twelve Last.

Trial resumed Ten Am This Date. Ausas and Donald J. Cohn, SDNY, concluded reading of Gottesman's FGJ testimony into record.

Sas Martin F. Maher and James T. Blasingame testified re their interview of Gottesman on five nine sixty-one. Ausa stated that these agents testified in clear and concise manner and substance of their testimony of substantial value to the government's prosecution of this matter.

L. ___ of SEC, Washington, DC, then testified as to the handling of criminal reference reports in Udy case.

Trial recessed at four twenty PM this date with testifi for government. Trial resumes ten AM tomorrow with again as witness. Ausa stated that witness after

Nyo continues to follow this matter closely.

Mail copy to san juan.

End

Wbs
Coded Teletype

FBI New York

Teletype

ROY COHN, MURRAY E.

GOTTESMAN, BRIBERY, O0J, PERJURY.

REMYTEL, JUNE FIFTEEN LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM THIS DATE WITH

GOVERNMENT WITNESS.

TRIAL RECESSAt AT FOUR

PM WITH CROSS EXAMINATION OF

BY FRANK RAICHLE.

RAICHLE INDICATED HIS CROSS EXAMINATION OF

ALMOST COMPLETED. NEXT GOVERNMENT WITNESSESS WILL BE

TRIAL RESUMES TEN AM

TOMORROW.

USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAI, SDNY, RULED THIS DATE ON PRIOR DEFENSE

MOTION THAT GOVERNMENT SHOULD PRODUCE MEMORANDUM PREPARED BY

FORMER AUSA CONCERNING COHN/S VISIT TO PRIOR

TO UDY INDICTMENT TO INQUIRE OF UDY INVESTIGATION. JUDGE

BONSAI STATED HE WOULD ALLOW DEFENSE TO READ MEMO IN COURT BUT

RULED CONTENTS MUST NOT BE DISCLOSED.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER CLOSELY.

END

60s JUN 24 1964

FBI WASHDC
To: Director, FBI

(Bufile: 58-5100)

Attention: 58-5

From: Legal Attache, Ottawa

Title
MORTON ROBSON, ETC.
ROY COHN
MURRAY E. GOTTSMAN

Character
Bribery; Oij; Perjury

Reference
My airtel 6-8-64.

Enclosed are the following communications received from

Original and two copies of

Remarks:
Enclosure confirms information in my reairtel.

Dissemination
May be made as received
May be made as indicated by stamp on enclosure
May not be made without further clearance with

Following offices would be interested in receiving copies of enclosures:

New York

Status with this office:

X = RUC
Pending
3 = Bureau (1 cc - New York)
(Enc. 3)
ML: jhd
FD-38  (Rev. 12-13-56)

FBI

Date: 6-9-64

Transmit the following in ____________________________

(Type in plain text or code)

Via ____________________________

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

To: Director, FBI (58-5100)

From: Legat, Paris (58-11) (RUC)

Subject: ROY COHN
MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
BRIBERY; OOF; PERJURY

Re Paris AT 5-27-64 with enclosure.

Enclosed for the Bureau are 3 copies of an LHM
dated and captioned as above. Two copies of this communication
with its enclosure are being furnished to the Bureau for referral
to the New York Office.

6 - Bureau (Enc. 5)
   (1 - Liaison Section, Enc. 1
   2 - New York)
1 - Paris
HPW:HD
(7)

ENCLOSURE

2 - NY by 0-7, 4/17/64
1 - Field
1 - Foreign Liaison

Approved: 66 JUN 24 1964 Special Agent in Charge
By communication dated June 5, 1964, the Directorate General of Security (Spanish National Police), Madrid, Spain, reported that toll slips pertaining to telephone calls made in August, 1961, from the residence of [redacted] have been destroyed pursuant to rules long established by the National Telephone Company of Spain.

Accordingly, it is not possible to determine the identities of subscribers to telephones called from [redacted] residence in August, 1961.
Transmit the following in

(Type in plain text or code)

Via

Airtel

REGISTERED

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To:</th>
<th>Director, FBI (58-5100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From:</td>
<td>Legat, Ottawa (58-5) (RUC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>Etc.; ROY COHN; ET AL BRIBERY; OJO; PERJURY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ReBUairtel 6-12-64.

On this date

Two copies of this airtel are being sent to New York direct via air mail.

Innes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>Bureau (1 cc - Liaison Direct)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New York (air mail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ottawa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MLI:jhc

(7)

ADDENDUM (6-16-64):

MLI:jhc

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
FBI NEW YORK
1214 AM DEFERRED 6-19-64 JAA
TO DIRECTOR -3- /58-5100/
FROM NEW YORK /58-1232/ 3 P
ROY COHN-, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN-, BRIBERY. OIJ. PERJURY.

REMYTEL JUNE SEVENTEEN LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM JUNE EIGHTEEN LAST WITH CROSS EXAMINATION
OF NEXT GOVERNMENT WITNESS WAS TESTIFYING TO FACTS OF CASE WHEN TRIAL RECESSED AT FOUR PM.

WILLIAM MULLIGAN, ATTORNEY, ASKED THAT HEARING BE HELD JUNE EIGHTEEN ON HIS MOTION TO SQUASH DEFENSE SUBPOENA THAT HE PRODUCE ALL MATERIAL PERTINENT TO THIS CASE THAT HE FURNISHED "LIFE MAGAZINE". FRANK RAICHLE ARGUED HE WAS NOT READY FOR HEARING BUT USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL STATED HE WOULD HEAR MULLIGAN'S COMMENTS.

MULLIGAN DESCRIBED SUBPOENA AS "FRIVOLOUS" STATED HE HAD BEEN TACTED BY "LIFE" FOR PERMISSION TO INTERVIEW AND FOR MULLIGAN TO FURNISH "LIFE" ANY MATERIAL HE POSSESSED REGARDING THIS END PAGE ONE
PAGE TWO

CASE OR UDY CASE. HE STATED THAT HE REFUSED TO ALLOW INTERVIEWS AND ONLY MATERIAL BE FURNISHED WERE SOME PAGES OF TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL TESTIMONY OF UDY CASE WHICH IS PUBLIC SOURCE MATERIAL. HE ADDED HE DID ALLOW "LIFE" PHOTOGRAPHERS TO PHOTOGRAPH BUT ALLOWE

NO INTERVIEWS.

STATED MULLIGAN WAS NOT TRUTHFUL AND HE POSSESSED MATERIAL THAT MULLIGAN FURNISHED "LIFE". VERBAL ARGUMENT AMONG THESE LAWYERS THEN ENSUED. JUDGE BON SAR Halted argument, stated he accepted MULLIGAN-S word as officer of court and dismissed defense subpoena. HE DIRECTED THAT NEW SUBPOENA BE ISSUED IF DEFENSE FELT NECESSARY AND WRITTEN MOTIONS BY DEFENSE SHOULD BE SUBMITTED CONTAINING MATERIAL

ALLEGED MULLIGAN FURNISHED "LIFE".

AUSA SDNY, ADVISED HE LEARNED THAT MATERIAL ALLEGED MULLIGAN FURNISHED "LIFE" WAS COPY OF A LETTER TO MULLIGAN FROM SOLICITING A CONTRIBUTION. ASSOCIATE OF GOTTESSMAN.

END PAGE TWO
PAGE THREE

TRIAL RESUMES TEN AM INSTANT DATE WITH SWANN CONTINUING DIRECT
TESTIMONY.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER CLOSELY.

END

WA HFL

FBI WASH DC
REMYTEL JUNE SIXTEEN LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM SIX SEVENTEEN SIXTY FOUR. DAY SPENT IN CROSS EXAMINATION OF BY FRANK RAICHLE. OPINION OF VARIOUS REPRESENTATIVES OF PRESS WAS THAT DEFENSE CAUSED CREDABILITY OF TO BE QUESTIONED BY ADMISSIONS FROM HIM THAT PART OF HIS TESTIMONY AT PRESENT TRIAL NOT CONSISTENT WITH TESTIMONY AT FIRST TRIAL AND BEFORE FGJ. TRIAL RECESSED AT FOUR PM TO RESUME TODAY AT TEN AM WITH CROSS EXAMINATION OF BY

DEFENSE HAS SUBPOENAED WILLIAM MULLIGAN, ATTORNEY, TO HAVE HIM PRODUCE ANY INFO HE POSSESSES RE THIS MATTER.

MULLIGAN DECLARES ALL THIS MATERIAL TO BE PRIVILEGED.

END PAGE ONE
ARGUMENT ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD BEFORE JUDGE BONSAI,
JUNE NINETEEN NEXT.
NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER CLOSELY.
END
WA HFL
FBI WASH DC
بريطاني، أد. جومر ج. جوتسمان،

الرشوة، الإثاث، الانتزاع.

رمتيل يونيو ثامن عشر مدة.

البراز تمتعت في تمام هذا اليوم. يوم استغرق على إثبات من

واحداً مؤليًا بحثاً مع جومر و جوتسمان، لا

الحضور في جلسات للمشاعر في نيويورك في أغسطس سبعين، سبعينين و سبعين.

الذين يمثلون الصحف يشعرون أن她是 Gobierno أوفا مادة لواشة في جون تري،

الجيش المحتفظ باليد.

البراز ثم تابع السؤال في تمام، تموز، سبعينين القادم.

الحكومة سيركيد ثم تابع في تمام

ويوتوت أستمرت في متابعة هذا المطلب ب근ية.

البراز
CONFIDENTIAL

Date: 6-17-64

To: Director, FBI

From: Legal Attache, Ottawa

(Encl:

58-5100

Attention: 58-5)

Title: ROY COHN; ET AL

Character: BRIBERY; OOO; PERJURY

Reference

My airtel 6-16-64.

Enclosed are the following communications received from

Enclosure confirms information in my airtel.

May be made as received

May be made as indicated by stamp on enclosure

May not be made without further clearance with

b7D

Following offices would be interested in receiving copies of enclosures:

New York

Status with this office: 27-13

RUC

Pending

Bureau (1 of 3: New York)

MLI: jhc (3)

Do not write in space below

b7D

58-5100

CONFIDENTIAL

CLASSIFIED

DECLASSIFIED: NO

#274.58

1-1

3-1
ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN,

BRIBERY, OOL, PERJURY.

REMYTEL JUNE NINETEEN LAST AND LEGAT OTTAWA AIRTEL TO BUREAU AND
NY JUNE SIXTEEN LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN FIFTEEN AM THIS DATE WITH TESTIMONY OF

THEN TESTIFIED PRINCIPALLY CONCERNING ALLEGED

RE ABOVE ALLEGED MEETING AND CONTACTS WITH FORMER AUSA
UDY INVESTIGATION. TRIAL RECESSSED AT FOUR ZERO FIVE PM WITH FRANK
RAICHLE CROSS EXAMINING TRIAL RESUMES TEN AM TOMORROW.

INFO IN REFERENCED LEGAT AIRTEL DISSEMINATED THIS DATE TO AUS
SDNY. HE REQUESTED LEGAT, OTTAWA BE EXPEDITIOUSLY
RECONTACTED TO HAVE RECORDS OF ALL CANADIAN BAR ASSOCIATIONS REVIEWED
TO DETERMINE IF THERE IS A MEMBER OF THE CANADIAN BAR NAMED
BUREAU REQUESTED TO HAVE LEGAT OTTAWA PERFORM THIS INVESTIGATION
REQUESTED BY AUSA.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER CLOSELY.

END AND PLS HOLD

(Handwritten note: air for ottawa 6-23-64 can y)
TO: Legat, Ottawa (58-5)  
FROM: Director, FBI (58-5100)  

Etc.; ROY COHN, ET AL.  
BRIEGERY, OOJ, PERJURY

Reurairtel 6/16/64.

The contents of reairtel were disseminated by the New York Office to AUSA SDNY, who is handling the current trial of Cohn and Murray Gottesman in USDC, SDNY. AUSA requested that records of all Canadian bar associations be reviewed to determine if there is a member of the Canadian bar named.

Accordingly, it would be appreciated if through appropriate sources available to you the requested record check could be made as soon as possible. It is noted this matter may be an important part of the Government's case and it is possible that testimony in USDC, SDNY may be required as result of the foregoing record check. Therefore, the name of the individual who could testify should also be obtained. Sunairtel results with copies to New York.

1 - Foreign Liaison Unit (Route through for review)
BRIBERY, OOO, PERJURY.

REMYTEL, JUNE TWENTY TWO LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN A.M. THIS DATE WITH CROSS EXAMINATION OF

NEXT GOVERNMENT WITNESS ATTORNEY

TESTIFIED REGARDING HIS PRESENTATION OF

AND CONTACTS WITH

DURING FGG INVESTIGATION OF UDY CASE.

TESTIFIED COHN HAD NOT CONTACTED HIM

TO REPRESENT OR ANYONE ELSE INVOLVED IN UDY CASE.

TESTIFIED HE HAD NO

RECORD OF TEN THOUSAND DOLLAR PAYMENT OF LEGAL FEES TO COHN BY

IN NINETEEN FIFTY NINE. TRIAL RECESS AT FOUR P.M. WITH

CROSS EXAMINATION OF BY FRANK RAICHLE. TRIAL RESUMES

TEN A.M. TOMORROW.

GOVERNMENT WITNESS.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.

END

WA JMS

FBI WASHDC

68 JUN 29 1964
CODED TELETYP
FBI NEW YORK
11-31 PM DEFERRED 6-24-64
TO DIRECTOR -14- 58-5100
FROM NEW YORK 58-1232
ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN

BRIEFTER OOF, PERJURY.

REMYTTEL JUNE TWENTY THREE LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM THIS DATE WITH CROSS EXAMINATION OF

_________________________

WAS NEXT GOVERNMENT WITNESS. PRIOR TO

TESTIFYING, US DJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, RULED ON PRIOR GOVERNMENT
MOTION TO PREVENT DEFENSE FROM INTRODUCING REMARKS OF JUSTICE
GREENBERG REGARDING JUDGE BONSAL STATED DEFENSE MAY NOT REFER
TO JUSTICE GREENBERG'S COMMENTS RE UNTRUTHS BUT MAY DEVELOP
THE FACTS OF THE CIVIL SUIT INVOLVED IF THEY WISH.

THEN TESTIFIED TO FACTS OF CASE. TRIAL RECESSED AT FOUR
PM WITH RAICHEL'S CROSS EXAMINATION OF HE WILL CONTINUE WHEN
TRIAL RESUMES TEN AM TOMORROW.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.

END AND PLS HOLD...........

REG 34 58-6100-182
1 JUN 25 1964

58 JUN 29 1964
NEW YORK

9-5 PM EDT DEFERRED 6-25-64 MFR
TO DIRECTOR, FBI /9/ 58-5100/
FROM NEW YORK /58-1232/

CODED TELETEYPE

BRIbery., OOf., Perjury

REMYTEL, JUNE TWENTYFOUR LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN A. M. THIS DATE WITH CROSS EXAMINATION OF

AUSA SDNY, THEN READ PERTINENT PORTIONS OF FGJ

TESTIMONY ON JULY TEN SIXTYTWO INTO RECORD.

NYC, THEN TESTIFED REGARDING ART LAYOUTS PREPARED FOR NYC, ARCHITECT, TESTIFIED ABOUT CONSTRUCTION AT

DESSERT INN AND STARDUST HOTELS IN NINETEEN SIXTYTWO.

END PAGE ONE

EX-104

REC-122 58-5700

JUN 26 1964

64 JUL 7 1964
NYC TELEPHONE COMPANY, INTRODUCED RECORDS OF CALLS TO ON SIX TWENTYFIVE SIXTYTWO.
P, MONTGOMERY WARD CO., CHICAGO, TESTIFIED ABOUT DETROIT MEETING OF ON SIX TWENTYONE SIXTYTWO.
TRIAL RECESSED AT FOUR PM WITH DIRECT EXAMINATION OF WHICH WILL BE RESUMED AT TEN AM TOMORROW.
FOLLOWING WILL BE LAST GOVERNMENT WITNESS.
AUSA EXPECTS TO CONCLUDE GOVERNMENT'S CASE TOMORROW AFTER-NOON OR MONDAY MORNING. FRANK RAICHEL INDICATED HE PLANS TO MAKE A NUMBER OF MOTIONS AFTER GOVERNMENT RESTS.
NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.
SND AND PLS HOLD

CC Mr. Dugan
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE
CHICAGO

OFFICE OF ORIGIN
NEW YORK

DATE
6/24/64

INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
5/21/64 - 6/12/64

TITLE OF CASE
ROY COHN, ET AL

RETURNED TO CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. WILL CONTINUE TO FOLLOW WITH SHERATON-CHICAGO HOTEL, AND REPORT RESULTS OF SEARCH FOR RECORDER.

ADMINISTRATIVE

In accordance with Bureau instructions, an

REFERENCE: Bureau airtel to Chicago dated 6/8/64, entitled BRIbery", (Bufile 72-1481), (Chicago file 72-104), (New York file 72-156) Report of SA CHARLES E. PRICE at Chicago dated 5/18/64.

LEAD

CHICAGO

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. WILL CONTINUE TO FOLLOW WITH SHERATON-CHICAGO HOTEL, AND REPORT RESULTS OF SEARCH FOR RECORDER.

ADMINISTRATIVE

In accordance with Bureau instructions, an

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

DISSEMINATION RECORD OF ATTACHED REPORT

NOTATIONS


REG: 11

18 JUN 29 1964

18 JUN 29 1964

18 JUN 29 1964
extra copy of instant report being furnished to New York in order that one copy may be furnished the United States Attorney's office handling the case.

The information set forth in details of instant report is also reported in subject matter of referenced airtel, and under no circumstances should the United States Attorney's office, New York, be advised of Bureau's interests in the matter.
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA WILLIAM A. MORRISON Office: Chicago
Date: 6/22/64
Field Office File No.: 58-466
Bureau File No.: 58-5100
Title: ROY COHN, ET AL

Character: BRIEFLY; OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE: PERJURY

Synopsis:


- P -

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
CG 58-466

DETAILS: AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

[Blank]

Sheraton-Chicago Hotel, Chicago, Illinois, was contacted by SA CHARLES E. PRICE on May 21, 1964, June 2, 1964, June 3, 1964, and June 12, 1964, to determine if the search of the hotel records had resulted in locating the registration records of [Blank]. On each contact he advised that the records have not been located and that the search is not yet completed.

On June 12, 1964, he stated it would take about ten more days to complete this search.
Compliance Officer, Office of Labor-Management and Welfare Pension Reports, (OLM-WPR), Department of Labor, 105 West Adams Street, furnished the following information:

Assistant Regional Director, OLM-WPR, went to New York on Wednesday, June 3, 1964, via American Airlines and landed at La Guardia Airport, time unknown. He returned on the same date via American Airlines and left New York at 7:30 p.m.

On Thursday morning, discussed his trip with several employees of OLM-WPR. said he had received word via telephone from the United States Attorney's office in New York to appear there, and he had requested the caller to clear the request through JOHN V. MORAN, Assistant Director, Division of Compliance Operations, Department of Labor, Washington, D.C.

told that he had been interviewed by personnel of the New York United States Attorney's office concerning the trip took to the West Coast with in connection with the POWELL case.

He said he was asked if the ROY COHN case was discussed during his trip to the West Coast. He said the questions asked of him seemed designed to develop the extent of his relationship with was asked if had contacted anyone while on the West Coast who was not connected with the POWELL matter, said he had replied that had not made any such contacts to his knowledge but could have done so without him knowing. further related to that someone in the COHN case had said that someone gave $35,000 in Las Vegas. said he was questioned intensively regarding this incident as to whether he had knowledge of it or shared in the $35,000. said he had replied, "Why should I lay my 24 years of service and good

On 6/5/64 at Chicago, Illinois File # CG= 58-466

by SA ROY F. RODMAN/dpd Date dictated 6/12/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
2.

reputation on the line for something like this?"

stated that appeared to be quite upset concerning this interview in New York and his voice became somewhat charged with emotion while talking about the interview. stated that at the time received the call to go to New York did not appear concerned about it and remarked that he had gone through this once before.
On June 5, 1964, SA RONALD S. FOSBURGH had lunch with GERALD G. GOTSCH, Area Director, Office of Labor-Management and Welfare Pensions Reports, (OLM-WPR), Department of Labor, and also employees of OLM-WPR. En route to the restaurant, OLM-WPR, related the following to SA FOSBURGH and Mr. GOTSCH:

In 1959, while with the Internal Revenue Service, in an investigation of Congressman ADAM CLAYTON POWELL while assigned to the New York office. One of the witnesses in the case had moved to Los Angeles, California, and he was asked by to Los Angeles to interview the witness. They took an airline flight to Los Angeles, and from the airport, proceeded directly to the hotel where they stayed. They obtained a double room at the hotel, and that evening they interviewed the witness. The following morning, after having breakfast, they returned to the airport and took a flight directly back to New York. was with the entire time they were in Los Angeles, and to the best of his knowledge, at no time did make any contacts of friends or acquaintances other than the witness.

In 1961, was summoned to New York and interviewed by one of the Assistant United States Attorneys in connection with his association with . On Wednesday, June 3, 1964 was requested to return to New York, where he underwent intensive questioning in connection with his association with advised the United States Attorney's office that his only association with

They were on a first name basis; however, they did not socialize outside of their business activities. The Assistant doing the questioning stated there was an
allegation that[ ] had received a package of money from the witness whom they had interviewed in Los Angeles in 1959. The payment allegedly was made in Las Vegas, Nevada. At this point, [ ] made a statement to the effect that the Assistant doing the questioning in 1961 remarked that it was his, the Assistant's, sole decision not to include[ ] and two other individuals, unmentioned, in the indictment connected with the ROY COHN case. [ ] conversation concerning this was not clear, and he was not questioned any further.

[ ] related that at the conclusion of the interview on Wednesday, June 3, 1964, the Assistant asked him if he would be willing to take a polygraph examination concerning his association with[ ] and whether or not he knew about the alleged pay-off to[ ] and/or if he participated in it. [ ] stated at this point he became very incensed inasmuch as the Assistant was questioning his integrity and honesty, and inasmuch as he has been an investigator for the United States Government for[ ] years, he felt that these qualities were above reproach.

