The enclosed documents were reviewed under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Title 5, United States Code, Section 552/552a. Below you will find check boxes under the appropriate statute headings which indicate the types of exemptions asserted to protect information which is exempt from disclosure. The appropriate exemptions are noted on the enclosed pages next to redacted information. In addition, a deleted page information sheet was inserted to indicate where pages were withheld entirely and identify which exemptions were applied. The checked exemptions boxes used to withhold information are further explained in the enclosed Explanation of Exemptions.

Document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning, other Government Agency [OGAs].

This information has been referred to the OGA(s) for review and direct response to you.

We are consulting with another agency. The FBI will correspond with you regarding this information when the consultation is completed.

In accordance with standard FBI practice and pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) and Privacy Act exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. § 552/552a (b)(7)(E)/(j)(2)], this response neither confirms nor denies the existence of your subject’s name on any watch lists.
For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist. Enclosed for your information is a copy of the Explanation of Exemptions.

For questions regarding our determinations, visit the www.fbi.gov/foia website under “Contact Us.” The FOIPA Request Number listed above has been assigned to your request. Please use this number in all correspondence concerning your request.

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, Suite 11050, 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP’s FOIAonline portal by creating an account on the following website: https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked “Freedom of Information Act Appeal.” Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

You may seek dispute resolution services by contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at 877-684-6448, or by emailing ogis@nara.gov. Alternatively, you may contact the FBI's FOIA Public Liaison by emailing foipaquestions@fbi.gov. If you submit your dispute resolution correspondence by email, the subject heading should clearly state “Dispute Resolution Services.” Please also cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

The enclosed material is from the main investigative file(s), meaning the subject(s) of your request was the focus of the investigation. Our search located additional references, in files relating to other individuals, or matters, which may or may not be about your subject(s). Our experience has shown such additional references, if identified to the same subject of the main investigative file, usually contain information similar to the information processed in the main file(s). As such, we have given priority to processing only the main investigative file(s) given our significant backlog. If you would like to receive any references to the subject(s) of your request, please submit a separate request for the reference material in writing. The references will be reviewed at a later date, as time and resources permit.

☐ The enclosed material is from the main investigative file(s), meaning the subject(s) of your request was the focus of the investigation. Our search located additional references, in files relating to other individuals, or matters, which may or may not be about your subject(s). Our experience has shown such additional references, if identified to the same subject of the main investigative file, usually contain information similar to the information processed in the main file(s). As such, we have given priority to processing only the main investigative file(s) given our significant backlog. If you would like to receive any references to the subject(s) of your request, please submit a separate request for the reference material in writing. The references will be reviewed at a later date, as time and resources permit.

☑ See additional information which follows.

The enclosed documents represent the first interim release of information responsive to your FOIA request.

As previously indicated, documents were located which originated with, or contained information concerning another agency. We are consulting with the other agency and are awaiting their response. Our office has processed all other information currently in our possession. Upon the completion of the outstanding consultation by our office, the FBI will correspond with you regarding those documents when the consultation is completed.

Inquiries regarding your OGA referrals designated within the release as "Referral/Direct" may be directed to the following agencies at the addresses below

U.S. Department of State
Office of Information Programs and Services
A-GIS-IPS-RL-RC
SA 2
Washington, DC 20522

Department of Justice
Criminal Division
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Criminal Division, OEO, FOIA/PA
JCK Building, Room 1127
Washington DC, 20530-0001

General Accounting Office
Room 7149
441 G Street, NW
Washington D.C. 20548
Duplicate copies of the same document were not processed.

Records which may have been responsive to your request was destroyed on December 29, 1977 and in December 2013. Since this material could not be reviewed, it is not known if it was responsive to your request. Record retention and disposal is carried out under supervision of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), Title 44, United States Code, Section 3301 as implemented by Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1228; Title 44, United States Code, Section 3310 as implemented by Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1229.10.

This material is being provided to you at no charge.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David M. Hardy
Section Chief
Record/Information Dissemination Section
Information Management Division

Enclosure(s)
EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

(b)(1) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;

(b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;

(b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;

(b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;

(b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;

(b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

(b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;

(b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or

(b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

(d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;

(j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;

(k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;

(k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;

(k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;

(k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service he release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;

(k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.
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FM FBI NEW YORK
TO DIRECTOR FBI/PRIORITY/
FBI DALLAS/PRIORITY/
BT
SECRET

CITE: //3540//
PASS: HQ FOR SSA

SUBJECT: THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS
ENTIRETY.

YARO, INC.
Electronic Devices Division
Garland, Texas

00:DL

NOTE: hand-written text on the page

NOV 22, 1994
FBI - DALLAS

SECRET
LEAD:

DALLAS DIVISION.

AT DALLAS, TEXAS.

ESTABLISH CONTACT WITH NAMED INDIVIDUALS

POC IN THE NYO IS SA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date Filed</th>
<th>To be returned</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/28/95</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DL Photos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9/15/95</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4/4/95</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transferred to IN Subsection 4/4/95
TRANSMIT VIA: AIRTEL

PRECEDENCE:

CLASSIFICATION:

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

(ATTENTION: NSD; SSA)

FROM: SAC, DALLAS

SUBJECT: "CHANGED"

VARO, INC., ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION, GARLAND, TEXAS;

OO: DALLAS

This entire communication is classified "SECRET".

Title marked "CHANGED" to reflect deletion of names and Title formerly carried as

VARO, INC.: ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION, GARLAND, TEXAS;

OO: DALLAS".


REQUEST OF THE BUREAU:

Bureau is requested to review file evidently an FOIPA request, and advise.

3 - Bureau
2 - New York City (Attn: SA)
8 - Dallas

Approved: __________________________

Transmitted (Number) (Time) __________________________

Per __________________________
The following additional information was obtained from (protect - by request).
In a different matter, advised as follows:

Dallas Division will open a separate case on this matter, with more available detail.

LEAD

DALLAS DIVISION

AT GARLAND, TEXAS

Investigation continuing.
Memorandum

SECRET

To: SAC, DALLAS

From: SA

Subject: VARO, INC., ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION, GARLAND, TEXAS;

CO: DALLAS

This entire communication is classified "SECRET".

On December 2, 1994, (protect/reliable) advised he/she was unfamiliar with

Source was not tasked further.

Declassify by: G-3
Classified on: OADR
Memorandum

To: SAC, DALLAS
From: SA
Subject: VARO, INC.
       ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION
       GARLAND, TEXAS
       CC: DALLAS

Date 12/21/94

This communication is classified SECRET in its entirety.

Investigation authorized.

