Dear Mr. Greenewald:

The enclosed 442 pages of records were determined to be responsive to your subject and were previously processed and released pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Please see the selected paragraphs below for relevant information specific to your request as well as the enclosed FBI FOIPA Addendum for standard responses applicable to all requests.

☑️ In an effort to provide you with responsive records as expeditiously as possible, we are releasing documents from previous requests regarding your subject. We consider your request fulfilled. Since we relied on previous results, additional records potentially responsive to your subject may exist. If this release of previously processed material does not satisfy your request, you may request an additional search for records. Submit your request by mail to Initial Processing Operations Unit, 200 Constitution Drive, Winchester, VA 22602, or by fax to (540) 868-4997. Please cite the FOIPA Request Number in your correspondence.

☐️ Please be advised that additional records responsive to your subject exist. If this release of previously processed material does not satisfy your request, you must advise us that you want the additional records processed. Please submit your response within thirty (30) days by mail to Initial Processing Operations Unit, 200 Constitution Drive, Winchester, VA 22602, or by fax to (540) 868-4997. Please cite the FOIPA Request Number in your correspondence. If we do not receive your decision within thirty (30) days of the date of this notification, your request will be closed.

☑️ One or more of the enclosed records were transferred to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). Although we retained a version of the records previously processed pursuant to the FOIA, the original records are no longer in our possession.

If this release of the previously processed material does not satisfy your request, you may file a FOIPA request with NARA at the following address:

National Archives and Records Administration
Special Access and FOIA
8601 Adelphi Road, Room 5500
College Park, MD 20740-6001

Reference file number 56-HQ-5105, 89-HQ-3452 in your correspondence.

☐️ Records potentially responsive to your request were transferred to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), and they were not previously processed pursuant to the FOIA. You may file a request with NARA using the address above.
One or more of the enclosed records were destroyed. Although we retained a version of the records previously processed pursuant to the FOIA, the original records are no longer in our possession. Record retention and disposal is carried out under supervision of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), Title 44, United States Code, Section 3301 as implemented by Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1228; Title 44, United States Code, Section 3310 as implemented by Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1229.10.

Records potentially responsive to your request were destroyed. Since this material could not be reviewed, it is not known if it was responsive to your request. Record retention and disposal is carried out under supervision of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) according to Title 44 United States Code Section 3301, Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Chapter 12 Sub-chapter B Part 1228, and 36 CFR 1229.10.

Documents or information referred to other Government agencies were not included in this release.

Please refer to the enclosed FBI FOIPA Addendum for additional standard responses applicable to your request. “Part 1” of the Addendum includes standard responses that apply to all requests. “Part 2” includes additional standard responses that apply to all requests for records about yourself or any third party individuals. “Part 3” includes general information about FBI records that you may find useful. Also enclosed is our Explanation of Exemptions.

For questions regarding our determinations, visit the www.fbi.gov/foia website under “Contact Us.” The FOIPA Request Number listed above has been assigned to your request. Please use this number in all correspondence concerning your request.

If you are not satisfied with the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s determination in response to this request, you may administratively appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, 441 G Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20530, or you may submit an appeal through OIP’s FOIA STAR portal by creating an account following the instructions on OIP’s website: https://www.justice.gov/oip/submit-and-track-request-or-appeal. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days of the date of my response to your request. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked “Freedom of Information Act Appeal.” Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

You may seek dispute resolution services by contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS). The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769. Alternatively, you may contact the FBI’s FOIA Public Liaison by emailing foipaqquestions@fbi.gov. If you submit your dispute resolution correspondence by email, the subject heading should clearly state “Dispute Resolution Services.” Please also cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

Sincerely,

Michael G. Seidel
Section Chief
Record/Information Dissemination Section
Information Management Division

Enclosure(s)
As referenced in our letter responding to your Freedom of Information/Privacy Acts (FOIPA) request, the FBI FOIPA Addendum provides information applicable to your request. Part 1 of the Addendum includes standard responses that apply to all requests. Part 2 includes standard responses that apply to requests for records about individuals to the extent your request seeks the listed information. Part 3 includes general information about FBI records, searches, and programs.

Part 1: The standard responses below apply to all requests:

(i) **5 U.S.C. § 552(c).** Congress excluded three categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIPA [5 U.S.C. § 552(c)]. FBI responses are limited to those records subject to the requirements of the FOIPA. Additional information about the FBI and the FOIPA can be found on the www.fbi.gov/foia website.

(ii) **Intelligence Records.** To the extent your request seeks records of intelligence sources, methods, or activities, the FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of records pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(1), (b)(3), and as applicable to requests for records about individuals, PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(1), (b)(3), and (j)(2)]. The mere acknowledgment of the existence or nonexistence of such records is itself a classified fact protected by FOIA exemption (b)(1) and/or would reveal intelligence sources, methods, or activities protected by exemption (b)(3) [50 USC § 3024(i)(1)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that any such records do or do not exist.

Part 2: The standard responses below apply to all requests for records on individuals:

(i) **Requests for Records about any Individual—Watch Lists.** The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of any individual’s name on a watch list pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) and PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(7)(E), (j)(2)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that watch list records do or do not exist.

(ii) **Requests for Records about any Individual—Witness Security Program Records.** The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of records which could identify any participant in the Witness Security Program pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3) and PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(3), 18 U.S.C. 3521, and (j)(2)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that such records do or do not exist.

(iii) **Requests for Records for Incarcerated Individuals.** The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of records which could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any incarcerated individual pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(7)(E), (b)(7)(F), and PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(7)(E), (b)(7)(F), and (j)(2)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that such records do or do not exist.

Part 3: General Information:

(i) **Record Searches.** The Record/Information Dissemination Section (RIDS) searches for reasonably described records by searching systems or locations where responsive records would reasonably be found. A standard search normally consists of a search for main files in the Central Records System (CRS), an extensive system of records consisting of applicant, investigative, intelligence, personnel, administrative, and general files compiled by the FBI per its law enforcement, intelligence, and administrative functions. The CRS spans the entire FBI organization, comprising records of FBI Headquarters, FBI Field Offices, and FBI Legal Attaché Offices (Legats) worldwide; Electronic Surveillance (ELSUR) records are included in the CRS. Unless specifically requested, a standard search does not include references, administrative records of previous FOIPA requests, or civil litigation files. For additional information about our record searches, visit www.fbi.gov/services/information-management/foipa/requesting-fbi-records.

(ii) **FBI Records.** Founded in 1908, the FBI carries out a dual law enforcement and national security mission. As part of this dual mission, the FBI creates and maintains records on various subjects; however, the FBI does not maintain records on every person, subject, or entity.

(iii) **Requests for Criminal History Records or Rap Sheets.** The Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division provides identity history summary checks—often referred to as a criminal history record or rap sheet. These criminal history records are not the same as material in an investigative “FBI file.” An identity history summary check is a listing of information taken from fingerprint cards and documents submitted to the FBI in connection with arrests, federal employment, naturalization, or military service. For a fee, individuals can request a copy of their identity history summary check. Forms and directions can be accessed at www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/identity-history-summary-checks. Additionally, requests can be submitted electronically at www.edo.cjis.gov. For additional information, please contact CJIS directly at (304) 625-5590.

(iv) **National Name Check Program (NNCP).** The mission of NNCP is to analyze and report information in response to name check requests received from federal agencies, for the purpose of protecting the United States from foreign and domestic threats to national security. Please be advised that this is a service provided to other federal agencies. Private Citizens cannot request a name check.
EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

(b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;

(b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;

(b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;

(b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;

(b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;

(b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

(b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;

(b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or

(b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

(d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;

(j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;

(k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;

(k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;

(k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;

(k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;

(k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.
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URGENT 11-19-75
TO BALTIMORE
WASHINGTON FIELD
FROM DIRECTOR
UNSUB; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER,
WASHINGTON, D. C., AUGUST 4 - 5, 1975, POSSIBLE TGP.
UNSUB; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES Mc C. MATHIAS,
JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, NOVEMBER 7 - 9, 1975, POSSIBLE
TGP.

REBUTELCALs TO BALTIMORE AND WFO, NOVEMBER 18, 1975,
AND WFO RECALL TO BUREAU, NOVEMBER 19, 1975.
ON NOVEMBER 17, 1975, SENATORS HOWARD BAKER AND CHARLES
MATHIAS, JR., MET WITH THE DIRECTOR AND REQUESTED FBI
INVESTIGATION INTO THE BURGLARIES OF THEIR RESPECTIVE
RESIDENCES. BOTH FELT THE BURGLARIES MAY BE IN CONNECTION
WITH THEIR INVOLVEMENT WITH THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON
INTELLIGENCE. THEY ALLEGED THERE WERE SIMILARITIES IN THE
BURGLARIES, INDICATING TO THEM THAT THE SAME PERSON OR GROUP
MAY BE INVOLVED. SENATOR BAKER ALLEGED THAT HE HAS THREE
TELEPHONE LINES COMING INTO HIS HOUSE, WHEN ONLY TWO ARE
NEEDED. HE INDICATED THAT THE THIRD WIRE MAY BE PART OF
A WIRETAP. DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAROLD L. TYLER, JR.,
HAS AUTHORIZED THE BUREAU TO CONDUCT INVESTIGATION TO
DETERMINE IF THE BURGLARIES INVOLVE A FEDERAL VIOLATION.

THE DIRECTOR HAS INSTRUCTED THAT THIS MATTER SHOULD
BE GIVEN EXPEDITIOUS ATTENTION AND FURTHER, THE NECESSARY
LABORATORY PERSONNEL SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO EXAMINE THE
CRIME SCENE AND PARTICULARLY TO DETERMINE IF THERE IS A
WIRETAP VIOLATION INVOLVED. SENATOR BAKER REQUESTED
THAT LIAISON BE MAINTAINED THROUGH HOWARD LEIBENGOOD OF
THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE, AND SENATOR MATHIAS HAS REQUESTED
LIAISON BE MAINTAINED WITH BOB KELLY OF HIS STAFF.

BALTIMORE AND WFO ARE INSTRUCTED TO IMMEDIATELY CONDUCT
INVESTIGATION INTO THESE BURGLARIES TO IDENTIFY THE UNSUBS
AND TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT THE BURGLARIES HAVE ANY
CONNECTION WITH THE SENATORS' INVOLVEMENT ON THE SENATE
INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES. WFO WILL ESTABLISH LIAISON WITH
THE SENATORS' ABOVE-NAMED REPRESENTATIVES. THE FBI
LABORATORY HAS MADE CONTACT WITH THESE REPRESENTATIVES AND
ARRANGEMENTS ARE BEING MADE FOR LABORATORY EXAMINERS TO CHECK BOTH RESIDENCES.
THE BUREAU IS TO BE KEPT ADVISED OF ALL PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS AND ALL INVESTIGATION IS TO BE EXPEDITIOUSLY HANDLED.

END
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date Filed</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/30/76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/4/77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/4/77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2/4/77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2/4/77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2/4/77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2/4/77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2/4/77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Copy of photo's taken by Mont. County P.D. at
   Senator Mathias' Res. 11/10/75.
2. Copy of Western Union Telegram Res'd by
   Sen. Baker on 8/6/75.
3. Handwritten copy of
4. 1 Pr. Broken Eyeglasses' case Res'd from
   Det. MPD.
5. MCPO Report Re: Burglary of Res. of Sen. Mathias
6. 3 Misc. MCPO Reports possibly related to above burglary.
7. Handwritten list of burglaries occurring within
   approx. 1 mile radius of Sen. Mathias' residence.
   9. " " " of Sen. Baker's Res. 9/5/75
9. MCPO police report, PO-163, Re:
10. Handwritten list of burglaries within approx. 1 mile radius
11. "See red wallet"
MR 022 EA PLAII
1:37PM IMMEDIATE 11/11/75 RR
TC: DIRECTOR
WFO

FROM: BALTIMORE (62-?ab) ?
UNSUS; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES MC C
MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, NOVEMBER 7 - 9, 1975,
INFORMATION CONCERNING.

ON THE EVENING OF NOVEMBER 16, 1975, THE FOLLOWING
INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED TELEPHONICALLY FROM SENATOR
CHARLES MC C MATHIAS, JR., 3900 LELAND STREET, CHEVY
CHASE, MARYLAND, AND PERSONALLY FROM HIS

[Blank], AT THE CHEVY CHASE RESIDENCE:

THE MATHIAS FAMILY WAS AWAY FROM THEIR HOME FROM
7 P.M., NOVEMBER 7, 1975 UNTIL 8:30 P.M., NOVEMBER 9,
1975. UPON RETURN, IT WAS OBSERVED THAT A KITCHEN
WINDOW WAS PARTIALLY OPEN, ALSO THAT THE FRENCH DOORS
IN THE DINING ROOM WERE PARTIALLY OPEN. A CHECK OF
THE RESIDENCE WAS MADE BY MEMBERS OF THE MATHIAS FAMILY
NOVEMBER 9 - 10, 1975.

IT APPEARS THAT SOMEONE HAD BEEN IN THE RESIDENCE;
HOWEVER, THE ONLY ITEMS DETERMINED TO BE MISSING AS OF THE

[Signature]
Indic.: 91
Eitled:

[Date]
62-2655-1
RIGHT OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975 WERE TWO ONE HUNDRED SWISS FRANC NOTES. ONE NOTE WAS MISSING FROM A DRAWER IN THE SENATOR'S UPSTAIRS BEDROOM, WHILE THE OTHER NOTE WAS MISSING FROM A DRAWER IN THE UPSTAIRS BEDROOM OF HIS

NURCHOS ARTICLES THROUGHOUT THE RESIDENCE APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN HANDLED OR DISTURBED. THESE ARTICLES INCLUDED JEWELRY BOXES IN MRS. MATHIAS'S BEDROOM, ITEMS IN A SMALL LIVING ROOM DESK AND PLANTS. SEVERAL ARTICLES, OF OBVIOUS VALUE, SUCH AS JEWELRY AND WATCHES, ALTHOUGH DISTURBED, WERE NOT TAKEN. NO APPARENT EFFORT WAS MADE TO TAKE THE TELEVISION SETS AND OTHER VALUABLE HOUSEHOLD ARTICLES.

SENIOR MATHIAS ALSO ADVISED THERE HAD NOT BEEN ANY PAPERS OF VALUE OR OF A CLASSIFIED NATURE TAKEN AND THAT NO SUCH PAPERS ARE MAINTAINED AT THE RESIDENCE WHENEVER HE IS ABSENT FROM THE RESIDENCE.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF POLICE (MDP) NOTIFIED 9:45 P.M., NOVEMBER 10, 1975, BY FBI, SILVER
SPRING, MARYLAND, OF THE BURGLARY, RESPONDED TO MATHIAS RESIDENCE, CONDUCTED INTERVIEWS AND CRIME SCENE SEARCH. TWO APPARENT LATEX IMPRESSIONS OF VALUE DEVELOPED BY McDP FROM THE INTERIOR OF THE FRENCH DOORS IN THE DINING ROOM. NO APPARENT SIGN LOCATED OF FORCED ENTRY INTO RESIDENCE. NEITHER SENATOR MATHIAS OR McDP DEVELOPED ANY SUSPECTS.

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA: SENATOR MATHIAS NOT PRESENT AT RESIDENCE ON EVENING OF NOVEMBER 15, 1975 BUT DID CONTACT RESIDENCE TELEPHONECALLY WHILE AGENTS PRESENT. SENATOR MATHIAS SUGGESTED MODUS OPERANDI IN THIS MATTER MAY BE SIMILAR TO RECENT BREAK-IN AT HOME OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WHO LIKE SENATOR MATHIAS, IS A MEMBER OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE. HE SAID REASON FOR BREAK-IN OF BAKER RESIDENCE NOT KNOWN.

SENIOR MATHIAS EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION TO THE FBI AND TO McDP FOR RESPONDING TO HIS RESIDENCE, STATING IF ANY OTHER INFORMATION RELATING TO THE BURGLARY IS DEVELOPED, HE WILL IMMEDIATELY CONTACT POLICE AUTHORITIES.
INFORMATION COPY TO MPO IN VIEW OF SENATOR MATTHIAS'S
POSITION WITH THE UNITED STATES SENATE.
BALTIMORE WILL FOLLOW WITH MCPD.

END

AJN FBING CLR TU
TO: DIRECTOR

WFO

FROM: BALTIMORE (52-] 074)

UNSUB: BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES Mcc.

MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND: NOVEMBER 7, 1975;

POSSIBLE TGB; OO: BALTIMORE; UNSUB: BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE

OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WASHINGTON, D.C., AUGUST 4; 1975;

POSSIBLE TGB; OO: WFO

DETECTIVE [REDACTED], CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY UNIT

(CAP), MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE (MCDP),

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND ON NOVEMBER 20, 1975 ADVISED THE FOLLOW-

ING:

AS A RESULT OF NOTIFICATION RECEIVED FROM THE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND,

ON THE NIGHT OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975, HE AND OTHER OFFICERS

OF THE MCDP RESPONDED TO THE RESIDENCE OF SENATOR

CHARLES Mcc. MATHIAS, JR., 3808 LELAND STREET, CHEVY

CHASE, MARYLAND, WHERE A BURGLARY HAD REPORTEDLY OCCURRED

DURING THE PERIOD OF NOVEMBER 7-9, 1975. INITIALLY,

THE MCDP INTERVIEWED THE MATHIASes TWO SONS, WHO STATED

WJC: las

Approved: [REDACTED]

Special Agent C. Campbell

[Signature]

52-11074-3
PAGE TWO  BA 52-]074

THE RESIDENCE WAS VACATE FROM 7:00 P.M., NOVEMBER 7, 1975 THROUGH 8:30 P.M., NOVEMBER 9, 1975.

MRS. MATHIAS AND THE TWO SONS, UPON RETURN TO THE RESIDENCE, OBSERVED THAT FRENCH DOORS IN THE DINING ROOM AND A KITCHEN WINDOW WERE PARTIALLY OPENED. PLANTS, WHICH WERE BELIEVED TO HAVE BEEN ON THE KITCHEN WINDOW SHELF ON NOVEMBER 7, 1975, WERE OBSERVED TO BE ON THE KITCHEN COUNTER IMMEDIATELY BELOW THE WINDOW. THE MATHIASes THOUGHT THIS WAS UNUSUAL, BUT DID NOT BELIEVE ANYTHING TO HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THE RESIDENCE. A REPORT WAS NOT MADE TO THE POLICE AT THIS TIME.

SENIOR MATHIAS DID NOT RETURN TO THE RESIDENCE UNTIL P.M. NOVEMBER 9, 1975. UPON BEING APPRISED BY HIS FAMILY OF THEIR OBSERVATIONS OF THE NIGHT OF NOVEMBER 9, 1975, HE THEN OBSERVED THAT VARIOUS ARTICLES IN HIS BEDROOM APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN HANDLED OR DISTURBED.

IT WAS AFTER THIS THAT A REPORT WAS MADE TO THE AUTHORITIES CONCERNING THE APPARENT ENTRY.
PAGE THREE

BA 52-1]074

SUBSEQUENTLY, INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED FROM THE MATHIAS FAMILY THAT THE ONLY ITEMS WHICH APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN TAKEN WERE 2 100 SWISS FRANC NOTES, ONE OF THESE NOTES BEING MISSING FROM A DRAW IN THE SENATOR MATHIASes UPSTAIRS BEDROOM WHILE THE OTHER NOTE WAS MISSING FROM A DRAW IN THE UPSTAIRS BEDROOM OF HIS

[ ]


Approved: ____________________ Sent ____________________ M Per ____________________

Special Agent in Charge
OF TIME BETWEEN THE DISCOVERY AND THE NOTIFICATION TO MCDP.

NEIGHBORS CONTACTED DID NOT OBSERVE ANY UNUSUAL ACTIVITIES AT THE MATHIASes RESIDENCE NOVEMBER 7–9, 1975.

DETECTIVE [ ] SAID THERE IS NO PHYSICAL EVIDENCE TO SHOW HOW ENTRY WAS ACTUALLY MADE INTO THE RESIDENCE. HE SAID IT WAS POSSIBLE THAT THE KITCHEN WINDOW HAD BEEN LEFT UNLOCKED, WHEN THE MATHIAS FAMILY LEFT FOR THE WEEKEND, AND THIS COULD POSSIBLY ACCOUNT FOR THE LACK OF ANY SIGN OF FORCED ENTRY AT THE WINDOW.

DETECTIVE [ ] SAID THE MCDP DOES NOT HAVE ANY SUSPECTS IN THE MATHIAS BURGLARY AND CANNOT ASSOCIATE IT, THROUGH PHYSICAL EVIDENCE OR MODUS OPERANDI, WITH THE BURGLARY OF THE RESIDENCE OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WASHINGTON, D.C. DETECTIVE [ ] HAS BEEN IN CONTACT WITH METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, WASHINGTON, D.C., DETECTIVE HANDLING THE BAKER CASE AND HAS THOROUGHLY

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
PAGE FIVE BA 52-[J]074

REVIEWED BOTH BURGLARIES. HE SAID, ON THE BASIS OF INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED TO DATE, THERE IS NO REASON TO BELIEVE THE MATHIAS BURGLARY IS ANYTHING OTHER THAN A LOCAL BURGLARY.

THERE HAVE BEEN ABOUT 15-20 OTHER BURGLARIES IN THE AREA OF THE MATHIAS RESIDENCE, WITHIN THE PAST 2 MONTHS, ACCORDING TO DETECTIVE [REDACTED]. IN SOME CASES, DOORS HAVE BEEN KICKED OR FORCED OPENED, WHILE IN AT LEAST 2 OCCASIONS, ENTRY HAS BEEN MADE THROUGH UNLOCKED WINDOWS. THE VALUE OF PROPERTY, OR CASH, TAKEN IN THESE BURGLARIES RANGES FROM NOTHING IN 3 CASES TO JEWELRY AND FURS IN 2 CASES. IN ONE CASE, 85 CENTS WAS TAKEN. RANSACKINGS HAVE ALSO OCCURRED DURING SOME OF THESE BURGLARIES.

THERE HAVE BEEN AT LEAST 2 OCCASIONS WHERE A BURGLAR WAS SCARED OFF EITHER BY AN ALARM OR THE PRESENCE OF THE HOMEOWNER IN THE HOUSE.

DETECTIVE [REDACTED] SAID HE SPOKE WITH SENATOR MATHIAS ON NOVEMBER 19, 1975 RELATIVE TO THE LARGE
CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END

CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END

CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END

CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END

CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END

CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END

CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END

CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END

CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY.

DETECTIVE SIGNED THE INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING.

NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

BA 52-J1074

THE END
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
SAC, BALTIMORE (52-11074)
FROM: SAC, WFO (52-16554)

UNSUBS; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WASHINGTON, D.C., AUGUST 4-5, 1975, POSSIBLE TGP, (OO:WFO)

UNSUB: BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, NOVEMBER 7-9, 1975, POSSIBLE TGP, (OO: BALTIMORE)

RE: WFO TELETYPE TO BUREAU, AUGUST 5, 1975, (NO CC BALTIMORE); BUREAU TELETYPE TO WFO AND BALTIMORE, NOVEMBER 19, 1975; WFO TELEPHONE CALLS TO BUREAU, NOVEMBER 19-20, 1975; AND BALTIMORE TELETYPE TO BUREAU AND WFO, NOVEMBER 20, 1975.

WFO INVESTIGATION IN THE MATTER OF THE BURGLARY OF SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE WAS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED TO THE BUREAU BY REFERENCED WFO TELETYPE DATED AUGUST 5, 1975.

ON AUGUST 13, 1975, IN A DISCUSSION BETWEEN ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA) DONALD E. CAMPBELL, DEPUTY CHIEF, MAJOR CRIMES DIVISION, WDC, AND THE SAC, WFO,
AUSA CAMPBELL EXPRESSED THE DESIRE THAT THE FBI REOPEN THE INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE BURGLARY OF SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE ON THE POSSIBILITY THAT CLASSIFIED DOCUMENTS WERE THE ITEMS BEING SOUGHT. ACCORDINGLY, IT WAS AGREED THAT WFO WOULD MAINTAIN A CLOSE LIAISON WITH THE METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT (MPD), WDC, IN ORDER TO MONITOR AND ASSIST IN WHATEVER MANNER POSSIBLE THE PROGRESS OF THE MPD INVESTIGATION. LIAISON WAS IMMEDIATELY ESTABLISHED AND HAS BEEN MAINTAINED ON A FREQUENT BASIS THROUGH THE PRESENT TIME WITH MPD DETECTIVE [REDACTED].

EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS FURNISHED ON A LIAISON BASIS BY THE MPD, WDC, TO WFO AND SUPPLEMENTED THAT INFORMATION ALREADY KNOWN TO THE BUREAU.

ON A DATE SUBSEQUENT TO THE BURGLARY (EXACT DATE UNKNOWN) AN INDIVIDUAL DELIVERED TO SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE A ONE-PAGE HANDWRITTEN LETTER ADDRESSED TO "SENDER ROBERT BAKER". ATTACHED TO IT WAS A 26-PAGE TYPEWRITTEN "MANUSCRIPT" DESCRIBING THIS PERSON'S PLANS RELATED TO HIS ARREST AND INCARCERATION FOR A DRUG OFFENSE. THE LETTER
A COPY OF THESE ITEMS IS BEING MAINTAINED IN THE WFO CASE FILE.

ALTHOUGH (Name) WAS NOT AND STILL IS NOT CONSIDERED A SUSPECT IN CAPTIONED MATTER BY EITHER THE MPD OR WFO, EFFORTS TO LOCATE HIM HAVE MET WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS. THE MPD IS PROCEEDING WITH ATTEMPTS TO TOTALLY ELIMINATE AS EVEN A POSSIBLE SUSPECT BY COMPARING HIS KNOWN FINGERPRINTS (A PRIOR ARREST RECORD FOR (Name) EXECUTED IN WDC) WITH LATENT PRINTS DEVELOPED AT THE CRIME SCENE WITH BOTH SENATOR BAKER'S AND MATHIAS' RESIDENCES.

REVIEW OF THE REFERENCED BALTIMORE REGARDING THE BURGLARY OF SENATOR MATHIAS' RESIDENCE INDICATES THAT SIMILARITIES BETWEEN THE TWO MATTERS ARE NEGLIGIBLE.

IT SHOULD ALSO BE NOTED THAT THE BAKER FAMILY REPORTED AN ATTEMPTED BURGLARY (A BROKEN WINDOW) ON APRIL 27, 1975. ACCORDING TO THE MPD, OTHER COMPLAINTS HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE BAKER FAMILY REGARDING PROWLERs, A BOMB.
THREAT, AND PERSONAL INJURY CALLS.

A REVIEW OF THE MPD DETAIL OFFENSE REPORT FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1975, THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 1975, FOR DISTRICT TWO, BEAT 069, CARNEY BLOCK 239, REFLECTS THE FOLLOWING: IT IS NOTED THAT SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE IS WITHIN THIS AREA AND THE NOTED AREA IS COMPRISED OF ROUGHLY AN EIGHT BLOCK RADIUS FROM THE SENATOR'S HOME. OMIT THE TWO INCIDENTS IN 1975 REGARDING SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE, THE PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED AREA HAS HAD ELEVEN BURGLARIES IN WHICH NOTHING WAS TAKEN ON FIVE OCCASIONS. ENTRY DURING THESE BURGLARIES WAS VARIOED AND NO PARTICULAR PATTERNS OF MODUS OPERANDI HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. WFO HAS ESTABLISHED LIAISON WITH BOB KELLEY OF SENATOR MATHIAS' STAFF BUT HAS BEEN INFORMED BY KELLEY THAT HOWARD LEIBENGUARD OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE, IS OUT OF TOWN AND WILL NOT RETURN UNTIL NEXT WEEK. KELLEY SUGGESTED THAT CONTACT BE ESTABLISHED WITH MIKE NATTIGAN ALSO OF THAT COMMITTEE, WHO WORKS CLOSELY WITH LEIBENGUARD. THIS LIAISON HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED AND WILL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL CAPTIONED MATTER IS RESOLVED.

END.
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FOR FOUR TELS
AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (52-16554) (P)

UNSUB:
Burglary of Residence of
SENATOR HOWARD BAKER,
Washington, D.C.,
August 4 - 5, 1975
POSSIBLE TIP
(OC: WFO)

UNSUB:
Burglary of Residence of
SENATOR CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS,
Chevy Chase, Maryland,
November 7 - 9, 1975
POSSIBLE TIP
(OC: BA)

Enclosed for the Bureau are one pair of wire-rimmed
glasses with one broken lens, and one leather eyeglass case.
Enclosed for Alexandria and Birmingham are one xerox copy
each of the following communications: Teletype from Bureau
to Baltimore and WFO, 11/19/75; Baltimore teletype to Bureau
dated 11/20/75; and WFO nitel to Bureau, dated 11/21/75.
Also enclosed for Birmingham is one xerox copy of a Mailgram
dated 8/6/75 addressed to SENATOR BAKER.

WFO nitel to Bureau 11/21/75.

For the information of Alexandria and Birmingham,
Senator BAKER's residence was burglarized August 4 or 5, 1975.
Nothing of value was taken in this burglary.

2 - Bureau (HAND CARRY)
2 - Alexandria (Enc. 3)
2 - Baltimore (Info) (52-11074)
2 - Birmingham (Enc. 3)
1 - WFO
RST: IAM
(9)
Senator MATHIAS' residence was burglarized sometime between November 7 and 9, 1975. The only items which appeared to be taken were two Swiss 100 francs.

Alexandria and Birmingham will refer to enclosed telegrams for background in this matter.

On 11/24/75, [ ] and HOWARD LEIBENGOOD, Staff members for Senator BAKER, were interviewed by WPO. They advised that Senator BAKER discovered a pair of glasses in his residence after the burglary. BAKER was unable to determine the owner of these glasses, and subsequently turned them over to Detective [ ], Burglary Squad, Metropolitan Police Department (MPD), Washington, D.C. (WDC).

At this point, it was stated that [ ] has a room on the third floor of the Senator's home, which was also ransacked. Approximately one week to ten days ago, [ ] advised a woman from Senator BAKER's Knoxville Office, who was visiting the Senator's wife, that although most people believe that nothing was taken during the burglary, she, in fact, was missing two cassette tapes and a microphone to a recorder. The contents of these tapes were that of a birthday party, apparently attended by [ ] and her boy friend [ ], and the recording of a 'meet the press' interview of Senator of HARRY BIERD.

It was further noted that on approximately July 18, 1975 or July 19, 1975, Senator BAKER's office received an anonymous telephone call advising his staff that the caller was aware of [ ] and her boy friend [ ]. This anonymous caller made the allegation that [ ] is, in some way, connected with [ ] and was formerly involved in [ ].

It was noted that [ ] broke off all relationships with [ ] for no apparent reason, on approximately November 17, 1975 or November 18, 1975. To date, she refuses to discuss with anyone the reasons and further refuses to speak with [ ].

LEIBENGOOD made available a copy of a Western Union Mailgram dated August 6, 1975 and apparently sent from Birmingham, Alabama. Stamped on the face of this Mailgram is the date August 7, 1975 which, according to LEIBENGOOD, indicates the date received in the Senator's office. The Mailgram is addressed to "Honorable HOWARD H. BAKER, U.S. Senator, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C. 20310" and reads as follows:
"Caution set up possible toothpaste squeeze repaired document in and cut safe."

LEIBENGODD’s only explanation was a possible reference to JOHN DEAN when he made reference to JOHN EHRlichMAN’s statement during the Watergate hearings to the effect that once the toothpaste is out of the tube you can’t put it back in. He also stated that the Senator’s office had received numerous other items of “crank” mail which he considered of no significant value.

Neither ______ nor LEIBENGODD could provide any additional pertinent information regarding the matter of Senator BAKER’s burglary.

On 11/25/75, Detective ______ made available the enclosed eyeglasses and brown case to SA ______, WFO.

_______ advised the right lens of the glasses had been broken when the glasses were accidently caught in the door of an MPD Cruiser.

A copy of instant communication is being furnished to the Baltimore Division for their information.

REQUEST TO THE FBI LABORATORY

The FBI Laboratory is requested to determine the prescription of the eyeglasses and determine any other identifying data concerning the glasses or eyeglass case.

LEADS

ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

BIRMINGHAM DIVISION

AT BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA. Contact Western Union and determine identity of the individual who sent the enclosed Mailgram.
WASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D.C. WILL INTERVIEW
TO: SAC, WFO (52-16554)
FROM: SAC, BIRMINGHAM (52-4439)(RUC)

UNSUB:
BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF
SENATOR HOWARD BAKER,
WASHINGTON, D. C.,
AUGUST 4-5, 1975
POSSIBLE TGP
CC: WFO

UNSUB:
BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF
SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS
CHEVY CAHSE, MARYLAND,
NOVEMBER 7-9, 1975
POSSIBLE TGP
CC: BALTIMORE

Re WFO airtel to Bureau dated 11/26/75.

On 12/2/75, [Signature], Supervisor,
Western Union, 2119 5th Avenue, North, Birmingham,
Alabama, advised SAC after reviewing
a copy of a Western Union Mailgram addressed to
"Honorable HOWARD H. BAKER, U. S. Senator, U. S.
Senate, Washington, D. C." that this communication
was called in on the Western Union toll free number
1-800-325-5300 which is answered in Bridgeton,
Missouri. The message was charged to telephone number

Birmingha, Alabama. A review of the

2 - WFO
22 - Baltimore
1 - Birmingham
JFS: bl
(5)
current City Directory for Birmingham reflects telephone number [redacted] is listed to [redacted].

Birmingham indices reflect [redacted] is [redacted].

On 7/23/75, [redacted] contacted the Birmingham Office and advised he was disable and could not come to the FBI Office and requested that an Agent contact him at his residence, [redacted] to discuss a matter of "interstate fraud" involving state officials. [redacted] was interviewed at his residence on 8/5/75, and he predicated the interview by declaring he was a "Russian Watcher" and complained about the grain deal that had been recently consummated between the U. S. and Russia. He went on to relate that all politicians were crooked, dividing law enforcement against the people and were bring the country down on their knees. He admitted being under the care of a doctor for his mental problems. He had no information concerning Federal violations and discussed corresponding with numerous politicians in Washington.

In view of the above [redacted] is not being interviewed regarding sending a mailgram to Senator BAKER unless office of origin deems this pertinent.
AIRTEL

TO: 
DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, BALTIMORE (52-11074) P

SUBJ: UNSUB; Burglary of Residence of Senator CHARLES H. C. NATHIAS, Chevy Chase, Maryland, 11/7-9/75
POSSIBLE TCP
CO: Baltimore

SUBJ: UNSUB; Burglary of Residence of Senator HOWARD BAXTER, Washington, D.C., 8/1-5/75
POSSIBLE TCP
CO: TIO

redtype to Bureau, 11/20/75; TIO airtel to Bureau, 11/26/75.

As of 12/8/75 Detective , Crimes Against Property Unit, Montgomery County Department of Police (MCOP), Rockville, Maryland, advised the MCOP has not identified the individual(s) responsible for the NATHIAS burglary.

Detective said he would immediately notify the FBI of any positive developments in this matter.

Baltimore will immediately notify the Bureau of any such information received from Detective .

2 - Bureau
2. - TIO (52-16654)
(2) - Baltimore
(1) - det
(6)

[Signature]
Campbell

[Handwritten notes: 52-11074-10, Search, Stale, Searched, Signed, Filed, Fix]
SUB: BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF
SENATOR CHARLES MC G. MATHIAS,
CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND 11/7-9/75
POSSIBLE TCP
CO: Baltimore

To: Baltimore airtel to Bureau 12/29/75.

On 2/4/76, Detective [redacted], Crimes Against Property Unit, Montgomery County Department of Police, (MCOP), Rockville, Maryland advised the MCOP has not made any arrests in this matter.

Detective [redacted] said he has been in contact with SENATOR MATHIAS and discussed the MCOP investigation with him.

Detective [redacted] said he feels that juveniles are responsible for the burglary but that he has not developed sufficient evidence to specifically charge anyone. Also that local juvenile laws preclude him far from identifying any specific juvenile. He has also discussed this facet of the case with the Senator, who according to [redacted], feels satisfied with the investigation efforts.

2 - Bureau
1 - Washington Field Office (52-16554)
1 - Baltimore

Campbell 1/7

52-11074-11
TO: DIRECTOR

WFO

FROM: BALTIMORE (62-NEW) P

UNSUB; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES MC C MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, NOVEMBER 7 - 9, 1975, INFORMATION CONCERNING.

ON THE EVENING OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED TELEPHONICALLY FROM SENATOR CHARLES MC C MATHIAS, JR., 3808 LEELAND STREET, CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, AND PERSONALLY FROM HIS SON, , AT THE CHEVY CHASE RESIDENCE:


IT APPEARS THAT SOMEONE HAD BEEN IN THE RESIDENCE; HOWEVER, THE ONLY ITEMS DETERMINED TO BE MISSING AS OF THE
PAGE TWO  BA 62-NEW

NIGHT OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975 WERE TWO ONE HUNDRED SWISS FRANC NOTES. ONE NOTE WAS MISSING FROM A DRAWER IN THE SENATOR'S UPSTAIRS BEDROOM, WHILE THE OTHER NOTE WAS MISSING FROM A DRAWER IN THE UPSTAIRS BEDROOM OF HIS [REDACTED].

NUMEROUS ARTICLES THROUGHOUT THE RESIDENCE APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN HANDLED OR DISTURBED. THESE ARTICLES INCLUDED JEWELRY BOXES IN MRS. MATHIAS'S BEDROOM, ITEMS IN A SMALL LIVING ROOM DESK AND PLANTS. SEVERAL ARTICLES, OF OBVIOUS VALUE, SUCH AS JEWELRY AND WATCHES,ALTHOUGH DISTURBED, WERE NOT TAKEN. NO APPARENT EFFORT WAS MADE TO TAKE THE TELEVISION SETS AND OTHER VALUABLE HOUSEHOLD ARTICLES.

SENATOR MATHIAS ALSO ADVISED THERE HAD NOT BEEN ANY PAPERS OF VALUE OR OF A CLASSIFIED NATURE TAKEN AND THAT NO SUCH PAPERS ARE MAINTAINED AT THE RESIDENCE WHENEVER HE IS ABSENT FROM THE RESIDENCE.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF POLICE (MCDP) NOTIFIED 9:45 P.M., NOVEMBER 10, 1975, BY FBI, SILVER
PAGE THREE BA 62-NEW

SPRING, MARYLAND, OF THE BURGLARY, RESPONDED TO MATHIAS RESIDENCE, CONDUCTED INTERVIEWS AND CRIME SCENE SEARCH. TWO APPARENT LATENT IMPRESSIONS OF VALUE DEVELOPED BY MCDP FROM THE INTERIOR OF THE FRENCH DOORS IN THE DINING ROOM. NO APPARENT SIGN LOCATED OF FORCED ENTRY INTO RESIDENCE. NEITHER SENATOR MATHIAS OR MCDP DEVELOPED ANY SUSPECTS.

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA: SENATOR MATHIAS NOT PRESENT AT RESIDENCE ON EVENING OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975 BUT DID CONTACT RESIDENCE TELEPHONICALLY WHILE AGENTS PRESENT.

SENATOR MATHIAS SUGGESTED MODUS OPERANDI IN THIS MATTER MAY BE SIMILAR TO RECENT BREAK-IN AT HOME OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WHO LIKE SENATOR MATHIAS, IS A MEMBER OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE. HE SAID REASON FOR BREAK-IN OF BAKER RESIDENCE NOT KNOWN.

SENATOR MATHIAS EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION TO THE FBI AND TO MCDP FOR RESPONDING TO HIS RESIDENCE, STATING IF ANY OTHER INFORMATION RELATING TO THE BURGLARY IS DEVELOPED, HE WILL IMMEDIATELY CONTACT POLICE AUTHORITIES.
TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING IN

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via

(Precedence)
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INFORMATION COPY TO WFO IN VIEW OF SENATOR MATHIAS'S

POSITION WITH THE UNITED STATES SENATE.

END 8 a.m. follow with MCPD.
UNIVERSITY OF ANTHROPOLOGY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535
February 23, 1976

UNKNOWN SUBJECT;
ALSO KNOWN AS
BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF
SENIOR HOWARD H. BAKER
WASHINGTON, D.C.
AUGUST 4 - 5, 1975
POSSIBLE THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

UNKNOWN SUBJECT;
ALSO KNOWN AS
BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF
SENIOR CHARLES COCKETT MATTHIAS, JR.
CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND
NOVEMBER 7 - 9, 1975
POSSIBLE THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

The Washington, D.C. (WDC) residence of United States Senator Howard H. Baker, 3224 Woodland Drive, N.W., WDC, was burglarized on August 4 - 5, 1975 by an unknown person(s). Entry to Senator Baker's residence was gained through the removal of a single pane of glass in the french doors located on the lower level in the rear of the structure.

Members of the Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) WDC, and the Washington Field Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) conducted preliminary investigation at Senator Baker's home. The unknown subjects were apparently in every room of the house and did varying degrees of damage to each room. The most extensive damage was noted in the master bedroom in which drawers were emptied and the entire room was strewn with papers and clothing. Although jewelry, coins, silver, cameras, and stereo equipment were in plain view, apparently none of these items were taken. Also noted was a small safe in the family room on the lower level which had been overturned and pried open through the bottom.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
The crime scene was processed by the MPD, WDC, Mobile Crime Laboratory and several latent prints were recovered from various locations of the house.

Subsequent discussion with the United States Attorney's Office, WDC, reflected that although no Federal Violation was apparent in this matter, it was desired that the FBI maintain close contact with the MPD, WDC, in their investigation. This request was based upon the possibility that classified documents were being sought by the burglars. Liaison has been maintained with the MPD since that time.

On November 10, 1975, Senator Charles McC Mathias reported to the Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD), Maryland, and the Silver Spring Resident Agency, FBI, that his home in Chevy Chase, Maryland had been burglarized between November 7 - 9, 1975. The investigation at Senator Mathias' home by the MCPD disclosed that Mrs. Mathias and their two sons returned to their home at approximately 8:30 p.m., November 9, 1975. It was observed that the french doors in the dining room and a kitchen window were partially open. Also noted were several plants which had been moved from their usual location. Upon Senator Mathias' return on the evening of November 10, 1975, after being apprised by his family of their observations the previous night, he noted that several articles in his bedroom appeared to have been handled or disturbed. The only items apparently taken were two $100 Swiss franc notes, one missing from a drawer in Senator Mathias' bedroom, while the other was missing from a drawer in one of his son's bedroom. No sign of a forced entry was noted.

As a result of liaison between the FBI, MPD, and MCPD, the following was determined:

Approximately 15 to 20 burglaries have occurred in the area of the Mathias residence within a two month period immediately preceding the burglary of Senator Mathias' home. In some cases doors have been kicked or forced open, while on at least two occasions, entry has been made through unlocked windows. The value of property or cash taken in these burglaries ranges from nothing in three burglaries, 85¢ in one case, and jewelry and furs in two instances. Ransacking has also occurred during several of these burglaries.
A review of the MPD detail offense report for the period January 1, 1975 - October 31, 1975 for the area immediately surrounding Senator Baker's residence disclosed that 11 other burglaries occurred in which nothing was taken on five occasions. Entry during these burglaries was varied and no particular pattern of modus operandi was established.

On November 24, 1975 and Howard Leibengood, Staff Members for Senator Baker, were interviewed regarding additional information they had which they felt may be pertinent to the investigation. The results of this interview are attached hereto.

Regarding the tinted sunglasses, examination by the FBI Laboratory disclosed that they were non-prescription glasses and, in view of this, no effort to trace the manufacturer has been instituted.

Regarding the cut telephone lines entering Senator Baker's residence, the FBI Laboratory conducted an "electronic sweep" of both Senator Baker's and Senator Mathias' residence and found nothing to indicate the existence of any telephone tap or "bug."

Regarding the statement concerning , both individuals have been interviewed, the results of which are attached hereto. It is noted that in the interview of both and has stated that he was employed by for several years during the early 1950's.

Regarding the Western Union mailgram, investigation has disclosed that the message was charged to the telephone number of . was interviewed at his residence on August 5, 1975 by FBI Special Agents at his request regarding another matter. During the interview it was determined that he was under the care of a doctor for mental problems and stated he had corresponded with numerous politicians in WDC.
Investigation has failed to indicate any connection between the burglary of Senator Baker's home and the burglary of Senator Mathias's home.

Subsequent investigation by the MCPD has revealed several young persons in their early teens as prime suspects in that burglary. However, sufficient evidence has not been developed to specifically charge anyone.
and HOWARD LEIBENGOOD, staff members for Senator HOWARD BAKER, were interviewed in Senator BAKER's office, Dirksen Building, Washington, D. C. At this time, and LEIBENGOOD furnished the following information which they felt would be pertinent to the FBI investigation in the burglary of Senator BAKER's residence.

Subsequent to his return to the Washington, D. C. area, Senator BAKER discovered a pair of glasses which appeared to be gray-tinted sunglasses, in his residence. After appropriate inquiries by Senator BAKER, he was unable to determine the owner of these glasses and surmised that the possibility existed that the individual who owns these glasses was actually responsible for the burglary of his residence. These glasses were given to Detective, Burglary Squad, Metropolitan Police Department (MPD), Washington, D. C., on approximately August 26, 1975. Subsequent inquiry with by LEIBENGOOD has revealed that the MPD has either been unable to or has not attempted to determine the owner of these glasses.

It was further pointed out that a, who resides on in the vicinity of Senator BAKER's residence, had her home burglarized on the same date that Senator BAKER's home was broken into. Neither nor LEIBENGOOD had the exact street address of available at this time, however, noted that Detective had been apprized of this information.

Upon the Senator's return to the Washington, D. C. area, immediately following the burglary, he observed that a certain old camera he kept in his darkroom, had the lens removed from it. Senator BAKER was certain that the lens was properly in place when he last saw it.

Several weeks after the burglary, who is known to the BAKER staff, viewed the safe which had been broken into. It was this individual's impression that the burglar(s) had a certain amount of knowledge about safes.
in that they attacked it from the weakest point. He noted, however, that they went to great extremes in chopping a hole through the safe's insulation, observing that this was not the mark of a "professional." This individual further noted that three telephone wires entered the Senator's home, while it was apparently necessary for only two wires, in view of the Senator's telephone service.

At this point, it was stated that __________ has a room on the third floor of the Senator's home and her room was also ransacked. Approximately one week to ten days ago, __________ advised a woman from Senator BAKER's Knoxville Office, who was visiting the Senator's wife, that although most people believe that nothing was taken during the burglary, she, in fact, was missing two cassette tapes and a microphone to a recorder. The contents of these tapes were that of a birthday party, apparently attended by __________ and her boy friend, __________, and the recording of a "Meet the Press" interview of Senator HARRY BYRD.

It was further noted that on approximately July 18 or 19, 1975, Senator BAKER's office received an anonymous telephone call advising his staff that the caller was aware of __________ and her boy friend, __________. This anonymous caller made the allegation that __________ is, in some way, connected with __________ and was formerly involved in __________.

It was noted that __________ broke off all relationships with __________ for no apparent reason, on approximately November 17 or 18, 1975. To date, she refuses to discuss with anyone the reasons and further refuses to speak with __________.

LEIBENGOOD made available a copy of a Western Union Mailgram dated August 6, 1975 and apparently sent from Birmingham, Alabama. Stamped on the face of this Mailgram is the date August 7, 1975 which, according to LEIBENGOOD, indicates the date received in the Senator's office. The Mailgram is addressed to "Honorable HOWARD H. BAKER, U. S. Senator, U. S. Senate, Washington, D. C. 20310" and reads as follows:

"Caution set up possible toothpaste squeeze repaired document in and out safe."
LEIBENGOOD's only explanation was a possible reference to JOHN DEAN when he made reference to JOHN EHRLICHMAN's statement during the Watergate hearings to the effect that once the toothpaste is out of the tube, you can't put it back in. He also stated that the Senator's office had received numerous other items of "crank" mail which he considered of no significant value.

Neither nor LEIBENGOOD could provide any additional pertinent information regarding the matter of Senator BAKER's burglary.
for Senator HOWARD H. BAKER, 3224 Woodland Drive, N.W., Washington, D.C. (WDC), was interviewed at Senator BAKER's residence, in the presence of [ ], Staff Member for Senator BAKER. After being advised of the identities of the interviewing agents and the nature of the inquiry, [ ] provided the following information:

She advised that at the time Senator BAKER's home was burglarized, she was in Tennessee with the Senator's family. She stated she had accompanied Mrs. BAKER to Tennessee in June, 1975, where Mrs. BAKER and the family were to spend the summer months. She advised that one or two days after learning of the burglary, she returned to Washington by way of bus.

Subsequent to her return to the Senator's WDC residence, and while straightening up her room which had also been ransacked, she discovered that her microphone to a cassette tape recorder and two cassette tapes were missing. She advised that these items had been kept by her in a drawer in her bedroom and had been wrapped in some undergarments.