[ ] related that he informed the Assistant that he would take the polygraph test provided the Assistant furnished him a letter specifically requesting him to do so. He then mentioned that, should he receive such a letter, he intends personally to take the matter up with the Attorney General of the United States. [ ] did not make it clear whether he so advised the Assistant on his intentions.
FBI NEW YORK
9-25PM EDT DEFERRED 6-26-64 MFR
TO DIRECTOR, FBI /58-5100/ 13/
FROM NEW YORK /58-1232/ 1P

BRIBERY, OOF, PERJURY.

REYTEL, NUNE TWENTYFIFTH LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM THIS DATE WITH DIRECT EXAMINATION OF

NEXT WITNESS, TESTIFIED TO FACTS OF CASE. TRIAL RECESS

FIVE PM WITH FRANK RAICHLE CROSS EXAMINING. HE WILL CONTINUE WHEN

TRIAL RESUMES AT TEN FIFTEEN AM JUNE TWENTYTHIRD NEXT.

WHEN CONCLUDES, GOVERNMENT WILL REST. FRANK RAICHLE INDICATED

HE WILL NEED ABOUT TWO HOURS FOR CONTEMPLATED DEFENSE MOTIONS. JUDGE

BONSAL STATED DEFENSE WILL BEGIN TO PRESENT ITS CASE JUNE THIRTY NEXT.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSLEY.

END AND HOLD PLS cc Bonsal
To: SAC, New York (58-1232)

From: Director, FBI (58-5100)

ROY COHN; ET AL.,
BRIBERY; OOL; PERJURY

Following received from Legat, Ottawa:

Advise USA, SDNY.

6/28/64

1 - Mr. Nuzum
URGENT 6-26-64
TO DIRECTOR
FROM OTTAWA NO. 52
ETC.: ROY COHN, ETAL, BRIBERY, O0J, PERJURY.
REBULET MAY 28 LAST AND BUAIRTEL JUNE 23 LAST.

MOSS LEE INNES

RECEIVED: 7:28 PM CTF

Intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably used in order to protect the Bureau's cryptographic systems.
CODED TELETYPE
FBI NEW YORK
9-23 PM DEFERRED 6-30-64
TO DIRECTOR 9-58-5100
FROM NEW YORK 58-1232
ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN,
BRIbery, COJ, PERJURY.
REMYTEL JUNE TWENTY NINE LAST.
TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM THIS DATE WITH PRESENTING
DEFENSE OF GOTTESMAN. GOTTESMAN WAS WITNESS AND HE TESTIFIED ALONG
SAME LINES AS IN FIRST TRIAL. TRAIL RECESSED AT FOUR PM WITH CROSS
EXAMINATION OF GOTTESMAN TO RESUME TEN AM TOMORROW.
NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.
END AND PLS HOLD

53 JUL 9 1964

58 51200-387
REC 12
53 JUL 9 1964

58 51200-387
58 51200-387
CODED TELETYPE:

FBI NEW YORK

11-17 PM  DEFERRED 6-29-64  DAE

TO DIRECTOR  7-  58-5100
FROM NEW YORK  58-1232

ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESSMAN,

BRIBERY, OIJ, PERJURY.

REMYTEL JUNE TWENTY SIX LAST:

TRIAL RESUMED TEN FIFTEEN AM THIS DATE WITH CROSS EXAMINATION
OF CONTINUING. AT ELEVEN FIFTY FIVE AM, GOVERNMENT
RESTED ITS CASE AND USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, DISMISSED JURY UNTIL
TEN AM TOMORROW.

FRANK RAICHLE MADE MOTION TO DISMISS ALL COUNTS OF INDICTMENT FOR
FAILURE OF GOVERNMENT TO PRESENT SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE. JUDGE BONSAL
DENIED THIS MOTION WITH EXCEPTION OF COUNTS TWO AND THREE ON WHICH HE
RESERVED DECISION.

MADE MOTIONS FOR SEVERANCE OF GOTTESSMAN, FOR MISTRIAL

BECAUSE JUDGE BONSAL ALLOWED HIM CONTINUING OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE
RELATING SOLELY TO COHN RATHER THAN INSTRUCTING THE JURY AS EACH
BIT OF SUCH EVIDENCE WAS PRESENTED., FOR DISMISSAL OF COUNT TEN BECAUSE
REPEATS CHARGE OF COUNT NINE TO ANOTHER FGJ.

END PAGE ONE

4 JUL 7 1954
JUDGE BONSAL DENIED ALL MOTIONS.

TRIAL RESUMES AT TEN AM TOMORROW WITH RAICHLE PRESENTING CASE FOR COHN. NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.

END AND PLS HOLD.
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**U.S. Government Printing Office 10-765324-1**
Supervisor James Mansfield, New York Office, advised that the defense attorneys for Roy Cohn have indicated that they intend to subpoena seven present and former Bureau Agents to testify as defense witnesses next Monday, July 6, 1964. As you know Roy Cohn and Murray Gottesman, also a New York attorney, are on trial in the Southern District of New York in connection with false testimony they gave to a Federal Grand Jury in connection with Robson's activities while he was an Assistant U. S. Attorney in the Southern District of New York.

The Agents who are currently in the service whom Cohn's attorneys intend to subpoena are Roger Young (New York), Arthur J. Achenbach (New York), Robert L. Brannon (Resident Agent, Evansville, Indiana) and Maurice P. Leen (assigned to Indianapolis, presently in Memphis on a special assignment). In addition Cohn's attorneys indicated that they intend to subpoena former Special Agents Donald E. Joyce, James R. Gallagher and Edward P. Hartnett.

Supervisor Mansfield stated that Assistant U. S. Attorney is initiating steps to have the subpoenas in question b6 quashed b7C stated that he does not know the reason why the present and former Agents are to be subpoenaed nor what testimony they are expected to give.

ACTION:

Assistant U. S. Attorney has requested that he be advised as to the locations of the present and former Special Agents mentioned above in order to assist him in resisting the forthcoming subpoenas. is being furnished this information.
Copy to: 1 - USA, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Report of: CHARLES E. PRICE

Date: June 30, 1964

Field Office File No.: 58-466

Bureau File No.: 58-5100

Title: ROY COHN; ET AL

Character: BRIBERY; OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE; PERJURY

Synopsis: Search of records of Sheraton-Chicago Hotel completed and registration records for and , not located. of hotel, of opinion these records were inadvertently destroyed during process of filming hotel records.

- RUC -
CG 58-466

DETAILS: AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

On June 26, 1964, the Sheraton-Chicago Hotel, advised that the search of the hotel records for the registration records of has been completed and these records were not located. He stated he is of the opinion these records were inadvertently destroyed by one of the hotel employees during the period that registration and other records were being placed on microfilm and then destroyed. He said these records do not appear on the microfilm.
CONFIDENTIAL
Date: June 30, 1964

To: Director, FBI

(Bufile: 58-5100)

Attention: Classified by


From: Legal Attache, Ottawa

Title: etc.; ROY CORN, ET AL.

Character: BRIBERY, OOO, PERJURY

Reference: Ottawa cable 6/26/64

Enclosed are the following communications received from Three copies of

letter dated June 29, 1964, which is self-explanatory.

Remarks:

Dissemination

May be made as received
May be made as indicated by stamp on enclosure
May not be made without further clearance

Following offices would be interested in receiving copies of enclosures:

New York, May 21/64

Status with this office:

Pending 3 - Bureau (Enc 3) - with

levels 7/16/64

MLL: MEC

(3) JULY 1964 CONFIDENTIAL

Do not write in space below

58 5100-3

REG-21
TELETYPING FBI NEW YORK

11-03 PM DEFERRED 7-1-64 DAE

TO DIRECTOR 13-58-5100

FROM NEW YORK 58-1232

ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTMAN,

BRIEGER, OOJ, PERJURY.

REMYTEL JUNE THIRTY LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM THIS DATE WITH CROSS EXAMINATION OF GOTTMAN.

NEXT WITNESS WAS WHO TESTIFIED TO HER

RECOLLECTION OF GOTTMAN'S ACTIVITIES ON EIGHT NINETEEN FIFTY NINE.

FOLLOWING A CHARACTER WITNESS, RESTED DEFENSE

OF GOTTMAN WITH STIPULATION THAT CHARACTER WITNESSES NOT NOW

AVAILABLE MAY BE CALLED LATER.

FRANK RAICHLE BEGAN PRESENTATION OF DEFENSE. COHN AND COHN

WAS FIRST WITNESS. TRIAL RECESSED AT FOUR PM WITH DIRECT EXAMINATION OF

COHN.

DEFENSE INFORMED GOVERNMENT THIS DATE THAT ON JULY SIX NEXT

THEY WOULD CALL FOLLOWING AS WITNESSES..... 16 JUL 6 1964

SAS ROGER YOUNG, ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH, MAURICE E. LEEN, ROBERT

L. BRANNON, AND FORMER SAS JAMES P. GALLAGHER, DONALD E. JOYCE AND

EDWARD P. HARTNETT.

END PAGE One. 16 JUL 6 1964
AUSA discussed with USDJ Dudley B. Bonsal and defense attorneys necessity for all above individuals to appear. Defense claimed all above interviewed or took statements from witnesses and presence would be necessary. Judge Bonsal directed all above SAS and former SAS will be witnesses and he is to be informed tomorrow morning of present location of these witnesses. AUSA advised that tomorrow morning he will again discuss with Judge Bonsal necessity for all above SAS and former SAS to appear.

New York Office NYO continues to follow this matter closely.

END ND PLS HOLD
FBI NEW YORK
626 AM DEFERRED 7-3-64 JQ
TO DIRECTOR -14- /58-5100/ AND INDIANAPOLIS /58-122/ AND
MEMPHIS
FROM NEW YORK /58-1232/

BRIBERY/ PERJURY.

RENTYEL TO BUREAU, SEVEN ONE LAST, AND NY TELCALLS THIS DATE TO
BUREAU, INDIANAPOLIS AND MEMPHIS.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM THIS DATE WITH DIRECT EXAMINATION OF COHN
BY RAICHLE CONTINUING. DAY SPENT WITH TESTIMONY OF COHN AND TRIAL
RECESSSED FOUR PM WITH CROSS EXAMINATION OF COHN BY USA
TRIAL RESUMES TEN AM SEVEN SIX NEXT WITH CROSS EXAMINATION OF COHN
CONTINUING.

AUSA AT CONFERENCE WITH JUDGE BONSAL, POINTED OUT THAT
SA ROBERT BRANNON, EVANSVILLE, IND., RA, MERELY EXHIBITED PHOTOGRAPH
OF AND IS NOT NECESSARY WITNESS. JUDGE BONSAL AGREED AND STATED
SA BRANNON/S PRESENCE IN NY NOT REQUIRED.

AUSA POINTED OUT THAT SA ROGER YOUNG AND FORMER SA EDWARD
HARTNETT ACCOMPANIED SA MAURY LEEN ON PERTINENT INTERVIEWS AND THEY
END PAGE ONE

CORR PARA 4 LINE 3 WORDS 8-9 SHD BE JUDGE BONSAL 1 JUL 6 1964
COULD TESTIFY TO FACTS. DEFENSE OBJECTED BUT JUDGE BONSAL STATED HARTNETT AND SA YOUNG SHOULD TESTIFY AND THEN ONLY IF ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY WILL SA LEEN BE CALLED. AT PRESENT, SA LEEN/S PRESENCE IN NY NOT REQUIRED.

FOLLOWING COHN ON SEVEN SIX NEXT, FORMER SAS HARTNETT, JAMES GALLAGHER AND DONALD JOYCE AND SAS YOUNG AND ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH OF NYO WILL TESTIFY.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW THIS MATTER CLOSELY.

END ACK PLS
WA ELR
FBI WASH DC

CC-MR. ROSEN
FBI NEW YORK
116 AM DEFERRED 7-7-64 JAA
TO DIRECTOR --2-- /58-5100/
FROM NEW YORK /58-1232/

MAN. BRIBERY. OOL. PERJURY.
RENYTEL JULY TWO LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM JULY SIX SIXTY FOUR WITH CROSS EXAMINATION
OF COHN CONTINUING. DEFENSE THEN READ TESTIMONY OF ATTORNEY MILTON
GOULD AT LAST TRIAL INTO RECORD SINCE GOULD PRESENTLY IN EUROPE.

THEN TESTIFIED TO HIS
MEETING WITH
DEFENSE NEXT CALLED
GOVERNMENT POINTED
OUT
TESTIMONY CONCERNS MEETINGS WITH
AND
SHOULD LOGICALLY FOLLOW THEIR TESTIMONY.

TRIAL THEN RECESSED AT FOUR PM. DEFENSE INDICATED TOMORROW THEY
WILL CALL
FORMER SAS
DONALD JOYCE AND EDWARD HARTNETT AND SAS ROGER YOUNG AND ARTHUR J.
ACHENBACH OF NYO.

TRIAL RESUMES TEN AM JULY SEVEN INSTANT. NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW
CLOSERLY.
ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN. BRIBERY, COJ, PERJURY.

RE NEW YORK TEL JULY SIX LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TAN AM JULY SEVEN LAST WITH TESTIMONY OF FORMER SA DONALD JOYCE RE INTERVIEWS OF DURING INVESTIGATION. TESTIMONY CONSISTENT WITH PREVIOUS GOVERNMENT WITNESSES AND REPRESENTATIVES OF USA-S OFFICE STATED THEY COULD NOT UNDERSTAND WHY WAS CALLED AS DEFENSE WITNESS.

FORMER AMBASSADOR TO CUBA EARL E. T. SMITH THEN TESTIFIED AS CHARACTER WITNESS FOR COHN.

FORMER USA THEN TESTIFIED TO HANDLING OF UDY CASE IN USA-S OFFICE. FINISHED TESTIMONY AT FOUR PM AND TRIAL RECESSED THEN UNTIL TEN AM JULY EIGHT INSTANT.

FRANK RAICHLE INDICATED FIRST DEFENSE WITNESS TOMORROW WILL BE FORMER HE IS TO BE FOLLOWED BY SEC AGENTS

END PAGE ONE
FORMER SA HARTNETT, SAS YOUNG AND ACHENBACH OF NYO, AND FORMER ASSISTANT TO THE DIRECTOR LOUIS B. NICHOLS.

NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.

END

WA    HFL

FBI WASH DC
ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN, BRIBERY, b6

00J, PERJURY.

RENYTEL JULY SEVEN LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM WITH CONTINUATION OF TESTIMONY OF

HE DENIED INVOLVEMENT IN ANY BRIBE SITUATION. DEFENSE THEN READ

TESTIMONY OF FROM FIRST TRIAL INTO RECORD SINCE SHE IS

IN FLORIDA VACATIONING.

NEXT TWO FORMER NEIGHBORS OF NY, TESTIFIED

TO HIS ACTIVITIES ON EIGHT TWENTY ONE TO TWENTY TWO, FIFTY NINE.

A CARPENTER WHO PERFORMED WORK FOR ON EIGHT TWENTY ONE FIFTY

NINE WAS NEXT WITNESS.

NYC ATTORNEY PAUL WINDELS TESTIFIED TO MEETING WITH COHN PRIOR

TO COHN- S APPEARANCE BEFORE FGJ.

SA ROGER YOUNG TESTIFIED TO INTERVIEWS OF

SA YOUNG'S TESTIMONY CONSISTENT WITH PREVIOUS TESTIMONY OF

AND AND USA-S OFFICE AGAIN COULD SEE NO PURPOSE SERVED

BY DEFENSE CALLING SA YOUNG AS WITNESS SINCE HE ADDED FURTHER CREDANCE

TO PREVIOUS GOVERNMENT WITNESSES.

TRIAL RESUMES TEN AM TOMORROW. NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.
FBI

Date: JULY 3, 1964

Transmit the following in
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL REGISTERED
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: Director, FBI (58-5100)
SAC, New York

FROM: Legat, Ottawa (58-5) (P)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN, ET AL.
BRIbery; Ooj; PERJURY


Two copies of this airtel are being sent to the New York office direct via air mail.

INNES

4 - Bureau (Encs #3) (1 - Liaison direct)
2 - New York (Direct - AIRMAIL)
1 - Ottawa

MLI: MEG
(7)

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
FBI

Date: 7-6-64

Transmit the following in

(Type in plain text or code)

Via Airtel REGISTERED

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

To: Director, FBI (58-5100)
SAC, New York

From: Legat, Ottawa (58-5) (RUC)

Subject: ROY COHN, ET AL
BRIBERY; OIJ; PERJURY

Re my routing slip 6-30-64, and my airtel 7-3-64.

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and one

one copy of which is

being sent to the New York Office direct with two copies

of this airtel. The enclosure confirms information in my

re routing slip. The enclosure to my re routing slip.

Innes

4 - Bureau (1 cc- Liaison Direct) (Enc. 2)
2 - New York (Enc. 1) (Direct - AIRMAIL)
1 - Ottawa
MLI:jhc
(7)

ENCLOSURE

REC: 58-5-100-39

70 JUL 15 1964

Approved:Sent M Per

Special Agent in Charge
TO : MR. TOLSON
FROM : D. S. SKILLMAN

SUBJECT:

Mr. Nichols called and asked that I give you the following message:

Mr. Nichols said he was down to testify in the Roy Cohn case; that he followed the same line of testimony as in the previous case; that on cross-examination "this little SOB" tried to get me to say that agents came to Schenleys last November for information and we refused to give it to them. Mr. Nichols stated this was not true and then accused him of calling the Bureau and trying to stop the investigation. Mr. Nichols stated he called an unmitigated liar and "got a round of applause from the court room." Mr. Nichols said that he then elaborated and said that "following their visit up here and following reports I received from other places around town that Bureau Agents were coming out and inquiring as to fees and services rendered by Cohn, I held this highly improper and I called the Bureau as I knew it was contrary to Bureau policy unless instructed to do so by the Department or United States Attorney. After I called the Bureau I subsequently was informed that what the Bureau was doing, it was doing at the request of the United States Attorney."

Mr. Nichols said that knowing the propensities of this group (the United States Attorney) to garble the truth he did not want any reports to come down here before he could get the transcript of the testimony. He will send down the transcript as soon as he gets it.
CODED TELETYPE
FBI NEW YORK

1224 AM DEFERRED 7-11-64 JAA
TO DIRECTOR -2- /58-5100/
FROM NEW YORK /58-1232/ 1 P

ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN. BRIbery, Ooj, perjury.

REMYTEL JULY NINE LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM JULY TEN. SEC AGENT TESTIFIED AS
GOVERNMENT REBUTTAL WITNESS TO HANDLING OF U FJ P Presentation IN
NINETEEN FIFTY NINE. FORMER AUSA THEN TESTIFIED RE SAME
SITUATION.

DEFENSE REBUTTAL WITNESS, THEN TESTIFIED THAT GOTTESMAN ONLY PERUSED HIS DIARIES FOR ABOUT
FIFTEEN MINUTES BEFORE FURNISHING THEM TO MESSENGER FROM USA-S OFFICE
IN NINETEEN SIXTY TWO.

THIS CONCLUDED TESTIMONY IN CASE AND USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL DISMISSED JURY AT TWELVE TWENTY PM. REMAINDER OF DAY SPENT IN DISCUSSIONS
WITH COUNSEL. JUDGE BONSAL DECIDED THAT WHEN TRIAL RESUMES AT TEN AM
JULY THIRTEEN NEXT THE ENTIRE DAY WILL BE SPENT WITH DEFENSE SUMMATIONS.
HE INSTRUCTED THAT ENTIRE DAY OF JULY FOURTEEN NEXT WILL BE SPENT ON
GOVERNMENT SUMMATION. JUDGE BONSAL WILL CHARGE THE JURY JULY FIFTEEN
NEXT. NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.

END

FBI WASH DC
FBI NEW YORK
100 AM DEFERRED 6-10-64 JAA
TO DIRECTOR -.6- /58-5100/
FROM NEW YORK /58-1232/ C.R.

ROY COHN, MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN.
BRIbery, Obj. PERJURY.

TELETYPE
REM瑿EED JULY EIGHT LAST.

TRIAL RESUMED TEN AM JULY NINE SIXTY-FOUR. SA ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH
TESTIFIED TO INTERVIEW OF [ ] ON JUNE TWENTY ONE SIXTY-THREE.

LT. MARIO [ ] NYPD AND [ ] TESTIFIED
AS CHARACTER WITNESSES FOR COHN.

[ ] TESTIFIED TO FACTS OF HIS LAWSUIT AGAINST [ ].

FORMER ASSISTANT TO THE DIRECTOR LOUIS NICHOLS TESTIFIED TO DIS-
CUSSIONS WITH [ ] IN WHICH [ ] SAID HE TOLD THE TRUTH BEFORE
FEDERAL GRAND JURY IN JULY SIXTY-TWO AND THAT THE FEDERAL GRAND
JURY WAS OUT TO GET COHN.

FOLLOWING NICHOLS DEFENSE RESTED COHN'S CASE.

END PAGE ONE

56 JUL 21 1964
PAGE TWO

SA ACHENBACH TESTIFIED AS GOVERNMENT REBUTTAL WITNESS TO EFFECT THAT DID NOT LIST AS REFERENCE IN APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA) AND THAT FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) APPLICANT INVESTIGATION OF DISCLOSED NO MENTION OF HAD TESTIFIED HE HAD TO CALL WASHINGTON FOR THIS INFO.

US ATTORNEY'S TWO MESSENGERS OF USA'S OFFICE THEN TESTIFIED THAT GOTTESMAN SPENT THREE HOURS REVIEWING HIS DIARIES, CONTRARY TO HIS TESTIMONY, BEFORE THEY PICKED UP THE DIARIES IN NINETEEN-SIXTY-TWO.

SEC AGENT THEN TESTIFIED TO PREPARATIONS OF UDY CASE BY SEC AGENT AND FORMER AUSA GOVERNMENT REBUTTAL WITNESSES.

TRIAL RECESS AT FOUR PM. WILL RESUME TEN AM JULY TEN INSTANT WITH SEC AGENT AND FORMER AUSA GOVERNMENT REBUTTAL WITNESSES.