On 12/7/94 (protect per request).

advised that

On 12/16/94

2 - Dallas
(2)MDF/mdf

CLASSIFIED BY: G-3
DECLASSIFY ON: OADR
Universal File Case Number

Field Office Acquiring Evidence

Serial # of Originating Document

Date Received

From

(Dallas Division)

(Name of Contributor)

(Address of Contributor)

(City and State)

By

(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned □ Yes □ No

Receipt Given □ Yes □ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6 (e)

Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure □ Yes □ No

Title:

VARO, Inc.

Electronics Devices Division

Garden, Texas

Reference:

(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: □ Original notes □ 2 photos □ 200, Dallas
SECRET

0064 MRI 01886
RR RUCNFB FBIDL FBINK
DE FBINY #0044 0122220
ZNY SSSSS
R 122130Z JAN 95
FM FBI NEW YORK
TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/
FBI DALLAS/ROUTINE/
FBI NEWARK/ROUTINE/
BT

SECRET
CITE: ///3540///
PASS: HQ FOR SSA DALLAS FOR SA
NEWARK FOR SA

SUBJECT: VARO INC; ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION, GARLAND, TEXAS;

THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS ENTIRETY.

MANUAL INDIGES CHECKED
AUTOMATED INDIGES CHECKED

JAN 12 1995
FBI - DALLAS
RE NEW YORK TELETYPING TO BUREAU AND DALLAS DATED NOVEMBER 22, 1994, CAPTIONED "00:NY";
DALLAS TELETYPING TO BUREAU, NEW YORK AND NEWARK, DATED DECEMBER 2, 1994, CAPTIONED AS ABOVE; DALLAS TELETYPING TO BUREAU, NEW YORK AND NEWARK, DATED DECEMBER 13, 1994, CAPTIONED AS ABOVE;
TELCALLS SA DALLAS DIVISION TO SA NEW YORK 12/8 - 9/94.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECEIVING OFFICES:
ON NOVEMBER 31, 1994,
AS DETAILED IN REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS,
IN THE OPINION OF THE NYO, MATTER WAS NOT SUFFICIENTLY DEVELOPED TO PROCEED AND CONCERNED OFFICES ADVISED AS NECESSARY.

C BY G-3, DECL. ON OADR
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTN: NSD, SSA)
FROM: DADIC, NEW YORK (P)
SUBJECT: VARO, INC ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION GARLAND, TEXAS:

This communication is classified "SECRET" in its entirety.

Reference Dallas Airtel to Bureau et al, dated 1/12/95; Dallas teletype to Bureau et al, dated 12/13/94, both captioned as above; New York teletype to Bureau et al, dated 1/10/95, captioned as above.

Approved: ________________ Transmitted (Number) Per ________________
Enclosed for the Bureau are two copies and for Dallas, Newark and Norfolk one copy of the following:

REQUEST OF THE BUREAU:

For the information of the Bureau on 1/30/95.

Special Agents of the NYC
Memorandum

To: SAC, Dallas

From: SA

Date: 3/6/95

Subject: VADO, INC.,
   ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION,
   CARROLLTON, TEXAS

This document is classified "SECRET" in its entirety, unless otherwise noted.

SAC ☑ BUREAU

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION

FBI/DALLAS
FBI/DOJ

CLASSIFIED BY: NSICG J36J55ST41
REASON: 1.4 (C)
DECLASSIFY ON: 12-31-2020
DATE: 01-12-2017
TO: SAC,

Albany
Albuquerque
Anchorage
Atlanta
Baltimore
Boston
Buffalo
Charlotte
Chicago
Cincinnati
Cleveland
Columbus
Dallas
Denver
Detroit
El Paso
Honolulu
Houston
Indianapolis
Jackson
Jacksonville
Kansas City
Knoxville
Las Vegas
Little Rock
Los Angeles
Louisville
Memphis
Miami
Minneapolis
Mobile
New Haven
New Orleans
New York City
Norfolk
ASAC, Brooklyn-Queens (MRA)

TO: LEGAT,

Bangkok
Bern
Bogota
Bonn
Bridgeport
Brussels
Canberra
Hong Kong
London
Manila
Mexico City
Montevideo
Ottawa
Panama City
Paris
Rome
Seattle
Tokyo

Date

3/6/95

RE: VARIOLOGY INC.

ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION,

GARLAND, TEXAS

RE: DIAL ATELIER

DATE: 12/29/94

REVIEW OF FBI HQ FILE DISCLOSED

REQUEST WAS REFUSED BECAUSE IT CONTAINED PROPRIETARY INFORMATION AND WAS CLASSIFIED.

FBI/Dallas

MAR 09 1995

FBI/Dallas

SECRET
FM FBI NEW YORK
TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/
FBI DALLAS/ROUTINE/
FBI NEWARK/ROUTINE/
BT
SECRET
CITE: //3540//
PASS: DALLAS FOR NEWARK FOR

SUBJECT: VARO, INC, ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION, GARLAND, TEXAS; O0:DALLAS.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS ENTIRETY.

REFERENCE BUTEL, DATED MARCH 13, 1995 AND DALLAS AIRTEL, DATED JANUARY 12, 1995, CAPTIONED AS ABOVE.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND DALLAS, ON MARCH
0020 MRI 01577
RR RUCNFB FBIDL
DE FBINY #0042 1792003
ZNY SSSSS
R 281959Z JUN 95
FM FBI NEW YORK
TO DIRECTOR FBI/RUTINE/
FB DALLAS ROUTINE/
BT
SECRET
CITE: //3540//
PASS: HQ FOR DALLAS FOR
SUBJECT: POSITIVE INTELLIGENCE; OO:NY.
THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS ENTIRETY
DATE OF INFORMATION: MAY 1995

MANUAL INDICES CHECKED AUTOMATED INDICES CHECKED

JUN 28 1995 FBI - DALLAS
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1343091-0
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RR RUCNFB FBI FBINK
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(S) FM FBI NEW YORK
TO DIRECTOR FBI/ROUTINE/
FBI DALLAS/ROUTINE/
FBI NEWARK/ROUTINE/
ST
SECRET
CITE: //3540//
PASS: HQ FOR SSA
NEWARK FOR SA
DALLAS FOR SA
SUBJECT: VARO INC; ELECTRONIC
DEVICES DIVISION, GARLAND, TEXAS;
(5) DD:DL.
THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS
ENTIRETY.
SECRET
RE NEW YORK TELETYPE TO BUREAU AND DALLAS DATED NOVEMBER 22, 1994, CAPTIONED
DALLAS TELETYPE TO BUREAU, NEW YORK AND NEWARK, DATED DECEMBER 2, 1994, CAPTIONED AS ABOVE; DALLAS TELETYPE TO BUREAU, NEW YORK AND NEWARK, DATED DECEMBER 13, 1994, CAPTIONED AS ABOVE;
TELCALLS SA DALLAS DIVISION TO SA NEW YORK 12/8 - 9/94.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECEIVING OFFICES:
ON NOVEMBER 31, 1994,
IN THE OPINION OF THE NYO, MATTER WAS NOT SUFFICIENTLY DEVELOPED TO PROCEED AND CONCERNED OFFICES ADVISED AS NECESSARY.