[ ] stated that the contents of these tapes were personal tape recordings and had no significance to anyone else. She stated that one of the tapes contained a recording of a "Meet the Press" interview of Senator BYRD and some other type of radio program while the other tape was a recording she had made with a friend and her friend's family talking. Also on one of the tapes was a recorded telephone conversation she had with another female friend.

[ ] advised that she last saw these tapes and the microphone in June, 1975, prior to travelling to Tennessee with the BAKER family.

[ ] advised that when she returned to WDC on what she believes to be the day after the burglary, very late at night, she was met at the bus station by her then boy friend, [ ]. She advised that she was somewhat surprised to see [ ] at the bus station and was told by him that he had learned of the break-in of Senator BAKER.

Interviewed on 12/10/75 at Washington, D.C. by SAs JDS:pja Date dictated 12/11/75

File #: WFO 52-16554

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
BAKER's residence on the radio and had learned she was returning to WDC by way of the Senator's office. She stated that he told her that he had been in New York City at the wedding of a friend's daughter. [ ] identified this friend as [ ] and noted that she too had received an invitation to this wedding but was unable to attend. She advised that [ ] further told her that he had left New York City at approximately midnight on the previous Sunday and had gotten into WDC at approximately 4:00 a.m. on Monday morning. He also related to her that he had received a traffic violation while travelling through Maryland.

When questioned further about the tapes and recorder, she stated that she had bought the tape recorder through United Airlines approximately two years ago. She advised that she uses the tape recorder very infrequently and the tapes she is missing were the only ones she had at this time and these were made in approximately January, 1974. She advised that some type of markings were on these tapes, however, she does not recall how they were marked.

[ ] advised that [ ] resides at [ ]. She stated he [ ].

[ ] advised that several weeks ago she and [ ] had a "falling out" and indicated she considered this to be a personal matter which she did not care to discuss.

She advised [ ] is [ ] and stated his birthday is on [ ], year unknown, but added he is approximately [ ] years old.

[ ] advised she has known [ ] for approximately three years and stated she originally met him while she was waiting for a bus or taxi. She stated it was a very cold evening and [ ] offered her a ride to her residence which she gratefully accepted, although she normally does not do this.

She advised that on one occasion [ ] had told her that both he and his ex-wife worked for [ ].
many years ago. Advised that she had the impression that at that time's position with was in a clerical capacity. She further stated that speaks several foreign languages, including, she believes, 

She advised that she believes is a .

She also stated that he has told her that in the past he 

She advised that drives a . She advised this car is a 2-door and has WDC license tags.

She stated that to the best of her recollection, she and discussed the burglary of Senator BAKER's home only on one occasion and that was shortly after her return to the WDC area. She advised that when she told about her missing tapes he said 

She advised that it was's opinion that was responsible for the break-in of the Senator's home and felt that they were looking for some type of an unspecified document.

She advised that on several occasions had made the comment that the Senator should be more careful with his camera equipment. She stated that was interested in photography also and had, on one prior occasion, been the victim of a burglary or theft in which his cameras were stolen.

At one point during the interview, made the comment that she felt sometimes that something may be wrong with . When asked to explain, she replied that he was so persistent and even though she refused to see him or speak with him, he kept coming by the residence of Senator BAKER.
telephone number______, was contacted at his residence. He ___ was advised of the identity of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the inquiry. He then provided the following information regarding his knowledge and whereabouts on the date of a burglary of Senator HOWARD H. BAKER's residence.

___'s first comment was to the effect that the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and police probably found his fingerprints in Senator BAKER's house because [______] is his girlfriend and he (______) has been through most of the house on one occasion or another.

[_____] advised that he first learned of the burglary on a news broadcast and noted that he had been to New York the previous weekend to attend the wedding of a friend's daughter. [_____] advised that his friend is [______], telephone [______], advised that the wedding he attended was on a Sunday, August 3, 1975, and he remained in New York until Monday evening, when he departed at approximately 8:00 p.m. after having dinner with the _____ family. The date of his departure was August 4, 1975, and he advised he did not arrive in Washington, D.C., until 5:00 or 6:00 a.m., Tuesday morning, August 5, 1975. [_____] advised that he traveled from New York to Washington, D.C., on U.S. Route 1 in order to avoid paying the tolls on the turnpike and interstate highways.

[_____] recalled getting lost in the vicinity of Baltimore, Maryland, and advised that he received a traffic citation (speeding and going through a red light) in the vicinity of Baltimore. He advised this ticket was received late at night on August 4, or very early in the morning on August 5. He advised he "fought" the ticket and had the charges dismissed on November 9, 1975.
stated that after he arrived in WDC at approximately 5:00 or 6:00 a.m., he went to bed and slept until approximately noon. He advised that after he awoke, and later on in the afternoon or early evening, he heard on a news broadcast the fact that Senator BAKER's home had been burglarized. He advised that he then tried to contact his girlfriend, [REDACTED], in Huntsville, Tennessee, at the Senator's residence there. [REDACTED] advised the telephone was answered by Senator BAKER, who told him that [REDACTED] was on her way to WDC and would arrive at the Trailways Bus Station there at approximately midnight. [REDACTED] advised that he went to the bus station to meet [REDACTED] and recalled that the bus was approximately one half hour late. He advised she was surprised to see him there and after talking for a short while they drove directly to his home on [REDACTED], where he was then residing. He advised they both spent the night there and drove to Senator BAKER's residence the next morning at approximately 10:00 or 10:30 a.m. [REDACTED] recalled that [REDACTED] had told him that one of the reasons she was coming back to Washington, D.C., was to determine whether any of her things were missing.

advised that when they arrived at the BAKER residence, several other employees of Senator BAKER were already in the process of cleaning the home. He advised that [REDACTED] went to her room upstairs while he looked around the downstairs area and spoke with the cook and the Senator's gardener. [REDACTED] recalled that although many items were in total disarray, the Senator's darkroom appeared undisturbed and no valuable items were apparently taken. He further recalled that there was a safe in the family room on the lower level of the house which had been turned upside down and a hole chopped through the bottom.

advised that at this time [REDACTED] came downstairs and told him she was unable to find her tape recorder and one cassette tape. [REDACTED] advised that this tape had been made at his apartment at which time he, [REDACTED] and several family members were present and were just "horsing around." [REDACTED] advised that to the best of his recollection, only one tape was missing and could not be sure whether the tape recorder and a microphone or only a tape recorder were reported missing by [REDACTED].
advised that he had told at this time that it was his opinion that this burglary was not an ordinary burglary. advised that it was opinion that, in view of Senator BAKER's work on the Senate Intelligence Committee, the burglary may have been committed by a disgruntled Federal employee of a security or police agency. had no facts on which to base his feeling but stated it was merely his opinion, due to the Senator's position and nature of the burglary.

advised that frequently spends weekends at his apartment and stated that she still has various items of clothing, records, and other personal property there. He stated that they did have a disagreement several months ago and advised that for approximately two months she refused to speak with him. He advised, however, that they are now on speaking terms again and hopes their relationship will return to normal. declined to discuss what the disagreement concerned, noting that it was personal.

advised that he has . He stated he is currently employed as a and works on an occasional basis.

advised he is a former having worked for for several years in the early 1950's as .

advised he knew nothing further regarding the burglary and has been completely honest and forthright in information provided. He advised he would be willing to take a polygraph examination should it be requested at some future date.

The interview was terminated at this point.
AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, UFO (52-16554) (C)

UNSUB; aka,
Burglary of Residence of
Senator HOWARD H. BAKER,
Washington, D.C., 8/4-5/75
POSSIBLE TGP

UNSUB; aka,
Burglary of Residence of
Senator CHARLES M C MATHIAS, JR.,
Chevy Chase, Maryland, 11/7-9/75
POSSIBLE TGP

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and two
(2) copies of an LHM re captioned matters. Enclosed for
Baltimore is one copy of the same LHM.

In view of the conclusion of logical investigation
in this matter, UFO considers this matter closed.

2 - Bureau (Enc. 3)
2' - Baltimore (Enc. 9)
2 - UFO
(1 - 52-16908)

JDS: Lsm
(6)
Detective [name], Burglary and Theft Unit, Metropolitan Police Department (MPD), was contacted and furnished the following:

Detective [name] advised that he had received one pair of wire framed glasses in a glass case from Senator HOWARD BAKER. He stated that after receiving the glasses from Senator BAKER, he accidentally closed the car door on them, causing one of the lenses to break.

Detective [name] then gave the glasses and glass case to SA [name], who marked the case as evidence.
REPORT
of the
FBI LABORATORY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

December 11, 1975

To: SAC, Washington Field Office

FBI FILE NO. 52-101049
LAB. NO. PC-M3757 JP

Re: UNSUB;
BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF
SENATOR HOWARD BAKER,
WASHINGTON, D.C.,
AUGUST 4-5, 1975
POSSIBLE TGP

Specimens received

Specimens personally delivered by [ ] on 11/26/75:

Q1  Pair of eyeglasses and brown holder

Result of examination:

The Q1 eyeglasses have gold-plated frames which have no markings on them.

The clear lenses in the Q1 eyeglasses have no prescription; however, they darken in ultraviolet light and would, therefore, darken in sunlight.

The holder in which the Q1 eyeglasses were enclosed bears printed on the flap the words "RENAULD REACT-A-MATIC" which probably indicates that the holder was intended for the submitted sunlight-reactive eyeglasses and may indicate that the brand name of the eyeglasses is "RENAULD REACT-A-MATIC." Further, the submitted eyeglasses fit well into the holder.
TO: SAC, Baltimore (52-1074)
FROM: SAC, UFO
DATE: 2/16/77

RE: FILE DESTRUCTION PROGRAM

SUBJECT: Unsub-AKA, Burglary of Residence of Senator Howard H. Baker, W.D.C., 8/1-5/75 (and)
Unsub-AKA, Burglary of Residence of Senator (States
McCain, Arizona, Mo., Oct. 7-9/75)

Enclosed are [number] items of evidentiary nature. These items are forwarded your office since you were O0 at the time our case was RUC'd.

Enclosures are described as follows:

5 FD 302's
2 1A envelopes containing 7 1A's

FILE # 52-101482

Encl (7)
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1496123-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 18
Page 23 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 24 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 25 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 26 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 27 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 28 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 29 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 30 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 31 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 32 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 33 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 34 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 35 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 36 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 37 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 38 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 51 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 52 ~ b6; b7C;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s)  X
X No Duplication Fee  X
X For this Page  X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
File No. 52-11074-1A(1)
Date Received 11/19/75
From Ident Bureau
Mont Co. Dept of Police
Rockville, MD

To Be Returned ☑ No Receipt Given ☑ No

Description:

Copies of photos taken
by Mont Co. Dept of Police
at Sr. Martina Trace
11/10/75
File No. 52-11074-1A (2) Int
Date Received 11/24/75
From HANAW LEIBOWICH
(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

To Be Returned □ Yes □ No
Receipt Given □ Yes □ No

Description:

ə Copy of Western Union Telegram received by Dr. Baker, on 8/7/75

See Sec. #20
HONORABLE HOWARD H. BAKER  
US SENATOR  
US SENATE  
WASHINGTON DC 20510  

AUG 7 1975  

BA 52-11074-1A(2)  

CAUTION SET UP POSSIBLE TOOTH PASTE SQUEEZE REPAIRED DOCUMENT IN AND OUT SAFE  

17:42 EST  

MGMWSHT HSB
File No. 52-1455 1-17A

Date Received

From Deteciw

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

MPD

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(CITY AND STATE)

SA

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned [ ] Yes [ ] No

Receipt Given [ ] Yes [ ] No

Description:

One pair broken eyeglasses and case. Received from Det. SMD.

See exhibit #26
BA 52-11074-1A(5) MMA

File No. 52-11074-1A 4

Date Received 11/19/75

From Det.

Mont. County P.D.

(city and state)

To Be Returned ☑ No Receipt Given ☑ No

Description:

☑ M.C.P.D. report re burglary of residence of Sen. Charles Mcc. Mathis;

☑ three miscellaneous M.C.P.D. reports possibly related to above burglary;

☑ handwritten list of burg. occurring within approx. 1 mile radius of Sen. Mathis' residence.

see service #20
**Date:** 12/27/74

**Report Title:** BURGLARY - RESIDENTIAL

**Address:** 508 Island Chase Rd

**Police Department:** Montgomery County, Maryland

**Person of Interest:**
- **Name:** None
- **Residence Address:** None
- **City:** None
- **State:** None
- **Zip:** None
- **Res. Phone:** None
- **Bus. Phone:** None

**Suspects:**
- **None**

**Weapon/Tools Used:** Hands

**Method Used to Commit Crime:** Opened Unlocked

**Route of Escape:** Unknown

**Warrant on File:**
- **Warrant on File:** None
- **Arrested:** None

**Rear Mark of Suspects:**
- **Rear Mark of Suspects:** None

**Remains of Suspects:**
- **Remains of Suspects:** None

**Document:**
- **Document:** None

**Type of Document:** None

**Document No.:** 11A

**Name of Bank:** None

**Address:** None

**Made Payable To:** None

**Signature on Face:** None

**Reason Not Honored:** None

**Type of Property or Service Obtained:** None

**Property Value:** None

**Property Used Only:** None

**Records Use Only:** None

**Narrative:**

No force, time unknown

Kitchen Window, Gained Entry to Residence

Swiss Money Two Bills

100 Francs Each

Apparantly Left Unlocked

Received a Call from Agents

**Status:** Open

**Closed by:** None

**Exception:** None

**Unfounded:** None

**Det. Fm:** None

**Dist. Fm:** None

**Comm. Inst.:** None

**Approval:**
- **Approved:** 11/17/74

**Records Use On:** None

**Form No.:** 101

**Page No.:** 104
18 Latent prints taken from French doors. Finger prints taken of [ ]

by [ ] for possible eliminations
Suspects were attempting to sell a subscription to "..." However, this was a subterfuge. Suspects wanted for questioning for Burglary of...
**CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS REPORT**

**ROBBERY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>19. TYPE OF CRIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROBBERY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16. DATE REPORTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/10/75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17. TIME REPORTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:32 A.M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18. DATE OCCURRED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/10/75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>19. TIME OCCURRED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2:00 A.M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>21. LOCATION OF CRIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>704 N. 10TH ST.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. T/A NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. T/A ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. T/A PHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b7c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11. DESCRIBE LOCATION/TYPE PREMISE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12. REPORTING PERSON RELATIONSHIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A &amp; # 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13. VICTIM'S CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14. VICTIM HOSPITALIZED WHERE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15. VICTIM'S CONDITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16. SUSPECT/ACCEDED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RACE/SEX/AGE/HGT/WT/EYES/HAIR/T恤 TYPE HAT/SCARS/MARKS/COMPLEXION/MUSTACHE/BEARD/CLOTHING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17. VEHICLE USED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WK/YES/NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>18. YEAR/MAKE/MODEL/COLOR/TAG NO./STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WK/YES/NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>19. VEHICLE STOLEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES/NO/UNK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20. DATE STOLEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>21. VEHICLE OWNED BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[NAME/ADDRESS/CITY/STATE]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>32. WITNESS NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>33. WITNESS ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>34. WITNESS PHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>35. WITNESS PHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NARRATIVE:**

15. Compl. states person(s) unknown broke into th. rear door by kicking door open which was locked. Appears nothing was taken. All draws had been open for apparently looking for money. Compl. states th. were boning house (furnished). Most important it had been pack'd up, which were personal items. Shannon and Lucas are showing house for Compl. and Agent are in almost daily.

Use reverse side if additional space is required

**OFFICE USE ONLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>36. TELETYPING NOTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES/NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>37. DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>38. OPERATOR'S NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>39. EVIDENCE REQUESTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES/NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>40. TIME CALL REC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIME ARRIVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>41. BEAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>42. DISTRICT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C.C.P.D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>43. TIME CALL DIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIME CLEAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>44. REPORTING OFFICER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>45. SECOND OFFICER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>46. SUPERVISOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>47. REVIEWER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID No.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY REPORT

13. TYPE OF CRIME
Burglary

14. DATE REPORTED
11-10-75

15. TIME REPORTED
1850

16. DATE OCCURRED
11-10-75

17. TIME OCCURRED
0745 to 0845

18. LOCATION OF CRIME

19. T/A NAME
H/A

20. T/A ADDRESS
b6

21. T/A PHONE
b7C

22. DESCRIBE LOCATION/TYPE PREMISE
Private residence

23. POINT OF ENTRY
inside side garage door

24. TOOL OR MEANS USED TO ENTER
foot


26. VEHICLE USED:

27. YEAR/MAKE/MODEL/COLOR/TAG NO./STATE

28. VEHICLE STOLEN

29. DATE STOLEN

30. VEHICLE OWNED BY — NAME

31. Witness

32. HOME ADDRESS

33. HOME PHONE

34. BUSINESS PHONE

35. NARRATIVE:
Complainant states that person(s) unknown entered his place of residence by entering
an unlocked rear garage door and then kicked in a door that leads to the inside
of the house. After entrance was gained, the perpetrators then went to several rooms
and in doing so ransacked the center bedroom. Missing from the center bedroom
is about $75 in U.S. coins. Nothing else is missing at this time.

Stolen to the door is about $50.00

County Car 210 was also on scene and also wrote a report.

OFFICE USE ONLY

(Use Reverse side if additional space is required)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/10</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/9</td>
<td>$16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/8</td>
<td>Attempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/3</td>
<td>T.K. Jewelry theft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/3</td>
<td>Jewelry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/26</td>
<td>M/C #300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
File No. 52-11074-1A(c)

Date Received 11/19/75

From MPD

To Be Returned No

Receipt Given No

Description:

1. MPD report re burglary of Ben Baker's residence, 8/5/75.

2. MPD report re burglary of Ben Brode's residence, 9/2/75.

3. MPD preliminary report, PD-163.

See memo #20

BA 52-11074-14(7)  

File No. 52-11074-14  
Date Received 11/21/75  
From  

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)  

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR) b6  

b7C  

To Be Returned ☐ Yes Receipt Given ☐ Yes  
☐ No  

Description:  
☐ Handwritten list of burglaries within approximate 1 mile radius of Bn. Baker's residence, occurring since 1/1/75.

see excerpt #20
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Offense</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Tactics</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/2/75</td>
<td>Burg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>Break in lock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/26/75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>Unboxed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/19/75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>Break in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/8/75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Electronic equipment</td>
<td>Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/6/75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>Dented or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/17/75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>Shattered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/18/75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>Broken glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/8/75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jewelry</td>
<td>Unsilvered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/26/75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>Unsilvered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/29/75</td>
<td>Attempted Burg</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>Dented glass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BA 52-11074-1A (7)
Date       Address
9/3/75     
9/3/75     

Taken      Metal
Bicycle
Unlocked

San Boekas Rd.
3224 Woodard Dr.

4/23/75
4/23/75
4/23/75

14 attempts or burglary.

2 attempts
8 where of taken
2 of these where attempts
File No.: 52-16551 = 1A
Date Received: 11/20/26
From: (NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)
(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

To Be Returned: □ Yes  □ No
Receipt Given: □ Yes  □ No

Description:
(1) Rough notes on
Tientsin A

See Serial # 20
1/20/46
Prior to int. said FBI police probably found his prints in house because his GF. and she was there most of time.

I heard on news broadcast.
I had been in NY @ wedding.

- called in Huntsville - phone call by Len Baker - on way to WDC & would arrive @ Trailways on midnight
- went directly to his home in
- went to Len home next day - 10 - 10 1/2
- some cleaning in progress.

- looked downstairs
- looked around - described scene.

- told him only thing missing was a
- tape recorder and a cassette tape.
- tape had been made at his apt. w/ the sec. other family members.

- told it was his opinion that hr/oc appeared to be not an ordinary burglary, possibly dignitary
- federal sec. or police agency.

1052-1107414 (8)

Court held Sep. re traffic ticket, needed 11/8
left NY on Mon. evening - returned 11/9 - stayed Thursday
wedding on Sun. bel. Aug - 9th ticket in Balto.
- arrested WTC 6 AM Tues
- deported NY 8 PM
- will be at 1 to avoid tolls (~22.30)

MISSILE

- cassette
- tape
- microphone
- also tape recorder

still has clothes, second, and personal property.
Currently ethical. I am willing to take a polygraph examination.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1496123-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 16
Page 2 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 3 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 4 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 5 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 6 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 7 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 8 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 9 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 10 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 11 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 12 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 13 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 14 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 15 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 16 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
Page 17 ~ b3 - Per CIA; b6;
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X Deleted Page(s) X
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TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, ALEXANDRIA (52-2453) (P)

UNSUB;
Burglary of Residence of
Senator HOWARD BAKER
Washington, D. C.
8/4-5/75
POSSIBLE TGP
(00:WFO)

UNSUB;
Burglary of Residence of
Senator CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS
Chevy Chase, Maryland
11/7-9/75
POSSIBLE TGP
(00:BA)

Re WFO airtel to the Bureau, 11/26/75.
On 12/4/75, [Name redacted], Chief of Operations, Personnel Security and Investigations, Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), McLean, Virginia, furnished a copy of the above mentioned PHS to SA EDWARD C. RUDIGER from the CIA Security File on [Name redacted], noting that this individual may or may not be identical to the [Name redacted], with no identifying data, referred to in referenced WFO airtel.

[Name redacted] requested that this PHS be used for lead purposes only.
AX 52-2453

In conclusion, [REDACTED] advised that if it is determined that this individual is identical to the [REDACTED] in whom the Bureau is interested he would suggest a review of [REDACTED] military service records since there is

b3 Per CIA

LEAD

ALEXANDRIA
AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, ALEXANDRIA (52-2453)(RUC)

UNSUB;
Burglary of Residence of
Senator HOWARD BAKER
Washington, D. C.
8/4-5/75
POSSIBLE TGP
(00:WFO)

UNSUB;
Burglary of Residence of
Senator CHARLES McC MATHIAS
Chevy Chase, Maryland
11/7-9/75
POSSIBLE TGP
(00:BA)

Re Alexandria airtel to Bureau, 12/5/75.
and there was no information in her file which would be pertinent to this investigation.
FM SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD (9A-4726)(P)
TO DIRECTOR, FBI ROUTINE
SAC BALTIMORE ROUTINE
BT
UNCLAS

UNSUB, AKA [REDACTED], THREAT AGAINST SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JR. OF MARYLAND AND HIS FAMILY, EXTORTION, 00: BALTIMORE.

ON 2/10/82, [REDACTED] OF SENATOR MATHIAS' OFFICE, ROOM 358, RUSSELL BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C., TELEPHONE NUMBER 224-4654, WAS CONTACTED AND SHE ADVISED THAT ON 2/8/82 SHE ANSWERED A CALL FROM A PERSON WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] CLAIMED TO LIVE IN PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY AND THAT HE HAD BEEN SHOT IN THE FACE IN VIETNAM. HE CLAIMED THAT SENATOR MATHIAS HAD HARASSED AND THREATENED TO KILL HIM AND HIS FAMILY. [REDACTED] ADVISED THAT HE WAS GOING TO DO THE SAME TO MATHIAS' FAMILY.
Also claimed that he had visited the Senator's office in Hyattsville, Maryland.

Advised that a check of their records was negative.

Officer, U.S. Capitol Police, WDC, is aware of the threat.

Lead. Baltimore. At Baltimore, Md. will conduct logical investigation to identify and advise WFO of results.

Washington Field. At Washington D.C. will maintain contact with Mathias' office and U.S. Capitol Police.
VZCW NWF0056
RR HQ BA
DE WF 0056 0440407
Z NR UUUUU
R 120156Z FEB 82
FM: FBI, WASHINGTON FIELD (9A-4726) (P)
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (ROUTINE)
FBI, BALTIMORE (ROUTINE)

UNCLASS
"CHANGED", [REDACTED] THREAT AGAINST SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JR., OF MARYLAND AND HIS FAMILY; EXTORTION, 00: BALTIMORE.

TITLE MARKED "CHANGED" TO SHOW [REDACTED] AS SUBJECT AS DEVELOPED BY INVESTIGATION. TITLE PREVIOUSLY CARRIED AS UNSUB.

REFERENCE WASHINGTON FIELD TELEPHONE CALL TO [REDACTED], BALTIMORE DIVISION, HYATTSVILLE RA, DATED FEBRUARY 12, 1982.