US DISTRICT JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, US DJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY, STATED THAT SUMMATIONS WILL BE GIVEN JULY THIRTEENTH NEXT AND HE WILL CHARGE JURY ON JULY FOURTEENTH NEXT.

NEW YORK OFFICE -NYO CONTINUES TO FOLLOW CLOSELY.

END

WA HFL

FBI WASH DC
BRIBERY, COJ, PERJURY.

Remytel July ten last.

Jury returned verdict of not guilty on all nine counts of indictment against Cohn and Gottesman at eleven thirty nine AM this date. Opinion of press representatives based on testimony requested by jury during deliberations was that jury doubted creditability of government witnesses.

Closing report follows......

SND and PLS hold...........
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Rosen

FROM: A. J. McGrath

DATE: July 15, 1964

SUBJECT: ROY COHN; MURRAY GOTTESMAN
BRIBERY; OIJ; PERJURY
OO: NY

At 10:55 p.m., New York Office telephonically contacted the Bureau and advised the jury considering the captioned case had been sent to a hotel for the night and will start to reconsider the case at 9:30 a.m., 7-16-64.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Belmont

FROM: A. Rosen

DATE: July 16, 1964

SUBJECT:
ROY-COHN; MURRAY GOTTESMAN
BRIBERY; OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE;
PERJURY

Our New York Office has just telephonically advised that the trial of Roy Cohn and Murray Gottesman in U. S. District Court, Southern District of New York has resulted in the jury returning a verdict of not guilty on all counts in respect to both Cohn and Gottesman.

This is the case in which Cohn and Gottesman, New York attorney, were indicted 9/3/63, by Federal Grand Jury, Southern District of New York, for Perjury, Conspiracy and Obstruction of Justice in connection with a grand jury probe of alleged $50,000 bribe in August 1959, to Cohn and then to control indictment in a Securities and Exchange Commission case. The grand jury probe did not corroborate the bribe allegation but Cohn and Gottesman were indicted in connection with their testimony before the grand jury. Trial began 6/9/64.
July 20, 1964

Mr. Louis B. Nichols
Executive Vice President
Schenley Industries, Inc.
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10019

Dear Nick:

Your letter of July 10th enclosing copies of your correspondence with the interoffice communications directed to you from and the transcript of your testimony at the trial of Roy M. Cohn has been received.

It was good of you to make this material available and I want you to know of my appreciation.

Sincerely,

NOTE: Correspondent is a retired Assistant to the Director and is on the Special Correspondents List. Roy M. Cohn and Murray Gottesman were indicted 9-3-63 by the Grand Jury, Southern District of New York, on charges of perjury, conspiracy and obstruction of justice in connection with a grand jury probe of an alleged $50,000 bribe in August, 1959, to Cohn and to control an indictment in a Securities Exchange Commission case. The first trial of Cohn and Gottesman ended in a hung jury and on 7-16-64 they were acquitted of the charges.

RR: alb (3)
July 10, 1964

Dear Mr. Hoover:

On Thursday morning July 9, 1964, I testified in Roy Cohn's second trial to statements made by him, which were diametrically opposed to his testimony in the case, and I am enclosing a transcript of yesterday's testimony.

United States Attorney on cross-examination naturally attempted to undermine my testimony in which, of course, he did not succeed in doing. He made factual representations that were falsehoods, and I direct your attention to page 4594 of the testimony wherein he inquired as to whether it wasn't a fact that at Roy Cohn's request I contacted the FBI and requested a "halt" of the Bureau's investigation at Schenley. I replied by stating "That's an unmitigated lie." - which it was - Later on, in my testimony on page 4596 I elaborated on the incident.

The facts back of this are these: Bureau agents did come to Schenley and spoke with requesting copies of cancelled checks covering payments to Roy Cohn for legal fees. The Internal Revenue was conducting an investigation on Mr. Cohn and we had given them all of our papers and, obviously, we could not comply on that day. I told them that we would furnish all information as soon as we could get it and that the checks in question were in the hands of the Internal Revenue, and the United States Attorney might get them there. Subsequently, the agent came back and stated that the United States Attorney did not want to get them from the Internal Revenue and, accordingly, when the Internal Revenue returned the data we promptly made them available to the Bureau.

Shortly after the first inquiry I heard that the Bureau agents were contacting other clients of Roy Cohn inquiring as to fees paid him, and requesting cancelled checks. This concerned me very much because I was fearful that another "steel pricing case" could arise wherein the Bureau would come under criticism and, accordingly, I called Mr. DeLoach and advised him of this, and also informed him that we had received word that Judge Dawson had requested the United States Attorney's Office to instruct the Treasury Department to stop making inquiries regarding Mr. Cohn until the trial was over.
Subsequently, [BLANK] sent me a memorandum of his conversation with Judge Dawson and I had [BLANK] give it to the Bureau agents at the time they called here. I am sure that the Bureau agents reported this to the United States Attorney's Office, and [BLANK] was in possession of all the facts.

So as the Bureau may have the full details, for its own information and not for reference to the department, I am enclosing copies of [BLANK] memoranda to me, and letters that I sent to [BLANK]. Also enclosed is a copy of [BLANK] letter to me advising of Judge Dawson's instructions to the United States Attorney's Office.

With best wishes and kind regards.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Louis B. Nichols

Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.

Encl: Transcript of Testimony
Memo from [BLANK] 1/31/64
Memo from [BLANK] 1/23/64
Letter to [BLANK] 1/23/64
Letter from [BLANK] 1/27/64
Letter to [BLANK] 1/28/64
Letter to [BLANK] 2/3/64
Memo from [BLANK] 1/14/64
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Mohr

FROM: C. D. DeLoach

DATE: July 24, 1964

SUBJECT: ROY COHN
INFORMATION CONCERNING

Roy Cohn called me from New York on 7/21/64 and stated that he was elated as to the outcome of his trial. He mentioned that the purpose of his call was to let the FBI know of his opinion that our Agents, who had testified for both the prosecution and defense, had handled themselves in complete fairness and candor. He stated the Agents had "gone right down the middle" in testifying factually and fairly. Cohn added that what impressed him the most was the fact that when some of our Agents were subpoenaed by the defense they handled themselves in the same fair and honest manner in which they had testified for the prosecution. He pointed out that this was one of the principal factors that had made the FBI great. He stated he was very appreciative and would like very much for the Director to know of his opinion.

ACTION:

For record purposes.

1 - Mr. Tolson
1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Jones

CDD:dgs
(6)
GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

This is the case in which subject of a Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) matter, alleged that in 1959 Roy Cohn and

were paid $50,000 to control the indictment in the SEC case. An extensive Grand Jury probe conducted by U. S. Attorney Morgenthau did not develop corroboration of the bribe allegation. However, Cohn and Murray Gottesman, New York Attorney, were indicted 9/3/63, for perjury, conspiracy and obstruction of justice in connection with their testimony during the Grand Jury probe. Trial of Cohn and Gottesman began 6/9/64, SDNY. Previous trial ended 4/19/64, when Judge declared mistrial due to death of father of a juror.
This is the case in which Roy
cohn and Murray Gottesman, also a
New York Attorney, are currently on
trial in U. S. District Court,
Southern District of New York (SDNY).
They were indicted 9/3/63, by Federal
grand jury, SDNY, for Perjury,
Conspiracy and Obstruction of Justice
in connection with a grand jury probe
conducted by U. S. Attorney Robert
Morgenthau of alleged $50,000 bribe
in August, 1959, to Cohn and

to control indictment in a Securities
and Exchange Commission case. The
grand jury probe did not corroborate
the bribe allegation but Cohn and
Gottesman were indicted in connection
with their testimony before the
grand jury. Trial began 6/9/64.
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All leads in this investigation for other offices have been set forth by separate communication and interested offices were instructed to afford these leads top priority attention and that all leads were to be covered in 5 days.

All persons interviewed in this investigation were advised that the investigation was being conducted at the request of the USA, SDNY.
Results of investigation conducted prior to and during trial set forth. Second trial in this matter commenced 6/9/64, before USDJ DUDLEY B. BONSAL, SDNY. On 7/16/64, jury found GOTTESMAN and COHN not guilty on all 9 counts of indictment.
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I. NEIGHBORHOOD AT RIVERDALE

On May 15, 1964, Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA), Southern District of New York, (SDNY), requested that a neighborhood investigation be conducted at __________, Riverdale, New York. He requested that all residents of the building during the weekend of August 22-23, 1959, be interviewed to attempt to determine any information available regarding __________ activities during that weekend.

On May 21, 1964, the records of the United States Post Office, Riverdale Station, were reviewed and reflected that the following persons who resided at the above address in August, 1959, have moved to the following addresses:
NY 58-1232

Efforts were made to contact at the San Francisco, on May 13, 1964, and it was determined that he was out of town on a business trip and would return approximately May 19.

was interviewed in the San Francisco Office on May 19, 1964. He advised as follows: He is employed by the

New York, telephone Judson 2-7100, and is having his office at San Francisco, and residence at

from July 1, 1959, to December 27, 1963, resided in Riverdale, New York. There were two 15-story apartment buildings adjacent to each other, each having approximately 200 apartments, one located at and the other at

He did not at any time reside at He was about the first tenant in the apartment building in which he resided,
and the other building was not finished until approximately August or September, 1959.

A photograph of subject [redacted] was shown to [redacted] and he claimed he had never met him or did not know him personally. He may have seen him in the area but could not recall any dates and would not be definite in this opinion.

[redacted], now living in Miami Beach, states that she and her husband were among the first three tenants to move into the large apartment building at [redacted] New York, the other two being a dentist named [redacted] and an attorney named [redacted]. She said she moved out about the end of 1962 when moving to Miami. She stated that they lived on the 8th floor just to the left of the elevator.

[redacted] examined a photograph of [redacted] and said she does not recognize him. She stated her husband is presently on the west coast and unavailable for interview.
On May 13, 1964, New Jersey, advised SA John A. De Amicis that she and her husband resided at Riverdale, New York, from July, 1959, to October, 1962. She stated the name was not familiar to her and after viewing a photograph of she said she did not recognize it as anyone she knew.

stated her husband was a salesman and was away from home often and knew very few people in the apartment building. She said she would ask her husband that evening if he knew.

On the following day, was telephonically contacted, and she advised that her husband had seen the name in the newspapers recently, but did not even know had lived in the next apartment house.

On May 13, 1964, an attempt was made to contact New Jersey, with negative results.

On May 18, 1964, was telephonically contacted in order to determine when she and her husband would be available for interview concerning their knowledge of advised SA De Amicis that because of previous commitments, she and her husband would not be available for interview in the near future. She stated she would prefer to furnish what information she had over the telephone.

then stated that she and her husband had moved into the house at Riverdale, New York, during September, 1959, and lived there until September, 1962. She said she met during October or November, 1959, and played cards in a group with her from time to time. She said she did not meet when she and her husband would see the around the apartment house swimming pool. She stated that she and her husband were not close friends of the but did attend functions with them with other people. She said she understands the are divorced and is still living in the apartment. She believes that is living somewhere in Connecticut.

further advised she has seen on one or two occasions after moving from the apartment house, and recalled that it was when she was back visiting other people in the house. She recalled that and from time to time she had discussed proposed trips with her.
New Jersey, on May 19, 1964, advised SAA ARTHUR G. BARGER she resided with Riverdale, New York, from 1960 to 1962. The name and photograph of is not familiar to her. Her husband did reside, alone, at this address in 1959.

On May 20, 1964, ___________ advised SA BARGER that ___________________ is unknown to him. And that, in fact, he knew only a very few people at ___________________ Riverdale, New York.

He advised that he does not know anyone named and does not recall him as living at New York City, when he did. was shown photographs of and stated that he did not recognize him as anyone whom he had seen before.

On May 26, 1964, New York, advised that Formerly worked out of the New York office of July, 1962. She said while in New York, resided in Riverdale, New York. She also said is now working at the

New York, advised Special Agent RALPH DE SENA on May 28, 1964, that she did not know nor could she have seen him in the vicinity of on August 22, 1959 as did not move in at that address until August 1960.

was contacted at his office, New York. After viewing a photograph of related he did not know nor had he ever seen him.

located at apartments New York 71, New York, advised that the family had separated and had recently left the apartment. He stated he has no idea where they are presently located. He stated that this information may be available through the real estate office handling the building at may be of assistance at phone number EL 9-4900.
On June 5, 1964, SA RICHARD C. FRITZ spoke with the following individuals who both advised that they were not acquainted with [BLANK] and, therefore, could furnish no information regarding him:

Bronx, New York

On May 26, 1964, [BLANK] was interviewed by SA's DONALD A. RIVERS and NICHOLAS J. LORÉ at the [BLANK] and stated he viewed photo of [BLANK] and recognized the photo as being [BLANK]. However, he stated he had no recollection of [BLANK] activities on August 22-23, 1959, at New York City. Inasmuch as he did not move into that residence until [BLANK].

On May 26, 1964, [BLANK] advised she has occupied this room for the past two weeks and does not know an [BLANK].

After the extensive inquiries she determined that the former occupant of this room was [BLANK] and this company left no forwarding address.

Telephonic contact with [BLANK] at number listed in the current Manhattan directory using the above former address, determined that [BLANK] was unknown to them.
On June 2, 1964, New York City, advised that one had resided in Apartment at that address until about three months ago when he skipped owing the management two months back rent. The apartment originally occupied by was leased from New York City, by with whom lived as husband and wife.

caused a review of the records of to be made and no forwarding address for was noted. No forwarding address for was on file at the United States Post Office, and New York City.

New York, telephone number DA 3-2097, currently on sick leave, was contacted on June 10, 1964. She advised that he resided at Riverdale, New York, from in apartment stated that during the course of this residence he did not become acquainted with stated he is not familiar with in any way and can furnish no information with regard to him.

The following investigation was conducted by SA MICHAEL R. CARRANO:

New York, advised that she did not know although his face was familiar. She noted that she and her husband did not socialize with and could furnish no information regarding him. She said that she lived at the Riverdale address from about when she moved to New York, New York, advised that she and her husband lived in Riverdale from about when they moved to their present address. She pointed out that neither she nor her husband were aware that was living in the same apartment house until she read about it in the newspaper. She could furnish no information regarding New York.
NY 58-1232

advised that she left her house about one year ago to take up permanent residence in . She noted that she does not have his present address but stated that he has his own . She added that she is positive that he is still there.

New York, advised that he maintains an office at this address where he has office hours by appointment only. Stated that is at this office at irregular times but resides at Riverdale, New York.

On June 1, 1964, New York, and New York, both advised that was unknown to them and that they could furnish no information regarding him.

On June 5, 1964, New York, advised that they were friendly with the but only as neighbors. They did not socialize to any extent and were not too close. They stated that were already living in when the moved in. They could not recall ever taking a trip to Las Vegas nor did ever mention having taken such a trip. They could furnish no further information pertinent to this investigation.

was contacted at New York by SA EDWARD J. MALLON and advised that does not live at that address any longer but has a

was contacted on June 5, 1964 and stated that he was residing at Riverdale, New York City during August, 1959, and became acquainted with while at that address.
He related that he met [blank] at the apartment house swimming pool but had no further contact with him. He also stated he had no knowledge of [blank] travels or activities at any time.
as contacted at her residence in New York City on the morning of June 5, 1964. was informed by Special Agent RICHARD A. CERMAK that she need not furnish any information without first consulting an attorney, and further, any information she did furnish could possibly be used in a court of law. No threats or promises were made to in an effort to obtain the following information.

advised that she and her husband began residing in Riverdale, New York on or about She added that they resided at that address until when they moved to their present residence.

stated that approximately six months after moving to the Riverdale, New York residence, she and her husband became acquainted with the stated that their relationship with the was purely social in nature, and added, that at no time, to the best of her recollection, was any mention made of business dealings or travels.

specifically mentioned that she does not recall ever having heard that made a trip to Las Vegas, Nevada.

concluded by stating that she did recall that the seemed to be particularly close to who also resided at the Riverdale address at that time, and consequently might be able to furnish some additional information concerning this matter.

On 6/5/64 at New York City File # NY 58-1232

by SA RICHARD A. CERMAK/pb Date dictated 6/5/64
New York City advised that he is a ____ with offices at __________ New York City.

Was informed by Special Agent RICHARD A. CERMAK that he need not furnish any information without first consulting an attorney, and that any information that he did furnish could possibly be used in a court of law. No threats or promises were made to _______ in an effort to obtain the following information.

Advised that he moved to ____ Riverdale, New York during the latter part of August, 1959.

Admitted being acquainted with the ____ and added that he and his wife had been out socially with the ____ on one or possibly two occasions.

Stated that because of the nature of his occupation, namely, ____ he, out of curiosity, on several occasions questioned about his employment and business dealings. Stated that was extremely reluctant to discuss this type of information.

Stated that to the best of his recollection, ____ never actually made specific mention to his employment, business dealings or travels.

Stated that he never heard of ____ having made a trip to Las Vegas, Nevada.

On _______ at ________ New York City File # NY 58-1232

SA RICHARD A. CERMAK/pb 6/5/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Housewife, residing at Bronz, New York, advised that she believes they moved into their apartment at the above address in August, 1959, but she did not become acquainted with them or observe their presence in the building until a much later date.
Housewife, residing at Bronx, New York, advised that she and her family moved into their apartment on ___________________ She said she did not see or even know the _______________ and it was not until sometime later that she casually came to know ___________________.

5/27/64 Bronx, New York File # NY 58-1232

On ___________________ at ___________________ by ___________________ Date dictated ___________________

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Housewife, residing in______Bronx, New York, advised that she and her family have lived in this apartment since they moved in as______She said she believed the date was______and that it was on a Saturday since her husband was not working that day.

She said that each floor of this new apartment building was scheduled for occupancy on a specified date and she believes the third floor was scheduled for Saturday, August 21 or 22, 1959. She said she recalled three other families moving in on the third floor at on the day they moved in and named them as follows: __________and a young couple named ________last name unrecalled.

While she states that these families moved on the same day, she said she cannot now recall seeing______on the weekend of August 21 – 22, 1959.

______advised that she and her husband returned to New Jersey on that Saturday evening when they moved and picked up their children, not returning to the apartment until Sunday evening on August 22 or 23, 1959.

______stated that the______were very friendly with______when they first moved to______and she believes that they knew one another prior to residing at_______. She related, however, that after they lived there awhile some kind of disagreement developed between the______and they would pass in the hall without speaking.
Housewife, residing at [redacted] in New York, advised that she moved into her apartment in the latter part of [redacted]. After viewing a photograph of [redacted] she stated she did not know him and had never seen him in the apartment building.
advised that he resides at Bronx, New York, and is a New York City.

related that he and his family are their current apartment residence, having moved there on which, according to was a Thursday. He said that he and his wife remained at the apartment on that Thursday night and until the evening of Friday, when they returned to a resort in New Jersey. He said that he and his family did not return to their new residence until two Sundays hence.

related that and his wife, moved into their apartment on Friday, August 21, 1959, residing down the hall from

related that he occasionally travels to work with He said that never mentioned traveling at any time to Las Vegas, Nevada.

As an unsolicited remark, stated that has never owned a sport jacket.
II. INTERVIEWS OF EMPLOYEES OF HOTEL PIERRE

On May 6, 1964, AUSA requested that all employees of the Hotel Pierre, who were on duty during the day-light hours of August 19, 1959, be shown photographs of COHN and GOTTESMAN, to attempt to develop any information concerning their presence at the hotel on that date.
The following employees of the Hotel Pierre, 2 East 61st Street, New York City, were shown photographs of COHN and GOTTESMAN in an effort to place COHN and GOTTESMAN at the hotel on August 19, 1959. All of the employees interviewed advised they could not recognize the photograph of GOTTESMAN as anyone they could remember having visited the hotel and they were all employed by the hotel in August, 1959.

[Name] has seen COHN in the hotel on a number of occasions but could not pinpoint a definite visit on August 19, 1959.

Residence [Name], residence New York, advised he too has seen COHN in the hotel but could not recall any specific dates.

Residence [Name], residence Flushing, New York, stated he has observed COHN at the hotel but could give no specific dates.

Residence [Name], residence Astoria, New York, advised he has seen COHN in the hotel but could not recall any specific dates.

Residence [Name], residence Flushing, New York, advised he could not recall seeing GOTTESMAN or COHN at the hotel.

Residence [Name], New York City, said he had seen COHN on unrecalled dates at the hotel.

LOUIS BOURGOIN, Elevator Operator since 1932, residence 39-35 51st Street, Woodside, New York, could not recall seeing COHN or GOTTESMAN.

Residence [Name], New York City, could not recall seeing COHN or GOTTESMAN at the hotel.

On 5/12,13/64 at New York, New York File # NY 58-1232

by SAS ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH and CHARLES J. GARVEY:recc
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Island City, New York</td>
<td>Recall having seen COHN at the hotel but could not state exactly when.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Recall having seen COHN or GOTTMAN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City, New York</td>
<td>Recall having seen COHN but could give no specific dates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooklyn, New York</td>
<td>Could not recall having seen COHN or GOTTMAN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flushing, New York</td>
<td>Recall seeing COHN at the hotel but could not give exact dates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Recall seeing COHN at the hotel but could give no dates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astoria, New York</td>
<td>Recall seeing COHN at the hotel but could give no dates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronx, New York</td>
<td>Could not recall having seen COHN or GOTTMAN at the hotel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City, New York</td>
<td>Could recall seeing COHN but could not recall when.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronx, New York</td>
<td>Since 1958, recall seeing COHN or GOTTMAN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island City, New York</td>
<td>Recall seeing COHN on unrecalled dates.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
residence New Jersey, could not recall COHN or GOTTESMAN.

residence New York, could recall seeing COHN but could not say exactly when.

residence New York City, could recall seeing COHN on unspecified dates.

WILLIAM LANE, Elevator Starter for 32 years, residence 859 Quiney Avenue, Bronx, New York, could not recall COHN or GOTTESMAN.

residence New York City, could not recall COHN or GOTTESMAN.

HANS WEDEL, Room Service Waiter for 33 years, residence 61-54 81st Street, Elmhurst, New York, could not recall seeing COHN or GOTTESMAN.

residence Bronx, New York, had no recollection of COHN or GOTTESMAN.

could not recall having seen COHN or GOTTESMAN.

residence New York City, could not recall COHN or GOTTESMAN.