C BY G-3: GELL. ON OADR

BT

#0044
This entire communication is classified "SECRET".

Title marked "CHANGED" to reflect deletion of names of VARO, INC.; ELECTRONIC DEVICES DIVISION, GARLAND, TEXAS; 00: DALLAS".


REQUEST OF THE BUREAU:

Bureau is requested to review file evidently an FOIPA request, and advise.
The following additional information was obtained from [protect - by request],
Dallas Division will open a separate case on this matter, with more available detail.

LEAD

DALLAS DIVISION

AT GARLAND, TEXAS

Investigation continuing.
Reference Dallas Airtel to Bureau et al, dated 12/12/95; Dallas teletype to Bureau et al, dated 12/13/94, both captioned as above; New York teletype to Bureau et al, dated 1/10/95, captioned as above.

This communication is classified "SECRET" in its entirety.

Reference Dallas Airtel to Bureau et al, dated 12/12/95; Dallas teletype to Bureau et al, dated 12/13/94, both captioned as above; New York teletype to Bureau et al, dated 1/10/95, captioned as above.
Enclosed for the Bureau are two copies and for Dallas, Newark and Norfolk one copy of the following:

REQUEST OF THE BUREAU:

For the information of the Bureau, on 1/30/95,

Special Agents of the NYO
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xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
SECRET

CITE: //3630:0005//

PASS: INTD, ...

SUBJECT: ...

THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS

ENTIRETY.

ON APRIL 30, 1993, ...

FURNISHED THE ...

MANUAL INDICES CHECKED ...

AUTOMATED INDICES CHECKED ...

MAY 03 1993

FBI - DALLAS
FOLLOWING INFORMATION:
ABOVE SUBMITTED FOR INFORMATION AND APPROPRIATE ACTION OF FBIHQ, DALLAS, AND NEWARK.

CLASSIFIED BY G-3, DECLASSIFY ON OADR.

BT

#0001
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MICRO:</th>
<th>□ NEG □ POS</th>
<th>MICRO:</th>
<th>□ NEG □ POS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
<td>MICRO:</td>
<td>□ NEG □ POS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Searched by</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Secret**
TO: ACTING DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: INTD)
FROM: SAC, DALLAS
SUBJECT: VARO, INC.;

This communication is classified "SECRET" in its entirety.

Re Dallas teletype to Director, dated August 20, 1993; and Bureau telcal to Dallas dated August 26, 1993.

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and two (2) copies of an LHM entitled "VARO NITEC, INC., 3414 Herrmann Drive, Garland, Texas; IMAGE INTENSIFYING TUBE TECHNOLOGY".

Bureau (Enc. 3)
Newark (for info)
Phoenix (for info)
Dallas

Classified by: G-3
Declassify on: OADR

SECRET

Approved: O'BRIEN
Transmitted ____________________________
(Number) ____________________________
(Time) ____________________________

Per ____________________________
In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Dallas, Texas 75202
August 30, 1993

VARO - NITEC, INC.
3414 HERRMANN DRIVE
GARLAND, TEXAS

IMAGE INTENSIFYING TUBE TECHNOLOGY

On April 30, 1993, advised the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) Phoenix office of the following information:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Investigation in Dallas, Texas indicates that [redacted] resides at [redacted] and has telephone number [redacted].
SECRET

FM FBI DALLAS (P)

TO DIRECTOR FBI/Routine/
FBI WMFO/Routine/
INFO FBI PHOENIX/Routine/
BT

SECRET

CITE: //3190: //
PASS: FBIHQ: INTD;

SUBJECT: "CHANGED"; VARO INC.;

DALLAS.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS ENTIRETY.

SENT

Date 8/20/93
RE PHOENIX TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR DATED 5/3/93, ENTITLED VARO, INC.; OO: DALLAS".

TITLE MARKED "CHANGED" TO LIST THE U.S. FIRM (VARO INC.) FIRST AND TO ADD THAT WAS IDENTIFIED AS THE END USER OF THE TECHNOLOGY IN QUESTION.

REQUEST OF THE BUREAU:

1) BUREAU IS REQUESTED TO SEARCH ITS INDICES RE THE FOLLOWING: (NOT FURTHER IDENTIFIED).

2) BUREAU AUTHORITY IS REQUESTED TO INTERVIEW A) RE ALLEGATIONS, B) (EMPLOYEE OF THE DEPT. OF DEFENSE - DEFENSE TRADE SECURITY ADMINISTRATION) RE NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY AND ITS EXPORT RESTRICTIONS. BUREAU SHOULD ADVISE WMFO ONCE THIS AUTHORITY IS GRANTED.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF WMFO, PHOENIX REPORTED THAT A
DALLAS INDICES ARE NEGATIVE RE [BLANK], WHO RESIDES AT [BLANK]
WMFO AT WASHINGTON, D.C.:

ONCE FBIHQ AUTHORIZES THE INTERVIEW OF [REDACTED]

CONTACT THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, DEFENSE TRADE SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, TO DETERMINE THE CURRENT LOCATION OF [REDACTED]

IF LOCATED IN WASHINGTON, D.C. INTERVIEW HIM RE NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY AND ITS EXPORT RESTRICTIONS. IF HE IS LOCATED ELSEWHERE PLEASE SET LEAD TO THE APPROPRIATE FO FOR INTERVIEW OF [REDACTED]

C\BY G-3
DECL: OADR

BT
RECORD OF INFORMATION FURNISHED OTHER AGENCIES

Orally ___ By Telephone ___ Written Communication ___

Information concerning: VARO-NITEC

Information furnished from File, Serial, and Page Number:
LHM entitled "VARO-NITEC..."

Information furnished was obtained:
- ☒ during course of Bureau investigation
- ☐ from informants
- ☒ from complainants or other sources

Information furnished to:

Number of items disseminated: 1

Remarks:

Classified by: G-3
Declassify on: QADR

Special Agent
0029 MRI 01143
RR FBIDL FBIWMFO
DE RUCNFB #0060 2601937
ZNY SSSSS
R 171812Z SEP 93
FM DIRECTOR FBI
TO FBI DALLAS /ROUTINE/
FBI WMFO INFO/ROUTINE/
BT
SECRET
CITE: //0526//
PASS: DL SSA

SUBJECT: VARO INC.;

OO: DALLAS.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS ENTIRETY.
REDLTEL DATED AUGUST 23, 1993; REBUTELCAL TO SSA
ON AUGUST 26, 1993.