ON FEBRUARY 12, 1982, SERGEANT [REDACTED], UNITED STATES CAPITOL POLICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. (WDC), ADVISED THAT HIS INVESTIGATION HAD FURTHER IDENTIFIED [REDACTED] AS FOLLOWS: RESIDENCE [REDACTED]; TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] ADVISED THAT HE MADE A
PAGE TWO DE W F 0056 U N C L A S

PRETEXT CALL TO ______ AND THE VOICE IS IDENTICAL TO THAT
TAPED BY SENATOR MATHIAS’ MESSAGE CENTER. IT SHOULD BE NOTED
THAT SUBJECT CALLED SENATOR MATHIAS’ OFFICE APPROXIMATELY
EIGHT TIMES LAST NIGHT. ______ ADVISED THAT SUBJECT TALKED
AS THOUGH HE WAS UNSTABLE.

BT

0056

NNNN
TO:        DIRECTOR, FBI  
FROM:      SAC, BALTIMORE (9A-2808) (SQ 8) (C)  

THREAT AGAINST SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JR.,  
OF MARYLAND, AND HIS FAMILY;  
EXTORTION  
CO: BA  

Re WFO teletype to the Bureau, 2/12/82.  

On 2/12/82, Sergeant [redacted], Capital Police,  
Washington, D.C., was contacted regarding calls being placed  
to the office of Senator Charles Mathias in Washington, D.C.  
He advised the calls started on 2/8/82, and advised the  
individual identifying himself as [redacted] had continually  
been calling the Senator's office with various types of complaints.  
Sergeant [redacted] advised he had heard portions of the tapes that  
were recorded and stated that he in no way construed the tapes  
to be threatening to the Senator's life. Sergeant [redacted]  
indicated [redacted] had complained about a house which  
he had purchased as being condemned by the Government and no  
one in the Government had helped him.  

On 2/12/82, Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) [redacted]  
Baltimore, Maryland, was presented the facts concerning  
the harassing calls being made to Senator Mathias' office.  
AUSA [redacted] advised that he would not authorize prosecution  
in view of any direct threat to the Senator, but he stated that  
should a threat or any implied threat be made by [redacted],  
that he would consider authorizing prosecution. AUSA [redacted]  
suggested that Agents interview [redacted] concerning his  
grievance with the Government and suggest that he attempt to  
discontinue his calls to the Senator's Office.  

2 - Bureau  
2 - WFO  

[Signature]  

[Date: 9-2808-3]
On 2/17/82, __________ was interviewed at his residence, __________, concerning his calling Senator Mathias' Office. __________ indicated that he had been wounded in 1952, and had been placed in the psycho ward at Walter Reed Army Hospital after being wounded. He indicated his main complaint concerned a residence at __________, and it involved a dispute concerning sewage lines being hooked up to the property. He gave the impression the property had been condemned by the County Government and he was forced to leave this residence because of a health problem. __________ indicated he was currently unemployed and also appeared to be somewhat unstable during the interview with the Agents. He agreed to terminate any further calls to Senator Mathias' Office, and we suggested that if he had a complaint that he write his complaint in a letter to the Senator.

On 2/19/82, Sergeant __________ was recontacted concerning calls being made to Senator Mathias' Office, and he advised that in the past two days, that there were no additional calls being made to the office by __________.

In view of the fact, the AUSA, Baltimore, Maryland, declined to prosecute in this particular case, Baltimore is conducting no additional investigation. The AUSA's opinion is being confirmed by separate communication.

WFO is requested to make appropriate notification regarding results and status of the FBI investigation conducted to Senator Mathias' Office, Russell Building, Washington, D.C., since the teletype indicates original complaint in this matter was received from __________ of that office.

2*
9A-2808

March 9, 1982

7142 Ambassador Road
Baltimore, Maryland 21207

The Honorable J. Frederick Motz
United States Attorney
101 W. Lombard Street
Eighth Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Attention: Assistant U. S. Attorney
(AUSA)

Re: Threat against Senator Charles Mathias, Jr.
of Maryland and his family.

Dear Mr. Motz:

On February 12, 1982, Special Agent (SA) telephonically contacted AUSA regarding harassing and possible threatening calls being made by to the office of Senator Charles Mathias, Jr. in Washington, D.C. AUSA was advised that the context of the calls did not appear to be threatening in nature, but appeared to have some grievance with the government which he felt was never rectified.

AUSA advised that he would not authorize any prosecution against but suggested that if the calls persisted, or if direct threats were made against Senator Mathias, that he would consider prosecution.

Very truly yours,

Acting Special Agent in Charge

By

Supervisory Senior Resident Agent

1 - Addressee
1 - Baltimore
JCO:mfj
(2)
TO:  DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM:  SAC, WFO (9A-4726) (P)

THREAT AGAINST SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JR. OF MARYLAND HIS FAMILY EXTORTION (OO: BALTIMORE)

Re WFO teletype to Bureau, 2/12/82.

On 3/16/82, [Redacted], U. S. Capitol Police, WDC, requested status of FBI investigation regarding captioned subject.

LEAD:

BALTIMORE

AT BALTIMORE, MARYLAND. Will advise WFO of results of investigation.

2- Bureau
2- Baltimore
2- WFO

JNS:th (6)
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (9A-4726) (RUC)

THREAT AGAINST SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JR.
OF MARYLAND AND HIS FAMILY;
EXTORTION
(00:BA)

Re BA airtel to Bureau, 3/8/82.

On 3/22/82, of Senator Mathias' office, Room 358, Russell Building, WDC, was advised that subject was interviewed on 2/17/82 and that on 2/12/82, AUSA Baltimore, Md. declined prosecution. advised that subject has called the office since he was interviewed on 2/17/82, but has made no further threats.

On 3/22/82, , U.S. Capitol Police, WDC, and , U.S. Secret Service, were advised of above facts.

Due to fact there is no further investigation to be conducted, this case is being placed in an RUC status.

2-Bureau
2-Baltimore (9A-2808)
1-WFO
JNS: pep (5)
Total Deleted Page(s) = 5
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FM BALTIMORE (9-2770) PENDING
TO WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (PRIORITY)

BT

UNCLAS

DEATH THREAT AGAINST U.S. CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES AND UNITED STATES SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS - VICTIMS; EXTORTION

OO: BALTIMORE

ON JUNE 30, 1981, BOTH THE OFFICES OF CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES AND SENATOR MATHIAS ADVISED THAT CAPTIONED SUBJECT 

HAD TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THE OFFICE OF BOTH CONGRESSMAN BARNES AND SENATOR MATHIAS AND THREATENED THEIR LIVES BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT HE FEELS HE HAS NOT RECEIVED FAVORABLE CONSIDERATION FROM THE VETERANS ADMINISTRATION IN HIS ATTEMPT TO SECURE A VA LOAN.

Approved: FORD

Transmitted 011 807 Per

9-2770-1
PAGE TWO (9-2270) UNCLASSIFIED

MATHIAS'S OFFICE ADVISES THAT HE HAD AN ON-GOING ASSOCIATION WITH
SUBJECT FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS DURING WHICH TIME HE HAS BEEN ABUSIVE
BUT NEVER THREATENED LIVES WITH BODILY HARM UNTIL TODAY. COMPLAINTANT
ADvised THAT [ ] STATED HE HAD AN M-16, GUNS, KNIVES AND
AMMUNITION TO LAST A MONTH AND STATED HE WOULD GO TO THE CONGRESSMAN'S
OFFICE AND GET HIM. INVESTIGATION AT SILVER SPRING REVEALED
TO BE

[ ] PRESENTLY

WORKING [ ] EFFORTS TO CONTACT

AT HIS RESIDENCE THIS DATE WERE NEGATIVE AND PRETEXT CALL TO

[ ] AT TELEPHONE NUMBER [ ] ASCERTAINED THAT

[ ] WAS ON THE JOB. FACTS OF CAPTIONED MATTER PRESENTED THIS
DATE TO ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY [ ] AT BALTIMORE,
WHO ADVISED HE WOULD WITH HOLD PROSECUTIVE OPINION UNTIL SUBJECT
INTERVIEWED BY BUREAU AGENTS AND ADVISED THAT HE WOULD BE IN
VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL EXTORTION STATUTE IF THREATENING PHONE
CALLS CONTINUED.

LEAD: WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE AT WASHINGTON, D.C., WILL
IMMEDIATELY ATTEMPT TO INTERVIEW [ ] AT HIS PLACE
OF EMPLOYMENT,

Approved: [ ] Transmitted [ ] Per [ ]
(Number) (Time)
PAGE THREE (9-2270) UNCLAS

TELEPHONE NUMBER [______], REGARDING ALLEGED THREATENING PHONE CABS HE HAS BEEN MAKING TO BOTH MATHIAS AND BARNES.

BALTIMORE DIVISION AT SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND, WILL MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH OFFICES OF SENATOR MATHIAS AND CONGRESSMAN BARNES AND COORDINATE INVESTIGATION FROM WFO WITH UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE IN BALTIMORE.
UNCLAS

DEATH THREAT AGAINST U.S. CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES AND UNITED STATES SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS - VICTIMS; EXTORTION

ON JUNE 30, 1981, BOTH THE OFFICES OF CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES AND SENATOR MATHIAS ADVISED THAT CAPTIONED SUBJECT HAD TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THE OFFICE OF BOTH CONGRESSMAN BARNES AND SENATOR MATHIAS AND THREATENED THEIR LIVES BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT HE FEELS HE HAS NOT RECEIVED FAVORABLE CONSIDERATION FROM THE VETERANS ADMINISTRATION IN HIS ATTEMPT TO SECURE A VA LOAN.
PAGE TWO  (9-2270)  UNCLAS

MATHIAS’S OFFICE ADVISES THAT HE HAD AN ON GOING ASSOCIATION WITH
SUBJECT FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS DURING WHICH TIME HE HAS BEEN ABUSIVE
BUT NEVER THREATENED LIVES WITH BODILY HARM UNTIL TODAY. COMPLAINANT
ADVOISED THAT _______ STATED HE HAD AN M-16, GUNS, KNIVES AND
AMMUNITION TO LAST A MONTH AND STATED HE WOULD GO TO THE CONGRESSMAN’S
OFFICE AND GET HIM. INVESTIGATION AT _______ REVEALED TO BE

__________, PRESENTLY WORKING _______ EFFORTS TO CONTACT _______
AT HIS RESIDENCE THIS DATE WERE NEGATIVE AND PRETEXT CALL TO
_________ AT TELEPHONE NUMBER _______ ASCERTAINED THAT
_________ WAS ON THE JOB. FACTS OF CAPTIONED MATTER PRESENTED THIS
DATE TO ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY _______ AT BALTIMORE,
WHO ADVISED HE WOULD WITH HOLD PROSECUTIVE OPINION UNTIL SUBJECT
INTERVIEWED BY BUREAU AGENTS AND ADVISED THAT HE WOULD BE IN
VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL EXTORTION STATUTE IF THREATENING PHONE
CALLS CONTINUED.

LEAD: WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE AT WASHINGTON, D.C., WILL
IMMEDIATELY ATTEMPT TO INTERVIEW _______ AT HIS PLACE
OF EMPLOYMENT, _______.
PAGE THREE (9-2270) UNCLAS

TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED], REGARDING ALLEGED THREATENING PHONE CALLS HE HAS BEEN MAKING TO BOTH MATHIAS AND BARNES.

BALTIMORE DIVISION AT SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND, WILL MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH OFFICES OF SENATOR MATHIAS AND CONGRESSMAN BARNES AND COORDINATE INVESTIGATION FROM WFO WITH UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS OFFICE IN BALTIMORE.

BT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date Filled</th>
<th>To be returned</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8/6/8</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Notes et Telephone Conversation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9/10/8</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Agent's Notes of Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agent's Notes of Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td>Agent's Notes of Interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The date 8/6/8 appears to be written twice, possibly indicating an error or a repetition.
Field File No. 9A-2770-1A(1)
00 and File No. BALTIMORE
Date Received 8/4/81
From

(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(Address of Contributor)

(City and State)

By

(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes Receipt Given ☐ Yes
☐ No ☐ No
☐ Yes Grand Jury Material-
Disseminate Only
Pursuant to Rules
6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure

Description:

Notes of teleph. conversation

Evening

8/4/81
Fast 30 days met agentable person in VT - 30 days tried to gather info on D's case

— Told me ought 'bout someone away in the city

— said he told this to Senator's wife

— FBI tip guy

I'm a one man team to handle

He was caught then called!
Said a lesser person would have had a 'line fight' with the FBI on FBI agent
Field File No. 9A-2770-1A(2)
00 and File No. 3A
Date Received 6/30/81
From
(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(CITY AND STATE)

By
(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned □ Yes Receipt Given □ Yes
□ No □ No

□ Yes Grand Jury Material-
Disseminate Only
□ No Pursuant to Rules
6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure

Description:
AGENT'S NOTES OF INTERVIEW

WITH
### Complaint Form

**PD-71 (Rev. 8-22-74)**

**NOTE:** Hand print names legibly; handwriting satisfactory for remainder.

**Indices:** ☐ Negative ☐ See below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject's name and aliases</th>
<th>Character of case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complainant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Complaint received**

☐ Personal ☑ Telephonic Date 6/30 Time 12:40

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address of subject</th>
<th>Complainant's address and telephone number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11141 Georgia Ave.</td>
<td>302 WASHINGTON, MD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Hair</th>
<th>Build</th>
<th>Birth date and Birthplace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Male</td>
<td>☐ Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Eyes</th>
<th>Complexion</th>
<th>Social Security Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Facts of complaint**

3 TIMES: 11:50 A.M.

W-16: GUN, KNIVES: SHOVEL TO THE CONGRESSMAN TAKING UP WTH HIS OWN HAND.

- TOOK CALLS

---

**Disabled Veterans Administration**

- VETERAN STATED HE WOULD NOT RATIONALIZE

**NATIONAL SERVICE OFFICER**

**415 WEST CAPITAL N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C.**

**THREATENED TO GO TO CONGRESSMAN'S OFFICE W/ W-16 IT IS CAME TO A QUICK DRAW .45, W-16.**

- SAVED HIM - STATED HE HAD AN M-14 RIFLE

**Quartermaster at W-16.**

**Action Recommended:** ☑

10/4/80 (Agent)

VETERAN STATED HE HAD 18 MEMBERS.
MEMORANDUM
OF CALL

TO:

☐ YOU WERE CALLED BY— ☐ YOU WERE VISITED BY—

OF (Organization)

☐ PLEASE CALL → PHONE NO. CODE/EXT. ☐ FTS
☐ WILL CALL AGAIN ☐ IS WAITING TO SEE YOU
☐ RETURNED YOUR CALL ☐ WISHES AN APPOINTMENT

MESSAGE

Congressman Barnes
11141 Georgia Ave N 302

TO THE CONGRESSMAN.

RECEIVED BY

DATE

TIME

63-109

STANDARD FORM 63 (Rev. 8-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6

*USGPO:1978:281-184/14
Field File No. 9A-2770 -1A(3)
00 and File No. BA
Date Received 03/31/91
From
(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

By
(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned □ Yes □ No
Receipt Given □ Yes □ No

□ Yes Grand Jury Material-
□ No Disseminate Only
Pursuant to Rules
6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure

Description:

AGENT'S NOTES OF INTERVIEW

cont
7142 Ambassador Road
Baltimore, Maryland 21207

Date

Special Agent in Charge
U. S. Secret Service
Intelligence Division
1800 G Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20223

Dear Sir:

This is to confirm information furnished [Agent] of your office at [6/24/61 (time, date)] concerning a threat to bomb [Poolesville High School] [17501 WILKARD ROAD Poolesville, Md. (address) at [6/24/61 (time, date)] (dispatcher/officer).

Communications, [D.C.P.O. (name of police department)] telephonically advised that his/her department had received a report of an anonymous telephonic bomb threat, indicating that a bomb would go off at the above location on [time/time] (unspecified time/time) advised that his/her department was conducting an investigation.

Recontact with [D.C.P.O. (name of police department)] revealed no explosion occurred and no bomb could be located.

Very truly yours,

Edward D. Healy
Special Agent in Charge

ON A ONLY:

1 - Addressee
2 - Baltimore (1 - 174-2748)
   (1 - 66-2448 SUB A)
XXX:xxx
(3)
Field File No. 9A-2770 - 1A(4)
00 and File No. BA
Date Received 5/30/81
From
(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(CITY AND STATE)
By
(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No
Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No
☐ Yes Grand Jury Material
☐ No Disseminate Only
Pursuant to Rules
6(e), Federal Rules
of Criminal Procedure

Description:

AGENT NOTES OF INTERVIEW

with
CAPITAL POLICE - BEING ADVISED BY SECRET SERVICE

CAPITAL POLICE

SUSPECT

WASHINGTON D.C.

NOTIFIED 1:58 P.M.

221-3111

DETENTION

KONI, AFFORD ATTORNEY, BUT I CAN AFFORD

WEAPONS

MISSING ON MEER: US THE COMMISSIONER

THE COMMISSIONER LOOKS BEING SOON SURE IN THE MAIL
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9-2770-1A(4)
DEATH THREAT AGAINST U.S. CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES AND UNITED STATES SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS-VICTIMS; EXTORTION; OFFICE OF ORIGIN: BALTIMORE.

RE BALTIMORE TELETEYPE TO WASHINGTON FIELD, DATED JUNE 30, 1981.

ON JUNE 30, 1981, WAS INTERVIEWED AND ADVISED OF THE NATURE OF THE INVESTIGATION. ADMITTED CALLING BOTH BARNES AND MATHIAS, STATING THAT HE DID DROP THE BOLT ON A WEAPON FOR THE PURPOSE OF GAINING ATTENTION TO HIS PROBLEM.

WAS ADVISED THAT IF ANY THREAT OF THIS NATURE OCCURRED AGAIN, THE ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA) WOULD AUTHORIZE A WARRANT FOR HIS ARREST. INDICATED THAT HE REALIZED THE GRAVITY OF THE CALLS AND STATED HE WOULD NOT MAKE ANY MORE THREATEN CALLS.

INDICATED THAT HE HAS HAD AN ON GOING COMPLAINT
WITH THE VETERAN'S ADMINISTRATION (VA) AND JUST WANTS SOMEONE TO SIT DOWN WITH HIM TO DISCUSS HIS PROBLEM. WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE CONDUCTING NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION. FD-302 TO FOLLOW.

LEAD: BALTIMORE DIVISION: AT BALTIMORE, MARYLAND.

ADVISE AUSA OF THE RESULTS OF INTERVIEW.
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1. National Service Officer, Disabled Veterans Administration, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. was advised he was being interviewed regarding a phone conversation he had had with an individual known as on June 30, 1981. Advised as follows:

He is responsible for handling complaints from veterans regarding the Disabled Veterans Administration and to provide assistance in solving problems which affect veterans.

stated he has been in touch with off and on for a number of months regarding his difficulty in obtaining a veteran's home loan.

On June 30, 1981, received a phone call from someone at Congressman Michael Barnes's office requesting him to call in an effort to calm him down.

During the course of the conversation, decided that was in an irrational state of mind and had "gone over the deep end." threatened to go to Congressman Barnes's office with a loaded M-16 rifle and further stated if it came to a "quick draw" with the officers guarding the office, "That would be O.K."

stated he had an M-16 rifle and a .45 caliber automatic pistol and racked the action of the rifle in the telephone receiver to prove to he actually had a rifle. Also referred to the Congressman receiving in the mail.

During the course of the conversation, said to , "Quit fuckin' with me."

While reviewing his case file on found a note written by someone no longer employed at the Disabled Veterans Administration which was dated October 14, 1980, and stated that had threatened to kill 18 members of the Disabled Veterans Administration.
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Senator Mathias' office, Rayburn Building, Washington, D.C., was advised she was being interviewed regarding a phone call she received from an individual identifying himself as

advised as follows:

At approximately 2 P.M., on June 30, 1981, received a phone call from, an individual who has been corresponding with the office for several months.

stated that he "had been denied his rights as a veteran," the senator had "screwed him over," and he insisted on making an appointment to see the senator.

got on to say that "If I do not get what is coming to me, I will get the people involved." believed the "people involved" to be the senator and/or the case worker. told that he did not want to hurt the secretaries or clerks.

made a statement and said it was a quote from a Supreme Court ruling, then heard a sound from the phone receiver which stated was the sound of his gun being cocked.

stated that all through the conversation maintained a calm demeanor and felt that he meant business."

Other statements were made by which could not recall at the time of this interview.
Barnes' Constituent Service Office, 11141 Georgia Avenue, Room 302, Wheaton, Maryland, was advised she was being interviewed regarding phone calls she had received from an individual identifying himself as [______]. [______] advised as follows:

The Constituent Service Office had been attempting to assist [______], a constituent, in obtaining a veteran's home loan over the course of several months. After numerous attempts, the office failed to obtain approval of a loan for [______]. [______] had been informed of the results of the efforts made by the Constituent Service Office.

On the morning of June 30, 1981, she received the first of two phone calls from [______]. During this call, [______] insisted on a meeting with Congressman Barnes and stated, "I can't afford attorneys, but I can afford weapons."

During the conversation, [______] spoke of the employees of the Constituent Service Office and the Disabled Veterans Administration in an abusive manner.

[______] also stated during the conversation that "the Congressman would find [______] in the mail that would confirm the kill," "I want to kiss the congressman's ring," "There is two choices, the knife or the gun," and "I'll never get caught," which [______] believed to mean he would never get caught if he used force or violence.

[______] told [______] that she would do some checking into his case and call him back. [______] told her she had better call him right back because "I can lock and load in a few minutes."

[______] then advised he was quoting a Supreme Court ruling and stated "...invites man to become law unto himself." Conversation was then terminated.

[______] advised that later that morning, she received a second phone call from [______], part of which was overheard by [______] on an extension phone. During this conversation, [______] advised he had an M-16 rifle and threatened to sue Congressman Barnes. [______] then stated, "I will get him (the
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Congressman) in the courts or on the street.

stated that [REDACTED] had made many other statements during both phone calls, but that she could not recall them at this time.
At approximately 3:15 P.M. on June 30, 1981, Detective [name redacted], Capitol Police, telephone 224-3171, advised that they had received a report of a threatening phone call being made to Senator Mathias' office in Washington, D.C. [name redacted] stated that [name redacted] for Senator Mathias, had received a threatening phone call from [name redacted] at approximately 2 o'clock P.M. on June 30, 1981.
On June 30, 1981, at 1:58 P.M. [Redacted], Special Agent, U.S. Secret Service, phone [Redacted], was advised that threats had been made towards a number of individuals, including Congressman Michael Barnes, by an individual identifying himself as [Redacted]. [Redacted] took the facts telephonically and advised that she would contact the Capitol Police.
The Honorable Herbert Better  
Acting United States Attorney  
U.S. Court House Building  
111 W. Lombard Street  
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Attn: AUSA

RE: Death Threat Against U.S. Congressman Michael Barnes and U.S. Senator Charles Mathias - Victims, Extortion

Dear Sir:

This letter will act to confirm conversations between Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) _______ and Special Agent _______, on June 30, 1981 and July 6, 1981, at which time the following facts were discussed:

On June 30, 1981, AUSA _______ was advised that on the same date, both the offices of Congressman Michael Barnes and Senator Mathias advised that _______, address of _______, home telephone _______, had telephonically contacted the office of both Congressman Barnes and Senator Mathias and threatened their lives because of the fact that he feels he has not received favorable consideration from the Veterans Administration in his attempt to secure a VA loan. Mathias' office advised that he had an on-going association with _______ for the past two years during which time he has been abusive but never threatened lives with bodily harm until June 30, 1981.

1 - Addressee
2 - Baltimore (1 - 66-2813)
EJR: bjd
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Complainant advised that he had an M-16, guns, knives and ammunition to last a month and stated he would go to the Congressman's office and get him. Investigation at Silver Spring, Maryland revealed that he was working presently working at his residence on June 30, 1981. Efforts to contact him at telephone number ascertainment that was on the job.

After reviewing the above facts, AUSA advised she would withhold prosecutive opinion until such time as was located and interviewed by the FBI.

On July 6, 1981, AUSA was advised that had been interviewed by FBI Agents at Washington, D.C., at which time he made a full confession to the fact he had made all of the calls in question, stating he was sorry he made the calls and did not intend to kill or harm anyone, including Senator Charles Mathias or Congressman Michael Barnes or members of their staffs.

AUSA was advised that was cautioned against any further calls which could be construed as threatening, at which time promised to cease all such calls.

In view of the above information, AUSA declined to prosecute at this time, stating that the case to date did not constitute a violation of the Federal Extortion Statute.