HERMAN C. DEUTSCH, Room Service Waiter for 30 years, could not recall COHN or GOTTESMAN.

residence New York, could not recall COHN or GOTTESMAN.
mentioned above, further advised that another employee of the hotel in 1959

Brooklyn,

New York, left employment here in 1960.
III. INVESTIGATION REGARDING SPORT JACKET

On May 1, 1964, AUSA advised that during the first trial in this matter, testified that he was wearing a brown sport jacket when he denied this and stated he never owned a sport jacket.

AUSA requested that the cancelled checks of for the year 1959, which he previously furnished to the United States Attorney's Office, be reviewed to determine charge accounts at clothing stores. Thereafter, he requested these stores be contacted to attempt to locate any record of the purchase of a sport jacket by ROBSON.

On May 4, 1964, a review of cancelled checks reflected payments to the following:

- Bloomingdale's, New York City.
- Wanamaker's, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Saks, 34th Street, New York City.
- Saks, Fifth Avenue, New York City.
- Bamberger's, New Jersey.
- Bronx, New York.
- Gimbel's, New York City.
- New York City
- A. Sagner's Son, Baltimore, Maryland.
It was ascertained on May 8, 1964, that A. Sagner's Son had moved from Baltimore, Maryland to Frederick, Maryland, and is now known as Sagner, Incorporated.

On May 11, 1964, SA RONALD R. LICHTINGER conducted the following investigation at Frederick, Maryland:

Sagner, Incorporated, S. Wisner Street, Frederick, Maryland, examined her cash receipts records for the month of February, 1958 but could find no record of a check being received from [ ] She said that in 1958, Sagner's was a wholesale operation and sales to individuals were not ordinarily made. Frequently, sales would be made to companies, or various organizations as well as to the usual wholesale outlets.

On May 11, 1964, Collection Department, John Wanamker's Department Store, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, was contacted by SA JOE HARGIS. [ ] requested any information in his records concerning [ ] be kept confidential, if possible, since Wanamker's have been involved in litigation with [ ] concerning a disputed account.

A review of the accounts of Wanamker's reflected an account for [ ] Riverdale, Bronx, New York. Address prior to November, 1959, of [ ] New York. The account was dropped as of December 28, 1963, as uncollectible. The account was opened in September, 1959, and the first credit purchase was between mid-September and mid-October, 1959, for about $11.00. There were no credit purchases prior to August 23, 1959.

No account could be located for [ ] No original credit application for [ ] would be located.
therefore, concluded that I could not have purchased the sport jacket at Wanamker's on credit prior to August 23, 1959. No record would have been kept, of course, of any cash purchase.
Newark, New Jersey

Store, Bambergers Department Stores, Incorporated, made available microfilm records of monthly statements and sales checks for account Number 307-61-738 in the name of Riverdale, New York. These records covered the period January 1, 1958, through September 30, 1959. It was determined that the above account had been opened during 1957; however, the microfilm records pertaining to 1957 had been destroyed. Bambergers maintains microfilm records for a period of six years.

A review was made of these microfilm records for the above account in an effort to locate the purchase of a man's sport jacket. Purchases were noted on this account; however, no purchase of a man's sport jacket was located.

It was noted that the first statement for 1958 which was dated January 27, showed a carried forward balance from the previous statement of $39.31. Inasmuch as all previous statements had been destroyed, it could not be determined what purchases made up this balance.

On 5/15/64 at Newark, New Jersey File # Newark 58-442

by SA JOHN A. DE AMICIS (A) / jtm Date dictated 5/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
1. Browning
King and Company, Fifth Avenue, New York City, advised
that records of this company reflecting credit purchases
prior to 1959 have been destroyed. She advised from records
that the only credit purchases in the account show a charge of $34.90 in March, 1959, for purchase of shirts
and shoes.

On 5/6/64 at New York, New York
File # NY 58-1232

by SAS ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH and CHARLES J. GABBY
5/12/64
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your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
New York, was interviewed at his place of employment, advised that he has been in business at this location for eight years but could not recall having done any work for . He related he would check his records to determine if he had as a customer.

Later during the day of May 6, 1964, telephonically advised that his records reflected that in 1960 he had altered a suit for . He added that his records showed no other work performed for and he could not personally recall .

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Cambridge Clothing Company, 1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York City, advised that his company deals only with wholesalers and makes no retail sales. He stated that a number of years ago there was a clothing company by the same name in business in New York City, but he believed that the owner died and the company went out of business a few years ago.
1. Bloomingdale's Department Store, New York City, advised that a check of credit records for the past seven years failed to reflect an account at Bloomingdale's for either New York, or New York City. He said that in view of this, there would be no record of any cash purchases made by or his wife.
Department Store, 33rd Street and Broadway, New York City, advised from records that I have maintained a credit account at this store since 1957. Further advised that records of purchases prior to January 1, 1959, have been destroyed. He reviewed credit purchases of the since that time and there was no record indicating a purchase of a sports jacket by
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Fifth Avenue, 50th Street and Fifth Avenue, New York City, advised that the records of credit purchases for 1958 and 1959 have been stored in a warehouse which necessitated a delay in the review of purchases in the account. Related that a review of all credit purchases made by failed to reflect the purchase of a sport jacket.
IV. BANK RECORDS OF COHN AND GOTTESMAN

On May 6, 1964, AUSA advised that during the first trial, GOTTESMAN testified that in August, 1959, he went to Sun Valley, California to consult with attorneys of a savings and loan association regarding embezzlement of $900,000.00 from that institution by a cashier. AUSA stated this savings and loan institution was owned by GOTTESMAN's wife's family and his wife's maiden name was LILLIAN HARMATZ.

AUSA requested that copies of reports in any investigation concerning this embezzlement should be furnished to him and that the records of the bank be reviewed to determine if GOTTESMAN has any accounts at the institution and for activities in the accounts.
The following investigation was conducted by SA JOHN M. AGNEW (A), at Los Angeles, California:

On May 13, 1964, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, advised that a search of his records pertaining to the Strathern Savings and Loan Association failed to reveal any record which indicated that MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN or LILLIAN GOTTESMAN, nee HARMATZ or HARMARZ, owned any stock in this savings and loan association.

Division of Savings and Loans, State of California, 3460 Wilshire Boulevard, advised that a search of the records pertaining to the Strathern Savings and Loan Association failed to locate any record which indicated that GOTTESMAN or his wife owned any stock in this savings and loan.

On May 14, 1964, Columbia Savings and Loan Association, 5420 Wilshire Boulevard, advised that he caused the records of the now defunct Strathern Savings and Loan Association to be searched and no record could be located to indicate that GOTTESMAN and his wife owned any stock in the savings and loan association. Also advised that no record could be located on GOTTESMAN and his wife which would indicate that they ever had an open or closed savings account at the Strathern Savings and Loan Association in Sun Valley, California.
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On June 15, 1964, the copies of reports requested by AUSA were disseminated to him.

On May 18, 1964, AUSA stated that COHN had testified to having a safety deposit box at the First National City Bank Branch on 91st Street but very seldom visited the vault. AUSA requested that the bank be contacted to attempt to determine the frequency of visits of COHN or his mother to the vault.
Safety Deposit Department, First National City Bank, 91st Street and Madison Avenue, New York City, advised that he has but has not observed COHN in the Safety Deposit Section in that time. He related that this is presently in the hospital for surgery on a serious disease.

queried two clerical employees of the section but they told him they could not recall seeing COHN or his mother in the Safety Deposit Section of the bank.
On May 18, 1964, AUSA requested investigation to determine the source of two $5,000.00 deposits to COHN's account at the Underwriters Trust Company on February 19, 1960.
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On June 4, 1964, United States Attorney (USA), ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU, Southern District of New York, advised that he had received information to the effect that ROY COHN had borrowed some money from Miami Beach, Florida. He stated that in the past, ROY COHN had borrowed some money from to be used for stock purchases. USA MORGENTHAU requested that the records of this bank be reviewed to attempt to determine if ROY COHN possessed an account or have had a loan from the bank.
of the Bank of Miami Beach, 200 Washington Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, advised that both of New York City, purchased the bank in about September, 1963.

had the bank records checked for any account under the name of ROY COHN and with negative results. The records of loans were also checked and no loan has ever been made to the above individuals.

stated he cannot recall any of the above individuals ever having an account or ever receiving a loan.
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V. ACTIVITIES OF COHN IN AUGUST, 1959

Investigation regarding COHN's travels to Duck Key, Florida in August, 1959 has been reported previously. Attempts to locate and interview HENRY LILLYBECK, the pilot who flew COHN to Duck Key were set forth previously.
HENRY C. LILLYBECK, 97 Hendrix Isle, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, advised he was employed as an airplane pilot for ALONZO COTHAN, Islamorada, Florida, from February 1960 until December 1960.

LILLYBECK stated his flight log, covering his employment with COTHAN, was destroyed during Hurricane Donna and he has no other record of flights during this period.

LILLYBECK recalled making approximately 15 to 20 flights from Miami, Florida, to Duck Key, Florida, while employed by COTHAN, when ROY COHN was his passenger. He said COHN usually traveled alone on these trips and he flew down to the Indies House on Duck Key for the week ends. He recalled that once or twice COHN was accompanied by other individuals.

LILLYBECK recalled specifically that COHN had asked to be flown to Cuba on one occasion, saying he wanted to get out of the country. LILLYBECK said when he refused to pilot the plane to Cuba, COHN became extremely angry and threatened he would have LILLYBECK fired.

LILLYBECK stated he made out trip tickets, which included the names of passengers, upon the completion of each flight he made. He said these tickets were turned over to his employer, ALONZO COTHAN. He related that these tickets would show all the dates and names of the passengers flown on the particular dates if COTHAN still had them.
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VI. ACTIVITIES OF GOTTESMAN IN AUGUST, 1959

On May 15, 1964, AUSA SDNY, requested that [redacted] who was previously interviewed because GOTTESMAN's diary showed a contact with her on August 19, 1959 be reinterviewed.
1. New York City, was recontacted at her residence to attempt to determine the name and location of the attorney who represented her in the lawsuit in which she was represented by GOTTESMAN. She related that she did not know the attorney's name but would search her records to determine if she possessed it and also to locate.
West, New York City, was recontacted at her residence. She advised that a review of her records failed to reflect the name or location of the attorney who represented [REDACTED] nor the name and location of [REDACTED].
AUSA COHN advised on May 15, 1964, that GOTTESSMAN's diary had a notation of a contact with one, JULIUS LEICHER on August 19, 1959. He requested that this individual be located and interviewed for any information he may possess regarding GOTTESSMAN's activities on that date.
MURRAY LEICHER, Attorney, 1664 Madison Avenue, New York City, advised that he has known MURRAY GOTTESSMAN for many years, having attended school with him as well as serving in the Army with him. LEICHER added that he has not seen GOTTESSMAN since approximately 1946.

LEICHER made available the 1959 diary of his law firm. This diary reflected an entry near the bottom of the page on August 19, 1959, which read, "M. Gottesman re: 58 decision". LEICHER explained that this entry was in the handwriting of his brother, JULIUS and he, MURRAY LEICHER could not state whether this entry reflected a telephone call or a visit to or from GOTTESSMAN. He further advised that his brother JULIUS is in the hospital recuperating from a heart attack but he, MURRAY, would ask JULIUS for his recollection of the diary entry.

MURRAY LEICHER indicated further that he could not fix the time of day of the entry because frequently he and his brother made notes on scrap paper and then at the end of the day enter their day's contacts in the diary.

LEICHER added that to his knowledge, GOTTESSMAN has never personally appeared at the LEICHER office.
JULIUS LEICHER, Attorney, 1664 Madison Avenue, New York City, was interviewed at his office. LEICHER examined the firm’s diary for August 19, 1959, and advised that he wrote the entry regarding GOTTESMAN and most of the entries on the page. LEICHER stated that he had had many contacts with GOTTESMAN about that time all but possibly two or three were telephonic.

LEICHER explained that his firm had represented one member some years ago in a legal dispute concerning and another member of the board of had recontacted him to have LEICHER represent Sunreb in a legal matter involving properties owned by the corporation and condemnation proceedings by New York City. LEICHER stated that he told that he could not ethically represent the corporation because of the prior action and recommended they seek other counsel.

LEICHER stated that subsequently he was contacted by GOTTESMAN and learned that GOTTESMAN was representing the corporation. He related further that he had a number of contacts with GOTTESMAN on this matter but mostly on the telephone. He said that because of the nature of the matter there was little need for personal contacts or for conferences with the clients.

LEICHER further advised that GOTTESMAN has never been in the office of the LEICHER firm and he, JULIUS LEICHER, has been in GOTTESMAN’s office two or three times. He stated that the August 19, 1959, diary entry he would guess was a telephonic contact because he explained that it was his usual procedure if he was to visit another’s office or have another call at his office, he would put a notation as to the time of the appointment before the name of the individual. He added that since there is no time noted before GOTTESMAN’s name, it was probably a telephonic contact but he could not testify to this.
LEICHER stated that he could not recall what time during the day the contact with GOTTESMAN occurred. He said that the fact that the entry appears at the bottom of the page doesn't necessarily mean the contact was late in the day. He explained that sometimes he notes contacts on scrap paper and later enters them in the diary or sometimes all the contacts may be in the morning but due to the lack of an entry showing a particular time on August 19, 1959, he could not even venture a guess as to the time of the GOTTESMAN contact.
On June 29, 1964, AUSA COHN requested investigation be conducted at the New York State Rent Commission to determine if GOTTESMAN visited there on August 19, 1959. AUSA COHN said GOTTESMAN's diary showed a contact with the Commission regarding...
Secretary, New York State Rent Commission, 50 Park Place, New York City, advised that the Commission maintains a log of visitors to the office but after having changed location of the office two years ago, she did not believe the records for 1959 existed any longer.

She further advised that Mr. ZUCHER is a Deputy Commissioner but at present was out of town. She stated she would have him check to attempt to locate his diary for 1959 and also she would attempt to locate the visitors log for 1959.
HAROLD ZUCKER, Counsel and Deputy State Rent Commissioner, New York State Rent Commission, 16 Park Place, New York City, advised that he could not recall contacts with GOTTESMAN in 1959. He related that he did handle a case concerning Mahreb Associates and reviewed his 1959 diary for contacts in this case.

ZUCKER stated that he could locate no record of a contact with GOTTESMAN and further that he, ZUCKER, was on vacation during week of August 19, 1959. He added it is possible he may have been contacted by GOTTESMAN and did not note the contact. ZUCKER suggested that a former employee of the commission, is now with the New York City Rent Commission and may know the location of records of visitors to the State Rent Commission in 1959.
New York City Rent and Rehabilitation Commission, 280 Broadway, New York City, advised on July 1, 1964, that the logs of visitors to the State Rent Commission in 1959 are in the possession of his agency. He added that he would attempt to locate these records to determine if GOTTESMAN visited the state agency on August 19, 1959.

was recontacted on July 2, 1964, and advised that a review of visitors logs of State Rent Commission from August 7 through 21, 1959, failed to reflect a notation of GOTTESMAN having visited that office during that period.
AUSA COHN further advised that GOTTSMAN's diary reflected notations of contacts with [redacted] of [redacted] office, and [redacted] telephone number [redacted] on August 19, 1959. He requested these individuals also be located and interviewed.
1. Secretary, New York City, advised that her maiden name was and that she handles GOTTESMAN's automobile insurance. She stated that she has had numerous telephonic contacts with him regarding this insurance but he has only visited the office on one or two occasions. She added that the firm's 1959 diary has been destroyed and there is no way in which she can ascertain if GOTTESMAN contacted her personally or by telephone on August 19, 1959.
1. New York City, advised that his agency has handled GOTTESMAN's automobile insurance since February, 1946. related that handled GOTTESMAN's insurance problems.

reviewed his records regarding GOTTESMAN but could find no notation of a contact on August 19, 1959. He pointed out that the firm's diaries are destroyed every two years, hence, the 1959 diary does not exist any longer.
The records of the New York Telephone Company reflected that HA-2-5908 is listed to A. T. Brodt and Company, stockbrokers, 70 Wall Street, New York City.

On June 5, 1964, contact at Brodt and Company reflected that no record of a former employee named [redacted] could be located.

VII. INVESTIGATION RESULTING FROM TESTIMONY AT FIRST TRIAL

On May 14, 1964, AUSA [redacted] requested that Judge Leibowitz be recontacted to determine if he had located any correspondents or information indicating a meeting with Cohn on August 19, 1959. It should be noted that he was previously interviewed.
Judge Samuel S. Leibowitz, New York State Supreme Court, Civic Center, Brooklyn, New York, was reinterviewed at his chambers. Judge Leibowitz stated that he could locate no record that would indicate the date of his meeting with COHN in August, 1959. He related that he recalled meeting COHN in his chambers and discussing a proposed libel suit but could not recall the time of day of this meeting nor how long the meeting lasted.

Judge Leibowitz stated further that one evening during the recent COHN trial, an individual from COHN's law firm called him and asked if he could locate a record of a conference he had with COHN on August 19, 1959. He stated that since he keeps no diary, he reviewed correspondence and other records but could locate no record of the conference. He stated that he called COHN's office and told one of his partners that he could locate no record of the date of the conference. Judge Leibowitz added that he has not been recontacted since above by COHN or any of his partners.
On May 5, 1964, AUSA [redacted] advised that COHN had testified at the first trial that he had lunch on August 19, 1959, at the Louis XIV Cafe, and had also visited El Morocco Night Club that evening. He requested appropriate investigation be conducted to verify this testimony.
Louis XIV Cafe, 15 West 49th Street, New York City, advised that ROY COHN is a frequent guest at this restaurant. He stated that COHN has been the Attorney for the American and Union News Companies, the owners of this restaurant, and usually charges his bills at the restaurant. He added that records pertaining to these charges would be maintained at American and Union News Company, 131 Varick Street, New York City.

On 5/7/64 at New York, New York
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American and Union News Company, 131 Varick Street, New York City, advised that he had testified at recent trial of COHN. related that COHN had telephonically contacted him and asked him to locate the company copies of charges billed to COHN for meals at Louis XIV Cafe on August 19 and 20, 1959. stated further that a thorough check of the company records failed to disclose copies of these charges.

stated further that he was subsequently asked to visit the officers of Saxe, Bacon and O'Shea, where he was shown customer's stubs of meal charges at Louis XIV Cafe, for August 19 and 20, 1959 and signed by COHN. He related that he identified these as authentic charges from the restaurant and subsequently was called to testify and identify the charge of August 19 at the recent COHN trial. added that he did not know where COHN obtained these receipts but they were the bill stubs that would be mailed to the customer with his monthly statement.
Night Club, 307 East 54th Street, New York City, advised that she had been contacted recently by ROY COHN and asked to locate any receipts or copies of monthly statements showing charges to COHN's account for August 19, 1959. She related that she could only locate the master cash sheet which shows daily entries of charges to all charge account customers. She stated a monthly statement is prepared from this sheet and mailed to the customers. She stated the master cash sheet showed charges to COHN on August 19, 1959 of $24.00 for liquor and a cup of coffee and a tip of $8.00. She stated she told COHN she could not locate copies of the waiters check or monthly statement of above charges.

further advised that she subsequently was shown by COHN a photocopy of the monthly statement of El Morocco for August, 1959 charges for COHN. She said she told COHN she could testify to the authenticity of the statement. She said he asked her to be in court during his trial to identify the statement and also to bring a copy of the master cash sheet. She said she did so, but was not called to testify.
On May 15, 1964, AUSA [redacted] requested that [redacted] be recontacted and the results of her interview which was previously reported be incorporated in a signed statement from her.

On May 18, 1964, [redacted] was recontacted telephonically and she declined to be reinterviewed or furnish a signed statement.

On May 15, 1964, AUSA [redacted] advised that [redacted] had formerly [redacted] as a [redacted]. He stated that [redacted] had advised that he had never discussed his involvement in the [redacted].

AUSA [redacted] requested that [redacted] and her husband be interviewed to determine if they had received any information from [redacted] regarding his participation in the
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was only interested in whether knew about a meeting in New York City in about August, 1958. stated told had no information about this meeting, and that was all there was to his visit.
On May 18, 1954, USA MORGENTHAU advised that he had received information indicating that an individual was involved in an automobile accident in Mendham Township, New Jersey, and may have been arrested for drunken driving. He requested that police records be reviewed regarding the above and all pertinent information be obtained.
Chief DONALD O'KEEFE, Mendham Township, New Jersey Police Department, advised that he arrested Mendham Township, for driving under the influence of alcohol and careless driving at 3:20 A.M.

He stated that he received a call from someone in Mendham Township telling him that a car was involved in an accident in front of the home of a special police officer in Mendham Township and was with Chief O'KEEFE when he received the call so they answered it together.

Chief O'KEEFE states he found sitting behind the wheel of his car which was up against a tree at the entrance to the driveway. He got out of the car and was unsteady on his feet. He denied that he had been drinking but, Chief O'KEEFE smelled the odor of alcohol on his breath. stated that he had a chain and if the Chief would pull his car away from the tree he would leave. Chief O'KEEFE refused to do this.

A survey of the accident scene by Chief O'KEEFE showed that car had skidded for a distance of 75 feet prior to hitting the tree. It appeared that he was trying to negotiate a curve at the intersection of Washington Valley Road and Tingley Road. This intersection was another 50 feet further down the road from the accident scene. It appeared that mistook the entrance to the driveway for the above intersection.

Chief O'KEEFE states that they took Police Headquarters where he was examined by Mendham Borough, New Jersey. as uncooperative and could not complete the necessary tests to form an opinion as to his condition. He, therefore, could not give a professional opinion as to whether he was under the influence of alcohol or not, but stated from observation, and as a layman, he would say he was under the influence of alcohol.
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was uncooperative and abusive and his speech was slurred according to Chief O'KEEFE. He told him that he had never carried a gun, but that he would in the future to take care of "you guys". He fell twice in mounting the stairs at Police Headquarters.