IN THE REFERENCED TELEPHONE CALL TO DALLAS, FBIHQ WISHED TO
DETERMINE IF THERE WERE ANY ACTUAL INDICATIONS OF

Did WMFO get a
Copy of the Closing Communication?
This case involves efforts of a United States company (VARO Inc.) to circumvent U.S. technology transfer laws by selling night vision technology to India by utilizing a Dutch firm. Reportedly, the sales of night vision equipment to India is not prohibited, however, the transfer of such technology to India is prohibited.

As agreed in the rebuttal, FBI HQ will await further word from Dallas on this matter before pursuing this matter.

WMFO is requested to await further clarification on the issue before addressing its lead from Dallas.
SECRET

CITE: //0526//
PASS: DL SSA

SUBJECT: VARO INC.

; OO: DALLAS.

THIS COMMUNICATION IS CLASSIFIED "SECRET" IN ITS ENTIRETY.
REDLTEL DATED AUGUST 23, 1993; REBUTELCAL TO SSA ON AUGUST 26, 1993.

IN THE REFERENCED TELEPHONE CALL TO DALLAS, FBIHQ WISHED TO DETERMINE IF THERE WERE ANY ACTUAL INDICATIONS OF

MANUAL INDICES CHECKED
AUTOMATED INDICES CHECKED

CLOSED

SERIALIZED CAL FILED

SFP 17 1993
FBI - DALLAS
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTN: INTD,

FROM: SAC, DALLAS (C)

SUBJECT: VARO, INC.;

This communication is classified SECRET in its entirety.

Re Dallas airtel to Director, dated 8/30/93; and Bureau teletype to Dallas, dated 9/17/93.

In the reference airtel Dallas advised the Bureau that this Dallas investigation had been closed. In an oversight by Dallas a copy of this communication was not sent to WMFO. WMFO is hereby advised that the captioned matter has been closed by the FBI-Dallas. WMFO should discontinue any leads set out for it by Dallas.

2 - Bureau
2 - WMFO
3 - Dallas

CLASSIFIED BY: G-3
DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

Approved: ____________________________

Transmitted (Number) (Time) Per ____________________________
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TO: CHIEF CLERK

Subject

Social Security Account 

Address

Birth Date

Birthplace

Race

Sex

 Male

 Female

Exact Spelling

Main Criminal Case Files Only

Restrict to Locality of

Main Subversive Case Files Only

Main Subversive (If no Main, list all Subversive References)

Subversive References Only

Main Criminal (If no Main, list all Criminal References)

File & Serial Number

Remarks

File & Serial Number

Remarks

Varco International Inc. 

AT VARO

Requested by

Squad

Extension

File No.

Search by

Consolidated by

Reviewed by

File Review Symbols

I - Identical

NI - Not Identical

? - Not identifiable

U - Unavailable reference

United States Department of Justice

April 10, 1980
Field File No. 205-3
00 and File No. WFO (205-10717-4)
Date Received 4/13/79
From WFO
(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(Address of Contributor)

(City and State)

By
(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned □ Yes □ No
Receipt Given □ Yes □ No

Description:

Copy of subpoena for the District of Columbia
enclosures transmitted with
Dallas letter, airtel report dated 4/27/79
from DL# 205-3 to WFO # 205-10
You are hereby commanded to attend before the Grand Jury of said Court on __________
the __________ day of __________ at __________ o'clock __________ to testify on behalf of the United States, and not depart the Court without leave of the Court or District Attorney.

WITNESS: The Honorable Wm. B. Bryant, Chief Judge of said Court, this __________ day of __________, 1979.

By __________, Asst. U.S. Attorney

Form No. USA-92-184 (Rev. 7-1-75)

DOJ
MARSHAL'S RETURN

Subpoenaed personally all, the 20th day of April, 1979

UNITED STATES

vs.

SUBPOENA FOR THE GRAND JURY

Not to be found:

Special Agent, F.B.I.
Dallas, Texas
To: SAC, WFO
From: Director, FBI

VARO CORPORATION
FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT

Enclosed for WFO are two copies of a letter from Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, Department of Justice, to the Director dated 2/15/79.

WFO should contact Departmental Attorney, to obtain additional details of the allegations.

Thereafter, the matter should be RUC'd to the appropriate office of origin, should it not be WFO. WFO should not maintain this matter in a pending status unless actual investigation is required by WFO.

Enclosures (2)
TO: SAC, WFO (205-10)

FROM: SAC, DALLAS (205-3) (RUC)

SUBJECT: VARO CORPORATION; FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT

Re WFO airtel to Dallas dated 4/10/79.

Enclosed for WFO is one copy of an executed Federal Grand Jury subpoena directed to [insert name].

Service of subpoena rendered to [insert name] in the absence of [insert name]. It was advised that any questions he or his superiors might have regarding captioned matters should be directed to D. J. Attorney, Washington, D.C.

Although venue may lie in Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division will not conduct any further investigation into this matter at this time pending review of subpoenaed documents by WFO.

Date 4/27/79

FD-36 (Rev. 5-22-78)

Transmit Via: Teletype

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Date 4/27/79

205-10

Filed Office

MAY 3 1979

[Signature]

Approved: [Signature]
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TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, NEW ORLEANS (46-2303) (P)
SUBJECT: UNSUBS; VARO COMPANY, GARLAND, TEXAS
DATE: June 26, 1967

On 6/22/67, telephonically furnished the following information:

Contract #DAA-B07-67-R0520, in amount of over two million dollars, for production of metasopes, was to be awarded 6/19/67. The bidders included RCA, ITT, Control Science of Chicago, and the Varo Company. The award has been postponed 30 days by the contracting officer at Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey, because of changes in delivery schedule and requirements. The Varo Company is presently behind schedule on several military contracts, and the belief the new award was delayed to give the Varo Company an opportunity to catch up on its work and submit a lower bid on the new work. He supplied no names of military or contractor personnel who might be involved in the alleged irregularities in bidding.

A copy of this letter is submitted for information of the Dallas Office, but it is believed presentation of available facts to the U.S. Attorney is premature at this time.

Newark at Fort Monmouth will determine status of Contract #DAA-B07-67-R0520 and report name of successful bidder.