Very truly yours,

Edward D. Hegarty
Special Agent in Charge

By: Supervisory Senior Resident Agent
At approximately 9:00 p.m., on August 4, 1981, ______ telephonically contacted the Baltimore Office of the FBI, asked to speak to SA [____], and the conversation ensued as follows:

______ advised he has met with unsuccessful results in obtaining a VA loan for the purpose of buying a home. ______ sounded as though he was in an intoxicated state and went on to explain the efforts he has made through Senator Mathias' and Congressman Barnes' offices. He further explained that he felt he was being given the run-around by both Congressional offices and the Veterans Administration. He made numerous references to his Vietnam experiences, that he had killed in Vietnam and saw no difference in killing people in this country and what he had done in Vietnam. ______ said that he feels like he is a one man killer team to Hanoi. He said that he feels alone in his efforts to fight the bureaucratic system and equated it to being a one man killer team. ______ also said that had a lesser person been subjected to what he has gone through over the past three years that some FBI agents may have found themselves in the fire fight but he believes that his mental capacity has precluded him from using violent methods to this point. He added that he was at a mental point where he "might go down to the VA and blow someone away". When asked exactly what he meant by "blow someone away" he said "kill someone".

______ is employed by ______, however, he explained during this conversation that he had recently been placed on suspension and added that he may as well had been fired.
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Memorandum

To: SAC, BALTIMORE (9A-2770) (Sq. #8)

From: SA

Subject: DEATH THREATS AGAINST U.S. CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES AND U.S. SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS - VICTIMS;

EXTORTION,

00: BA

On the evening of 8/4/81, the writer received a telephone call from the subject who seemed to be in an intoxicated state. During the conversation, he made numerous references to his experiences in Vietnam and that he felt as through nothing had changed since his return regarding his combat experiences, his feelings of fear, and his ability to kill.

He has made death threats against Senator Mathias, Congressman Barnes, and members of the Veterans Administration. During the conversation referenced above Kennedy said that he "might just blow someone away at the Veterans Administration" if he did not find some relief in his efforts to obtain a VA mortgage loan.

It should be noted that the writer had several telephonic communications with the subject during November and December of 1980. Several other agents of the Baltimore and WFO Divisions have also had numerous conversations with the subject. He was employed as [redacted] however, during the most recent conversation with the writer, [redacted] explained that he was recently placed on suspension and therefore, does not have a job. The writer believes that [redacted] was in such a state of mind that he is capable of carrying out a violent act as he has previously threatened, especially in view of the fact that he is not steadily employed.

It is recommended that the case be reopened and a persuasive opinion sought at the earliest possible time.

8/27/81

ST

8/27/81
7142 Ambassador Road
Baltimore, Maryland 21207

BA 9A-2770
August 6, 1981

The Honorable J. Frederick Motz
United States Attorney
8th Floor - U.S. Courthouse
101 W. Lombard Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Attention: Assistant United States Attorney

RE:
DEATH THREAT AGAINST UNITED
STATES CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES
AND UNITED STATES SENATOR CHARLES
MATHIAS-VICTIMS;
EXTORTION

Dear Mr. Motz:

This letter will act to confirm a conversation between
Assistant United States Attorney, (AUSA), [REDACTED]
and SA [REDACTED] on August 5, 1981 at which time the
following facts were discussed:

On August 5, 1981, AUSA [REDACTED] was advised that
on the previous evening, SA [REDACTED] received a telephone call
from [REDACTED], during which [REDACTED] made a threatening
statement to the effect he would kill someone at the Veterans
Administration Office in Washington, D.C., if he did not receive
some relief in his efforts to obtain a mortgage loan from
that office.

[REDACTED] has previously, (June 30, 1981) threatened
the lives of both Congressman Barnes and Senator Mathias. The
facts surrounding that case were presented to AUSA

L-Addressee
L-Baltimore
CWS:drt
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Special Agents of the Washington, D.C. office of the FBI interviewed [CENSORED] at his place of employment following threats to the members of Congress. [CENSORED] apologized during that interview, stated that he would make no further threats and that he did not intend to hurt anyone. A negative prosecutive opinion was issued by AUSA [CENSORED] following that interview.

Since the above declination, [CENSORED] has been suspended from his position of [CENSORED].

AUSA [CENSORED] advised on August 5, 1981, that the case should be referred to the Washington, D.C. United States Attorneys Office, in that, if [CENSORED] were declared insane in that judicial district, he would be detained in a mental institution. However, if [CENSORED] were declared insane in the District of Maryland, he would be released.

Very truly yours,

Edward D. Hegarty
Special Agent in Charge

By [CENSORED] 
Supervisory Special Agent
WAS CONTACTED AT HIS PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT, AND WAS ADVISED BY THE INTERVIEWING AGENTS OF THEIR IDENTITY AND THE NATURE OF THE INVESTIGATION.

ADMITTED, DURING THE INTERVIEW, THAT HE DID DROP THE BOLT ON A RIFLE DURING TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS WITH THE OFFICES OF SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS AND CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES. WAS ADVISED BY THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION THAT IF HE CONTINUES TO MAKE SUCH CALLS, AN ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY WOULD AUTHORIZE A WARRANT FOR HIS ARREST.

DURING THE INTERVIEW, WENT INTO GREAT DETAIL THE REASON HE MADE THE CALLS AND THE PROBLEMS HE HAS HAD WITH THE VETERANS ADMINISTRATION (VA) OVER THE PAST TWO YEARS.

"AMERICAN DREAM," THAT HE HAS BEEN DEPRIVED OF HIS MAJOR COMPLAINT IS THAT HE APPLIED FOR A LOAN APPROXIMATELY TWO YEARS AGO, BUT WAS TURNED DOWN BY THE VA. STATED THAT TWO MONTHS LATER, HE APPLIED FOR A LOAN ON A NEW HOME, WHICH WAS A LARGER LOAN THAN THE FIRST AND IT WAS APPROVED. HOWEVER, STATED THAT BECAUSE OF POOR CONSTRUCTION, HE TURNED DOWN THE SECOND HOUSE.

CITED A SUPREME COURT CASE IN THE EARLY 1900'S, WHICH STATED THAT IF ALL SOURCES OF ACTION HAVE BEEN TAKEN, FORCE MAY BE USED.

WAS AGAIN ADVISED BY THE INTERVIEWING AGENTS THAT ACTIONS THAT HE TOOK MUST CEASE.
TO: SAC, BALTIMORE (9-2770)

FROM: SAC, WFO (9-4642)

DEATH THREAT AGAINST U.S. CONGRESSMAN MICHAEL BARNES AND U.S. SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS-VICTIMS

00:BA

Re WFO teletype to Baltimore dated 7/1/81, and telcall with SA dated 8/5/81.

Enclosed for Baltimore is the original and one copy of FD-302 of

On August 5, 1981, Special Agent (SA) contacted Acting Assistant Inspector General for Audit, Veterans Administration (VA), and was advised of the conversation had with SA. Advised he is aware of the situation and stated that had told that if no action is taken, will probably be arrested or will knock off someone at the VA.

On August 5, 1981, SA contacted Sergeant Capital Police, who was advised of the developments.
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TO: SAC, BALTIMORE (9-2770)

FROM: SAC, WFO (9-4642) (P) (C-4)

Death Threat Against U.S. Congressman MICHAEL BARNES and U.S. Senator CHARLES MATHIAS - VICTIMS EXT (OO:BA)

Re WFO telcall to Baltimore SA [redacted], 9/3/81.

LEAD: BALTIMORE

AT BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

Will advise WFO of prosecutive opinion of AUSA so that WFO may disseminate information to Capitol Police and U.S. Secret Service.

Baltimore
1-WFO

RJW: kls
(3)
DATE: 8/11/81

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: SA

SUBJECT: DEATH THREATS AGAINST
MICHAEL BARNES AND
SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS — VICTIMS
EXTORTION
OO: BALTIMORE

TO: SAC, BALTIMORE (9A-2770) (SQ 8) (P)

On 8/4/81, Veterans Administration (VA) Regional Office, 31 Hopkins Plaza, Baltimore, Maryland, 962-4025, contacted the Baltimore Office of the FBI concerning the actions of ________. ________ advised ________ had been turned down for a VA home loan and then tried to change it. ________ stated on 7/2/81 he received a call from Congressman Barnes' aide that ________ was in Barnes' office and had threatened to kill Barnes as well as the Director of the VA. This matter was subsequently turned over to the U.S. Secret Service who apparently interviewed ________.

On 8/3/81, ________ telephoned the VA's Loan Guarantee Office and when he was unable to speak with the Loan Guarantee Officer, he began making threats to have the VA employees put in jail. ________ made no threat of bodily injury.

_______ advised he thought the Silver Spring RA of the FBI had done some follow-up work concerning the 7/2/81 incident.
7142 Ambassador Road
Baltimore, Maryland 21207

October 1, 1981

The Honorable J. Frederick Motz
United States Attorney
Eighth Floor, U.S. Courthouse
101 W. Lombard Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Attention: Assistant United States Attorney

Re:

Death Threat Against U.S. Congressman
Michael Barnes and U.S. Senator Charles Mathias - Victims;
EXTORTION

Dear Sir:


This letter will act to confirm a conversation between
Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) and Special Agent on September 25, 1981, at which time, the following facts were discussed:

AUSA was advised that had been re-interviewed by SA on September 24, 1981 at . At which time, SA advised of his prior conversations with AUSA regarding the abusive and threatening telephone calls that had admitted making to Congressman Michael Barnes and Senator Charles Mathias.

was advised that should any future threatening phone call be made to these individuals that could be proven to have an interstate aspect, he could be prosecuted by the FBI for violation of the Federal Extortion Statute.

1 - USA, Baltimore
Baltimore
ER:mjm
(2) MGM
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stated that although previously interviewed by FBI agents following his last series of threatening phone calls, he had ceased making any of these calls and was now represented by legal counsel in order that he may possibly litigate all of the grievances and inequities that have been perpetrated upon him by various federal agencies.

stated that he did not desire to cause the FBI any further difficulties, although should he be arrested at any time, he would relish the opportunity to come before a federal judge in order that he may explain the many instances of discrimination that have been inflicted upon him by numerous and assorted individuals and government agencies.

AUSA was advised by SA that investigation conducted by the FBI has resulted in background information regarding that would tend to make him appear greatly troubled by his Viet Nam combat experiences and constantly at odds with all forms of authority causing him to have serious differences of opinion with the Veterans Administration, for which he is employed, and the Montgomery County Housing authorities.

In view of the above information, AUSA reiterated her former prosecutive opinion in which she declined to prosecute at this time in view of the fact that it did not meet the elements of the Federal Extortion Statute; however, if future death threats could, in fact, be proven to have an interstate aspect, she would consider prosecuting and seeking a court order to have him examined regarding his competency.

In view of the above information, no further investigation is being conducted by this office; however, should future transgressions on the part of come to the attention of the Silver Spring Resident Agency, they will be immediately presented to AUSA for opinion.

Very truly yours,

Edward P. Hegarty
Special Agent in Charge

Supervisory Special Agent
he was advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and the nature of the interview.

readily conceded that he had, in fact, made numerous telephone calls to various politicians, the Veterans Administration and local law enforcement agencies in which he usually became hostile and abusive and had, in fact, made threats to injure and/or kill many individuals.

stated, however, although he certainly feels with his background as a combat Marine, four years in the U.S. Marine Corps and one year of service in Viet Nam that he is physically and mentally capable of performing these acts, he certainly had no intention of doing so and was merely trying to gain attention for himself and for his numerous causes to which he is totally committed and remains embattled against namely the Veterans Administration, Montgomery County Housing Authorities and __________________, all of which he is currently involved in ongoing litigation in which he is represented by various attorneys including a representative from the A.C.L.U. in regard to his administrative suspension from ____________.

At the time of the interview, appeared to be rational and made a big point of displaying all his files that he has compiled over the years regarding his various claims, etc.

stated that he is close to a Bachelor of Science degree in five or six different majors from a variety of colleges and is currently considering law school in order that he may represent himself in his many litigations.
advised that he had previously been interviewed by three FBI agents from the Washington Field Office following a series of abusive and threatening phone calls that he admitted making to the offices of U.S. Senator Mathias in Washington, D.C. and U.S. Congressman Michael Barnes.

At this time, was cautioned that although he may have legitimate complaints and arguments with all the individuals and agencies to whom he has frequent telephonic contact, that any telephone calls traveling interstate or from Maryland to the District of Columbia and vice versa involving threats or bodily harm or death to other persons could possibly result in his prosecution by the FBI for violating one of the elements of the Federal Extortion Statute.

then advised that although it certainly was not his desire to be arrested by the FBI, he would not mind being arrested and taken before a federal judge because this, he stated, would give him an opportunity to explain to the federal judge all of the inequities that have been perpetrated by him by various people, namely the federal bureaucracy.

stated it was not his desire to cause the FBI any further difficulties and that he would, in fact, cease making these phone calls because he was now, in fact, represented by legal counsel on several "different fronts". stated that he had just finished a 25-day suspension due to a problem arising from not conforming with departmental regulations.

then steadily changed the course of the interview by stating to the interviewing agent that he was serving in Viet Nam with the United States Marine Corps during the Viet Nam War and that he served with the in numerous combat situations in the Viet Nam War.

The following information was obtained through observation and interview:

Name:
Sex:
Race:
Date of Birth:
Home Address:

Home Phone:
Employment:
Memorandum

To: SAC, BALTIMORE (9-2770) -C-

From: S/SRA (SQ-11)

Subject: Death Threats Against Michael Barnes and Senator Charles Mathias - VICTIMS EXTORTION (00:BA)

On 12/11/81, SA U. S. Secret Service, Washington, D. C., was telephonically contacted to ensure that they had previously received information concerning subject. reviewed his records and advised that they had received all pertinent data concerning subject and had closed their files on 9/9/81.

The above information is being recorded as a matter of record.
Rockville man surrenders after standoff with police

By LOLA DEMMA
Journal staff writer

A distraught Washington firefighter, armed with several guns, barricaded himself in his Rockville home Saturday morning for seven hours and threatened to kill himself before police persuaded him to go to a hospital for psychiatric care.

Brian Kennedy, 37, of the 1000 block of Crawford Drive, walked out of his house shortly before noon and was taken to Montgomery General Hospital for psychiatric evaluation, county police spokesman Sgt. Harry Geehreng said.

Although several weapons including an AR-15 rifle, a .45 caliber pistol and a shotgun, as well as ammunition, were later found in the house, no shots were fired and no one was injured, Geehreng said.

About eight houses surrounding Kennedy's home in the densely populated neighborhood were evacuated.

A police negotiation team and about 20 county and Rockville police officers were on the scene for seven hours, Geehreng said.

Kennedy has served 11 years with the District of Columbia Fire Department.

He previously had sought medical care at the department's medical clinic for stress-related problems resulting from his experience in the Vietnam War, said William Mullkin, deputy chief of the department.

Kennedy is on administrative leave with pay pending the department's investigation of the incident. He either will be reinstated or placed on sick leave, depending on the outcome of a medical evaluation by the department, Mullkin said.

Kennedy "has been upset for years" about his Vietnam experience, but it is not known what prompted him to barricade himself in his house Saturday, Mullkin said.

At about 5 a.m. Kennedy called the Montgomery County Crisis Center Hotline, saying he was going to commit suicide. The Crisis Center notified county police.

But Kennedy refused to let police get near the house and threatened to kill anyone who got too close, although he did not display any weapons, Geehreng said.

The negotiation team talked to Kennedy through the ordeal, Geehreng said. Just before noon, negotiators persuaded Kennedy to leave the house peacefully and go to the hospital, Geehreng said.

Kennedy was not charged, Geehreng said.
D.C. Firefighter Barricades Himself in House

By Molly Sinclair
Washington Post Staff Writer

A longtime District firefighter was undergoing psychiatric evaluation last night after barricading himself in his Rockville house for seven hours yesterday and threatening to kill himself and anyone who came too close, police said.

Brian R. Kennedy, 37, who is assigned to D.C. Engine Co. 29, near the reservoir on MacArthur Boulevard, was taken into custody shortly before noon when he walked out of his house, 1014 Crawford Dr., in response to appeals from police negotiators, said Sgt. Harry Geehreng, spokesman for the Montgomery County Police Department.

Geehreng said that weapons, including an automatic pistol and a shotgun, were seized in the house. He said Kennedy never fired or displayed a weapon.

About two dozen police from Montgomery County and Rockville were dispatched to Kennedy's house during the morning, Geehreng said. He said the first police arrived about 5 a.m. after being notified by Crisis Center Hotline personnel that they had received phone calls from Kennedy during the night threatening suicide.

Kennedy, who lives alone, was

Date: 5/13/91
Initials: JRD
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11/3/86.
able to maintain his Rockville address while working for the District because he lived outside the city before passage of the 1980 residency requirement, according to Capt. Ted Holmes, spokesman for the District Fire Department.

Holmes said Kennedy was off duty yesterday. However, the fire department has initiated an internal investigation of yesterday's incident, Holmes said. He said this is normal "any time any of our people are involved in matters with law enforcement." He said an administrative action based on the investigation will be taken when Kennedy is due back to work.

Kennedy, a District firefighter since October 1977, made newspaper headlines in August 1983 after he was suspended by the fire department for having a beard.

Beards were forbidden by the department at that time because, officials said, a bearded firefighter may have trouble keeping an air mask fully adhered to his face, and smoke or toxic fumes could kill a firefighter without a sealed mask. When the case went to court, Kennedy won and was reinstated to his job.

Yesterday, Kennedy, who no longer has a beard, emerged from his home and was surrounded by police without further incident, police said. They said he was taken to Montgomery General Hospital for psychiatric evaluation.
FM: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD (9A-NEW)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI ROUTINE

ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT, CID

FBI, ANCHORAGE ROUTINE
FBI, BALTIMORE ROUTINE
FBI, PHOENIX ROUTINE

BT

UNC LAS

UNSUB(S); SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR.-VICTIM; SENATOR TED STEVENS-VICTIM; EXTORTION; OO: PHOENIX

ON 7/9/85, DETECTIVE , SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION (SID), U.S. CAPITOL POLICE (USCP) TURNED OVER TO THE FBI A LETTER RECEIVED ON 7/8/85, AT THE WASHINGTON, D.C. OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS. THE ENVELOPE IS POSTMARKED TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON 7/1/85, AND ADDRESSED TO SENATORS STEVENS AND MATHIAS. THE ARMED AND DANGEROUS
PAGE TWO DE WF #0066 UNCLASSIFIED

ONE PAGE LETTER WAS ATTACHED TO A PAGE FROM THE NEWSPAPER "HUMAN EVENTS" DATED 6/15/85. THE LETTER READS AS FOLLOWS

"SENATORS STEVENS + MATHIAS:

A FEW BULLETS WISTLING (SIC) BY YOUR HEAD AND PERHAPS ONE HITTING YOUR TEMPLES WILL SAVE THIS U.S. NATION WITH ITS PEOPLE. MORE GRIEVE (SIC) FROM YOU! WHAT DO YOU THINK YOU ARE? YOU BETTER WORK TO RESTORE DIVINE (SIC) - OR ELSE!!! YOUR LOOKS SHALL SHOW!!! WE WILL NOT WAIT FOREVER!!

THE U.S. WILL NOT BE MISSING YOU!!!

THE FRONT PAGE FROM "HUMAN EVENTS" CONTAINS AN ARTICLE ENTITLED "REPUBLICAN SENATORS TORPEDO DON DEVINE"

USCP CONTACTED THE HEADQUARTERS OF "HUMAN EVENTS" AND DETERMINED THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 170 SUBSCRIBERS IN THE TUCSON AREA. THE NAMES OF THOSE SUBSCRIBERS ARE UNAVAILABLE AT THIS TIME.

BOTH SENATORS WERE NOTIFIED OF THE THREAT THROUGH USCP CHANNELS. U.S. SECRET SERVICE PROVIDED A COPY OF LETTER AND ENCLOSURE.

LEADS. ANCHORAGE DIVISION. AT ANCHORAGE, FAIRBANKS, JUNEAU, KETCHIKAN, Nome, Kenai, and Kodiak, Alaska.
PAGE THREE DF WF #0066 UNCLASS

NOTIFY LOCAL AUTHORITIES OF THREAT SINCE SENATOR STEVENS HAS OFFICES IN THOSE CITIES.

BALTIMORE DIVISION. AT BALTIMORE, CUMBERLAND, AND LANHAM, MD.

NOTIFY LOCAL AUTHORITIES OF THREAT SINCE SENATOR MATHIAS HAS OFFICES IN THOSE CITIES.

PHOENIX DIVISION. AT TUCSON, ARIZONA.

CONDUCT APPROPRIATE EXTORTION INVESTIGATION AND CONTACT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR PROSECUTIVE OPINION.

WASHINGTON FIELD. AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

WILL FORWARD EVIDENCE TO FBI LABORATORY FOR APPROPRIATE ANALYSIS. WILL ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN LIST OF SUBSCRIBERS TO "HUMAN EVENTS" NEWSPAPER IN THE TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA. WILL MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH USC.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

BT

#0066

NNNN

Approved: ___________________ Transmitted ___________________ Per ___________________
(Number) (Time)
OUTBOX.4 (G3111)

TEXT: VZCZCF0D868
RR HQ AN BA PX
DE wF086 1908307
ZNH 0000
R 19083072 JUL 85FM: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD (GA-NEW)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI ROUTINE

ATN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT, CID

FB: ANCHORAGE ROUTINE

FI, BALTIMORE ROUTINE

FB: PHOENIX ROUTINE

GT

UNCLASS

INSUB(9): SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR.-VICTIM; SENATOR J. STEVENS-VICTIM; EXTORTION; CO: PHOENIX

ON 7/9/85, DETECTIVE [REDACTED] SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION (CID), U.S. CAPITOL POLICE (USCP) Turned over to the FBI a letter received on 7/8/85, at the WASHINGTON, D.C. OFFICE of SENATOR MATHIAS. THE ENVELOPE IS POSTMARKED TUCSON, ARIZONA, ON 7/1/85, and addressed TO SENATORS STEVENS AND MATHIAS. THE ARMED AND DANGEROUS
ONE PAGE LETTER WAS ATTACHED TO A PAGE FROM THE NEWSPAPER
"HUMAN EVENTS" DATED 6/15/85. THE LETTER READS AS FOLLOWS

"SENATORS STEVENS AND MATHIAS:

A FEW BULLETS WHISTLING (SIC) BY YOUR HEAD AND PERHAPS
ONE HITTING YOUR TEMPLES WILL SAVE THIS U.S. NATION WITH ITS
PEOPLE MORE GRIEVE (SIC) FROM YOU. WHAT DO YOU THINK YOU ARE?
YOU BETTER WORK TO RESTORE DIVINE (SIC) - OR ELSE<< YOU
LOOKS SHALL SHOW<< we will not wait forever<<

THE U.S. WILL NOT BE MISSING YOU<<

THE FRONT PAGE FROM "HUMAN EVENTS" CONTAINS AN ARTICLE
ENTITLED "REPUBLICAN SENATORS TORPEDO DON DEVINE"

USCP CONTACTED THE HEADQUARTERS OF "HUMAN EVENTS" AND
DETERMINED THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 170 SUBSCRIBERS IN THE TUCSON
AREA. THE NAMES OF THOSE SUBSCRIBERS ARE UNAVAILABLE AT THIS
TIME.

BOTH SENATORS WERE NOTIFIED OF THE THREAT THROUGH USCP
CHANNELS. U.S. SECRET SERVICE PROVIDED A COPY OF LETTER AND
ENCLOSURE.

FBI, ANCHORAGE DIVISION, AT ANCHORAGE, FAIRBANKS, JUNEAU,
KETCHIKAN, Nome, Q, AND KODIAK, ALASKA.
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NOTIFY LOCAL AUTHORITIES OF THREAT SINCE SENATOR STEVENS
HAS OFFICES IN THOSE CITIES.

BALTIMORE DIVISION. AT BALTIMORE, CUMBERLAND, AND LANHAM, MD.

NOTIFY LOCAL AUTHORITIES OF THREAT SINCE SENATOR MATHIAS
HAS OFFICES IN THOSE CITIES.

PHOENIX DIVISION. AT TUCSON, ARIZONA.

CONDUCT APPROPRIATE EXTORTION INVESTIGATION AND CONTACT
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR PROSECUTIVE OPINION.

WASHINGTON FIELD. AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

WILL FORWARD EVIDENCE TO FBI LABORATORY FOR APPROPRIATE
ANALYSIS, WILL ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN LIST OF SUBSCRIBERS TO "HUMAN
EVENTS" NEWSPAPER IN THE TUCSON, ARIZONA AREA. WILL MAINTAIN
CONTACT WITH USCR.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

BT

30968

NNNN
PXO 002 198 1247Z
FR WFO
DE PX
R 17 1247Z JUL 85
RH: DPT (L) FM PHOENIX (9A-2397) (P)
TO DIRECTOR (ROUTINE)
ANCHORAGE (ROUTINE)
BALTIMORE (ROUTINE)
WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (ROUTINE)
BT
UNCLAS
ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT, CID.
UNSUB(S); SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR. - VICTIM; SENATOR TED STEVENS - VICTIM; EXTORTION. 00: PX.