In Chief O'KEEFE's opinion was under the influence of alcohol and unfit to drive an automobile as he, therefore, charged him with that charge as well as careless driving. He said that said he would be willing to plead guilty to the careless driving charge.

was allowed to call his Attorney of Morristown, New Jersey. He was lodged in the Morris County Jail, Morristown, New Jersey, but was released on bail about 15 minutes after he arrived there. The summons issued to required his appearance before the town Magistrate on but there have been a series of postponements and it is now scheduled for
It has been previously reported that the Chicago Division has been attempting to locate registration records for September, 1962, at the Sheraton-Chicago Hotel.
St. Louis, Missouri, telephone number Jefferson 1-2970, stated for the time being she was employed at the Sheraton-Chicago Hotel, Chicago, Illinois, and furnished the following additional information:

During September, 1963, one or two agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation appeared at the Sheraton-Chicago Hotel requesting the registrations and all account records pertaining to three or four individuals. These records pertained to charge accounts of individuals. She recalled the agents were interested in the accounts of and . Upon the request of she pulled the requested records and turned them over to . Certain telephone information was also furnished the agents concerning telephone calls made by these individuals. stated she did not recall ever getting the records back from . She volunteered that is now with one of the Sheraton Hotels in Cincinnati, Ohio. 

does not know what happened to these records and does not know their whereabouts.
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AUSA advised on May 25, 1964, that COHN testified he had dinner at the 21 Club on May 15, 1963. He requested appropriate investigation to verify this fact.
It should be noted that it has been previously reported that Greenwich, Connecticut telephone number TO-9-1990 was listed to and Las Vegas, Nevada, telephone number 735-1122 was listed to the Desert Inn Hotel.
NY 58-1232

It is to be noted that _______ been previously identified as _________. The records of the New York Division concerning the other individuals listed previously as telephone subscribers failed to reflect any pertinent identifiable information concerning them.

On June 8, 1964, ________ Credit Bureau of Greater New York, advised Investigative Clerk JOHN M. DUNAY that the records of her Bureau reflect that ________ who resides at ________ New York City, has a number of suits for payment of back rent and a poor credit rating. However, she advised these records contained no derogatory information.

On June 5, 1964, ________ made available to Investigative Clerk VINCENT JAMES PULEO, a credit report dated September, 1963, regarding JEROME LEDERER. This report reflected that JEROME FOX LEDERER reside at 15 Schuyler Street, New Rochelle, New York, and he is the President of the Cornell Guggenheim Center, 468 Park Avenue South, New York City. He had a satisfactory credit rating and the report contained no derogatory information.

On June 20, 1964, a representative of the Bureau of Criminal Identification, New York City Police Department advised SA AUGUST J. MICEK that the records of his agency failed to reflect any pertinent identifiable information concerning ________ or JEROME LEDERER.

On June 1, 1964, AUSA _______ advised that _______ had testified that he accompanied COHN to the Drake Hotel on May 15, 1963. He requested investigation to verify this.
Drake Hotel, 440 Park Avenue, New York City, advised that a review of the hotel records failed to reflect any record of a charge for food or beverages for ROY COHN on May 15, 1963.
On May 26, 1964, AUSA stated that COHN had testified that he had contacted a named. It is noted that investigation has previously been conducted and reported concerning COHN's activities regarding. AUSA requested that be interviewed for any information in his possession regarding COHN's contact.
Minnesota, advised as follows:

To the best of his recollection, sometime in the latter part of September or October of 1960, he received a long distance telephone call to his offices from New York, then at [redacted]. The caller asked if this was the [redacted] who was the [redacted] to which [redacted] "Yes" and then the caller identified himself as [redacted]. asked if this was the ROY COHN who was formerly with the MC CARTHY Committee and the caller said, "Yes".

The caller then said that [redacted] was

Later that evening or the next day he called COHN back and said "No".

For which he was tried and found guilty.
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On June 1, 1964, AUSA advised that COHN had testified to a contact with regarding placement of vending machines in the Lionel Plant in Hillside, New Jersey. He advised that he received information that was the individual at Lionel who handled this matter. He requested to be interviewed.
Hillside, New Jersey, residence of Lionel Corporation, was interviewed at his place of employment. 

advised that he is acquainted with ROY COHN through COHN's former association with Lionel and he is also acquainted with through business and social contacts.

stated that approximately two years ago at a golf tournament at the Knoll Country Club, Boonton, New Jersey, he observed COHN and exchange greetings. Shortly thereafter COHN asked to meet a representative of company, Runyon Sales Company, to determine if arrangements could be made to install vending machines at the Lionel plant. related further that the installation of these machines never took place as it was decided it was not feasible.

related further that some time after above contact, he received another contact from a representative of Runyon Sales Company. He said that this contact may have been arranged through COHN but he was not certain. stated that Lionel was then manufacturing a golf game called Golf It, and the Runyon Company contact was to determine if they wanted to handle distribution of the games. He said that Runyon decided against handling the distribution, and this was his last contact with anyone from company.

added that he did not know except for reading about his testimony at the ROY COHN trial. He said he never heard COHN or mention the name,
VIII. INVESTIGATION DURING TRIAL

On June 10, 1964, AUSA advised that COHN previously testified to certain activities during the summer of 1954. He requested the records of the New York National Guard to be reviewed concerning COHN's service, particularly to determine the dates of his two week summer training period in 1954.
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On June 26, 1964, AUSA [redacted] stated that [redacted] had testified to [redacted] New York City and a number of [redacted] New York City, while [redacted] was in New York City for the first trial. AUSA [redacted] requested this testimony be verified.
stated further that he has been subpoenaed by COHN's attorney to produce the above records in court. He further related that an investigator for COHN had asked him if
He could not recall the identity of the friend.

related further that he recently received a subpoena from COHN's attorney to produce the records of above transactions in court. He also stated that an investigator for COHN had visited him and asked if...
AUSA advised on June 26, 1964, that GOTTESMAN had testified that a breakfast meeting with COHN in 1962, took place in Mayhew's Restaurant on Broadway and recalled they occupied a table near the cashier's counter. AUSA requested investigation to determine if a table was located near the cashier's counter in 1962.
Mayhew's Restaurant, 774 Broadway, New York City, advised that this restaurant was newly opened in 1961 and that there have been no alterations in the physical layout since then.

On perusal it was observed that tables were set up and functional within a six foot distance of the cashier's counter at the restaurant.
AUSA also requested that GOTTMAN's testimony that his wife, LILLIAN, was in Montefiore Hospital in June, 1962 also be verified.
Montefiore Hospital, East 210th Street and Bainbridge Avenue, Bronx, New York, advised after checking hospital records that LILLIAN GOTTESMAN was admitted at Montefiore Hospital on June 7, 1962, and was discharged on June 13, 1962.
AUSA further advised on June 26, 1964, that GOTTESMAN testified that on the Labor Day weekend in 1959, at the Desert Inn Hotel in Las Vegas, he had met [ ] of Chase and Sanborn, New York City. He requested that [ ] be interviewed.
Standard Brands Incorporated, 625 Madison Avenue, New York City, advised that Caleb Chase, a founder of Chase and Sanborn, died about 70 years ago. She reviewed the company records for 1959 and failed to locate any record of an executive officer or board member named [ ] at New York City headquarters. She added that the firm does employ a [ ].
On June 30, 1964, AUSA and advised that GOTTESMAN testified that on Labor Day weekend of 1959, he was at Desert Inn Hotel with his wife, LILLIAN, and there met organist MILTON HERTH. AUSA requested investigation to verify this.

The Las Vegas Division advised by communication dated June 30, 1964, that HERTH resides 829 East Akey, Las Vegas, Nevada, but had departed June 27, 1964, for Chicago. He was to appear at Chicago for a few days and then go on to Wisconsin.
Milton Eric Hirth, 829 East Oakey Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada, telephone number Code 702-384-6757 was interviewed in the Main Hardware, 606 - 57th Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin. Hirth was immediately advised of the identity of the interviewing agent as SA Dean R. Shumway of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, that he did not have to make a statement, that any statement he did make could be used in a court of law against him, and that he had the right to consult an attorney before making a statement.

Mr. Hirth advised that he is a professional organist and accepts musical engagements throughout the country but resides in Las Vegas. Hirth advised he does known Murray E. Gottesman as a result of having met him on one occasion in 1930, at which time he, Hirth, was playing for the Paramount Theater in Hammond, Indiana, and Gottesman at that time was head usher for Paramount Theaters. Hirth has seen Gottesman on only one occasion since 1930, which was sometime in 1959 or 1960, at which time he, Hirth, was playing at the Desert Inn in Las Vegas, Nevada. Mr. Hirth advised he terminated employment at the Desert Inn the first week in January, 1961; that he had worked there seven years preceding that time and, therefore, could not recall the exact time at which he saw Gottesman. He believes, however, that it was either in the summer or fall of 1960 but that it could have been as early as 1959.

Mr. Hirth advised that he saw Gottesman for approximately ten to fifteen minutes early in the evening of what was probably a week day rather than a weekend. Mr. Hirth advised he believes it was a week day due to the volume of business present at the Desert Inn, which was not busy enough to have been a weekend or a holiday. Hirth advised that when he saw Gottesman on this occasion Gottesman was in the company of a woman whom he introduced as his wife. Hirth, at the time he saw Gottesman, was playing the organ at the Desert Inn, and Gottesman was in the lounge in which Hirth was playing. They

On 7/2/64 at Kenosha, Wisconsin File # MI 58-90

by SA Dean R. Shumway/bs Date dictated 7/2/64
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recognized one another and conversed for the indicated period of time.

Mr. HERTH advised that he was in Las Vegas over Labor Day of 1959 and that he worked on that day but does not believe it was on Labor Day or the Labor Day weekend that he saw GOTTESMAN and his wife inasmuch as holidays at the Desert Inn are extremely busy and there was not sufficient business present at the Desert Inn to indicate to him that it was the Labor Day weekend. HERTH advised that he did not see GOTTESMAN on any other occasion at the Desert Inn; that he has not seen him before that time or since that time and that he spent no more time with GOTTESMAN than he previously mentioned—ten or fifteen minutes. Mr. HERTH advised that he can state definitely and unequivocally that DEBBIE REYNOLDS was not playing at the Desert Inn during that time and that DEBBIE REYNOLDS never played at the Desert Inn at any time during which HERTH was employed there. He advised that it is possible that EDDIE FISHER may have been there during the period of time in question but that the only time he actually recalls EDDIE FISHER having been at the Desert Inn was after he had married ELIZABETH TAYLOR.

Mr. HERTH was unable to adequately describe GOTTESMAN due to the limited contact he had with him—both at that time and prior to that time—but advised that he recalled that he was a "little gray," about 5'10", and that he was dressed neatly. HERTH was unable to recall if GOTTESMAN was wearing glasses but advised he appeared to be a man of approximately 54 to 55 years of age.

Mr. HERTH advised that he has never worked or played for GOTTESMAN; only that they both worked for the Paramount Theater at the time HERTH played for Paramount in Hammond, Indiana.

Mr. HERTH advised that he will be playing at the Beacon Hotel, New York City, until mid-July, 1964, but can be reached at his home in Las Vegas after that time.
On July 6, 1964, AUSA advised that
would not testify at the second trial
in this matter because she is allegedly out of the country. He requested appropriate investigation be conducted to verify this.

Passport Office, Department of State, advised search made of files but no identifiable record of could be located.

The following investigation was conducted by SA CLARENCE L. SMITH, JR. at Fairfield, Connecticut, July 6, 1964:

advised as follows:

is a resident of names unknown) stated
about two weeks ago that they were going on a vacation soon.

did not observe the car, described as a late model Ford convertible, light in color, when he came to work this morning, and in the past the car had always been parked near the when he arrived for work. He said reportedly works for a

A white female (name unknown) was contacted in the and she advised that had left yesterday for a vacation in Nassau, Bahamas and would not return for one month.
Connecticut, furnished the following information:

She was recommended to him by a friend in the late 1950s and has been employed by him part-time as a _______. During a pre-employment interview she stated she had previously worked for _______ in New York City.

She resides at Connecticut, with her two children. She was at one time a resident of _______ Connecticut, apparently before her marriage.

She had hoped to be called as a witness in the trial of _______ before she went on vacation. During the week before she left on vacation she waited around to be called and went to New York City on Friday, July 3, 1964, hoping that she could be called as a witness or could give a statement for the trial.

She is not trying to avoid appearing as a witness. She was somewhat upset about leaving _______ in when they are so busy but was not at all upset by the possibility of being called as a witness at _______ trial.

On 7/7/64, Bridgeport, Connecticut File # NH 58-109 NY 58-1232
SA JAMES J. Mc CARTHY/kjb Date dictated 7/7/64
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On July 2, 1964, AUSA [redacted] requested that
be reinterviewed to obtain a signed statement from her or
her recollection of GOTTESMAN's activities on August 19, 1959.

The following is the signed statement of [redacted]

"I [redacted] make the following voluntary
statement to ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH and WILLIAM A. KISH, who
have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the
Federal Bureau of Investigation. No threats or promises have
been made to induce me to make this statement and I realize
it can be used against me in a court of law. I have been
advised of my right to counsel by S. A. ACHENBACH.

I was born on [redacted] at Brooklyn, New
York, and reside at [redacted].

This employment was on a
temporary basis until the

"Notations concerning people who called GOTTESMAN
would be inscribed in his diary if he were out of the office or
if he was interviewing a client in the office.

"I cannot recall anything concerning GOTTESMAN's
activities or whereabouts on August 19, 1959.

"To the best of my recollection I cannot recall any
hotel meetings GOTTESMAN attended and was not in the habit of
meeting people in any New York City hotel.

NY 58-1232
"I feel GOTTESMAN may have knowledge of name because of an accident case handled for me by one of the other lawyers in his office. However, since I have not had any conversation with GOTTESMAN.

"I have read the above statement consisting of this and one other page and it is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I have initialled all corrections.

"Witnessed By:

/s/ ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH, SA, FBI, NY, 7/6/64.
/s/ WILLIAM A. KISH, Special Agent, FBI, Babylon, NY 7/6/64.

On June 30, 1964, AUSA advised that he received information to the effect that COHN had dinner at the El Morocco on June 3, 1964, with He requested this be verified.
El Morocco, 307 East 54th Street, New York City, advised that there was only one record of a charge by ROY COHN for the month of June, 1964, which was on June 3. She stated that COHN and three other persons had dinner in the Champaign Room on June 3, and were seated at table number 7. Dinner check number 669, and wine check number 668 recorded the charges which totalled $41.50 tax included. The above checks were time and date stamped at 12:00 a.m. on June 4, 1964.

Also stated that COHN and his three guests had a drink at the Zebra Room and this charge was reflected on liquor check number 012. They were seated at table number 16, and their waiters were   

This check amounted to $8.95 tax included, and was time and date stamped 1:00 a.m. on June 4, 1964.

stated the identity of COHN'S guests was not known.
Employed at the El Morocco, 307 East 54th Street, New York City, advised he recalls ROY COHN and three other persons having dinner at the El Morocco about four weeks previous. He said a man, whom he believes is a friend of COHN'S, and two women were with COHN at dinner. He said he recalled one of the women because of her immense size. He did not know the name of any of the persons with COHN.
East 54th Street, New York City, advised that he remembers ROY COHN and three other individuals, one man and two women, had dinner at El Morocco approximately four weeks ago. He said he believed the man who accompanied COHN was with COHN, although he could not be certain of this. He said he did not know the two women, but did recall one of them due to her great obesity.
IX. MISCELLANEOUS

On May 28, 1960, information was received that one, Connecticut may have possession of information regarding COHN and was willing to be interviewed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
On 6/8/64 at Stamford, Connecticut  File # NY 58-1232

SAS ARTHUR J. ACHENBACH and
by CHARLES J. GARVEY/mms Date dictated 6/15/64

This copy was furnished to Assistant United States
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2.

Attorney [Southern District of New York.] requested the copy be returned to him when the government finishes with it.
X. PROSECUTION


On July 16, 1964, the jury found both defendants not guilty on all 9 counts of the indictment of this case.
This is the case in which Roy Cohn and Murray Gottesman, New York attorney, are currently on trial in U. S. District Court, Southern District of New York. They were indicted 9/3/63 by the Federal Grand Jury for perjury, conspiracy and Obstruction of Justice in connection with their testimony before the Federal grand jury in the Grand Jury probe by U. S. Attorney Robert Morgenthau of alleged $50,000 bribe in August 1959 to Cohn and to control indictment in a Securities and Exchange Commission case involving the United Dye and Chemical Corporation.
8-10-64

1- Mr. Nuzum

Airtel

To: SAC, New York (58-1232)

From: Director, FBI (58-5100)

BRIBERY, ETC., ET AL

PERJURY

New York in its report dated July 31, 1964 reported the results of the trial of Roy Cohn and Murray Gottesman.

New York advise the Bureau by return mail the precise

prosecutive status of

CAN/mmb mmb

(4)
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-5100)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (58-1232)
SUBJECT: ROY COHN;
         MURRAY E. GOTTESMAN
         BRIBERY; OOJ; PERJURY

Re Bureau airtel to NY, 8/10/64.

M. MORGENTHAU, SDNY, advised he has not yet made a decision
as to possible future prosecution of Murray E. GOTTESMAN
and no prosecution is pending against him.
WASHINGTON--ROY H. COHN, WHO GAINED PROMINENCE A DECADE AGO AS CHIEF COUNSEL OF THE LATE SEN. JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY'S COMMUNIST-HUNTING COMMITTEE, APPEARS AS A WITNESS TODAY BEFORE ANOTHER GROUP OF SENATE INVESTIGATORS.

COHN, NOW A NEW YORK ATTORNEY, WAS CALLED TO TESTIFY BEFORE A SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE INVESTIGATING GOVERNMENT SNOOPING. HE PLANNED TO TELL THE SENATORS ABOUT A POST OFFICE PRACTICE CALLED "MAIL COVER" AS IT APPLIES TO THE PERSON BEING COVERED.

UPIT--11

WASHINGTON--ROY H. COHN, WHO GAINED PROMINENCE A DECADE AGO AS CHIEF COUNSEL OF THE LATE SEN. JOSEPH R. MCCARTHY'S COMMUNIST-HUNTING COMMITTEE, APPEARS AS A WITNESS TODAY BEFORE ANOTHER GROUP OF SENATE INVESTIGATORS.

COHN, NOW A NEW YORK ATTORNEY, WAS CALLED TO TESTIFY BEFORE A SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE INVESTIGATING GOVERNMENT SNOOPING. HE PLANNED TO TELL THE SENATORS ABOUT A POST OFFICE PRACTICE CALLED "MAIL COVER" AS IT APPLIES TO THE PERSON BEING COVERED.

3/2--GE933A
Memorandum

TO: Director, FBI (58-5100)

FROM: Legat, Tokyo (58-2)

DATE: 5/16/66

SUBJECT: ROY COHN; MURRAY E. GOTTESTMAN; BRIBERY; OOJ; PERJURY

OO: NY

Due to opening of the Hong Kong Office, the following action is being taken in captioned matter:

(a) Location of File

(1) ☑ Entire file transferred to Hong Kong herewith.

(2) ☐ File transferred to Hong Kong except copy of following serials retained at Tokyo:

(3) ☐ File retained at Tokyo but copy of following serials transferred to Hong Kong:

(b) Status

(1) At Tokyo: ☐ Pending ☑ RUC

(2) At Hong Kong: ☐ Pending ☑ RUC

1 - Bureau
1 - Hong Kong
1 - Tokyo
1 - New York
HLC/djw

This Case is CLOSED
NOT RECORDED
4 MAY 1966
AIRTEL

TO: SAC, NEW YORK
FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI
ROY COHN
INFORMATION CONCERNING

PERSONAL ATTENTION
SAC RICHARD J. BAKER

Reurtel 9/23/69, and Bureau telephone call 9/24/69.

This will confirm instructions furnished to you on
9/24/69, to make the complete informant file available to the USA's office for its review.

New York is to advise the Bureau as to the results of this review by the USA's office.

CTG:DC
(4)

NOTE

SAC Baker, New York office, was telephonically instructed 9/24/69, by Section Chief Chief Bolz to make the complete informant file available to the USA pursuant to his request.

MAILED 24
SEP 4 1969
COMM-FBI
VI A TE LY T YPE
SEP 23, 1969

EN CI PHERED

MR. TOLSON
MR. DELOACH
MR. MOHR
MR. MINAHOF
MR. CASPER
MR. CALLAHAN
MR. CONRAD
MR. FELT
MR. GALE
MR. ROSS
MR. SULLIVAN
MR. TAYLOR
MR. TROTTER
TELE. ROOM
MISS HOLMES
MISS GANDY

WE ---23---- 840 PM URGENT 9-23-69 DCC

TO DIRECTOR AND ST. LOUIS ENCODE
FROM NEW YORK

ROY COHN, INFORMATION CONCERNING.

ON SEPTEMBER TWENTY-THREE, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE,
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA)
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (SDNY), ADVISED THAT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (USDC) JUDGE INZER B. WYATT
HAD REJECTED A MOTION FILED ON SEPTEMBER TWENTY-TWO LAST,
BY ROY COHN, TO DISQUALIFY HIMSELF FROM HEARING THE CASE
PENDING AGAINST COHN IN USDC, SDNY, WHICH COMMENCED ON
SEPTEMBER TWENTY-THIRD, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE.

AUSA STATED THAT FOLLOWING SUCH
REJECTION COHN STATED HE WOULD DEFEND HIMSELF, AND THE
SELECTION OF JURORS COMMENCED.

AUSA ADVISED THAT HE WOULD REQUIRE THE
PRESENCE OF SA ST. LOUIS OFFICE, SEP 25, 1969
WITNESS TO CORROBORATE THE TESTIMONY OF THE GOVERNMENT'S

END PAGE ONE

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR
PRIME WITNESS, IN THE EVENT THAT BECOMES HOSTILE.

CONTACT WITH SAC, ST. LOUIS EARLY A.M.