Bureau 
1 - Dallas (Info) 
2 - Newark 
2 - New Orleans 
DLH/Jb

July 1967 Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, NEWARK (46-6438) (P)

UNSUB: Varo Company,
Garland, Texas

FAG
OO: NEWARK


Review of contract file C-0617, request for Procurement Number DA-B07-67-R0520, and interview of knowledgeable personnel at Fort Monmouth Procurement Division, Fort Monmouth, NJ, revealed no indication of irregularity in awarding a contract to Varo Company.

AUSA Newark, NJ, declined prosecution.

Newark assuming origin and will submit closing report.
SAC, Philadelphia

3/4/63

VAIO COMPANY, INC., etc.
ET AL.
FAG - CONSPIRACY
(DD: PH)
(BUDED: 3/27/63)

Re Philadelphia airtel 2/28/63.

Re airtel sets forth rather broad but nonspecific allegations of complainant as has taken his current complaint to several sources and in 1966 was involved with the Vaio Company in a patent infringement controversy which the AUSA decided did not constitute a criminal violation.

Considering the foregoing it may be that is merely a disgruntled businessman seeking to capture Government business or contracts by any means or through any sources available to him. It is clear that he is a persistent individual and considering the over-all facts it is important that the Durceau conduct immediate investigation to develop sufficient facts for the AUSA to make a determination as to the existence of a possible criminal violation and whether further investigation might be warranted.

In addition to leads set forth in airtel, Philadelphia should consider immediate contact with responsible officials of NASO and NASC to round out complaint for prompt prosecutive determination.

Philadelphia and auxiliary offices handle and surcup by 3/27/63.

2 - Dallas
2 - New York
2 - Washington Field
Transmit the following in

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, PHILADELPHIA (46-NEW)
SUBJECT: VARO COMPANY, INC., GARLAND, TEXAS; DAYTON T. BROWN COMPANY, BOHEMIA, L.I., NEW YORK;
FAG - CONSPIRACY
(00:PHILADELPHIA)

appeared at Office of USA, EDPA., Philadelphia, Pa. with voluminous documents and was interviewed for about two and one-half hours by AUSA

advised AUSA that his company developed, at his own expense, a NITROGEN RECEIVER (pressurized metal bottle)product to be used by U.S. Navy in missiles. He was unsuccessful in being awarded contracts to supply his product to Navy but was told he could get contracts if he was sub­contractor for Varo Company, Inc. (VARO) and his product passed the specifications test. VARO signed a ten-day option with to purchase and a Proprietary Information Disclosure Agreement (AGREEMENT) was signed by both companies. VARO failed to purchase claiming that the product
did not pass test by DAYTON T. BROWN, CO. (BROWN); however,
subsequently learned the product did pass the test
prior to the signing of the agreement. VARO has since been
having sub-contractor produce the product based on information
it received in the agreement. The Naval Air Systems Command
(NASC) and Naval Aviation Supply Office (NASO) have refused to
award contracts to [_____] for its product claiming the
product did not pass the test. [_____] claims he has now
learned his product was damaged prior to the test being con-
ducted by BROWN.

[_____] advised that he took the matter to Congress-
man RICHARD SCHWEIKER, of Pennsylvania, and Mr. SCHWEIKER caused
General Accounting Office (GAO) to conduct investigation into the
situation. [_____] has a preliminary draft of a report pre-
pared by GAO which was sent to him and a copy to Congressman
SCHWEIKER; by [_____] of Defense
[_____] requested [_____] to review the preliminary report and
within 30 days to furnish his comments.

[_____] has also furnished [_____] Middle Atlantic Office, Antitrust Division, Philadelphia,
with all facts and copies of all pertinent documents concerning
the matter. [_____] states [_____] has advised him the
matter is being referred to Antitrust Division for appropriate
action against VARO.

[_____] alleges that employees of NASC and NASO
have conspired with VARO and possibly with BROWN to prevent his
being awarded contracts or at least in the assigning of contracts.

AUSA [_____] requested that FBI conduct sufficient
investigation to determine if subjects and Unknown subjects have
violated statutes within its jurisdiction.

For information of Bureau, [_____] forwarded a
short letter dated 2/14/68; to Philadelphia Office which was
not received until 2/21/68. [_____] was unavailable to discuss
matter until 2/27/68, at which time his secretary could not
locate the voluminous notes he took during interview with

On 2/28/68, [_____] was telephonically contacted at
his office to arrange interview and interview is scheduled for
Friday, 3/1/68, at which time he stated he will make available

*Deleted Copy Sent* by Letter 3-21-75
copies of all pertinent documents.

Philadelphia file 27-31 captioned "Infringement Upon a Pending Patent for a Pressurized Bottle Produced by , Patent Matter," disclosed that on 12/6/66, contacted the Philadelphia Office to complain about VARO infringing upon his pending patent and stated VARO had obtained a restraining order in Federal Court preventing from doing further business as only producer of the bottle.

On 12/13/66, AUSA, EDPA., advised facts furnished by did not constitute a criminal violation of the Patent Act and that could pursue the matter in civil court. was on 12/14/66, advised of the opinion of AUSA

LEADS

DALLAS

AT GARLAND, TEXAS.

1. Obtain Dun and Bradstreet Reports or other credit reports concerning VARO Company, Inc., to identify its officers and nature of the business.

2. Review office indices concerning VARO and its officers to determine if prior allegations received alleging FAV or BRIBERY.

NEW YORK

AT BOHEMIA, LONG ISLAND

1. Review records of Dun and Bradstreet or other credit agencies to identify its officers and nature of the business.

2. Review office indices concerning BROWN and its officers to determine if prior allegations received alleging FAV or BRIBERY.

WFO

AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

Contact of Defense Division, GAO, concerning investigation by his office re instant matter and obtain all pertinent information concerning any violation within jurisdiction of the FBI.

-3-
PHILADELPHIA
AT PHILADELPHIA, PA.

1. Interview [redacted], on 3/1/68, concerning instant allegations.

2. Contact Middle Atlantic Office, Antitrust, concerning any information he received from or others that alleges violation within Bureau jurisdiction.

3. Maintain contact with AUSA [redacted]
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Bishop

FROM: M. A. Jones

DATE: 3-8-68

SUBJECT: VARO COMPANY, INCORPORATED, ET AL
FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT - CONSPIRACY
INQUIRY BY OFFICE OF CONGRESSMAN RICHARD S.
SCHWEIKER (R - PENNSYLVANIA)

By request, Special Agent ______ called at the office of
Congressman Schweiker, with whom we enjoy a cordial relationship,
and spoke with ______ the Congressman's Legislative
Assistant, on 3-7-68.

______ advised that for several months he has been dealing with
______ concerning that company's contractual and other difficulties dealing with the manu-
facture of nitrogen receivers to be used by the Navy in conjunction
with the sidewinder missile. He said the General Accounting Office
has conducted extensive investigation relating to contracts involved,
adding that there is now some indication of possible criminal violations.