RE WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE TELETYPE TO BUREAU, JULY 9, 1985.

ON JULY 15, 1985, CAPTIONED MATTER COORDINATED WITH SECRET SERVICE, PIMA COUNTY SO - INTELLIGENCE UNIT, AND TUCSON PD - SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT, ALL IN TUCSON, ARIZONA, WITHOUT EFFECTING IDENTITY OF ANY POSSIBLE SUSPECTS WHO COULD HAVE WRITTEN THE EXTORTION LETTER.

ON JULY 16, 1985, USA, TUCSON, ADVISED THAT ARMED AND DANGEROUS.
FACTS OF CAPTIONED MATTER MERIT FEDERAL PROSECUTION IF UNSUBS CAN BE IDENTIFIED.

RECEIVING OFFICES IN WHICH SENATOR STEVENS AND MATHIAS HAVE OFFICES REQUESTED TO ADVISE PHOENIX IF ANY SIMILAR LETTERS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED.

LEADS
WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE AT WASHINGTON, D.C. WILL FORWARD COPY OF LETTER TO PHOENIX. WHEN OBTAINED, FORWARD COPIES OF TUCSON SUBSCRIBERS TO HUMAN EVENTS.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
    (Attn: Personal Crimes Unit, CID, and FBI Laboratory, Document Section, Attn:)

FROM: SAC, WFO (9A-5281) (P) (C-4)

SUBJECT: UNSUB(S);
SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR. - VICTIM;
SENATOR TED STEVENS - VICTIM;
EXTORTION;
OO: PHOENIX

Reference: WFO teletype to Director, et al, 7/9/85.

Enclosed for the Laboratory Division are an envelope, letter, and newspaper clipping received at the Washington, D.C. office of Senator MATHIAS.

Enclosed for Anchorage, Baltimore, and Phoenix are xerox copies of the envelope, letter, and newspaper clipping.

Enclosures to the Laboratory should be forwarded to the Office of Origin upon completion of laboratory examinations.

Copies of the enclosures have been provided to the U. S. Capitol Police (USCP) and the U. S. Secret Service (USSS), Washington, D.C.

WFO is in contact with the management of Human Events newspaper and is attempting to obtain a list of subscribers in the Tucson area.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

6 - Bureau (4 - FBI Laboratory, Document Section) (Encl. 3)
(2 - Personal Crimes Unit, CID)
2 - Anchorage (Encl. 3)
2 - Baltimore (Encl. 3)
2 - Phoenix (Encl. 3)
2 - WFO
KDP 2dp (14)

Approved: __________________________  Transmitted: __________________________  Per: __________________________
REQUEST OF THE BUREAU:

Document Section: Compare enclosed letter with the anonymous letter file and conduct laboratory examinations for watermarks, indented writings, or any other physical characteristics deemed appropriate. Forward copy to ________ for psychological and demographic profiling of author and to determine validity of threat.

Latent Fingerprint Section: Develop any latent prints for future comparisons with known prints.

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE:

At Washington, D.C.: Will continue attempts to obtain a list of subscribers to the newspaper Human Events in the Tucson area. Will maintain contact with USCP and victim's office to determine if further letters are received.
Senators
Stevens & Mathias
The Senate
Washington, D.C. 20
Republican Senators Torpedo Don Devine

The decision of Dr. Donald J. Devine last week to withdraw from consideration for a second term as director of the U.S. Office of Personnel Management was understandable from a personal perspective. To have subjected himself, his wife, family and loyal aids to any longer to the Star Chamber-type of abuse dished out by the Senate Government Affairs Committee would have been more than should properly be expected of any man. For President Reagan, however, whom he served uncommonly well, and for the nation as a whole, Devine’s withdrawal represents a profound loss.

At a time when the federal deficit is a pressing threat to the national economy, Devine could take credit for having reduced the size of the non-defense federal bureaucracy by 10 percent. He turned a $400-million deficit in the federal employee health insurance program into a $2-billion surplus, ended what had been a scandalous abuse of disability retirement in the federal workforce, and initiated a policy of pay and promotion for federal employees based on performance and not merely the length of time in the job.

As a result of these and other reforms many believed impossible before he took office, Devine has already saved the taxpayers $6.4 billion administratively, and $2 billion more when you count reforms approved by Congress at his urging. Over the next five years, the savings directly attributable to changes wrought by Devine will total more than $20 billion. And, as Devine proposed to reform the Civil Service retirement system would have cut the system’s unfunded liability by $142 billion, while saving many billions more in future taxes.

Ironically, it was Devine’s very success in carrying out the President’s policies that made him an object of partisan political attack by congressional Democrats.

But what is most outrageous—and should not be forgotten—were the future elections—is that Devine’s withdrawal need never have happened to the inexcusable failure of Republican committee members—with the notable exception of Mississippi’s Thad Cochran—to defend the former University of Maryland professor against the absurd charge that he had clandestinely continued to run the OPM after his term as director expired March 25.

Under the law, as previously reported, OPM Deputy Director Loretta Cornelius became the interim acting director upon the expiration of Devine’s term. Expecting that Devine would be confirmed within a few days, Devine and Cornelius sought to minimize the disruption at the agency by having Devine serve briefly as Cornelius’ executive assistant.

Under an agreement between Cornelius and Devine, Devine, as executive assistant, was to have complete authority to perform all functions normally handled by the director, but his decisions were subject to approval by Cornelius.

After serving in the interim position for four weeks, Devine was asked to resign by Cornelius. He subsequently complained publicly that Devine, before leaving office, had delegated himself far broader authority in the temporary post than he had been aware of when the position was created.

For Devine’s Democratic foes on the Senate committee, led by Senators Thomas F. Eagleton (Mo.) and Carl Levin (Mich.), Cornelius’ charge was like manna from heaven. They quickly used it to claim that Devine had illegally sought, by deliberate subterfuge, to retain control of the agency.

But, as Devine, along with others,
**Capital Briefs**

**Vice President George Bush holds a strong lead in the California Field Poll for the 1988 GOP presidential nomination. Bush is the first choice of 30 percent of those surveyed, comfortably ahead of Sen. Bob Dole (14 percent), former Senate Majority Leader Howard Baker Jr. (11), California Gov. George Deukmejian (10), Rep. Jack Kemp (8) and former U.N. Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick (6).**

**California Democrats give Ted Kennedy an early lead over Gary Hart in the race for the Democratic nomination. Kennedy is the choice of 33 percent of those surveyed with Hart second at 27 percent and New York Gov. Mario Cuomo third at 15 percent. Trailing are Chrysler boss Lee Iacocca (8 percent), Sen. Bill Bradley (7) and Arizona Gov. Bruce Babbitt and Sen. Joe Biden (1 percent each).**

**A Libyan diplomat to the U.S. was expelled by the State Department last week for activities "incompatible with his status and illegal." That was a polite way of saying that Farhat Sibai, an administrative attaché at Libya's U.S. mission, was involved in a plot to assassinate anti-Communist Libyan dissidents in the United States. Libya, in its efforts to unseat Muammar Qaddafi, has set up groups to go abroad to "cause trouble, foment hostilities and stir up disorders wherever they are and liquidate them physically."**

**White House strategists were beaming last week after reading the results of the latest New York Times/CBS poll. The survey showed 69 percent of adult Americans believe the Reagan tax-cut plan is fair and the President's popularity has jumped three points to 59 percent. Forty-five percent of voters now identify themselves as Democrats, 44 percent as Republicans. Among 18-to-29-year-olds, the GOP holds a clear 51-to-42 edge. And by nearly 5-to-1, voters say the GOP is doing a better job than Democrats in reducing the deficits.**

**Department of labor blasts James Wieg hart, national political correspondent for the Scripps-Howard papers, recently told a Polish government official: "There's a movement in Washington to let Reagan be Reagan. We're doing everything we can to prevent that." Asked by New York magazine to elaborate, Wieg hart explained: "They are people like Pat Buchanan who want to let Reagan be Reagan, and that's a scary thought."**

**Rep. James Schauer (D-Wis.) is a co-sponsor of legislation to impose economic sanctions on South Africa. That didn't stop him from holding stock last year in that country's largest diamond mining company and in companies which do business there. Asked if there was a contradiction between his support of economic sanctions and his own holdings, Schauer answered: "Sure it is. Life is full of contradictions."**

**Sen. Al D'Amato appears to be in good shape as he sets up his re-election drive. A New York Daily News poll shows D'Amato leads Geraldine Ferraro by eight percentage points. Atty. Gen. Robert Abrams by 57-43, Brooklyn District Attorney Elizabeth Holtzman by 33 and former Gov. Hugh Carey by 37. D'Amato runs well among Irish-Catholic, Italian, Jewish and Independent voters and even carries New York City over Ferraro.**

**The Senate last week rejected four attempts to slash next year's $3.3 billion budget for the Strategic Defense Initiative dubbed "Star Wars" by foes. First it voted 76-to-1 against an amendment introduced by Sen. John Kerry (D-Mass.) to freeze the SDI budget at this year's level of $1.4 billion. By 67-to-38, it voted down an amendment offer ed by William Proxmire (D-Wis.) to increase the SDI budget to only $1.8 billion. And by 59-to-36, it rejected amendments by Spatz; Atty. Gen. John Ashcroft and John Glenn (D-Ohio) to lower the SDI budget to $2.5 billion and $2.8 billion.**

**Only in New York (one hopes): Teen-age homosexuals can now attend their own public high school in Manhattan. Twenty students - 14 boys and six girls - are now enrolled in the school, which is named for the late San Francisco gay activist Harvey Milk. The New York City Board of Education is operating the school in conjunction with the Insitute for the Protection of Gay and Lesbian Youth, a homosexual advocacy group financed in part by city and state taxpayers.**

**Charles M. Lichtenstein, who was Jeane Kirkpatrick's deputy at the United Nations, said last week that D.S. taxpayers are paying the salary of large numbers of Soviet intelligence agents. Lichtenstein estimated that of the $200 million contributed each year by the United States to the U.N., between $5 and $10 million goes to pay Soviet employees whose primary task is espionage.**

**Rep. Jack Kemp (R-N.Y.) is not the only lawmaker who wants to lower the 55 percent maximum rate in the Administration's tax package. Sen. Bob Packwood (R-Ore.), influential chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, last week told President Reagan he would like to see the top rate reduced to 25 percent. Packwood said that could be done by changing exemptions and exceptions in "three or four pieces" in the tax code.**

**Interest rates show no sign of leveling off. "Rates will continue to decline through 1986," predicts Deborah Johnson of Prudential-Bache Securities, Inc. "By the third quarter of next year, 30-year Treasury bonds will be down to about 8.5 percent from the current 10 percent." Conversions of 30-year mortgages are expected to drop to 11.5 percent and bank auto loans to 6.8 percent.**

---

**Human Events**

**The National Conservative Weekly**

**Founded 1944**


**Human Events is published weekly by Human Events, Inc., 422 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Copyright, 1985. Human Events, Inc. Second class postage paid at Washington, D.C. All subscriptions are available at $12 per year.**

**Address inquiries to:**

Human Events, Inc. 422 First Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003

**SUBSCRIPTION SERVICE FORM:**

My Name: [Blank line]

Address: [Blank line]

City: [Blank line]

State: [Blank line]

ZIP: [Blank line]

**SEND GIFT SUBSCRIPTION TO:**

Name: [Blank line]

Address: [Blank line]

City: [Blank line]

State: [Blank line]

ZIP: [Blank line]

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES:**

- 6 months $12
- 1 year $25
- 1st Class $30 extra on photo sheet of paper
- Gift Order

ADDRESS CHANGES: Write new address, city, state and ZIP code on photo sheet of paper. Attach mailing label from your issue of Human Events and mail to: Human Events, Inc., 422 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003. Allow 4 to 6 weeks for change to become effective.

Back Issues:

- 1 copy $1; 2-10, 75¢ ea.; 11-50, 50¢ ea.; 51-500, 25¢ ea.

Payment required with order.

Send me: [Blank line]

copies of issue dated [blank line]
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
(Attn: Personal Crimes Unit, CID)  

FROM: SAC, WFO (9A-5281) (C-4) (P)  

SUBJECT: UNSUB(S);  
SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR. - VICTIM;  
SENATOR TED STEVENS - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION;  
OO: PHOENIX  

Reference: WFO airtel dated 7/16/85.  

Enclosed for Phoenix is a computer printout containing 170 names of subscribers to HUMAN EVENTS newspaper.  

Phoenix’ attention is directed to the last name appearing on page 13 of the printout. It is [redacted].  
Number [redacted], Inmate Correspondence, 10000 S. Wilmont Rd., Tucson, Az., 85777.  

Contact with the U. S. Capitol Police reflects no further threatening letters have been received by either victim.  

A copy of the list of subscribers has been provided to the U. S. Capitol Police.  

WFO indices are negative re [redacted].  

WFO will maintain contact with Victims and U. S. Capitol Police for any further letters.  

ARMED AND DANGEROUS  

2 - Bureau (Personal Crimes Unit)  
2 - Phoenix (Enclosure - 1)  
4 - WFO  
KDP/kdp  
(5)
Indices Search Slip
FD-160 (Rev. 7-21-83)

TO: OFFICE SERVICES MANAGER

Subject

Social Security Account #

Inmate Correspondence

Address

100 S. Wilmont Rd. Tucson, AZ

Birth Date

Birthplace

Race

Sex

☐ Male

☐ Female

☐ Exact Spelling

☐ All References

☐ Main Criminal Case Files Only

☐ Main Security Case Files Only

☐ Main Criminal (if no Main, list all Security References)

☐ Main Security (if no Main, list all Security References)

☐ Restrict Locality of

File & Serial Number

Remarks

File & Serial Number

Remarks

Requested by

SA

WFO

Squad

Extension

File No.

☐ General Indices:

☐ Confidential Indices:

☐ ELSUR Indices:

Consolidated by

Date

Reviewed by

Date

File Review Symbols

I - Identical

? - Not identifiable

NI - Not identical

U - Unavailable reference
TRANSMIT VIA: AIRTEL
CLASSIFICATION: 
DATE: 8/19/85

FROM: Director, FBI
TO: SAC, Washington Field Office (9A-5281) (C-4)

UNSUB(S);
SENATOR CHARLES "MAC" MATHIAS, JR. - VICTIM;
SENATOR TED STEVENS - VICTIM;
EXTORTION

OO: Phoenix
(Laboratory #50723042 D VV VF)
Reference: Airtel dated 7/16/85

LABORATORY LINGUISTICS ANALYSIS

This will supplement the forthcoming Laboratory report wherein the submitted specimens, Q1 and Q2, are further described and their disposition set forth.

A forensic linguistic examination was conducted on photocopies of Q1 and Q2. It was concluded that there is insufficient material upon which to draw either demographic or psychological profiles or upon which to make a threat assessment. The specimens are, therefore, not being forwarded as requested. Photocopies of Q1 and Q2 are being retained for future comparisons in the event further extortion notes are received in this case. A reevaluation will be made at that time regarding psycholinguistic examination.

2 - Phoenix

clip provided to Att.

USCP, 9/12/85 K8

9A-5281-5

AUG 19 1985
REPORT of the
FBI LABORATORY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

To: SAC, Washington Field Office (9A-5281) (C-4) August 20, 1985

FBI FILE NO. 9-69057
LAB. NO. 50723042 D VW VF

Re: UNSUB(S);
SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR. — VICTIM;
SENATOR TED STEVENS — VICTIM;
EXTORTION

OO: Phoenix

Examination requested by: Washington Field Office
Reference: Communication dated July 16, 1985
Examination requested: Document — Fingerprint
Specimens received: July 22, 1985

Specimens:
Q1 Envelope postmarked "TUCSON, AZ 857 PM 1 JUL 1985," bearing hand printed address "Senators Stevens & Mathias The Senate Washington, D.C. 20"

Q2 Accompanying slip of paper bearing handwritten and hand printed note beginning "A few bullets wistling..."

ALSO SUBMITTED:

Newspaper clipping from "Human Events" newspaper dated 6/15/85

Result of examination:

As a result of a search through the Anonymous Letter File, handwriting similarities were observed which indicate specimens Q1 and Q2 could have been written by the subject of the following case:

Walter Treski, "Guralik";

Page 1
DEATH THREAT AGAINST CONGRESSMAN
CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH AND ASSASSINATION
THREAT AGAINST PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN,
EXTORTION (A)
OO: NK (9A-new)
WFO file 9A-4810
 Bufile 9-66875

In the absence of known and sufficiently comparable
writing, no meaningful handwriting examination could be conducted.
It is therefore recommended a sufficient amount of known writing
comparable in lettering (hand printing and cursive) and format
as that of Q1 and Q2 be obtained from WALTER TRESKI and submitted
for examination.

Specimens Q1 and Q2 were examined for watermarks and
indented writing of value with negative results.

The Identification Division, Latent Fingerprint Section,
has been requested to compare any prints found on Q1 and Q2
with the known prints of WALTER TRESKI, FBI #623236D.

Submitted specimens were photographed for record and
will be returned with the results of the latent fingerprint
examination. You will be advised separately concerning the
disposition of the ALSO SUBMITTED item.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Washington, D. C. 20537

REPORT
of the
LATENT FINGERPRINT SECTION
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

YOUR FILE NO. 9A-5281 (P) (C-4) 9/12/85
FBI FILE NO. 9-69057 C-49178
LATENT CASE NO.

TO: SAC, WFO

RE:
UNSUB(S);
SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR.- VICTIM;
SENATOR TED STEVENS - VICTIM;
EXTORTION

REFERENCE: Airtel 7/16/85
EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY: WFO
SPECIMENS:
Q1, envelope
Q2, slip of paper
Newspaper clipping

The listed specimens are further described in the Laboratory report, which is being furnished separately.

No latent prints of value were developed on the specimens, which are being forwarded to the Phoenix Division, as requested.

2 - Phoenix - Enc. (3)

THIS REPORT IS FURNISHED FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
(Attn: Personal Crimes Unit, CID, and FBI Laboratory, Document Section, Lab. No. 50723042 D W V VF)

FROM: SAC, WFO (9A-5281) (C-4) (P)

SUBJECT: UNSUB(S);  
SENATOR CHARLES Mcc. MATHIAS, JR. - VICTIM;  
SENATOR TED STEVENS - VICTIM;  
EXTORTION;  
OO: PHOENIX

Reference: FBI Lab report dated 8/20/85.

WFO file 9A-4810 was reviewed to determine if handwriting samples were available for submission to the Laboratory for comparison.

Evidence previously submitted by WFO in the case entitled UNSUB, aka Walter Treski,"Guralik", WFO file 9A-4810, has undergone laboratory treatment which has rendered the handwriting quite blurry.

Unless requested by the Office of Origin this evidence will not be resubmitted in this case.

WFO will leave the request to Newark Division to attempt to recontact Walter Treski and obtain additional handwriting to the discretion of Phoenix.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

4 - Bureau (2-Personal Crimes Unit)  
2 - Phoenix (2-Document Section, Laboratory)

1) WFO KDP/Kdp  
7)

Approved: Transmitted (Number) (Time)  
Per 9-5281-8

SEARCHED  
SERIALIZED  
RECEIVED  
Fl: 9/20/85
2/5/86

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
(ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT)

FROM: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (9A-5281) (P) (C-4)

UNSUB(S);
SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR. - VICTIM;
SENATOR TED STEVENS - VICTIM;
EXTORTION;
(00:PX)

ReWFOtel to Director, dated 7/9/85; WFO airtel to Director, dated 8/5/85; and WFO airtel to Director, dated 9/20/85.

U.S. Capitol Police have requested status of investigation regarding [REDACTED] and WALTER TRESKI.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT)

FROM: SAC, WFO (9A-5281)(C-4)(P)

UNSUB(s):
SENATOR CHARLES M C MATHIAS, JR.—VICTIM;
SENATOR TED STEVENS—VICTIM;
EXTORTION
(GO:PX)

Re WFO airtel to Bureau dated 2/5/86.

The United States Capitol Police has requested the status of the investigation so they can conclude their threat assessment for Senators STEVENS & MC MATHIAS.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT

FROM: SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD (9A-5281) (C-4) (P)

UNSUB(S):
SENATOR CHARLES MC MATHIAS, JR.—VICTIM;
SENATOR TED STEVENS—VICTIM;
EXTORTION
OO: PX

Re WFO airtel to Bureau, dated 3/7/86.

The UNITED STATES CAPITOL POLICE (USCP) has requested the status of the investigation so they can conclude their threat assessment for Senators STEVENS and MC MATHIAS.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS
6/23/86

TO
DIRECTOR, F.B.I. ATTACH PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT

FRM
SAC, WFO (GA 5281)(c 4)(c)

UNKNOWN(S);
SENATOR CHARLES HATCH, JR. VICTIM
SENATOR TED STEVENS VICTIM;
EXTENSION
00 P.

Re: WFO airtel to Bureau dated 4/29/86.

The UNITED STATES CAPITOL POLICE has requested the
status of the investigation so they can conclude their threat
assessment for Senators STEVENS and HATCH.

AMPD AND DANGEROUS

2 Bureau
2 Phoenix

TF: dj
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, PHOENIX (9A-2397) (C)
SUBJECT: UNSUB;
SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIS, JR. - VICTIM;
SENATOR TED STEVENS - VICTIM;
EXTORTION;
OO: PX

Re PX teletype to Director 7/16/85.

Enclosed is copy of FD-302 interview of WALTER TRESKI.

On 7/9/85, U.S. Capitol Police, Washington, D.C., turned over to the FBI a letter received on 7/8/85 at the Washington, D.C., Office of Senator MATHIS. The one-page letter was attached to a page from the newspaper "Human Events" dated 6/15/85. The front page from "Human Events" contained an article entitled "Republican Senators Torpedo Don Devine". The letter contained threats to both Senators STEVENS and MATHIS. The letter was postmarked Tucson, Arizona, dated 7/1/85.

U.S. Secret Service, Washington, D.C., was provided a copy of the letter.

On 7/15/85, captioned matter was coordinated with U.S. Secret Service, Pima County Sheriff's Office-Intelligence Division, Tucson Police Department-Special Investigations Unit; all at Tucson, without effecting the identity of any possible suspect who could have written the extortion letter.
A computer printout of 170 Arizona subscribers was furnished by "Human Events". Attention was drawn to the name [Redacted], inmate # [Redacted], Arizona State Prison, 10000 S. Wilmot, Tucson, Arizona. The file of [Redacted] was reviewed and handwriting exemplars were obtained. A visual comparison of [Redacted] handwriting was compared to the extortion letter which reflected that these handwritings did not appear identical.

A forensic linguistic examination was conducted on the envelope and extortion letter and it was concluded there was insufficient material upon which to draw either demographic or psychological profiles or upon which to make a threat assessment.

FBI Latent Fingerprint Division processed the envelope and extortion letter and no latent prints of value were developed.

As a result of a search through the anonymous letter file, handwriting similarities were observed which indicated that the envelope and extortion letter could have been written by the subject of the following case:

UNSUB, aka
Walter Treski,
"Guralik";
DEATH THREAT AGAINST CONGRESSMAN CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH, AND ASSASSINATION THREATS AGAINST
PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN;
EXTORTION (A);
CO: NEWARK
(9A-NEW)
WFO file 9A-4810
Bufile 9-66875

The FBI Lab requested a sufficient amount of known handwriting comparable in lettering and format as that of the envelope and extortion letter be obtained from WALTER TRESKI and submitted for examination.

The envelope and extortion letter were examined for water marks and indented writing of value with negative results.

Newark Division advised on 1/6/86 that WALTER TRESKI was interviewed by Buagents on 12/17/85. TRESKI refused to furnish handwriting samples, denied writing the letter to Senators MATHIS and STEVENS, and stated he had never been to Tucson, Arizona.
TRESKI had previously been interviewed by agents of the Trenton RA and the results are contained in FD-302 interview on 7/30/82 at Trenton, New Jersey. A review of the interview will provide insightful view of TRESKI.

No further letters have been received by any offices of Senators STEVENS or MATHIS.

In that no subject has been identified, the Phoenix Division of the FBI is conducting no further investigation concerning captioned matter.
Walter Walentin Treski, also known as (aka) Guralik, 331 Ellis Avenue, Trenton, New Jersey, telephone 609-393-2346, was interviewed at his residence and furnished the following information:

He advised he is a Polish immigrant having come to the U.S. at age 15. He thereafter became a naturalized American citizen. He completed his high school education at Trenton, New Jersey, and attended college in the State of Maryland where he graduated with an Engineering Degree. He advised he is currently unemployed.