SEPTEMBER TWENTY-THREE, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE, DISCLOSED SA COULD BE TESTIFYING IN A BUREAU TRIAL IN ST. LOUIS ON THE MORNING OF SEPTEMBER TWENTY-FOUR NEXT, AND ACCORDINGLY WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE UNTIL THE AFTERNOON OF SEPTEMBER TWENTY-FOUR OR ON SEPTEMBER TWENTY-FIVE, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE. AUSA HAS BEEN SO ADVISED, AND HAS AGREED TO SA APPEARANCE AS INDICATED.

AUSA FURTHER REQUESTED THAT SA NEW YORK DIVISION, APPEAR ON THE MORNING OF SEPTEMBER TWENTY-FOUR, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE, TO TESTIFY, IF NECESSARY, IN THIS MATTER, INASMUCH AS SA ORIGINALLY DEVELOPED AS A POTENTIAL CRIMINAL INFORMANT, WHO WAS LATER TURNED OVER TO SA ACCORDING TO AUSA CAN ALSO END PAGE TWO
PAGE THREE

TESTIFY AS A CORROBORATIVE WITNESS IN THE EVENT THAT BECOMES HOSTILE.

IN ADDITION, AUSA REQUESTED THAT INFORMANT FILE BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR PERUSAL BY HIM IN THE EVENT IT CONTAINS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, WHICH WOULD FALL UNDER RULE THIRTY-FIVE HUNDRED. REQUESTED SA PRESENT THE FILE WITH HIM BY NINE AM OF SEPTEMBER TWENTY-FOUR, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE, AT OFFICE.

IT IS TO BE NOTED THAT A PREVIOUS REVIEW OF PCI FILE HAS BEEN CONDUCTED, AND THAT PERTINENT THIRTY-FIVE HUNDRED MATERIAL HAS BEEN MADE AVAILABLE TO THE OFFICE OF USA, SDNY.

THE OTHER MATERIAL MAINTAINED IN THIS FILE IS EITHER ADMINISTRATIVE IN NATURE, OR RELATES TO MATTERS IN NO WAY CONNECTED WITH THE ROY COHN CASE.

INCLUDED IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION ARE

END PAGE THREE
SEVERAL MEMORANDA CONCERNING REACTION TO THE
USA'S OFFICE, IN CONNECTION WITH THE LATTER'S EFFORTS TO
OBTAIN HIM AS A WITNESS FOR THE GOVERNMENT. HAD
ORIGINALLY FURNISHED INFORMATION ON COHN WITH THE PROVISO
THAT HE NOT BE USED AS A WITNESS. AFTER RECEIVING
ASSURANCES THAT THIS AGREEMENT WOULD BE ADHERED TO, THE
USA'S OFFICE REVERSED ITSELF, AND THE FILE CONTAINS A
NUMBER OF COMMENTS OF AN UNCOMPLIMENTARY NATURE CONCERNING
THE USA'S OFFICE, WHICH HAVE NO BEARING ON THE VALIDITY OF
THE INFORMATION FURNISHED CONCERNING COHN. IT IS
ALSO FELT THESE COMMENTS DO NOT COME WITHIN RULE THIRTY-FIVE
HUNDRED.

ACCORDINGLY, UACB, AUSA WILL BE ADVISED THAT
ALL THE THIRTY-FIVE HUNDRED MATERIAL PREVIOUSLY BEEN
FURNISHED TO HIS OFFICE. HE WILL BE ORALLY ADVISED OF THE
COMMENTS MADE CONCERNING THE USA'S HANDLING OF HIM,
PAGE FIVE

SO THAT HE WILL BE COGNIZANT OF INITIAL REACTION. HE WILL THEN BE ADVISED THAT THE FILE ALSO CONTAINS OTHER INFORMATION FURNISHED ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS, BY WHICH IS UNRELATED TO THE ROY COHN MATTER, AND ACCORDINGLY THE COMPLETE FILE CANNOT BE FURNISHED TO HIM FOR HIS PERUSAL.

ALSO, UACB, SA OF THE NEW YORK OFFICE, WILL BE AVAILABLE TO TESTIFY, IF REQUIRED, AND SA OF THE ST. LOUIS OFFICE, WILL REPORT TO THE NEW YORK OFFICE AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE TIME AFTER COMPLETION OF TESTIMONY IN ST. LOUIS ON SEPTEMBER TWENTY-FOUR NEXT.

END

WA...REM FBI WASH DC
September 24, 1969

GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

Trial of Roy Cohn in New York City based on Securities and Exchange Commission investigation and Federal Grand Jury inquiry commenced 9/23/69. New York Office advises that U.S. Attorney's (USA) Office requests Agents (St. Louis) and (New York) be available as potential witnesses in connection with information furnished by former Potential Informant of New York Office. furnished information to Bureau Agents as to

is prime Government witness at trial.

New York recommends and General Investigative Division concurs Agents be available as potential witnesses.

USA's Office desires to review entire informant file of New York Office on New York recommends telling USA that written record of information furnished by concerning allegation involving Cohn and associates already made available to USA and only other material in file is administrative material and is information confidentially furnished by not related to Cohn matter. Administrative material consists of comments of criticizing USA's Office handling of him and his information. New York recommends and General Investigative Division concurs this administrative information be furnished orally but file not be made available for physical review by USA's Office.

NFN: mes available [redacted]

ENCLOSURE
Date of Mail 5-26-66

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Branch.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL Ray Cohn

Removed By 65 JUN 20 1966

File Number 58 - 5100 -

Permanent Serial Charge Out
January 8, 1970

Dear [Name],

Your letter inquiring about the Roy M. Cohn case in Chicago was received on January 5th. This matter is being handled by State of Illinois authorities, not the FBI, and I cannot comment further.

In response to your request for information regarding prosecution of "loan sharks," I am enclosing a news release dated January 2nd which sets forth the data you are seeking on Page 9.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

MAILED 24
JAN - 8 1970
COMM:FBI

Enclosure
FBI NewsRelease, 1-2-70.

NOTE: There is no record of correspondent in Bureau. This reply has been coordinated with the General Investigative Division.

DMW:smj (3)
Dear Mr. Hoover,

Roy M. Cohn, the aide to the late Senator Joseph McCarthy, has recently been on trial and was acquitted. Now he is under suspicion in Chicago. What are the facts about this case? - I am not asking you for confidential information. I am asking you to explain the facts.

Thank you, your kindness is appreciated.

P.S. I my newspaper you were quoted as saying that before 1968 no these was little Federal Jurisdiction over the Loan Shark empire. What progress has been made towards putting these hoodlums behind bars?

Thank you
September 16, 1969

Mr. Roy M. Cohn
39 East 68th Street
New York, New York 10021

Dear Roy:

I received your letter, with enclosures, on September 15th and appreciate the interest which prompted you to furnish this material. Your generous comments regarding me are indeed gratifying.

Sincerely,

Edgar

NOTE: Mr. Cohn is on the Special Correspondents List and known to the Director on a first-name basis. The numerous enclosures include a Notice of Motion for dismissal of indictment or a continuance of the trial for one year dated September 3rd from his attorneys. Also included are an affidavit and supplemental affidavit in support of Mr. Cohn furnished by dated 9-3 and 4-69, respectively. is one of Mr. Cohn's attorneys. The affidavit of September 3rd includes numerous Exhibits, some of which are a copy of the 9-5-69 "Life" magazine article, a "Life" magazine press release of 8-31-69 regarding the above article, a copy of the clipping from the "New York Times," a copy of a statement read by Mr. Cohn to the Grand Jury on 1-4-68 and other memoranda and copies of newspaper clippings regarding this matter. The supplemental affidavit, prepared also by includes a copy of a clipping in the "New York Times," and from "The Wall Street Journal."
Dear Mr. Hoover -

When I started fighting Communism as a young voice in the wilderness of the Justice Department, I suppose I realized that those who did not like what I was doing would be after me for a long time. I have become used to it - but when I see the wholly unfair and unjustified attempt to involve someone such as you in their political machinations, I am embarrassed and angry.

I know your perception is such that you can smell through antics like theirs, but I wanted you to know from me my feeling of personal concern.

You are such a great institution up and down this nation, that I hate to see you diverted or annoyed for even a minute - thus my sense of deep regret.

For your information, I am enclosing copies of our answering papers.

Respectfully,

Roy (M. Cohn)
Dear Mr. Hoover,

When I started fighting communism as a young voice in the wilderness of the Justice Department, I suppose I realized that those who did not like what I was doing would be after me for a long time. I have become used to it — but when I see the wholly unfair and unjustified attempt to involve someone such as you in their political machinations, I am embarrassed and angry.

I know your perception is such that you can smell through antics like theirs, feeling of personal concern.

You are such a great institution up and down this nation, that I have to see thus my sense of deep regret.

For your information, I am enclosing copies of our answering papers.

Respectfully,  
Roy M. Cohn  
39 East 68th Street  
New York, N.Y. 10021  
September 8, 1969
September 16, 1969

1 - Mr. Bezdek

To: SAC, St. Louis
From: Director, FBI

ROY COHN
INFORMATION CONCERNING

Re New York tel 9/15/69 and Bucall. 9/16/69.

This will confirm telephonic authorization for
SA
9 a.m., 9/17/69.

1 - New York (INFO)

Note: Telephonic authorization released by

SA

ASAC

MAILED 24
SEP 16 1969
COMM-FBI
ROY COHN, INFORMATION CONCERNING.

ON NINE FIFTEEN SIXTY-NINE ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA) SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (SDNY), TELEPHONICALLY ADVISED THAT THE FEDERAL PROSECUTION PENDING AGAINST ROY COHN IS SCHEDULED FOR TRIAL IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, SDNY, ON SEPTEMBER TWENTY-THREE, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE.

ADvised ONE OF THE PRINCIPAL WITNESSES IN THIS CASE IS A FORMER POLICE OF THE NEW YORK OFFICE, WHO WAS HANDLED BY SA NOW ASSIGNED TO THE ST. LOUIS DIVISION.

STATED THAT INFORMATION PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED BY HAS BEEN REDUCED TO THIRTY-FIVE HUNDRED MATERIAL AND TURNED OVER TO THE DEFENSE. IS SCHEDULED TO APPEAR AT THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, SDNY, ON SEPTEMBER SEVENTEEN, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE, FOR PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE, AND END PAGE ONE.
PAGE TWO

REQUESTED THAT SA __________ ALSO BE PRESENT AT THAT TIME TO ASSIST IN THE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE.

STATED THAT THE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT INTEND AT THIS TIME TO CALL SA __________ FOR DIRECT TESTIMONY; HOWEVER, POINTED OUT THAT ROY COHN IN PAPERS PREVIOUSLY FILED HAS INDICATED THAT IF THE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT CALL SA __________ HE WILL CALL SA __________ AS A WITNESS.

STATED THE GOVERNMENT INTENDS TO CALL SA __________ AS REBUTTAL WITNESS TO TESTIMONY FURNISHED BY __________ WHO HAS FURNISHED AFFIDAVITS IN THIS CASE.

UACB, SA __________ WILL APPEAR AT THE OFFICE OF USA, SDNY, AT NINE A.M. SEPTEMBER SEVENTEEN NEXT.

END

WA...LRC

FBI WASH DC

ce Rosen
September 16, 1969

INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

Trial of Roy Cohn on Securities and Exchange Commission case (not investigated by FBI) scheduled for 9/23/69, in New York. Attached relates to request by Assistant U. S. Attorney (AUSA), New York, for appearance of SA [ ] St. Louis Division, (formerly assigned New York office) regarding pretrial hearing 9/17/69. SA [ ] had previously handled former potential informant of New York office, and [ ] is considered a principal witness of Government in forthcoming trial. SA is to be present at pretrial hearing and SA [ ] is considered necessary as rebuttal witness regarding testimony of defense witness [ ] who furnished affidavits in this case alleging impropriety of U. S. Attorney.

[ ] when potential informant, had furnished information to New York office as to

With agreement of [ ] this information furnished to AUSA as no FBI interest indicated. Pursuant to Rule 3500 (Jencks Law), information furnished by [ ] to FBI was turned over to defense by AUSA.

SA [ ] will appear for pretrial hearing 9/17/69, in accordance with request of AUSA. SA [ ] is one of three Agents transferred out of New York for furnishing affidavits to U. S. Attorney's office on own volition and without Bureau knowledge.

NKN:DC

ASAC

19-16-69 7/8
TO DIRECTOR
FROM NEW YORK

ROY COHN, INFO CONCERNING.

RE BUARDTEL NINE TWENTYFOUR LAST.

NY’S FILE ON FORMER PCI WAS FURNISHED TO AUSA SDNY FOR REVIEW ON SEPTEMBER TWENTYFOUR LAST. REVIEW BY AND OTHER AUSA’S LASTED SEVERAL HOURS. AT REQUEST FILE WAS RETURNED TO SDNY ON NINE TWENTYFIVE LAST AND RETURNED AGAIN ON NINE TWENTYSIX INSTANT FOR ADDITIONAL REVIEW.

ADvised this date that he will require additional time to complete his review. He requested that file be returned to SDNY again on Monday Nine TwentyNine next so he can make final determination on which serials fall within provisions of Title Eighteen, U.S.C., Section Three Five Zero Zero.

VACB. FILE WILL BE FURNISHED TO AGAIN ON NINE TWENTYNINE NEXT.

END

WA...BKR FBI WASHDC

20 OCT 3-1969
TO: SAC, LOUISVILLE
FROM: DIRECTOR, FBI

ROY C. HORN
INFORMATION CONCERNING


This will confirm telephonic authorization for SA Russell F. Sullivan to appear at the office of USA, SDNY, upon receipt of notification from USA requesting his appearance as a witness.

1 - New York (Information)

NOTE: ASAC Louisville, telephonically advised as to above by Section Chief C. Boll, 9/26/69.
September 26, 1969

GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

Regarding trial of Roy Cohn which is based on investigation by Securities and Exchange Commission and Federal Grand Jury inquiry, U.S. Attorney's (USA) office, New York has advised SA Russel F. Sullivan (Louisville) will probably be required as witness early in week of 9/29/69. SA Sullivan's testimony would relate to information furnished to SA [St.Louis] and to SA Sullivan by former New York informant as to

This information, which at time was furnished to USA's office since of no interest to FBI, is basis for prosecution of Cohn. [is prime government witness. USA's office desires SA Sullivan as witness and he appears necessary. Bureau previously approved SA [testifying in this case].

SA [and Sullivan are two of three Agents transferred out of New York for furnishing affidavits to USA, New York, on own volition and without Bureau knowledge.

NKN:mfd
WA. . . . . . . . . . 17
5:45 PM 9-25-69 URGENT RDS
TO DIRECTOR AND LOUISVILLE PLAINTEXT
FROM NEW YORK IP
ROY COHN INFO CONCERNING.

AUSA

SPNY, ADVISED THIS DATE HE IS
CONTEMPLATING CALLING SA RUSSEL F. SULLIVAN AS GOVT. WITNESS IN ROY
COHN TRIAL. STATED SULLIVAN WILL TESTIFY TO INFO ON COHN'S
ACTIVITIES THAT FORMER PO\TENTIAL CONTESTANT\ FURNISHED HIM AND

SA

STATED SULLIVAN'S TESTIMONY PROBABLY WILL BE
REQUIRED EARLY NEXT WEEK, BUT HE COULD NOT GIVE SPECIFIC DATE AT
THIS TIME, HOWEVER WILL GIVE NYO AT LEAST TWENTY FOUR HOURS NOTICE
WHEN SULLIVAN'S ACTUAL PRESENCE IS REQUIRED.

Unless Advised to Contrary by Bureau

UACB, SA SULLIVAN, CURRENTLY ASSIGNED LOUISVILLE, WILL
REMAIN IN STANDBY STATUS AND REPORT TO NY WHEN SPECIFICALLY
REQUESTED BY

END

BKR FBI WASHDC

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

CC: MR. ROSEN
1258PM URGENT 9-29-69 JAN
TO DIRECTOR AND LOUISVILLE CODE
FROM NEW YORK 1P
ROY COHN
INFORMATION CONCERNING

RE NEW YORK TEL SEPTEMBER TWENTY FIFTH,
NINETEEN SIXTYNINE, AND BUREAU AIRTEL SEPTEMBER TWENTY
SIXTH, SIXTYNINE.

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (SDNY), THIS DATE
REQUESTED THAT SA RUSSEL F. SULLIVAN APPEAR AT THE OFFICE
OF UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (USA), SDNY, NINE AM,
SEPTEMBER THIRTY, SIXTYNINE, FOR CONFERENCES TO
DETERMINE WHAT TESTIMONY SULLIVAN COULD PROVIDE IF
CALLED AS WITNESS FOR EITHER PROSECUTION OR DEFENSE.

UACB, SA SULLIVAN WILL APPEAR AS REQUESTED.

END

RNK FBI WASH DC

EX#6 RE: 11

62-97564

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR
TO: Mr. Bolz
FROM: ROY COHN
SUBJECT: ROY COHN INFORMATION CONCERNING

DATE: September 29, 1969

This is to advise of action taken upon receipt of New York teletype 9/29/69, advising that AUSA requested that SA Russel F. Sullivan appear at his office 9:00 A.M., 9/30/69, for a conference to determine what testimony Sullivan could give if called as a witness by prosecution for the defense. Supervisor [Redacted] New York office, was requested to advise date Sullivan was scheduled to appear as a witness and to advise justification for conference.

[Redacted] advised conference scheduled to determine precisely what testimony Sullivan could give on behalf of the Government's case in addition to, or in corroboration of that which may be given by SA [Redacted] if called as a witness. In addition, conference was desired to determine what information Sullivan possessed in the event he was called as a witness by the defense, noting that the defense has indicated it intends to call [Redacted] as a witness but has given no indication in that regard as far as Sullivan is concerned. [Redacted] advised that under any condition Sullivan will be permitted to stay in New York only for the conference unless it is indicated he will be called as a witness to testify in the next day or two.

For record purposes.

FJB:DC

REC 4 62-97564-107
EX-103 3 OCT 1 1969
6-63

20 OCT 10 1969
TO DIRECTOR PLAINTEXT
FROM NEW YORK 62

ROY COHN, INFORMATION CONCERNING

RE NEW YORK TEL, NINE TWENTY SIX SIXTY NINE.

Assistant United States Attorney
Southern District of New York

AUSA SDNY COMPLETED HIS REVIEW OF NEW YORK FILES ON FORMER PET REQUESTED THAT HIS OFFICE BE FURNISHED XEROX COPIES OF TWENTY THREE SEPARATE SERIALS FROM FILE WHICH HE BELIEVES FALL WITHIN PROVISIONS OF TITLE EIGHTEEN, SECTION TWO FIVE ZERO, U.S.C.

EIGHT OF REQUESTED SERIALS CONTAIN INFORMATION RELATING TO THE COHN MATTER. SOME OF THESE EIGHT RELATE TO AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN USA'S OFFICE AND INFORMANT AS TO THE USE OF INFORMATION FURNISHED BY HIM AND HIS OBJECTIONS TO BEING A WITNESS IN THE CASE. ONE SERIAL IS AN AIRTEL TO PHILADELPHIA REQUESTING INTERVIEW OF A DEFENDANT IN INSTANT MATTER. THE OTHER SERIALS CONTAIN INFORMATION OF GENERAL
PAGE TWO

INTELLIGENCE VALUE AND CONTAIN SPECIFIC INFORMATION RELATING TO LA Cosa Nostra AND OTHER TEN FIGURES.

STATED HE INTENDS TO TURN OVER ALL TWENTY THREE SERIALS TO THE PRESIDING JUDGE IN THE COHN CASE FOR "IN CAMERA" ACTION BUT GOVERNMENT WILL ARGUE AGAINST FURNISHING DRAFTS WITH ANY INFORMATION NOT RELATING TO COHN MATTER.

XEROX COPIES OF REQUESTED SERIALS WILL BE FURNISHED AUSA TEN TWO SIXTY NINE.

END

WA...JDR

FBI WASH DC
GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

Trial of Roy Cohn based on Securities and Exchange Commission investigation and Federal Grand Jury inquiry commenced 9/23/69 at New York. Former potential criminal informant of New York Office, had furnished information to New York Office as to

which information is basis for prosecution. With agreement of this information furnished to U. S. Attorney (USA) as no FBI interest indicated. Pursuant to Rule 3500 (Jencks Law) information furnished by to FBI was turned over to defense by USA.

Subsequently entire file of was turned over to USA at his request for his review pursuant to Director instructions. USA has now requested certain Xerox copies of serials in file which he believes are within Rule 3500. Serials to be turned over to trial judge for "in camera" inspection. This request of USA should be complied with.

was interviewed 8/28/68 by our Philadelphia office in attempt to corroborate information furnished by denied knowledge of bribery. (Memo Mr. Rosen to Mr. DeLoach 7/3/69.)

NKN:mpd

ENVELOPE 62-91567-108
FOBI

Date: 10/9/69

Transmit the following in

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTTEL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-11505)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN

INFORMATION CONCERNING

Re New York airtel to Bureau, 10/2/69.

Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA), Southern District of New York (SDNY), advised trial in the COHN matter has been adjourned until Tuesday, 10/14/69. It is not expected that will testify before 10/15/69. For this reason, was excused and has returned home to . Accordingly, SA was also excused but the SDNY has requested that return to the SDNY when returns. returning to St. Louis 10/2/69, AUSA will contact the NYO when definite date for presence has been determined. UACB, SA will return as requested.

Copy being furnished to St. Louis for information.

Bureau
1 - St. Louis (Info.)
1 - New York

TMD: RM
(5)
Attached relates to trial of Roy Cohn on Securities and Exchange Commission case (not investigated by FBI) which commenced 9/23/69 in New York and was adjourned 10/9/69 due to illness of one of the defense lawyers. It was previously approved following request of U. S. Attorney, Southern District of New York, for SA (St. Louis) to appear at trial as potential witness to corroborate information furnished by prime Government witness who was former potential informant of New York Office who furnished information to Bureau Agents as to

U. S. Attorney desires SA be in New York on afternoon of 10/15/69. SAC, New York, has been instructed to insure that SA is released to return to St. Louis as quickly as possible.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE: 10/14/69

TG:bap
VIA TELETYPEx
OCT 1, 1969
ENCIPHERED

FNMY GOING BACK ON LINE
WA 01
AUH221PM URGENT 10-14-69 KAM
TO DIRECTOR AND ST. LOUIS PLAINTEXT
FROM NEW YORK 62-11505 1P

ROY COHN; INFORMATION CONCERNING.