______ advised Varo Company, Inc. of
Garland, Texas, and the ______ were contracted to produce
the nitrogen receivers. The contract stipulated that the receivers
had to pass certain tests. Dayton T. Brown of Bohemia, New York,
was contracted by the Navy to conduct the tests. ______ produced
receivers passed products approval tests in 1966, and Varo Company
and the Brunswick Corporation (location unknown by ______ qualified
late in 1966. ______ was to produce 300 receivers which were
delivered in late summer or early fall, 1967. Random samples of
these receivers were to be selected for additional testing by the Brown
Company. ______ was subsequently advised his receivers had not
passed specifications.

1. Mr. DeLoach
1. Mr. Bishop
1. Mr. Rosen

CONTINUED - OVER 12 MAR 1968

DWB:mls (8)
M. A. Jones to Bishop memo  
RE: VARO COMPANY, INCORPORATED, ET AL

According to [redacted] claims his receivers tested were not taken at random from the delivered items. He said the tests were made on receivers he previously had furnished to the Brown Company, and he believes they had been tampered with in such a way as to cause them to fail the test.

[redacted] reportedly had talked with an Assistant U.S. Attorney in Philadelphia and with an FBI Agent in Philadelphia within the last few weeks about this matter. He stated he was contacting FBI Headquarters to advise of the Congressman's interest in this situation and to determine if there was, in fact, justification for an FBI investigation.

The Philadelphia Office, by airtel dated 2-28-68, advised Assistant U.S. Attorney [redacted] following an interview with [redacted], had requested that we conduct a sufficient investigation to determine if a violation of Federal statutes within FBI jurisdiction exists. This communication indicated an appointment had been made to interview [redacted].

[redacted] has been advised that, based on the request of the Assistant U.S. Attorney, we are conducting an inquiry to determine if there is FBI jurisdiction in this matter.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
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CHARACTER OF CASE
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ADMINISTRATIVE

were made available by

Review of Dallas indices reflects a case captioned
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matter in view of fixed price contracts. Indices fail to indicate allegations of bribery or FAG violations concerning persons listed in details of this report.
Copy to: 1 - USA, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Report of: JOHN K. HOFFMAN
Date: 3/15/68
Office: Dallas, Texas

Field Office File #: 46-2642
Title: VARO COMPANY, INC.

Character: FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT - CONSPIRACY

Synopsis:

DETAILS:

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
are considered confidential. The above information is not made public except upon the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, which should be directed to.
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: Director, FBI

FROM: SAC, WFO

SUBJECT: VARO COMPANY INC, GARLAND, TEXAS
DAYTON T. BROWN, BOHEMIA, L.I., NEW YORK, ET AL.

RE BULLET 3/4/68

This case will be delinquent.

Date of Bureau deadline: 3/27/68

Reason for the delinquency: COPIES OF PERTINENT BACKGROUND INFORMATION BEING PREPARED BY GAO, NOT RECEIVED.

Date the report or necessary communication will reach the Bureau:

4/2/68 46-56049

AEC zone designation; e.g., OR, CH, etc.: 46

(This applies only to 116 cases.)
Memorandum

TO: Director, FBI

DATE: 4/3/68

FROM: SAC, Philadelphia (46-4651)

SUBJECT: VARO COMPANY, INC., etc.
ET AL
FAG - CONSPIRACY
OO: PH

SOG ACTION:
(Records Branch)

☐ Post and destroy
☒ File

This case will be delinquent.

Date of Bureau deadline: 4/3/68

Reason for the delinquency: Agent to whom case assigned is on EAL. Report is in preparation and will be completed immediately upon his return to work.

Date the report or necessary communication will reach the Bureau:

AEC zone designation; e.g., OR, CH, etc.: NOT RECORDED

(This applies only to 116 cases.)

☒ No administrative action necessary.

BUREAU
JFG:MMcG

(1) 0 APR 12 1968
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

to    Director, FBI
from  SAC, WFO

subject: Noro Company Inc; Garland, Texas; Dayton & Brown Co., Bohemia, N.Y.;
         et al.

This case will be delinquent.

Date of Bureau deadline:  4/3/68

Reason for the delinquency: Corrections being made

Date the report or necessary communication will reach the Bureau:

4/7/68

AEC zone designation; e.g., OR, CH, etc.

( This applies only to 116 cases.)

5/11/68
Memorandum

TO: Director, FBI

FROM: SAC, Philadelphia

SUBJECT: VARIO COMPANY, INC., GARLAND, TEXAS, ET AL.

FAG - CONSPIRACY (CO: PH)

SOG ACTION:
(Records Branch)

☐ Post and destroy
☒ File

This case will be delinquent.

Date of Bureau deadline: 3/27/68

Reason for the delinquency: DUE TO OTHER EXPEDITE MISSIONS AND STENOGRAPHIC DELINQUENCY, FOR WHICH BUREAU IS AWARE

Date the report or necessary communication will reach the Bureau: 4/4/68

AEC zone designation; e.g., OR, CH, etc.:
(This applies only to 116 cases.)

☐ No administrative action necessary.

46-56049
Memorandum

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (46-56049)
ATTENTION: FBI LABORATORY

FROM: SAC, PHILADELPHIA (46-4651)

DATE: 5/14/68

SUBJECT: VARO COMPANY, INC., GARLAND, TEXAS;
DAYTON T. BROWN COMPANY, BOHEMIA, L.I., NEW YORK;
UNSUBS; Employees, U.S. Naval Air Systems Command,
Washington, D.C.;
UNSUBS; Employees, U.S. Naval Aviation Supply Office,
FAG - CONSPIRACY
(CC: Philadelphia)

DATE: 5/14/68

Re Philadelphia report of SA 4/9/68;
Philadelphia letter to Bureau, 5/3/68.

There is enclosed for assistance of FBI Laboratory in
conducting its examination a copy of Military Specification,
MIL-R-81202 (WP) 1 February 1965, receiver assembly, nitrogen,
glass filament wound construction for LAU-7/A launcher, furnished
to FBI by , complainant in instant case. The specification should be returned to Philadelphia when of no
further value to FBI Laboratory.

There is enclosed, under separate cover, one box containing a nitrogen receiver (pressurized metal bottle) #529
manufactured by . This nitrogen receiver was blown into two parts by a gun fire test

On 5/9/68, made available the
enclosed nitrogen receiver and enclosed military specification
sheet for examination by the FBI Laboratory.

The FBI Laboratory is requested to refer to Pages 38,
39, 70 of the report and to Page 1 of relet concerning the statements
made by complainant and the request by USA EDPA.