He advised that from March of 1959 to the present, individuals he refers to as "boolas" have been using psychosis against him which has changed his entire psychology "from being a very big man in everything to a big punk in everything." He advised that boolas are the tycoons and are the individuals who are the richest of the rich. He advised these boolas communicate to him through the media, such as television and the newspapers, and have changed him into someone who is always tired, disinterested, and incapable of thinking things out completely.

He commented that he considers himself to be a "small-timer," which he stated means anyone who has small success or a small business. He advised that small-timers are at the mercy of the boolas who are in control of everything in this country. He advised in this regard he has written numerous letters stating his views and beliefs to the Pentagon, Washington officials, the New York Times, and Mayor Koch of New York City.

He recalled recently writing two letters to the Mayor of Washington, D.C. In the first, he explained his personal philosophy as indicated above and also requested the mayor to have the small-timers in Washington dispose of his (Treski's) congressman, Christopher H. Smith, by killing him. In the second letter, he described U.S. President Ronald Reagan as a criminal, who is no good to the country, and requested the mayor to have the Council of Churches hit the President and have him assassinated.

He advised, in his opinion, all of the U.S. Presidents are the tycoons' lackeys and that the justices of the U.S. Supreme Court are their covert lackeys. He advised, in his opinion, the President is not enforcing the laws of the U.S. of America and is, therefore, committing crimes against the people, and he should, therefore, be killed by him or
someone else. He advised, however, at the present time he had no intention of assassinating the President in that he felt he could rectify the current political situation by writing letters to various officials, requesting their cooperation in changing things. In this regard, he is in the process of composing a letter to the U.S. Congress explaining his views which he plans on sending in the near future.

Mr. Treski stated he is not a communist or a socialist, but strongly felt that no one in the U.S. should be permitted to have personal wealth in excess of $10 million. He stated it was his aim to change the current situation in which a large amount of money is in the hands of a few and distribute it more equitably among the small-timers.

The following descriptive information was obtained through observation and interview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Walter Valentin Treski, aka Guralik, Walter Treski, Młodysław Treszczyński</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Birth</td>
<td>January 23, 1935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of Birth</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>6'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>Gray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security #</td>
<td>205-26-1074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Bureau Identification #</td>
<td>New Jersey 639313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI #</td>
<td>G2323GD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrests</td>
<td>October, 1960 - Riverside, New Jersey, Police Department, Disorderly Conduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November, 1960 - Riverside, New Jersey, Police Department, Disorderly Conduct/Molestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naturalized</td>
<td>May 25, 1956, in U.S. District Court, Trenton, New Jersey, under #7477188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(changed name at this time from Młodysław Treszczyński to Walter Valentin Treski)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prior Residences
From birth to 1934, resided in Russia
From 1934-1950, resided in Germany
From 1950-Present, resides in Trenton, New Jersey

Education
Graduated Trenton Junior College in 1956, receiving an Associate of Arts Degree
Graduated University of Maryland in 1959, with a Bachelor of Arts in Engineering

Wife [Name], (married [Name], but currently divorced)

Also present during the interview of Treski was U.S. Secret Service Agent [Name].
TO:        DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT)  
FROM:     SAC, PHOENIX (9A-2397) (RUC)

SUBJECT:  UNSUBS;
          SENATOR CHARLES McCATHIAS, JR. — VICTIM;
          SENATOR TED STEVENS — VICTIM;
          EXTORTION;
          CO: PHOENIX

Re Phoenix airtel to the Director, dated 2/19/86, and Washington Field Office airtel to the Director, dated 4/29/86.

Enclosed for Washington Field Office is a copy of Phoenix airtel to the Director, dated 2/19/86, and a copy of an FD-302 reflecting interview of WALTER WALENTIN TRESKI at Trenton, New Jersey on 7/30/82.

The enclosed airtel and FD-302 are self-explanatory and at the present time, this matter is considered RUC.

2 - Bureau
2 - Washington Field Office (9A-5281) (Enc. 2)
1 - Phoenix
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COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
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NR-12 WF CODE

8:50 PM IMMEDIATE 7-15-71 DLE
TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)
ATTN: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION
BOSTON
LOS ANGELES
FROM WASHINGTON FIELD SIX PAGES

MC LEX NATIONALITIES INTELLIGENCE SUMMARY.

J. FRED BUZARDT, GENERAL COUNSEL, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,
FURNISHED THE FOLLOWING THIS DATE:

SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JUNIOR OF MARYLAND, MADE
AVAILABLE FOR INSPECTION TO BUZARDT CERTAIN DOCUMENTS WHICH
MATHIAS RECEIVED FROM DANIAL ELLSBERG IN THE SPRING OF
NINETEEN SEVENTYONE.

BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, BUZARDT SAID THAT IN MAY NINETEEN
SEVENTY MATHIAS MET ELLSBERG IN FLIGHT FROM SAINT LOUIS
TO WASHINGTON, D.C. (WDC), WHEN ELLSBERG SET NEXT TO
MATHIAS INTRODUCED HIMSELF. THEY HAD A DISCUSSION CONCERNING
THE WAR IN VIETNAM AND SUBSEQUENTLY, IN LATE WINTER OR
EARLY SPRING OF NINETEEN SEVENTYONE ELLSBERG VISITED THE
SENATOR'S OFFICE TO FURTHER DISCUSS THE VIETNAMESE WAR

WITH MATHIAS AND A STAFF ASSISTANT. SUBSEQUENTLY, MATHIAS

END PAGE ONE Adm. data deleted
TELETYPED TO: FTB

Deleted Copy Sent by Letter Dated 2/16/75
Per FOIPA Request
PAGE TWO

RECEIVED A LETTER FROM ELLSBERG WHICH ENCLOSED A COPY OF AN ARTICLE THE LATTER HAD WRITTEN FOR THE QUOTE WASHINGTON POST UNQUOTE NEWSPAPER. A COUPLE OF WEEKS LATER ELLSBERG AGAIN VISITED MATHIAS' OFFICE, BELIEVED TO BE IN ABOUT APRIL OR MAY NINETEEN SEVENTYONE, AT WHICH TIME HE FURNISHED THE STAFF ASSISTANT, SUPRA, A PACKAGE OF DOCUMENTS FOR MATHIAS TO READ. THIS PACKAGE WAS PLACED IN THE OFFICE SAFE WITHOUT IT HAVING BEEN OPENED. SOMETIME LATER AND PRIOR TO THE QUOTE NEW YORK TIMES UNQUOTE TIMES DISCLOSURES ELLSBERG SAW MATHIAS IN THE SENATE RESTAURANT AND ASKED MATHIAS IF HE HAD READ THE DOCUMENTS. THE SENATOR RESPONDED IN THE NEGATIVE AND DID NOT OPEN THE PACKAGE OF DOCUMENTS UNTIL AFTER ELLSBERG HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED AS THE SOURCE OF THE QUOTE TIMES UNQUOTE DISCLOSURES.

BUZHRADT HAS EXAMINED THE DOCUMENTS GIVEN MATHIAS BY ELLSBERG AND BUZHRADT DETERMINED THAT THEY ARE A XEROXED COPY OF NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL (NSC) STUDY MEMORANDUM NUMBER ONE DATED NINETEEN SIXTYNINE. IT CONCERNS BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON VIETNAM.

END PAGE TWO
BUZHRADT EXPLAINED THAT NSC SENT A QUESTIONNAIRE CONCERNING VIETNAM TO A NUMBER OF GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, INCLUDING STATE; AMERICAN EMBASSY, SAIGON; COMMANDGO GENERAL ABRAMS IN VIETNAM, CIA, TREASURY, AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE. NSC SUBSEQUENTLY SUMMARIZED RESPONSES RECEIVED FROM ABOVE QUESTIONNAIRE AND RESPONSES WERE USED AS QUOTE INPUT UNQUOTE FOR STUDY MEMORANDUM NUMBER ONE.

THIS MEMORANDUM WAS THE FIRST STUDY OF THE VIETNAM SITUATION CONDUCTED FOR THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION. BUZHRADT SAID ELLSBERG TOLD MATHIAS THAT ELLSBERG PREPARED THE QUESTIONS USED IN THIS STUDY. BUZHRADT IS OF THE BELIEF THAT ELLSBERG WORKED AS A CONSULTANT FOR NSC, BUT HE HAS NOT CORROBORATED IT. HE CONCLUDED THAT ELLSBERG PROBABLY WORKED UNDER PRESIDENTIAL ADVISOR KISSINGER.

WITH RESPECT TO THE DOCUMENTS FURNISHED MATHIAS BY ELLSBERG, BUZHRADT SAID THAT THEY ARE A PACKAGE APPROXIMATELY FOUR INCHES THICK AND CONTAINED PAPERS CLASSIFIED FROM CONFIDENTIAL UP TO AND INCLUDING SECRET. BUZHRADT RECALLED THAT INCLUDED IN THE PACKAGE IS A NINETEEN SIXTY-SIX STATE

END PAGE THREE
DEPARTMENT STUDY OF PACIFICATION IN VIETNAM AND A FOUR OR FIVE PAGE CABLE CLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL AND COVERING PROBLEMS IN VIETNAM. HE RECALLS THESE PARTICULAR DOCUMENTS IN THAT THEY ARE OUT OF CONTEXT WITH THE REMAINDER OF THE MATERIAL IN THE PACKAGE.

MATHIAS' FIRST QUESTION TO BUZHRADT WAS WHETHER THE PACKAGE OF MATERIAL COULD BE DECLASSIFIED. BUZHRADT REQUESTED THAT THE MATERIAL BE TURNED OVER TO HIM, BUT MATHIAS DECLINED STATING THAT HE DESIRED TO FIRST DISCUSS THE MATTER WITH SENATOR HUGH SCOTT, ADDING THAT HE WOULD QUOTE GET BACK TO UNQUOTE BUZHRADT. BUZHRADT HAS HAD NO FURTHER WORD ON THIS MATTER FROM MATHIAS.

BUZHRADT OFFERED THE OPINION THAT AFTER EXAMINING THE MATERIAL IN MATHIAS' POSSESSION (SUPRA), IT IS NOT NEWSWORTHY, ALTHOUGH, IT DOES CONTAIN SOME VERY SENSITIVE MATERIAL. THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE HAS NO INDICATION THAT QUOTE TIMES UNQUOTE OR QUOTE WASHINGTON POST UNQUOTE HAVE COPIES OF IT. BUZHRADT IS OF THE OPINION THAT THIS MATERIAL WAS

END PAGE FOUR
HASTILY THROWN TOGETHER BY ELLSBERG IN THAT IT CONTAINS DUPLICATES. BUZHRDT SAID FURTHER THAT SENATOR MATHIAS HAD NOT ACTUALLY READ ALL OF THE MATERIAL ON THE OCCASION OF THEIR MEETING.

ADMINISTRATIVE

WFO AGENTS CLARIFIED QUESTIONS BROUGHT ABOUT BY MEMORANDUM SUBMITTED THE BUREAU ON THE PART OF , CHIEF INVESTIGATIONS BRANCH, OSD, DURING INTERVIEW WITH J. FRED BUZHRDT THIS DATE. ADDITIONALLY CLARIFICATION RECEIVED WITH RESPECT TO TRANSCRIPT BUZHRDT LEFT WITH ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL MARDIAN. WAS INTERVIEWED JULY FOURTEEN LAST ON SAME SUBJECT MATTER. DETAILS FOLLOW BY AIRTEL.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF BUREAU, REFERENCE IS MADE TO LOS ANGELES REPORT OF SPECIAL AGENT , DATED JULY NINE LAST CONCERNING ELLSBERG, WHEREIN THE TESTimony OF ELLSBERG AS A DEFENSE WITNESS IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF MINNEAPOLIS ON JANUARY FOURTEEN LAST IS ET FORTH. PAGES TWENTYSEVEN AND TWENTYEIGHT OF PARTICIPATION IN A SURVEY CONDUCTED BY THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WHICH WOULD APPEAR IDENTICAL WITH THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL STUDY DESCRIBED BY BUZHRDT.

END PAGE FIVE
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FBI

Date: 7/26/71

Transmit the following in

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
ATTENTION: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

FROM: SAC, WFO (P)

MC LEK
NATIONALITIES INTELLIGENCE
(OO: LA)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE: 12/62 BY SEPTEMBER

Enclosed for the Bureau are five copies each of two LHM's captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE - X" and dated as above. Two copies of each LHM are enclosed for Boston, Los Angeles and New York.

Enclosed LHM's are further described as follows:

1. LHM captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE - X" containing FD 302 setting forth interview with J. FRED BUCHARDT, General Counsel, Department of Defense (DOD), in which BUCHARDT described copies of certain documents in possession of Senator CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR., of Maryland.

2. LHM captioned "DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE - X" containing FD 302 setting forth a summary of the DOD Personnel File of DANIEL ELLSBERG.

ENCLOSURE

1 - WFO
2 - Boston (Enc. 10)
2 - Los Angeles (Enc. 4) (RM)
2 - New York (Enc. 4) (RM)
2 - WFO

CAR: 

(9)

M Per

Special Agent in Charge

Approved: 9/7 AUG 1971
Sent

Deleté Copy Sent by Letter Dated 9-16-75

REC 17-65-414560-765

ST 104

JUL 29 1971
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b7E
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO

DANIEL ELLSBERG, ESPIONAGE DASH X.

THIS AFTERNOON WHILE BEING INTERVIEWED ON
UNRELATED MATTER SENATOR CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR.,
VOLUNTEERED THE FOLLOWING TO SA:

5/70 HE FIRST MET DANIEL ELLSBERG IN APPROXIMATELY
MAY, NINETEEN SEVENTY, WHEN SENATOR MATHIAS HAD BEEN A
GUEST AT ST. LOUIS UNIVERSITY, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI. ELLSBERG
ACCOMPANIED SENATOR MATHIAS ON THE RETURN AIRPLANE TRIP TO
WASHINGTON, D. C. SENATOR MATHIAS HAD BEEN A CLOSE FRIEND
OF THE LATE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE JOHN MC NAUGHTON
AND HIS CONVERSATION WITH ELLSBERG RELATED IN GENERAL TERMS
TO THE VIETNAM WAR. SENATOR MATHIAS DOES NOT RECALL
SPECIFICALLY WHETHER ANY SUBSEQUENT CORRESPONDENCE IS
CONTAINED IN HIS FILE CONCERNING ELLSBERG.

RTT/cjk

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent
IN APPROXIMATELY FEBRUARY, NINeteen seventy-one

ELLSBERG VISITED SENATOR MATHIAS'S OFFICE AT THE OLD
SENATE OFFICE BUILDING AND LEFT A SEALED PACKET FOR
DELIVERY TO THE SENATOR, WHO DOES NOT RECALL HAVING ANY
CONVERSATION WITH HIM DURING THIS VISIT. ON OR ABOUT
JUNE EIGHTEEN, LAST, SENATOR MATHIAS BECAME AWARE THROUGH
NEWSPAPER PUBLICITY OF ELLSBERG ALLEGED INVOLVEMENT IN
RELEASING CERTAIN SECRET DOCUMENTS TO THE NEW YORK TIMES
NEWSPAPER. AT THIS TIME HE EXAMINED THE SEALED PACKETS
OF DOCUMENTS WHICH HAD BEEN DISPOSED IN SENATOR MATHIAS'S
PERSONAL OFFICE DESK, BROKE THE SEAL AND EXAMINED THE
DOCUMENTS CONTAINED THEREIN. HE DESCRIBED THESE AS BEING
COPIES OF AN ORIGINAL DOCUMENT AND CONTAINED AT THE TOP OF
EACH PAGE THE STATEMENT QUOTE SECRET DASH SENSITIVE UNQUOTE.
IN THIS EXAMINATION HE DETERMINED THE DOCUMENTS RELATED TO
VIETNAM AND WERE PHRASED IN QUESTION AND ANSWER FORMAT.
UPON HIS DISCOVERY OF THE CONTEXT OF THE PACKET, SENATOR MATHIAS TELEPHONED ATTORNEY GENERAL JOHN MITCHELL AND ADVISED HIM OF HIS POSSESSION OF THE DOCUMENTS FURNISHED HIM BY ELLSBERG. ATTORNEY GENERAL MITCHELL SUGGESTED THE SENATOR CONTACT ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ROBERT MARDIAN AND INFORM HIM OF HIS DISCOVERY. MR. MARDIAN RESPONDED TO SENATOR MATHIAS THAT HE OR AN EMBASSARY WOULD DROP BY HIS OFFICE WITHIN THE NEXT DAY OR TWO AND DISCUSS THE PAPERS. IMMEDIATELY UPON TELEPHONING THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND MR. MARDIAN, SENATOR MATHIAS DICTATED A MEMO OF CONVERSATION AND FORWARDED THIS TO THEIR RESPECTIVE OFFICES. THUS FAR NEITHER MR. MARDIAN OR ANY EMBASSARY HAS CONTACTED SENATOR MATHIAS'S OFFICE.

SENATOR MATHIAS, FOLLOWING THE FOREGOING CONVERSATION WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND MR. MARDIAN RESEALED THE PACKET OF PAPERS AND CONTACTED
OF THE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS, U.S. SENATE, AND REQUESTED HE STORE THESE SEALED PAPERS AS THE SENATOR'S PERSONAL PROPERTY IN THE COMMITTEE SAFE. IN SENATOR MATHIAS'S OPINION THE COMMITTEE SAFE WAS A LOGICAL REPOSITORY FOR THE PAPERS.

SENATOR MATHIAS OFFERED TO MAKE THESE PAPERS AVAILABLE TO SA FOR EXAMINATION NOTING THAT HE WAS LEAVING WASHINGTON, D.C. THIS AFTERNOON AND WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE FOR RECONTACT UNTIL WEDNESDAY, JUNE THIRTY, NEXT. AGENT DID NOT FEEL HE SHOULD ACCEPT THE PAPERS AT THIS TIME NOTING THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL HAVE BEEN CONTACTED PREVIOUSLY IN THIS REGARD.

WFO TAKING NO ACTION AT THIS TIME PENDING ADVISE FROM THE BUREAU. IT IS TO BE NOTED THAT THE SENATOR WILL MAKE THE PAPERS AVAILABLE ON OR ABOUT JUNE THIRTY, NEXT. P.
Washington, D.C. 20535
July 26, 1971

DANIEL ELLSBERG
ESPIONAGE - X

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 1-22-82 BY SP2 TAP/MAH

5 - Bureau (65-74060)
2 - Boston
2 - Los Angeles
2 - New York
5 - WFO

CAR: vrb
(12)
J. FRED BUZHRADT, General Counsel, Department of Defense (DOD), furnished the following information:

Senator CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR., of Maryland, made available for inspection to BUZHRADT documents which he had received from DANIEL ELLSBERG in the Spring of 1971.

By way of background, BUZHRADT related that in May, 1970, MATHIAS met ELLSBERG in a flight from St. Louis, Missouri, to Washington, D. C. (WDC), when ELLSBERG, who was sitting next to MATHIAS on the plane, introduced himself. The two of them then had a discussion concerning the war in Vietnam and subsequently, in the late Winter of 1970 or early Spring of 1971, ELLSBERG visited the Senator’s office to further discuss the Vietnamese War with MATHIAS and a staff assistant. Thereafter MATHIAS received a letter from ELLSBERG which enclosed a copy of an article the latter had written for "The Washington Post - Times Herald" (Post) newspaper. A couple of weeks later ELLSBERG again visited MATHIAS’ office in about April or May, 1971, at which time ELLSBERG furnished the previously mentioned staff assistant a package of documents for Senator MATHIAS to read. This package was placed unopened in the office safe of MATHIAS. At a later time, prior to "The New York Times" (Times) disclosures ELLSBERG saw MATHIAS in the Senate Restaurant and asked him if he had read the documents. The Senator responded in the negative and did not open the package until sometime after ELLSBERG had been identified as the source of the "Times" disclosures.

BUZHRADT has examined the documents given MATHIAS by ELLSBERG and has determined they are Xeroxed copies of National Security Council (NSC) Study Memorandum Number One dated 1969. This memorandum contains background information on Vietnam.
BUZHARDT explained that NSC had sent a questionnaire concerning Vietnam to a number of government agencies, including the United States Department of State (USDS); American Embassy, Saigon, Vietnam; General ABRAHAM Command in Vietnam; Central Intelligence Agency (CIA); United States Treasury Department; and BOD. NSC subsequently summarized responses received from above questionnaire, and these responses are used as "input" for Study Memorandum Number One.

This memorandum was the first study of the Vietnam situation conducted for the President NIXON Administration. ELLISENDE told MATHIAS that he had prepared the questions used in the questionnaire. BUSHARDT is of the belief that ELLISENDE worked for a time as a consultant to NSC. He concluded that ELLISENDE probably worked under Presidential Advisor, HENRY A. KISSINGER.

With respect to the documents furnished to MATHIAS by ELLISENDE, BUSHARDT described the package as approximately four inches thick containing paper classified from "Confidential" up to and including "Secret." BUSHARDT recalled that included in the package is a 1966 USDS Department Study concerning pacification in Vietnam, and a four or five page cable classified "Confidential" covering problems in Vietnam. BUSHARDT recalled these particular documents in that they are out of context with the remainder of the material in the package.

MATHIAS' first question to BUSHARDT was whether the material in the package could be declassified. BUSHARDT requested that the material be turned over to him, but MATHIAS declined stating that he desired to first discuss the matter with Senator HUGH SCOTT of Pennsylvania, adding that he would "get back to" BUSHARDT. BUSHARDT has had no further word on this matter from MATHIAS.
BUZHARDT offered the opinion after having examined the above material in MATHIAS' possession, that this material is not newsworthy, although it does contain some very "sensitive" material. BUZHARDT stated that DOD has no indication that the "Times" or "Post" have copies of this material. He is of the opinion that this material was hastily thrown together by ELLISBERG in that it contains some duplicates of documents. BUZHARDT said further that Senator MATHIAS had not actually read all the material on the occasion of their meeting.
CLEAR

SUBJECT: POSSIBLE THREAT AGAINST 
U.S. SENA TO CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS OF MARYLAND; FEBRUARY 
18 THRU 21, 1977, CONGRESSIONAL ASSASSINATION STATUTE. 

AT 12:55 PM, FEBRUARY 21, 1977, OFFICER _______ , 
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. (WDC), TELEPHONE 
NUMBER 224-3171, ADVISED THAT HE RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL 
FROM _______ FOR U.S. SENATOR 
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS OF MARYLAND, ROOM 358, RUSSELL SENATE 
OFFICE BUILDING, WDC, TELEPHONE NUMBER 224-4654, CONCERNING 
A POSSIBLE THREAT AGAINST THE LIFE OF SENATOR MATHIAS.

_______ , SUPRA, ADVISED THEIR OFFICE EMPLOYS A 
CODE-A-PHONE WHICH ACTIVATES A RECORDING REQUESTING THE CALLER 

KPD Intell advised on 2/22/77, at 8:22 AM.

FILE STRIPPED
Date: 2/24/77
Initials: OMA
TO LEAVE A MESSAGE. THEIR CODE-A-PHONE "AS TURNED ON AT ABOUT 5:30 PM, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1977 AND WAS OPERATIVE CONTINUOUSLY UNTIL ABOUT 9:00 AM MONDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 1977. DURING THIS PERIOD A TOTAL OF 22 CALLS WERE RECEIVED AND MESSAGES RECORDED FROM AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS TELEPHONE NUMBER STATED THAT HE HAD "EXPERIENCE WITH MENTAL INSTITUTIONS, MAINLY AND THAT HE TOOK ADVANTAGE OF BEING THERE BY LEARNING EVERYTHING HE COULD ". DURING ANOTHER MESSAGE LEFT, STATED, "WHEN I WAS 16 YEARS OLD, I HAD 4 BIG GUNS BEHIND ME: TWO 90'S AND TWO 120'S. ENOUGH TO BLOW UP THE GOD DAMNED NATION'S CAPITAL OFF THE MAP". ADVISED THAT IS KNOWN TO HER OFFICE AS A FREQUENT CALLER AND IS NOT KNOWN TO HAVE A VIOLENT DISPOSITION TOWARD THE SENATOR. SHE STATED THAT MANY OF THE RECORDED CALLS RECEIVED SOUNDED AS THOUGH WAS INTOXICATED AND THAT WAS NOT CONSIDERED A SERIOUS THREAT.
SPECIAL AGENT (SA) [Redacted], U.S. SECRET SERVICE (USSS), INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, WASHINGTON, D.C. WAS CONTACTED AND ADVISED OF THE ABOVE.