RE NEW YORK AIRTEL TO BUREAU, OCTOBER NINE, NINETEEN SIXTY NINE.

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ADVISED THIS DATE HE WOULD REQUIRE THE PRESENCE OF SA ST. LOUIS OFFICE; IN THE SDNY, IN THE AFTERNOON OF OCTOBER FIFTEEN, NINETEEN SIXTY NINE FOR FIVE DAYS IN CONNECTION WITH CASE PENDING AGAINST COHN IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
SDNY. UNLESS ADVISED TO THE CONTRARY WILL APPEAR AS REQUESTED.
END
JTJ FBI AXW WASH CDC

59 OCT 29 1969

CC-MR. ROSEN

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-11505)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN INFORMATION CONCERNING

ReNYteletype to Bureau, 10/14/69.

In connection with case pending against captioned individual in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York (SINY), SAC St. Louis Office, has returned to St. Louis.

According to Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) SINY, may be used as a rebuttal witness. Should the presence of SAC be required in this connection, the Bureau will be promptly advised.

Bureau
1 - St. Louis (Info.)
1 - New York

WPR: RM (5)

Sent ______ M Per ________

17 NOV 5 1969
7:50 PM URGENT 11-26-69 RDS
TO DIRECTOR AND ST LOUIS CODE
FROM NEW YORK 62-11505 2P

ROY COHN; INFORMATION CONCERNING
AT FOUR THIRTY PM ON NOVEMBER TWENTY SIX

NINETEEN SIXTY NINE, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA)
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (SNDY) ADVISED
THAT HE CONSIDERED THE PRESENCE OF SA [REDACTED], OF THE
ST LOUIS OFFICE, NECESSARY FOR CONFERENCE ON NOVEMBER THIRTY
NEXT IN THE SDNY.

IN ADDITION [REDACTED] REQUESTED THAT SA [REDACTED]
NEW YORK DIVISION BE AVAILABLE FOR CONFERENCE ON THE
MORNING OF DECEMBER FIRST NEXT.

ADvised THAT PROSECUTION HAS BEEN REOPENED
IN THE TRIAL OF ROY COHN NOW PENDING IN THE SDNY AND THAT
SAS [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] ARE EXPECTED TO TESTIFY CONCERNING
THE DEVELOPMENT OF [REDACTED] AS AN INFORMANT AND TO INFORMATION
FURNISHED BY [REDACTED] TO THE FBI.
UACV, SAS □ □ AND □ □ WILL MAKE THEMSELVES AVAILABLE AS REQUESTED.

END

REM FBI WASH DC
ROY COHN, INFORMATION CONCERNING ON NOV. TWENTYSIX, SIXTYNINE, SECRETARY TO AUSA SDNY, TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THE ST. LOUIS OFFICE AND ADVISED PRESENCE OF SA IS NEEDED IN NEW YORK ON SUNDAY, NOV. THIRTY, SIXTYNINE. NEW YORK SHOULD CONTACT SDNY, ASCERTAIN PURPOSE OF SA PRESENCE, TIME HE SHOULD APPEAR IF THIS INFORMATION IS NOT ALREADY KNOWN, AND ADVISE ST. LOUIS AND THE BUREAU.

END

DCW
FBI WASH DC
PP
TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM : SAC, NEW YORK (62-11505)
SUBJECT : ROY COHN INFORMATION CONCERNING

Re Bureau teletype dated 11/28/69.

Contact of United States Attorney's (USA) Office, Southern District of New York (SDNY), reflects that request for presence of SAS and was initiated by ROY COHN, defendant of current prosecution, SDNY. COHN advised court that he expected to call Agents as defense witness and requested the court instruct USA's Office to have those Agents available. Hence, the USA's request.

COHN's defense was scheduled to begin Monday, 12/1/69, but order of defense was changed on that date so that other defendants preceded COHN. USA's Office expects COHN's defense to begin not later than 12/3/69, or 12/4/69, and that Agents would testify probably not later than 12/5/69.

SA will return to St. Louis as soon as he is released by the court.

Copy being furnished St. Louis Office for information.
7:00 PM URGENT 11-28-69 RDS
TO DIRECTOR AND ST LOUIS PLAINTEXT
FROM NEW YORK 62-11505 1P
ROY COHN; INFORMATION CONCERNING.

RE ST LOUIS TT TO BUREAU AND NEW YORK, NOVEMBER TWENTY SIXTH LAST.

ON NOVEMBER TWENTY EIGHT, NINETEEN SIXTY NINE, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, ADVISED THAT SA, ST LOUIS DIVISION WILL BE AVAILABLE AT approximately one pm eleven thirty next SDNY.

UACB SA WILL MAKE HIMSELF AVAILABLE AT THAT TIME.
END
BKR FBI WASHDC
TELETYPING

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 28 1969
238 PM EST

TO SACs, NEW YORK (62-11505) TELETYPING
ST. LOUIS (58-211)

FROM DIRECTOR, FBI

ROY COHN, INFORMATION CONCERNING.

RE NY AND SL TELS DATED ELEVEN TWENTYSIX, LAST:

APPEARANCE OF SA [ST. LOUIS OFFICE] AND
SA [NEW YORK OFFICE] AUTHORIZED AT NEW YORK
ELEVEN THIRTY NEXT AS TO SA AND TWELVE ONE NEXT AS
TO SA CONCERNING THEIR EXPECTED TESTIMONY AS TO
DEVELOPMENT OF AS AN INFORMANT AND TO
INFORMATION FURNISHED BY TO THE FBI.

THIS APPEARANCE AUTHORIZED; HOWEVER, ON BASIS THAT NEW
YORK IMMEDIATELY CONTACT USA, NEW YORK, FOR PURPOSE OF
DECISIVELY ESTABLISHING THAT IT IS FULLY EXPECTED SAS
AND WILL TESTIFY AT THE INDICATED DATES. AS NEW YORK
IS FULLY AWARE, SA HAS MADE REPEATED TRIPS FROM
ST. LOUIS TO NEW YORK AT REQUEST OF USA ON BASIS OF HIS NEED

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

MAIL ROOM TELETYPING UNIT
TEL TO NY & SL
RE: ROY COHN

AS POTENTIAL WITNESS AND IT IS MOST DESIRABLE THAT FURTHER ADDITIONAL TRAVEL EXPENSE AND INCONVENIENCE TO THE BUREAU'S INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS BE CURTAILED REGARDING THIS MATTER IF AT ALL POSSIBLE.

NOTE:

Trial of Roy Cohn on Securities and Exchange Commission case not investigated by FBI commenced 9/23/69 and on basis of repeated requests by USA, New York, SA [St. Louis Office] has made several appearances at New York as potential witness at trial of Cohn to corroborate information furnished by prime Government witness [SA ] (New York Office) has also made an appearance at request of USA. Neither of these Agents has testified, as yet.

[was former potential informant of New York Office who furnished information to Bureau Agents as to]

In view of repeated travel expenses involved as to SA and inconvenience to investigative operations of St. Louis and New York Offices, it is felt that while authority should be granted for SA and to appear as witnesses as requested by USA, nevertheless, USA should be asked to advise as to whether these Agents will now testify. Delays have occurred during course of trial because of illness of Cohn and his attorney.
NR01
NY PLAIN
303PM URGENT 12-3-69 JAM
TO DIRECTOR
LOUISVILLE
FROM NEW YORK 62-11505 1P
ROY CORNY
INFORMATION CONCERNING
ON DECEMBER THIRD, NINETEEN SIXTY-NINE, ASSISTANT
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
NEW YORK (SDNY), ADVISED THAT THE DEFENSE HAS REQUESTED
THAT SA RUSSEL F. SULLIVAN, LOUISVILLE DIVISION, BE
MADE AVAILABLE TO GIVE TESTIMONY BY TWELVE NOON DECEMBER
FOURTH, SIXTY-NINE.
EXpressed opinion that SA SULLIVAN WILL
TESTIFY IN REGARD TO INFORMATION FURNISHED BY

Unless advised to contrary by SDNY
SA SULLIVAN WILL MAKE HIMSELF AVAILABLE AT
SDNY BY NOON OF DECEMBER FOURTH NEXT.
END

CC MR. ROSEN
Transmit the following in

(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTTEL

Via

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-11505)

SUBJECT: ROY COHN

INFORMATION CONCERNING DATE:

For information of the Bureau and other offices, SAS and were called as defense witnesses on 12/3/69. SA RUSSEL F. SULLIVAN was not called. SAS and have returned to Louisville and St. Louis, respectively.

(2) - Bureau
1 - St. Louis (Info)
1 - Louisville (58-211) (Info.)
1 - New York

WET: RM
(6)

REC-101 62-7564
15 DEC 10 1969

Approved

Sent M Per

Special Agent in Charge
March 16, 1970

Honorable Abraham A. Ribicoff
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

My dear Senator:

I have received your communication of March 11th and the enclosed letter from New Haven, Connecticut. Your thoughtfulness in furnishing this to me is indeed appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: Files disclose cordial correspondence with Senator Ribicoff (D-Connecticut). I wrote Senator Ribicoff on 9/8/69 regarding the three Agents who were transferred from the New York Office in May, 1969. Letter was sent to us by Senator Ribicoff and we acknowledged Senator Ribicoff's communication by letter 9/16/69 setting forth the Bureau's position in that matter.
March 3, 1970

Senator Abraham Ribicoff
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Ribicoff,

On September 8, 1969, I wrote to you in reference to the problems of crime in the United States. At that time, I said in part, "we are again informed by the newspaper, if this is true, that the director of the FBI, Mr. Hoover, has transferred three agents from the New York office to less desirable posts rather summarily because they were involved in a case with Mr. Roy Cohen, who happens to be a particular favorite of Mr. Hoover's. If this is true, again this is the powerful getting special privileges."

You were kind enough to submit my letter to the FBI and then send me a copy of the letter from Mr. Hoover, indicating that the transfer of the three special agents "resulted solely from their failure to comply with long-standing rules and regulations of this bureau and had nothing what-so-ever to do with the merits of the Roy M. Cohen case."

Since that time, there has been very little in the public press about the matter and there was no opportunity to get any further information about it. However, last week I had the opportunity to check the matter in great detail through some long-standing friends, who are peace officers and were in a position to give me what I believe to be a most honest and candid report on the situation. They assured me that the transfer of the three special agents, in fact, resulted from their failure to comply with long-standing rules of the bureau as Mr. Hoover stated. As you may appreciate, I am delighted to find out that I was wrong, but most unhappy that the public press was instrumental in leading me to these false conclusions. I hope and trust that you will convey my sincere apologies to Mr. Hoover and the bureau for making accusations that had no basis in fact.

Sincerely yours,

DFS: djg
United States Senate

Washington, D. C., March 11, 1970

Respectfully referred to

Congressional Liaison
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

For your information.

ENCLOSURE
The New York City Democratic Committee

The mission of the New York City Democratic Committee is to achieve the fullest participation in the affairs of our party by all of our fellow New Yorkers who are dedicated to the cause of progressive government and also to develop a spirit of unity in our ranks that will lead to Election Day victories.

We have an abiding faith in the future of our City. Our dedication and resolve is to rid New York of the urban blight that demeans the spirit and mars our landscape and to conquer with concrete programs the fears that have produced alienation and disaffection among our people. We have faith in our ability to create within our City an environment appropriate for the elderly, the young, for black and white and Puerto Rican, and for the raising of children, and to create a climate in which our working people, our business, and our industry can prosper.

We believe in open discussion and public debate in democratic fashion for the achievement of unity and purpose and in the furtherance of our conviction that the issues that divide us are far less significant than the principles that unite us.

We also believe that the time has come for an end to internal bickering, to broaden, not narrow our party so that we can speak with a strong, clear and united voice for responsible programs and policies. We are prepared to galvanize our elected and Party officials, members, and supporters to move forward with programs shaped to serve the needs of our people, and, in so doing to achieve victories on the Election Days to come.

JERRY FINKELSTEIN
Chairman

Abraham D. Beame

Comptroller of the City of New York
for twenty-five years of distinguished public service

with

Ramsey Clark

at its first Annual Dinner

on Wednesday evening, January twentieth, 1971
at seven o'clock
The Americana of New York
Subscription $125 per person
Black Tie Optional / RSVP on enclosed reservation card
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Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Pennsylvania Avenue at 9th Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20535
January 6, 1971

Honorable Jerry Finkelstein, Chairman
The New York City Democratic Committee
630 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10020

Dear Jerry:

While I have the highest regard for Controller Beame, I shall not participate in the dinner for him because Ramsey Clark is billed as toastmaster.

You talk about uniting the Democratic Party. The Party contains millions of Americans who identify Clark with his unprincipled attack on J. Edgar Hoover—whose service to this nation over a lifetime has earned him unique universal respect. It is many of these average Americans in our Party who left it this fall and voted for Jim Buckley. You give them no incentive to return by trying to stuff Ramsey Clark down their throats.

I also note you honor Congressman Rooney’s defeated insurgent opponent, but not the Congressman.

Sorry -- but count me out.

Sincerely,

Roy M. Cohn

[Signature]

[Enclosure]

Honorable J. Edgar Hoover

(Encl.)
January 25, 1971

Honorable Jerry Finkelstein
630 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10029

Dear Jerry:-

Thank you for your letter. I know of your regard for Mr. Hoover, and I'm sure he does. That still begs the question of the unnecessary choice of Ramsey Clark, who obviously offends many people, and who is busy all over promoting himself.

Jerry, when you talk again about "unity" and "winning elections", I think you accomplish the opposite by offending a substantial wing of the party. Nixon is President, Rockefeller is Governor, Buckley is Senator. All of these have been elected, due to substantial defection of the average-American conservative wing of the Democratic Party, which doesn't buy the "knock America" attitude of so many of the ultra-liberals who seem to dominate the "unity" group you have selected.

Rather than unifying, the breach is being widened by the neglect of those Democrats whose restoration of confidence in the Party and the winning of future elections might depend.

As ever,

Roy M. Cohn

Encl.

Honorable J. Edgar Hoover
January 19, 1971

Dear Roy:

I know how you feel about Comptroller Beame and I appreciate it and I also know how you feel about Mr. Hoover. You also must remember how many times I have gone out of my way, frequently at your request, to do stories for Mr. Hoover in my New York Daily Law Journal, the Civil Service Leader and the New York Daily Column when I was more active in it.

You indicate that we're honoring Congressman Rooney's defeated insurgent opponent, but not the Congressman. I don't see his name anywhere on our list, nor do I know him. John Rooney is a very close friend of mine and he too is apparently annoyed about Ramsey Clark being our toastmaster, and I presume that is the reason he didn't join our group, although he was asked.

Obviously, when you're trying to pull a group together in New York City there are many matters to consider. My job, as chairman of the New York City Democratic Committee, is to try to get unity into the party and by bringing these various groups into one room, we hope to start winning elections one day, instead of losing all of them. I'm sure you can understand this.

On many occasions I have sent our friend, Walter, things on Mr. Hoover, which I'm sure he received, and he knows my feelings. Let's get together soon.

Warm regards.

Sincerely,

Jerry Finkelstein
July 9, 1971

Mr. William G. Mulligan
36 West 44th Street
New York, New York 10036

Dear Mr. Mulligan:

I received your letter on July 6th and appreciate having the opportunity to read your commentary on events mentioned in Mr. Cohn's book.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: Correspondent is identifiable in Bufiles only as the attorney representing several individuals, some of whom are mentioned in his letter. His 14-page communication is a treatise explaining for the Director what he claims is the truth surrounding falsehoods and derogatory implications allegedly made by Roy Cohn in his recently published book, "A Fool For A Client." The book by Roy Cohn is not in the Bureau Library and there is no record in files of a review of it.

JBT:jrp (3)
June 29, 1971

Hon. John Edgar Hoover
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Pennsylvania Avenue at 9th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Mr. Hoover:

As you are one of those mentioned in Al Cohn's book "A Fool For A Client", it has occurred to me that you are likely to read it. Since I care what you think about me, I am asking you to do me the favor of reading this letter.

Al Cohn was a friend of mine and I have never had the slightest reason for animus toward his son, whom I scarcely know other than by reputation.

Having determined that I belong on his list of tormentors, Mr. Cohn dredges up the incident 32 years ago when I was removed from the Rapp-Coudert Committee hearing room on the first day of the Committee's hearings into the activities of the New York Teachers Union.

Although I have always represented my clients vigorously starting with the days of the LaGuardia Administration when I represented the City in the IRT receivership proceedings before Judges Mack and Patterson, I have never behaved disrespectfully.
toward any tribunal. The best answer to Mr. Cohn's comment is the transcript of the proceedings on the day to which he refers. What took place on that occasion was recorded as follows:

Mr. Mulligan: "I seem to have anticipated your Honorable Committee's ruling that the request must be in writing, and I have a request in writing which is accompanied by a brief. This is an application . . ."

Senator Coudert (interrupting): "No arguments will be heard, Mr. Mulligan."

Mr. Mulligan: "My application, to which I address myself on a point of order, is an application for the right to cross-examine witnesses . . ."

Senator Coudert: "Mr. Mulligan, just a moment . . ."

Mr. Mulligan: "... and to elicit the facts for the Legislature of the State establishing that such witnesses as may falsify, are not telling the truth . . ."

Senator Coudert: "Mr. Mulligan . . ."

Mr. Mulligan: "... and otherwise, generally bring out both sides of the controversy which has now reached . . ."

Senator Coudert called for "the officer in charge." He said: "Please remove Mr. Mulligan from the court room."

Mr. Mulligan: "This is an application to cross-examine witnesses. I want to make that application."

Senator Coudert: "Remove him from the room."

It is not true that I engaged in "a raucous diatribe against the committee", any more than it is true that I appeared as representative of "the left wing of the teachers' union".
I represented the College Teachers Union and the New York City Teachers Union, having been called in specially for the purpose by their general counsel, Herman E. Cooper, Esq., a labor lawyer well known for his anti-communist views.

Some years after the event, the Rockefeller Foundation made a grant for the study of governmental programs designed to control disloyal or subversive conduct, among the results of which grant was a book on the activities of the New York State Legislature between 1919 and 1949, called "Loyalty And Legislative Action" (Cornell University Press: Ithaca, 1951) by Lawrence H. Chamberlain, Dean of Columbia College. Among the subjects Dean Chamberlain studied was the Rapp-Coudert investigation, and in the course of reporting on this study he wrote in part about the difficulties I had had in dealing with the Communist elements in the unions (at 133-134):

"The same kind of difficulty manifested itself in the relationship between the Communist members of the union and its legal counsel. Early in the inquiry, long before any individuals had been named as Communists, the Teachers Union engaged Mr. William Mulligan, Jr., as counsel. Mr. Mulligan, a Republican, had been a member of the legal staff of Samuel Seabury during the New York State Legislative investigation of New York City government in the early thirties. He was known to be an expert in the law of legislative investigations. No less important was his reputation for being friendly to labor.

"Throughout the inquiry Mr. Mulligan gave unstintingly of his time and energy to represent his clients, the members of the union. Yet throughout his entire service he never received full co-operation from those he was attempting to represent. As a regular procedure he was excluded from the daily strategy sessions held by the union members involved. Only part of the information
necessary in their defense was made available to him, and his advice was always caucused upon before individual members acted. Although he tried to insist that no written statement should go out from the union until he had had an opportunity to check it for legal soundness, he frequently found that his request was being evaded."

Mr. Cohn makes a further error in stating that at the time of my eviction from the hearing room the newspaper photograph referred to me as "Red" Mulligan. No such reference ever came to my attention. When I had hair of a definable color it was not red, any more than were my leanings when I had them.

Mr. Cohn then makes the false statement that I came to be known "in another connection when he was active in lawyers' activities through the organization of his choice--the National Lawyers Guild, which is listed by the Attorney General as a subversive organization." I was never known for any such activities since I never belonged to the National Lawyers Guild or any other organization listed as subversive.

It is true that with the federal government more than after the Teachers Union matter, but it is not true
was represented by a lawyer from Washington, D.C., named

It is true

(where to

the best of my knowledge Cohn was in no way involved) but again

it is not true that I was privy to conversations with

the FBI and the U.S. Attorney in which gave information

which he later repeated to a federal grand jury and to the petit

juries at the two Cohn trials.

When I first communicated to that the

government would take a stern attitude on his cooperation, and he

assured me that he did not know anything (I am sure we were both

thinking about


and they were very angry about this.

However, just before
Much later, when the Cohn-Gottesman case came to trial, I learned for the first time a large number of other revelations which had made to the government before Cohn and Gottesman were indicted on September 4, 1963.

My reason for mentioning my not learning these details until the actual Cohn-Gottesman trial is that in Chapter IX of his book Mr. Cohn deals with an article which appeared in Life Magazine before the trial. He says that the article "had as its godfathers Roberts Kennedy and Morgenthau and William Mulligan, ***".

The facts about this are that on telephoned me to say that Harold R. Medina, Jr. had suggested that get in touch with me about dealing with the United Dye case and its ramifications, adding that Mr. Medina assured him that
I could be helpful in orienting about the case and making sure that he did not write anything libelous. Before his appointment to the Bench Judge Harold Medina and I had been litigating adversaries and had become friendly. I had gotten to know Harold Medina, Jr. through committee work at the Association of the Bar. Upon ascertaining that he wanted my help because he did not know the background of the United Dye case but was aware that it was highly complex, I agreed to do what I properly could.

Before telephoned me I knew nothing about the proposal for a magazine article. I never met or spoke to the late Robert F. Kennedy.

When called me he wanted to see me, but I told him that I was going to Amagansett, Long Island, to visit my married daughter for the weekend and would leave Sunday night from my home in Ardsley-on-Hudson for Texas on a professional engagement. begged me to see him briefly at Ardsley-on-Hudson Sunday afternoon, stating that he and wanted simply to borrow the minutes of the United Dye trial and have a few words of conversation with me. He said that after that, he would like to interview my clients. I informed him that that was definitely out, but that I would take such of the minutes of the trial as I had and were in my possession to my home and would have them there for him if he stopped by on Sunday afternoon.