ENCLOSURE

1 - Bureau (46-56049) REA
1 - New York (46-7349) (Info)
1 - Philadelphia (46-4651)

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
The FBI Laboratory is requested to examine the nitrogen receiver in an effort to determine if it can be established that this nitrogen receiver was or was not damaged prior to the gun fire test as alleged by complainant [redacted].

FBI Laboratory should note that re Philadelphia letter set forth a request that the New York Office conduct certain investigation pertaining to the gun fire test by DAYTON T. BROWN Company and it is not known if the results of this investigation would or would not be of assistance to the FBI Laboratory in making the requested examination.

Information copy designated for New York in view of investigation being conducted by that office.

The FBI Laboratory is requested to return the nitrogen bottle to Philadelphia upon completion of its examination.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: FBI, Philadelphia (46-4651)
Date: June 10, 1968

VARO COMPANY, INC., GARLAND, TEXAS; DAYTON T. BROWN COMPANY, BOHEMIA, L.I., NEW YORK; UNSUBS;

Examination requested by: Philadelphia
Reference: Letter 5/14/68
Examination requested: Microscopic - Miscellaneous
Remarks:

Enclosures (3) (2 Lab report, "Also Submitted" specification)
REPORT of the
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: FBI, Philadelphia
Date: June 10, 1968
FBI File No. 46-56049

Re: VARO COMPANY, INC., GARLAND, TEXAS;
DAYTON T. BROWN COMPANY, COHENIA,
L.I., NEW YORK; UNSUBS; Employees, U.S.
Naval Air Systems Command, Wash., D.C.;
UNSUBS; Employees, U.S. Naval Aviation
Supply Office, Philadelphia, Pa.; FAG - CONSPIRACY

Specimens received
5/20/68

Q1 Nitrogen receiver bottle, SN 529, broken into two pieces
Also Submitted: Military specification sheets for nitrogen receiver assembly

Results of examination:

An examination was made of the ruptured ends of the two pieces of the Q1 nitrogen receiver bottle to determine if there is any evidence that the bottle had been damaged in the now ruptured area prior to the time it was struck in that area by a 50mm projectile during the gunfire test. The gunfire test caused a complete severance of the bottle at the point of impact.

The Q1 nitrogen receiver is in the shape of a cylinder which is normally used to store compressed gasses such as oxygen and nitrogen. The evidence container consists of a thin magnetic metal liner which is covered with multiple wrappings of glass filaments to a thickness of approximately 1/8 inch. The layers of glass filaments crisscross each other in a manner similar to the way the cords do in an automobile tire. The longer Q1 piece of the ruptured bottle has a maximum length of approximately 32 inches, the shorter piece has a maximum length of approximately 23 3/4 inches and the outside diameter is nearly 3½ inches.

There is no visible evidence to indicate that the glass filaments had been cut in the ruptured area prior to the gunfire test.

The "Also Submitted" specification is being returned herewith. Specimen Q1 is being returned to your office under separate cover by Railway Express.

LWL: je2(4) Deleted Comment by Letter 3-21-68
PC-A6477
Q1:

"As received" condition.

Circumference: 10.90"
\[ \therefore \text{DIA} = \frac{10.90}{\pi} = 3.47" \]

1" wide strip of paper used to determine circumference on each piece of Q1.

Cylinder constructed of a thin magnetic metal liner which is wrapped with glass strands in layers 90° from each other like the cord in an automobile tire.

Note: In black ink in this photo is the marking "MATCH " adjacent to the break on each of the 2 Q1 pieces of cylinder. I do not know who placed it on the fragments.
Also on the ID plate is information showing the unit is a "RECEIVER, NITROGEN" made 5-67 by manufacturer XBB;
SN 529.

In red letter on the longer pc is 1 21 am:

CAUTION
HANDLE W1
RECEIVER - N
BLACK
H40.

Max length of longer
21 pc is 32"
and shorter pc 23\(\frac{3}{4}\)"

Close up view of fractured piece oriented the same as in bottom photo on page.

Fractures do not match - part of cylinder missing, piece of metal lining missing in ruptured area so it does not match & glass filaments are too distorted to determine if they match or not.
PC-A 4477

[Image of a close-up of a hand with a pen, presumably writing.]

6-6-68

R1

states an examination of the receiver SN 529 returned to him by P. T. Brown on 4/29/68 shows an even cut of filaments approximately 15 to the longitudinal & of the receiver and starting at approximately the point where the projectile struck. The receiver and extending for approx. 3". This would indicate the receiver had been notched in this area prior to the gunfire test. (see photo marked exhibited. I can obtain no info whatsoever from exhibits since it is a type reproduction of minutes, page.

Green arrows must point in both photographs on this page the damaged area in Almounted lug

Presence of the fine filamentous (trusses) on outer layers by ruptured opening indicate they were not cut because they cover area in which tank filaments under them may have been.
The area between the 2 green X's is the area shown in photo on top of page 6.

No evidence that the glass filaments had been cut.
Q1:

For location of area shown in this photo see photo bottom of page 5.

Made a test cut with a scalpel, glass was too hard so finished sawing it with a hacksaw.

Glass filament wrapping around the metal sleeve is approximately 1/8" thick.

Glass covering is very hard but easily cut with hacksaw.

Labeled it as test piece when I used evidence tape to replace piece of wrapping back on the cylinder.

No visible evidence that the glass filaments had been severed (cut) — filament ends appear ruptured.

Tracing of piece of glass filament wrapping I sawed out of shorter part of Q1 about 6" below the identification plate shown on page 2.

Strip radial not longitudinal.
Laboratory Work Sheet


FAG - CONSPIRACY

Examination requested by: FBI, Philadelphia (46-4651) Let 5-14

Examination requested: Micro(Metal) Misc.

Result of Examination: 

Specimens submitted for examination

Q1 Nitrogen receiver bottle, SN 529, broken into two pieces

Also Submitted: Military specification sheet for nitrogen receiver assembly
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (46-56049)  
ATTENTION: FBI LABORATORY  

FROM: SAC, PHILADELPHIA (46-4651)  

SUBJECT: VARO COMPANY, INC., GARLAND, TEXAS; DAYTON T. BROWN COMPANY, BOHEMIA, L.I., NEW YORK; UNSUBS; Employees, U.S. Naval Air Systems Command, Washington, D.C.; UNSUBS; Employees, U.S. Naval Aviation Supply Office, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania  
FAG - CONSPIRACY (CC: Philadelphia)  

DATE: 8/22/68  

Re report of SA at Philadelphia dated 6/21/68.  