On Sunday afternoon, September 8, 1963,
called at my home, accompanied by_____. I lent them copies of the four indictments involving my clients and such minutes as I had. They asked me what defense had been, and I summarized what I had said to the jury in my opening. I advised them to read the opening address and the summation of the Assistant U.S. Attorney, and the charge to the jury of Hon. William B. Herlands. said that they were under great pressure to meet a deadline with their article and begged me to extend the conversation a little bit by allowing them to drive me to the airport. They pleaded so hard that I consented and drove his car from my residence to Idlewild, I on the front seat with him and in the back seat with my luggage. On the way told me that he had broken a big crime story in Seattle or Portland and had worked with Wallace Turner of the Times on organized crime investigations. said that he needed more information on the general background of the United Dye indictments. asked me a number of questions which showed how green they were and that they had not read the available literature. I told them some of the relationships, such as had gotten control of the Hal Roach Studios, highlights of career, and other matters of general information. They delivered me to the airport and I left for Texas where I remained until September 12.
In my early discussions with there had been no mention of Mr. Cohn's name.

An indictment had been handed down in the Southern District of New York against Messrs. Cohn and Gottesman on September 4, 1963. Copies of this indictment, which was replete with information later to appear had of course been available to the press at about the time of first call to me. I could therefore visualize a connection between the Cohn-Gottesman indictment and the impetus behind the call, but I did not visualize that the article would be about Cohn, or the use that would be made of it by Mr. Cohn's defense counsel after it was published.

With reference to corrections of the script in my handwriting before was put in its final form, I can recall that Messrs. read to me over the telephone certain passages which I thought were factually erroneous, as in the case when they implicated with Murray E. Gottesman, already mentioned. Also, the writers had a persistent tendency to misspell the first name of which I remember correcting when I was shown a draft. I also recall a sentence or paragraph in the draft shown to me which seemed incorrect and which I did not feel able to straighten out by proofreading, so I tried rewriting it. I believe that and each physically showed me separate drafts, but neither was the complete version as published; I recall being surprised
and somewhat shocked when I opened the magazine and saw the two-
page spread at the beginning of the article with the text and
cartoons depicting my clients and the other persons in demeaning
positions, and the

I had not previously seen any of this material or the text which
immediately accompanied it and which gave the article its emphatic
tone.

At the time of the Life incident and indeed today, I
had and have no opinion as to the guilt or innocence of either
Cohn or Gottesman. I had never heard of Gottesman, and when

I thought the reference was to Callman Gottesman, the only Gottesman I had heard of at the New York Bar. The
conviction or acquittal of either Cohn or Gottesman did not concern
me; my concerns rather were that my clients cooperate fully by
revealing truthfully whatever they knew and that, through publicity
or otherwise, they not adversely affect their coming sentences.

As already stated I have personal knowledge that the
report of money being passed to and Cohn—whether it was
true or false—was not something which "finally
came up with" as a result of conferences between Mr. Morgenthau
or his assistants and me, because it was given to me before these
clients pleaded.

The facts about Messrs.
getting in touch with me and the limited extent of my 
relationships with them, might not have been known to Mr. Cohn 
and so it may be excusable if in his rancor he finds distorted 
explanations for what happened to him.

What is not excusable is his deliberately falsifying 
facts of record in the court files of the Southern District of 
New York. This he does when he quotes the affidavit at 
pages 144 to 146 in that:

1. Mr. Cohn states notes "became an affidavit in support of a new motion" (144). The facts are that on 
June 16, 1964 caused a subpoena to be served upon me requiring that I produce at the Cohn trial all records and documents 
delivered to relating to Cohn or Gottesman or United 
Dye and the government witnesses; upon receipt of the subpoena I 
obtained an order to show cause returnable before Judge Bonsal on 
June 17 requiring Cohn to show why the subpoena should not be 
vacated since "deponent does not possess any such records as the 
subpoena purports to describe". My relationships with detailed above in this letter, were then summarized.

On the return of the order to show cause, after hearing argument 
by Mr. Raichle and me, Judge Bonsal said (SM 1693):

"I am going to quash this subpoena, but that is 
without prejudice to your obtaining another subpoena 
which will have attached to it a statement of such facts 
as you wish to offer as to why you think these docu-
ments should be furnished by Mr. Mulligan, and at that 
time he will be free to make another application, but 
on this one here, on the basis of the statements made 
by Mr. Mulligan, I will quash the subpoena."
After Judge Bonsal had made his ruling produced the affidavit which Mr. Cohn quotes starting on page 144 of his book and Judge Bonsal declined to accept it at that time but invited to serve a new subpoena duces tecum setting forth the proof represented he had to show that (contrary to my affidavit) I had in truth made available to documents which were not of public record. No such further subpoena was ever served. The reason is obvious. I had not made any such documents available to

2. Not only is Mr. Cohn's statement that the affidavit was "in support of a new motion" untrue. He also knows perfectly well that the affidavit was marked in the courtroom with a legend reading:

"NOT PART OF COURT RECORD
--AFFIDAVIT ARRIVED IN COURT TOO LATE FOR SUBMISSION ON APPLICATION TO QUASH SUBPOENA."
(Underscoring in original)

3. Mr. Cohn also knows that the affidavit did not attach any off-record document delivered to None was.

It would appear that while Mr. Cohn might not have a fool for a client he does have a knave for an author.

This is further demonstrated in his attack on Judge Herlands in Chapter V entitled "The Hanging Judge".

Mr. Cohn states that his friend was promised a suspended sentence by the prosecution. He then says (62):
"His lawyer, being very wise in the ways of men and judges, exacted one other commitment from the prosecution—that he be given a veto power over the judge before whom his client was to be sentenced."

Not only did the District Court and the U.S. Court of Appeals reject the contention that had been promised a suspended sentence; they also rejected as incredible testimony on the promise that he would have a veto power over the sentencing Judge. Jerome J. Londin, Esq., who had been the prosecutor in question, specifically contradicted each and every statement by that any such arrangement had ever been discussed between them. Judge Herlands said:

"The Court rejects as incredible testimony (Record of May 24, 1963, pp. 582, 742-743) that told him over the phone on or about February 20, 1963, that the privilege of by-passing or selecting a sentencing judge 'was such a simple routine matter that was a courtesy that it is always extended to defendants who plead' and that 'it was the policy of the United States Attorney's Office to permit this, to permit a by-pass of an unfavorable judge.'

"The incredibility of story is again exposed by its own implausibility. This is pointed up, illustratively, by the correlation of certain salient facts embodied in testimony as follows:

"Soon after resigned from the U.S. Attorney's Office in June, 1961, took over the case and met with and the defendant on or about June 22, 1961. According to testimony, at the first meeting of discussed 'the ground rules'. (Record of May 23, 1963, p. 537). In so testifying, did not refer to the alleged by-passing assurance, agreement or representation.

"When specifically questioned by the Court added (Record of May 23, 1963, pp. 560-563) that in this meeting with they discussed the details of the 'arrangement with' in accordance with which Mr.
I had entered a plea.' But they did not discuss 'the question of the sentencing judge until February, 1963.' Further testified that the matter of Room 318, adjournments of sentence, or the sentencing judge was never mentioned by or any Assistant U.S. Attorney in the presence of the defendant. (Record of May 23, 1963, p. 563).

I am in agreement on the highly significant point that, although they discussed 'the ground rules', neither mentioned the by-passing agreement allegedly entered into by predecessor in the case.

"The very first mention by of an alleged by-passing agreement occurred sometime between February 12th, 1963, and February 20, 1963. According to he telephoned and told him that he would like the defendant to be sentenced 'and at that time I told that I had this agreement from the government that I would have the right to by-pass an unfavorable judge.' (Record of May 23, 1963, p. 576). According to said nothing in response. (Record of May 23, 1963, pp. 577-578).

"If there had been a by-passing arrangement agreed on between it would have been mentioned in June, 1961, when as the Assistant U.S. Attorney newly assigned to the case, had his first meeting with and they discussed 'the ground rules.'" See U.S. v. Hughes, 223 F.Supp. 477, aff'd 325 F.2d 789 (1964), cert. den. 377 U.S. 907 (1964).

Thank you for your kindness in going to the trouble of reading this letter.

Yours respectfully,

William G. Mulligan
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Bishop

FROM: G. E. Malmfeldt

DATE: 2-22-71

SUBJECT: DAUGHTER, AGED 14
REQUEST FOR BUREAU TOUR
11:45 A.M., 2-22-71
MADE BY ROY M. COHN OF NEW YORK CITY

At 5:30 p.m. Sunday, 2-21-71, SA P. Dennis Williams, duty Agent in Mr. Bishop's office, received a call from Cohn requesting a tour for captioned individuals on 2-22-71. Cohn said Lou Nichols had told him to call Mr. Bishop to arrange a tour for and his daughter.

Cohn, who is on the Special Correspondents List and known to the Director on a first-name basis, advised that and has been very active and helpful with the Department of Justice in its drug abuse control program in the New York City area. and his daughter are coming to Washington on the morning of February 22nd and would very much like to have a Bureau tour at 11:45 a.m. that date. Buffles contain nothing derogatory concerning and no record of his daughter.

Cohn was advised that in all probability the tour could be scheduled and handled as requested and that should call the Bureau upon his arrival in Washington and Cohn said he would advise to do so.

RECOMMENDATION: NOT RECORDED

That and his daughter be afforded a tour at 11:45 a.m., 2-22-71, as requested.

1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. M. A. Jones
1 - Mr. Malmfeldt

PDW:mb (6)
Dear Mr. Cohn:

The copy of your letter dated April 28th addressed to [name redacted] has been received. Your thoughtfulness in forwarding it to us is indeed appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

L. Patrick Gray
Acting Director

NOTE: Mr. Cohn is on the Special Correspondents List. The Special Correspondents List is a list of past supporters of the FBI.

JWD: Is (3)
Dear Neil:

In view of your present circumstances, I tried to make my brief reply to the press as mild as possible, but I want to keep the record straight between us.

Let me make it clear that Mr. De Loach said none of the things you attributed to him, that I never relayed any of those things to you as coming from him or anyone else. Not Mr. Hoover (who I never in my life even heard mention your name), Mr. De Loach, nor anyone else acting on behalf of the FBI, ever asked me to convey any threat to you.

To make accusations such as you did based upon double and triple hearsay is not worthy of you, and surely these tactics have not been helpful to you.

At this point, I have very little personal sensitivity about being used as a whipping-boy, even by friends. But I thought your diatribe against the Bureau was very unfair. I worked with them when I was with the Department of Justice in the Truman administration, and I have been their adversary in criminal cases I have defended in recent years. Their record for total integrity, and for protection of individual rights defeats the entire premise of your statement.

Sincerely,

Roy Cohn
March 11, 1985

Hon. William Webster
Director, F.B.I.
10th & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Judge Webster:

Since 1950 - the year I prosecuted the Rosenberg atom-spy trial at age 23 with the magnificent investigative help of the Bureau, up to the present, 34 years later, I have had a first-rate relationship with and respect for the Bureau.

This included a close friendship with Mr. Hoover, speeches at Bureau gatherings, delivering the eulogy at Lou Nichols' funeral along with Deke DeLoach, representing Wally LaPrade when he was unfairly attacked, testifying for Mark Felt and Ed Miller at their trial in Washington, urging their immediate pardon and honoring them the day after our great President took that action - and above all, teaching my classes at law school and writing publicly time and again that the Bureau was and is the finest investigative agency in the world.

Why, then, would the Bureau permit any of its representatives to hurt my friends and clients (and me) by planting a story that our law offices were being surveilled to see if any information could be developed to support a wire-tap on my office telephone?
I do not even reach the base intrusion of an attorney-client relationship, which makes this all the more reprehensible.

I realize there can be two sides to a story. If that is the case here, in fairness to all concerned, I hereby request an appropriate response from you.

Respectfully,

Roy M. Cohn

sb

Encl.
Memorandum

DATE: 1/22/75

TO: Mr. Heim

FROM: Mr. Heim

SUBJECT: BARRY FARBER RADIO SHOW. NEW YORK STATION WOR-AM JANUARY 14, 1975

By letter dated January 16, 1975, Assistant Director Malone of the New York Office has forwarded two reels of tape on which are recorded the Barry Farber Radio Show of January 14 featuring attorney Roy Cohn and writer Walter Schneir as guests of Mr. Farber. In his letter of January 16, ADIC Malone suggests that, after listening to the tapes, "the Bureau...may want to send a letter" to both Roy Cohn and Barry Farber.

ACTION:

A review of more than one and one-half hours of the tape recording of this program discloses that it features an often-hostile, sometimes-shouting, and repetitive discussion between Roy Cohn and Walter Schneir centering largely upon the Rosenberg espionage case and the Freedom of Information Act.

Schneir, who is the author of the book "Invitation to an Inquest" which asserts that the Rosenbergs were "framed," used the program as a forum for attacking the FBI, Cohn, Federal Judge Irving R. Kaufman, and others who had a role in the conviction of the Rosenbergs. He insisted that in compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, the FBI files in the Rosenberg case, as well as those regarding other espionage subjects, should be made available to persons outside the FBI.

Roy Cohn was one of the Government attorneys who assisted in the prosecution of the Rosenbergs. He has previously challenged Schneir's assertions that the Rosenbergs were victims of a "political frame-up"; and his appearance with Schneir on the Barry Farber Radio Show constitutes merely the latest heated confrontation in a campaign of animosity between these two men that has been going on for years.
Roy Cohn arrived at the radio station after the Barry Farber Radio Show had begun, and it was necessary for him to leave for the airport before the program had ended. He did, however, scathingly berate Schneir and express deep respect for the FBI. Cohn indicated that his experience both as Government attorney and as Federal defendant who has been the subject of FBI investigation has convinced him that the FBI has a better record of integrity and freedom from scandal than any other agency.

As host of the program, Barry Farber often found himself in a position similar a referee trying to separate two fighters. Despite his effort to maintain a middle or neutral position, he left no doubt concerning his disagreement with Schneir.

OBSERVATIONS:

For a number of years, Roy Cohn has been a controversial figure. In 1969, for example, he was indicted by the Federal Grand Jury in New York (and subsequently acquitted) on charges of bribery, conspiracy, and extortion in connection with an alleged conspiracy involving the city's Fifth Avenue Coach Lines -- and prior to the trial of this case, he publicly charged U.S. Attorney Robert Morgenthau with conducting a "personal vendetta" against him. An article regarding Cohn in the September 5, 1969, issue of "Life" magazine makes reference to this case and states, in part:

"He (Cohn) took over Lionel Corporation, lost it, gained control of Fifth Avenue Coach Company in New York and became involved with a succession of financial sponsors in a dizzying variety of other business ventures. In the process he left behind a trail of stunned, embittered and in some cases financially flattened ex-friends. In the past year substantial sections of his financial structure have begun to buckle faster than they can be re-riveted. Lawsuits have piled up, and more than $1 million in judgments have followed."

In connection with the 1969 case, three Agents of the New York Office who gave sworn statements to U.S. Attorney Morgenthau were subsequently ordered transferred to other FBI offices -- which fact became the focal point of wide publicity implying that Cohn, through former Assistant to the Director L. B. Nichols (a long-time friend of Cohn), was able to bring about the transfers.
Roy Cohn's name is involved in two presently pending cases. One is a Crime on the High Seas investigation involving possible destruction for insurance in 1973 of a vessel (Defiance) off the Florida coast. Investigation has indicated that a firm controlled by Cohn had leased this vessel; that previously another vessel owned by Cohn had been lost -- with the result that Cohn was paid $100 thousand insurance; and that Cohn is regarded as a bad risk for Marine insurance. The second case is an ITAR-Extortion investigation involving alleged threats against the operator of a theater in New Jersey which features pornographic films. Roy Cohn, who is listed as a subject in this case, reportedly served as attorney for the purchase of this theater in the Spring of 1974 and reportedly had $5,000 interest in it. (166-469-3 & 45-11251)

Although Barry Farber clearly did not "side with" Schneir during his January 14th radio program, he did provide Schneir a forum to voice anti-FBI propaganda and to reiterate criticisms made by such persons as Judge Fred Nichol in the Wounded Knee Case, former assistant to the Director W. C. Sullivan, and former Special Agent

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) In view of the above, it is not felt that a letter over Mr. Kelley's signature should be directed to either Roy Cohn or Barry Farber.

(2) That this memorandum, together with the two reels of tape recording the January 14th Barry Farber Radio Show, be forwarded to the Intelligence Division for its information.
TO: Mr. Cleveland

DATE: April 20, 1972

FROM: T. J. Emery

SUBJECT: 

This is in response to the Director's inquiry regarding the attached news release in which it is claimed that former Assistant to the Director DeLoach was the key figure in an FBI attempt to "blackmail" him by providing information which to the effect that

We have also reviewed the full text of the statement which has since been obtained.

Bureau files contain no indication that DeLoach or the FBI had engaged in any such effort to "blackmail". As a matter of fact, the question, issue of contains no allegations that

Enclosure

1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. Dalbey

TJE: rar
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NOT RECORDED CONTINUED - OVER
Memorandum to Mr. Cleveland

Re: 

attempts to make a point that on the evening of the date suggested the event took place in full view of hundreds of people. There appears to be little significance to this point since Bayonne police records indicated only that O'Brien was missing since October 14, 1962, and Konigsberg was not able to pin down the date when he said he was called to remove the body.

While states that Konigsberg was quoted in a "New York Times" article of August 18, 1968, that the whole story was a lie, it should be noted that during excavation in March, 1967, on a farm near Lakewood, New Jersey, described by Konigsberg as a mob burial ground, orthopedic shoes were discovered which were later identified by O'Brien's podiatrist as those he had prescribed for O'Brien. This location was where Konigsberg had previously told our Agents he had disposed of O'Brien's body.

In developing his theme claims that the and of certain locations in Las Vegas, which was then a point of public controversy. According to when he refused to participate, DeLoach launched a campaign to discredit him, of which the disclosures were a part. He claims that shortly before the publication of his contacts with organized crime in he was told by his attorney, one that while was in Roy Cohn's office in New York City, Cohn was on the phone with DeLoach. DeLoach allegedly told Cohn (in reference to that "if you still know that guy, you had better get word to him to He's not going to last more than a week after the story hits." continues that quoted DeLoach as telling Cohn that this would be revealed.

As noted above, Bureau files contain no reference to any of this ever occurring.
Memorandum to Mr. Cleveland
Re:  

then branches into disjointed claims regarding
the FBI's widespread use of electronic surveillance, even
claiming that we gathered evidence against former Speaker of
the House McCormack's assistant (Martin Sweig) by electronic
coverage in McCormack's office. This, of course, is completely
false.

In view of recent Federal indictment
for income tax evasion and perjury, it is obvious that he is
making a desperate attempt to claim that the indictment in some
way resulted from a Government conspiracy to discredit him,
thereby diverting attention from the specific charges contained
in the indictment.

ACTION:

For information in response to the Director's
inquiry.
ATTN: Roy M. Cohen

RE FBIHQ TELEPHONE CALL, DATED APRIL 15, 1985.

IN REFERENCED TELEPHONE CALL, THE FACT THAT ATTORNEY ROY COHEN HAD WRITTEN TO FBIHQ CONCERNING THE ALLEGED RELEASE OF INFORMATION TO THE NEW YORK POST WHICH WAS PUBLISHED ON MARCH 5, 1985 TO THE EFFECT THAT FBI AGENTS WERE IN FACT CONDUCTING SURVEILLANCES OF COHEN'S OFFICE FOR THE PURPOSE OF TAPPING HIS PHONES. WHILE THE NYO DID CONDUCT SURVEILLANCES FOR THE PURPOSE OF ATTEMPTING TO ASCERTAIN...
THE FEASIBILITY OF INSTALLING A MONITORING DEVICE TO INTERCEPT THE CONVERSATIONS OF GENOVESSE BOSS ANTHONY SALERNO WITH THE INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS CONTACT MAISHE ROCKMAN. NO DISCUSSIONS OF THIS FACT WERE MADE WITH ANY MEMBERS OF THE MEDIA BY EITHER THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE AT NEW YORK OR ANY OF THE EXECUTIVE STAFF OF THE NYO.

BT

#0106
May 13, 1985

OUTSIDE SOURCE:

Roy M. Cohn, Esq.
Saxe, Bacon and Bolan, P.C.
39 East 68th Street
New York, New York 10021

Dear Mr. Cohn:

Assistant Director in Charge Lee Laster has advised me of your telephone call on March 5th and I received your recent letter concerning the matter which you and Mr. Laster discussed. I have seen a copy of the New York Post article, "FBI staked out Cohn's office in mob probe," which attributes its facts to unnamed "sources" and can understand your concern.

I encourage an open line of communication with the media, but it is not our policy to discuss ongoing investigations with the press, nor would we "plant" a story. In view of your concern, however, appropriate checks were made with our New York Office personnel to determine whether the information in the article could have emanated there. I have been assured that no discussion of the information in the article was had with any member of the media by either the Public Information Office or any of the executive staff of the New York Office. If you have any information to indicate otherwise, I hope you will let me know.

Sincerely yours,

William H. Webster
Director
NOTE: Mr. COHN has written to ask why the Bureau "would permit any of its representatives to hurt my friends and clients (and me) by planting a story that our law offices were being surveilled to see if any information could be developed to support a wire-tap on my office telephone?" COHN is referring to an article which appeared in the New York Post on 3-5-85 entitled "FBI staked out COHN's office in mob probe." By airtel 3-6-85, ADIC, New York advised COHN called his office on 3-5-85 to discuss this article and advised he wanted to make an official complaint by either writing to DOJ, FBIHQ, the New York Office or by filing an FOIA request. ADIC advised him he had no objection to any of the above actions by COHN. The article in question indicates that sources told the Post that investigators wanted court authorization for a bug to be placed on COHN's office but were unable to gather any evidence that "Commission" members were using the office to meet. By teletype dated 4-17-85, New York Office advised neither the Media Representative nor any other individual who would have official contact with the media is responsible for the story in the New York Post attributed to unnamed "sources."