When returning the nitrogen bottle #529 to upon the completion of the examination by the FBI Laboratory, it was learned from that he had previously had the bottle examined by a private concern.  

was admonished for not making this fact known at the time he made the bottle available since he was specifically asked by the agents if the bottle had ever been out of his possession since being returned to him after testing by the Government. advised that he had the bottle continually in his custody since its return. apologized for his failure to make the information known regarding the private examination. He claimed that he was acting upon the advice of his attorney who advised him to tell no one of the examination.  

Enclosed herewith for the information and benefit of the Lab is a copy of the test report made by the private concern.  

ENCLOSURE  

Bureau (46-56049) (Enc. 1)  
1 - Philadelphia (46-4651)  

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED
APRIL 23, 1968

Dear [Name],

We have completed our failure studies of the Nitrogen Receiver 529 as you requested. A summary of our studies and conclusions is given in the enclosed report entitled "Gunfire Test Report - Nitrogen Receiver 529".

If you have any questions concerning the report, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Copy: FBI
GUNFIRE TEST REPORT
NITROGEN RECEIVER 529
APRIL 23, 1968
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (46-56049)
FROM: SAC, Philadelphia (46-4651)(P)
SUBJECT: VARO COMPANY INC. ET AL
        FAG - CONSPIRACY
        (OO: Philadelphia)

Rerep of SA EDWARD J. KAIL, Jr., 8/21/68 at Philadelphia.

On 10/9/68 AUSA [redacted] was contacted regarding this matter whereupon he advised that due to a rather heavy trial calendar these past weeks he has been unable to review the matter sufficiently to formulate a definite prosecutive opinion. He related he contemplated reviewing the matter thoroughly within the next two or three weeks after which he would furnish a definite prosecutive opinion.

Philadelphia will recontact AUSA [redacted] concerning a prosecutive opinion in this matter.

2 - Bureau (46-56049)
1 - Philadelphia (46-4651)

EJ K/mvs (3)
SAC, Philadelphia (46-4651)

Director, FBI (46-56049) 20

VARO COMPANY INC. ET AL.
FAG - CONSPIRACY
OO: PHILADELPHIA
BUDED: 12/20/68

By O-I dated 11/7/68 Philadelphia was instructed to promptly submit a report in this matter. By letter dated 12/5/68 Philadelphia advised that numerous unsuccessful attempts have been made to contact Assistant United States Attorney and that on 12/3/68 AUSA stated he was in the process of reviewing this matter.

The information Philadelphia furnished is not responsive to the O-I requesting that a report be submitted promptly inasmuch as this information is not in a form suitable for dissemination. Philadelphia is instructed to submit a report no later than 12/20/68.
Memorandum

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (46-56049)  
FROM: SAC, PHILADELPHIA (46-4651) (P)  
DATE: 12/5/68

SUBJECT: VARO COMPANY, INC., ET AL  
FAG - CONSPIRACY  
(OO: PHILADELPHIA)

Re: FBI letter to Bureau, 10/21/68.

On 10/29 and 11/6/68, an attempt was made to  
contact AUSA concerning an opinion in this  
matter, however, he was unavailable.

On 11/12/68, was contacted and advised  
he has not had an opportunity to review the case; however,  
he intended to do so in the immediate future and indicated  
he would do so during the week of 11/18/68.

On 11/22/68, an attempt was made to contact  
AUSA and again he was unavailable. On 12/3/68,  
was contacted and advised that he was in the process  
of reviewing the captioned matter and would furnish an opinion  
in the immediate future.

Continued contact will be maintained with  
in an effort to obtain an opinion.

Bureau (46-56049)  
Philadelphia (46-4651)  
EJK: 11a (4)  
22 DEC 6 1968
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To: SAC WFO

From: Director, FBI

VARS CORPORATION
FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT

Enclosed for WFO are two copies of a letter from Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, Department of Justice, to the Director dated 2/15/79.

WFO should contact Departmental Attorney, to obtain additional details of the allegations. Thereafter, the matter should be RUC'd to the appropriate office of origin, should it not be WFO. WFO should not maintain this matter in a pending status unless actual investigation is required by WFO.

Enclosures (2)
Enclosed are two copies of a memorandum dated 1/27/82, from the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), requesting investigation of Vario Corporation for alleged violations of FCPA.

As requested in the memorandum and agreed to in recent meetings with the DOJ, FTO Supervisor _________ should contact _________ Multinational Fraud Branch, Criminal Division, DOJ, at _________ to discuss the unique requirements of this case prior to assigning personnel for investigation.

Enclosure
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TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTN: SSA GOVERNMENTAL FRAUD UNIT)

FROM: SAC, DALLAS (206A-DL-223) (P)

SUBJECT: UNSUBS, VARO, INC.
DALLAS, TEXAS;
FAG-DOD
CO: DALLAS

Enclosed for the Bureau is an original and three copies of an LHM setting forth investigation in this matter. Also enclosed is the original copy of a fact form. This form has been filed in compliance with the instructions set out in the U.S. Department of Justice fact system.

An appropriate copy has been furnished to the United States Attorney’s Office, Northern District of Texas, Dallas, Texas, confirming his prosecutive opinion and to the Defense Criminal Investigative Service, Fort Worth, Texas.

Specifically, the above form relates to VARO, INC.

Investigation is continuing in the Dallas Division.
In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Dallas, Texas
January 7, 1991

UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
VARO INC.,
DALLAS, TEXAS;
FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT—
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

On or about September 19, 1990, the Dallas Office of
the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) was telephonically
contacted by who advised that

He further advised that VARO is a
government contractor who is engaged in improper activities. He
stated that had specific information regarding these
allegations.

On September 19, 1990, was interviewed
by agents of the FBI and Defense Criminal Investigative Service
(DCIS). advised that VARO built night-vision equipment
which was sold to the United States Government. The night-vision
equipment was used by United States Army and Navy helicopter
pilots, as well as ground troops.

Although had no proof believed that VARO
buyers were taking kickbacks from their vendors.
UNKNOWN SUBJECTS;
VARO, INC.;
DALLAS, TEXAS;
FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT-
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

On December 7, 1990, Assistant United States Attorney
Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth, Texas, was
contacted by Special Agent (SA) and was advised of
the specifics of the captioned matter. He stated that he would
consider prosecution in this matter.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

(ATTN: SSA GOVERNMENTAL FRAUD UNIT)

FROM: SAC, DALLAS (206A-DL-56660) (P)

SUBJECT: UNSUBS, VARO, INC. DALLAS, TEXAS; FAG-DOD

Enclosed for the Bureau is the original and three copies of an LHM setting forth investigation in this matter. An appropriate copy has been furnished to the United States Attorney’s Office, Northern District of Texas, Dallas, Texas, confirming his prosecutive opinion.

Investigation is continuing in the Dallas Division.