ADMINISTRATIVE: TELEPHONE CALL FROM U.S. CAPITOL POLICE RECEIVED AT WFO AND NOTIFICATION TO USSS MADE BY SA [Redacted].

LEAD: AT BALTIMORE

(1) NOTIFY APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES.

(2) CONSIDER INTERVIEW OF [Redacted].

ST
TO: SAC, WFO
FROM: SAC, BALTIMORE (89-155) RUC
SUBJECT: POSSIBLE THREAT AGAINST U. S. SENATOR CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS REVIEWED
OF MARYLAND; 2/18-21/77; DATE
CONGRESSIONAL ASSASSINATION STATUTE
OO: WFO

Re WFO teletype to Baltimore, 2/21/77.

On February 22, 1977, Detective Intelligence Division, Prince Georges County Police Department, Forestville, Maryland, was advised of the possible threats against Senator CHARLES MATHIAS as well as the fact that these threats were allegedly coming from . A check of the Central Records of the Prince Georges County Police Department disclosed that had been

In view of the fact that referenced teletype advised that the receptionist of Senator MATHIAS has had numerous telephonic contact with and that is not considered to be a serious threat, no interview of is being conducted.

Approved: _______ Sent _______ M Per _______
Special Agent in Charge

FBI WASHFIELD OFFICE
MARCH 15, 1977
INITIALS: MM

CONSOLIDATED
Search: 3/17/77
INDEXED
SERIALIZED
FILN者
MAR 1, 1977
On 7/11/78, Senator Charles Mathias, Jr., advised SA Ronald Heller, Legal Liaison and Congressional Affairs Unit, that captioned KGB official, employed at the United Nations, New York City, met with him on 7/10/78 in Washington, D.C.

RECOMMENDATION:

None. For information.

Addendum: Senator Mathias met previously with Mr. Coulson and Mr. Heller. After which we provided him with no data and intelligence affiliation. This was handled thru Senate Intel Comm. Of which Mathias is a member.

EX-110
DE-48
V-1
REC-33

17 JUL 24 1978

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
TO: Mr. W. O. Cregar
FROM: E. C. Peterson
SUBJECT:

DATE: 9/26/78

By attached letter and memorandum dated September 20, 1978, Mr. ______ Intelligence Oversight Board (IOB), furnished to the Director details of his contact with the subject on September 15 and 16, 1978. (S)(U)

On September 21, 1978, Mr. ______ for the IOB, delivered the attachments to the Director's Office personally. Mr. ______ advised SA ______ that Mr. ______ had learned additional information from which he was reluctant to include in his report. Mr. ______ stated Mr. ______ desired the FBI be made aware of this information and take action as requested by him. Mr. ______ learned from ______

Congressman John Brademas, Representative from Indiana. The fact that Mr. Brademas acted as a sponsor indicated to Mr. ______ that Mr. Brademas was acquainted with ______. To what extent they are acquainted he was not aware. He would like to insure, however, that Mr. Brademas is alerted to the intelligence connection of ______. He would like to be advised by the FBI when Mr. Brademas is advised of connection and would also like to be advised if we do not intend to notify Mr. Brademas of ______ connection. (S)(U)

A review of ______ file does not indicate any known contacts between ______ and Mr. Brademas. ______ has been in contact with a number of individuals on Capitol Hill, most recently Senator Charles Mathias, Jr., Senator from Maryland. (S)(U)

Enclosure

SECRET

CONTINUED - OVER

Classified by 6588
Exempt from CRS, Categories 2 and 3
Date of Declassification Indefinite

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
Mr. Gallagher

F. H. Cooke

UNKNOWN SUBJECT; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER,
WASHINGTON, D. C., AUGUST 4-5, 1975
POSSIBLE TGP

UNKNOWN SUBJECT; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES M. C. MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, NOVEMBER 7-9, 1975
POSSIBLE TGP

Attn: Mr. Harward

The purpose of this memorandum is to advise of the current status of the investigation concerning the burglaries of the residences of Senators Howard Baker and Charles M. Mathias, Jr.

As you are aware, Senators Baker and Mathias met with the Director on 11/17/75 and requested FBI investigation into the burglaries of their residences as they noted similarities and Senator Baker felt that there may be a wiretap on his house. Deputy Attorney General Harold L. Tyler, Jr., authorized a Bureau investigation.

A comparison of the burglaries has determined there were few similarities between the two and Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) and Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD) officials have indicated the burglaries do not appear to be professional in nature.

Senator Baker's residence was examined by the FBI Laboratory and no evidence of a wiretap or hidden microphone developed. Senator Baker advised of this by letter dated 12/11/75.

has been developed as a suspect in the burglary of Senator Baker's residence due to his romantic involvement with has reported that she is missing two cassette tapes and a microphone. One of these tapes was made at a birthday party attended by her and . Also, Senator Baker is missing a camera lens. b3 Per CIA b6 b7C
Memorandum to Mr. Gallagher  
RE: UNKNOWN SUBJECT; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE  
OF HOWARD BAKER, WASHINGTON, D. C.

He allegedly has some mental problems. FBI is attempting to locate [____] for interview.  

No suspects developed in the burglary of Senator Mathias' residence. Baltimore is continuing to maintain contact with MC PD. Representatives from the Senators' Offices are being kept advised of the status by FBI. FBI Laboratory attempting to make arrangements for examination of residence of Senator Mathias.

ACTION:

For information.  

[____]
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, ALEXANDRIA (52-2453)(RUC)

UNSUB;
Burglary of Residence of
Senator HOWARD BAKER
Washington, D. C.
8/4-5/75
POSSIBLE TGP
(00:WFO)

UNSUB;
Burglary of Residence of
Senator CHARLES McC. MATHIAS
Chevy Chase, Maryland
11/7-9/75
POSSIBLE TGP
(00:BA)

Re Alexandria airtel to Bureau, 12/5/75.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS DECLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

MCT 52-10178
NOT RECORDED
167 DEC 18 1975

[Signature]
Special Agent in Charge
and there was no information in her file which would be pertinent to this investigation.
Total Deleted Page(s) = 4
Page 12 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 13 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 14 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 15 ~ Referral/Consult;
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TO: DIRECTOR
FROM: BALTIMORE (52-11074)

UNSUB: BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES MCC.
MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND: NOVEMBER 7, 1975;
POSSIBLE TGB; OO: BALTIMORE; UNSUB: BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE
OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WASHINGTON, D.C., AUGUST 4, 1975;
POSSIBLE TGB; OO: WFO

DETECTIVE CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY UNIT
(CAP), MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE (MCDP),
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND ON NOVEMBER 20, 1975 ADVISED THE FOLLOWING:

AS A RESULT OF NOTIFICATION RECEIVED FROM THE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND,
ON THE NIGHT OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975, HE AND OTHER OFFICERS
OF THE MCDP RESPONDED TO THE RESIDENCE OF SENATOR
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., 3808 LELAND STREET, CHEVY
CHASE, MARYLAND, WHERE A BURGLARY HAD APPARENTLY OCCURRED
DURING THE PERIOD OF NOVEMBER 7-9, 1975. INITIALLY,
THE MCDP INTERVIEWED THE MATHIASES TWO SONS, WHO STATED NOV 25, 1975
THE RESIDENCE WAS VACATE FROM 7:00 P.M., NOVEMBER 7, 1975 THROUGH 8:30 P.M., NOVEMBER 9, 1975.

MRS. MATHIAS AND THE TWO SONS, UPON RETURN TO THE RESIDENCE, OBSERVED THAT FRENCH DOORS IN THE DINING ROOM AND A KITCHEN WINDOW WERE PARTIALLY OPENED. PLANTS, WHICH WERE BELIEVED TO HAVE BEEN ON THE KITCHEN WINDOW SHELF ON NOVEMBER 7, 1975, WERE OBSERVED TO BE ON THE KITCHEN COUNTER IMMEDIATELY BELOW THE WINDOW. THE MATHIASES THOUGHT THIS WAS UNUSUAL, BUT DID NOT BELIEVE ANYTHING TO HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THE RESIDENCE. A REPORT WAS NOT MADE TO THE POLICE AT THIS TIME.

SENATOR MATHIAS DID NOT RETURN TO THE RESIDENCE UNTIL P.M. NOVEMBER 9, 1975. UPON BEING APPRISED BY HIS FAMILY OF THEIR OBSERVATIONS OF THE NIGHT OF NOVEMBER 9, 1975, HE THEN OBSERVED THAT VARIOUS ARTICLES IN HIS BEDROOM APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN HANDLED OR DISTURBED.

IT WAS AFTER THIS THAT A REPORT WAS MADE TO THE AUTHORITIES CONCERNING THE APPARENT ENTRY.
SUBSEQUENTLY, INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED FROM THE
MATHIAS FAMILY THAT THE ONLY ITEMS WHICH APPEARED TO
HAVE BEEN TAKEN WERE 2 100 SWISS FRANC NOTES, ONE OF
THOSE NOTES BEING MISSING FROM A DRAWER IN THE SENATOR
MATHIASES UPSTAIRS BEDROOM WHILE THE OTHER NOTE WAS
MISSING FROM A DRAWER IN THE UPSTAIRS BEDROOM OF HIS

A CRIME SCENE SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED BY THE MCDP
ON THE NIGHT OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975, TO INCLUDE AREAS
WHERE THE MATHIASES BELIEVED ENTRY WAS MADE INTO THE
HOUSE. TWO LATENT IMPRESSIONS OF POSSIBLE VALUE WERE
SECURED FROM THE INTERIOR OF THE FRENCH DOORS IN THE
GROUND FLOOR DINING ROOM. THERE WAS NO SIGN OF FORCE
ENTRY INTO THE HOUSE AND NO LATENT IMPRESSIONS OF
VALUE WERE OBTAINED FROM THE EXTERIOR OF THE FRENCH
DOOR, OR FROM THE PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED GROUND FLOOR
KITCHEN WINDOW. PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE SUSPECTED POINTS
OF ENTRY IN THE CONDITION AS ORIGINALLY OBSERVED BY
THE MATHIASES, WERE NOT POSSIBLE DUE TO THE LENGTH
OF TIME BETWEEN THE DISCOVERY AND THE NOTIFICATION TO MCDP.

NEIGHBORS CONTACTED DID NOT OBSERVE ANY UNUSUAL ACTIVITIES AT THE MATHIASES RESIDENCE NOVEMBER 7-9, 1975.

DETECTIVE □□□□ SAID THERE IS NO PHYSICAL EVIDENCE TO SHOW HOW ENTRY WAS ACTUALLY MADE INTO THE RESIDENCE. HE SAID IT WAS POSSIBLE THAT THE KITCHEN WINDOW HAD BEEN LEFT UNLOCKED, WHEN THE MATHIAS FAMILY LEFT FOR THE WEEKEND, AND THIS COULD POSSIBLY ACCOUNT FOR THE LACK OF ANY SIGN OF FORCED ENTRY AT THE WINDOW.

DETECTIVE □□□□ SAID THE MCDP DOES NOT HAVE ANY SUSPECTS IN THE MATHIAS BURGLARY AND CANNOT ASSOCIATE IT, THROUGH PHYSICAL EVIDENCE OR MODUS OPERANDI, WITH THE BURGLARY OF THE RESIDENCE OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WASHINGTON, D.C. DETECTIVE □□□□ HAS BEEN IN CONTACT WITH METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, WASHINGTON, D.C., DETECTIVE HANDLING THE BAKER CASE AND HAS THOROUGHLY
REVIEWED BOTH BURGLARIES. HE SAID, ON THE BASIS OF INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED TO DATE, THERE IS NO REASON TO BELIEVE THE MATHIAS BURGLARY IS ANYTHING OTHER THAN A LOCAL BURGLARY.

THERE HAVE BEEN ABOUT L5-20 OTHER BURGLARIES IN THE AREA OF THE MATHIAS RESIDENCE, WITHIN THE PAST 2 MONTHS, ACCORDING TO DETECTIVE □□□□□ IN SOME CASES, DOORS HAVE BEEN KICKED OR FORCED OPENED, WHILE IN AT LEAST 2 OCCASIONS, ENTRY HAS BEEN MADE THROUGH UNLOCKED WINDOWS. THE VALUE OF PROPERTY, OR CASH, TAKEN IN THESE BURGLARIES RANGES FROM NOTHING IN 3 CASES TO JEWELRY AND FURS IN 2 CASES. IN ONE CASE, 85 CENTS WAS TAKEN. RANSACKINGS HAVE ALSO OCCURRED DURING SOME OF THESE BURGLARIES.

THERE HAVE BEEN AT LEAST 2 OCCASIONS WHERE A BURGLAR WAS SCARED OFF EITHER BY AN ALARM OR THE PRESENCE OF THE HOMEOWNER IN THE HOUSE.

DETECTIVE □□□□□ SAID HE SPOKE WITH SENATOR MATHIAS ON NOVEMBER 19, 1975 RELATIVE TO THE LARGE
NUMBER OF BURGLARIES IN THE AREA.

DETECTIVE [REDACTED] SAID HIS INVESTIGATION IS CONTINUING, THAT HE WOULD MAKE AVAILABLE COPIES OF THE LATENT IMPRESSION OBTAINED FROM THE MATHIAS RESIDENCE AND THAT HE WOULD IMMEDIATELY MAKE KNOWN TO THE FBI THE IDENTITIES OF ANY SUSPECTS DEVELOPED OR PERTINENT INFORMATION OBTAINED IN THE MATHIAS BURGLARY.

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA: [REDACTED] BUREAU TELETYPE TO BALTIMORE NOVEMBER 19, 1975.

LEADS: AT ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND. WILL MAINTAIN CLOSE CONTACT WITH CAP UNIT, MCDP AND REPORT IMMEDIATELY ANY DEVELOPMENTS IN THE MATHIAS BURGLARY. BALTIMORE WILL COORDINATE CLOSELY WITH WFO ANY INFORMATION DEVELOPED.

THE END
TO: Mr. Gallagher

FROM: B. H. Cooke

DATE: 11/14/75

SUBJECT: UNSUB;
BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR.
CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND
NOVEMBER 7-9, 1975
INFORMATION CONCERNING

This is to advise the Washington Post, November 13, 1975 issue contained an article captioned "Senator Baker Asks FBI to Probe Breakins," by Donald P. Baker, Washington Post staff writer.

This matter involves the burglary of Senator Mathias' residence, Chevy Chase, Maryland, during the period of November 7-9, 1975. During this period the Mathias family was away. It appears entry was made through a kitchen window or a set of French doors. Senator Mathias initially brought this burglary to the attention of Inspector John B. Hotis. Inspector Hotis subsequently contacted the Senior Resident Agent, Silver Spring, Maryland, who notified the Montgomery County, Maryland, Department of Police.

Senator Mathias advised numerous articles throughout the residence appeared to be handled or disturbed. The only items determined to be missing on November 10, 1975, were two 100 Swiss franc notes. Senator Mathias advised there had been any papers of value or any of a classified nature taken, as no such papers are maintained at his residence when he is absent. In view of this, the FBI would have no authority to investigate as no violation has occurred under the TEC statute.

The Washington Post article states the Senator Howard H. Baker, Jr., had sent a letter on 11/12/75, to Director Clarence Kelley, requesting FBI inquiry into the breakin of Senator Baker's residence, Washington, D. C., on 8/4-5/75, and the breakin of Senator Mathias' residence. On 11/13/75, Inspector Hotis contacted Baker's office and was advised by the Senator's Assistant Mr. Ron McMahon that no such letter existed.

CONTINUED - OVER

[Signature]

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
Cooke to Gallagher Memorandum
Re: UNSUB;
BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF
SENATOR CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR.

RECOMMENDATION OF GID:

1) In the event such a letter is received from Senator Baker, it is recommended, in view of the lack of jurisdiction, this matter be referred to the Department so that determination can be made as to whether or not the FBI would investigate these burglaries.

J.C.G.  G/KVH  RC
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
SAC, BALTIMORE (52-11074)
FROM: SAC, WFO (52-16554)

UNSUBS; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER,
WASHINGTON, D.C., AUGUST 4-5, 1975, POSSIBLE TGP,
(CO: WFO)

UNSUB: BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES,
MCC. MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, NOVEMBER
7-9, 1975, POSSIBLE TGP, (CO: BALTIMORE)

RE WFO TELETYPE TO BUREAU, AUGUST 5, 1975,
(NO CC BALTIMORE); BUREAU TELETYPE TO WFO AND BALTIMORE,
NOVEMBER 19, 1975; WFO TELEPHONE CALLS TO BUREAU,
NOVEMBER 19-20, 1975; AND BALTIMORE TELETYPE TO BUREAU
AND WFO, NOVEMBER 20, 1975.

WFO INVESTIGATION IN THE MATTER OF THE BURGLARY
OF SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE WAS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED
TO THE BUREAU BY REFERENCED WFO TELETYPE DATED AUGUST 5,
1975.

ON AUGUST 13, 1975, IN A DISCUSSION BETWEEN ASSISTANT
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY (AUSA) DONALD E. CAMPBELL,
DEPUTY CHIEF, MAJOR CRIMES DIVISION, WDC, AND THE SAC, WFO,

59 JAN 8 1976
[Cryptic text]
AGE TWO WFO 52-16554

AUSA CAMPBELL EXPRESSED THE DESIRE THAT THE FBI REOPEN
THE INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE BURGLARY OF SENATOR
BAKER'S RESIDENCE ON THE POSSIBILITY THAT CLASSIFIED
DOCUMENTS WERE THE ITEMS BEING SOUGHT. ACCORDINGLY,
IT WAS AGREED THAT WFO WOULD MAINTAIN A CLOSE LIAISON
WITH THE METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT (MPD), WDC,
IN ORDER TO MONITOR AND ASSIST IN WHATEVER MANNER
POSSIBLE THE PROGRESS OF THE MPD INVESTIGATION. LIAISON
WAS IMMEDIATELY ESTABLISHED AND HAS BEEN MAINTAINED ON A
FREQUENT BASIS THROUGH THE PRESENT TIME WITH MPD
DETECTIVE [REDACTED].

EXCEPT WHERE OTHERWISE INDICATED THE FOLLOWING INFOR-
MATION WAS FURNISHED ON A LIAISON BASIS BY THE MPD, WDC, TO
WFO AND SUPPLEMENTS THAT INFORMATION ALREADY KNOWN TO THE
BUREAU.

ON A DATE SUBSEQUENT TO THE BURGLARY (EXACT DATE UNKNOWN)
AN INDIVIDUAL DELIVERED TO SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE
A ONE PAGE HAND WRITTEN LETTER ADDRESSED TO "SENATOR
ROBERT BAKER". ATTACHED TO IT WAS A 26 PAGE TYPE WRITTEN
"MANUSCRIPT" DESCRIBING THIS PERSON'S PLANS RELATED TO
HIS ARREST AND INCARCERATION FOR A DRUG OFFENSE. THE LETTER
A COPY OF THESE ITEMS IS BEING MAINTAINED IN THE WFO CASE FILE.

ALTHOUGH WAS NOT AND STILL IS NOT CONSIDERED A SUSPECT IN CAPTIONED MATTER BY EITHER THE MPD OR WFO EFFORTS TO LOCATE HIM HAVE MET WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS.

THE MPD IS PROCEEDING WITH ATTEMPTS TO TOTALLY ELIMINATE AS EVEN A POSSIBLE SUSPECT BY COMPARING HIS KNOWN FINGERPRINTS (A PRIOR ARREST RECORD FOR EXECUTED IN WDC) WITH LATENT PRINTS DEVELOPED AT THE CRIME SCENE WITH BOTH SENATOR BAKER'S AND MATHIAS' RESIDENCES.

REVIEW OF THE REFERENCED BALTIMORE REGARDING THE BURGLARY OF SENATOR MATHIAS' RESIDENCE INDICATES THAT SIMILARITIES BETWEEN THE TWO MATTERS ARE NEGLIGIBLE.

IT SHOULD ALSO BE NOTED THAT THE BAKER FAMILY REPORTED AN ATTEMPTED BURGLARY (A BROKEN WINDOW) ON APRIL 27, 1975. ACCORDING TO THE MPD, OTHER COMPLAINTS HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE BAKER FAMILY REGARDING PROWLERS, A BOMB.
THREAT, AND PERSONAL INJURY CALLS.

A REVIEW OF THE MPD DETAIL OFFENSE REPORT FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1975, THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 1975, FOR DISTRICT TWO, BEAT 069, CARNEY BLOCK 239, REFLECTS THE FOLLOWING:

IT IS NOTED THAT SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE IS WITHIN THIS AREA AND THE NOTED AREA IS COMPRISED OF ROUGHLY AN EIGHT BLOCK RADIUS FROM THE SENATOR'S HOME. OMIT THE TWO INCIDENTS IN 1975 REGARDING SENATOR BAKER'S RESIDENCE, THE PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED AREA HAS HAD ELEVEN BURGLARIES IN WHICH NOTHING WAS TAKEN ON FIVE OCCASIONS. ENTRY DURING THESE BURGLARIES WAS VARIED AND NO PARTICULAR PATTERNS OF MODUS OPERANDI HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. WFO HAS ESTABLISHED LIAISON WITH BOB KELLEY OF SENATOR MATHIAS' STAFF BUT HAS BEEN INFORMED BY KELLEY THAT HOWARD LEIBENGOOD OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE, IS OUT OF TOWN AND WILL NOT RETURN UNTIL NEXT WEEK. KELLEY SUGGESTED THAT CONTACT BY ESTABLISHED WITH MIKE MATTIGAN ALSO OF THAT COMMITTEE, WHO WORKS CLOSELY WITH LEIBENGOOD. THIS LIAISON HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED AND WILL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL CAPTIONED MATTER IS RESOLVED.

END.

HILD
Memorandum

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, BALTIMORE (52-11074) (C)

DATE: 2/9/76

SUBJECT: UNSUB; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES MC C MATHIAS, CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND 11/7-9/75. POSSIBLE TGP

OO: Baltimore

Re: Baltimore airtel to Bureau 12/29/75.

On 2/4/76, Detective [redacted], Crimes Against Property Unit, Montgomery County Department of Police, (MCDP), Rockville, Maryland advised the MCDP has not made any arrests in this matter.

Detective [redacted] said he has been in contact with SENATOR MATHIAS and discussed the MCDP investigation with him.

Detective [redacted] said he feels that juveniles are responsible for the burglary but that he has not developed sufficient evidence to specifically charge anyone. Also that local juvenile laws preclude him far from identifying any specific juvenile. He has also discussed this facet of the case with the Senator, who according to [redacted] feels satisfied with the investigation efforts.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, WFO (52-16554) (C)

UNSUB; aka,
Burglary of Residence of
Senator HOWARD H. BAKER, 52-101049
Washington, D.C., 8/4-5/75
POSSIBLE TGP

UNSUB; aka, 52-10482
Burglary of Residence of
Senator CHARLES F. G. Mathias, Jr.,
Chevy Chase, Maryland, 11/7-9/75
POSSIBLE TGP

Enclosed for the Bureau are the original and two (2) copies of an LHM re captioned matters. Enclosed for Baltimore is one copy of the same LHM.

In view of the conclusion of logical investigation in this matter, WFO considers this matter closed.

52-101482

2 - Bureau (Enc. 3)
2 - Baltimore (Enc. 1)
2 - WFO
(1 - 52-16908)

JDS: 1SM
(6)

Approved: WPS
Special Agent in Charge

Sent: M Per

55 MAR 1976
Transmit in (Type in plaintext or code) Via (Precedence)

TO: SAC, Baltimore
REG-13 5105
From: Director, FBI

SENATOR CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR.; POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. 602 AND 603 ELECTION LAWS EX-110
(CO: BALTIMORE)

1 - Mr. Boyd
1 - Mr. Mintz
(Attn: Mr. Bowers)

Enclosed are two copies of a self-explanatory Departmental letter dated 3/27/75 along with two copies of its enclosure.

Complete the requested investigation in accordance with the provisions of Section _____, Volume _____, Manual of Instructions, and surep within days of the receipt of this communication.

State in the first paragraph of the details of your report that it contains the results of a □ limited investigation and underscore the word □ limited □ preliminary □ preliminary

Advise □ all persons interviewed □ appropriate officials at the outset that this investigation is being conducted at the specific request of the U. S. Department of Justice.

Remarks:

Enc. 4

(Do not type below this line.)

APR 10 75
MAIL ROOM □ TELETEYPE UNIT □

JFB: kfk

CLILIS

APR 10 75

APR 10 75
Memorandum

TO: Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: John G. Keeney
Acting Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Division


We have received a letter from a [redacted] indicating that while he was on active duty with the United States Navy, he received a letter of solicitation signed by Senator Mathias and addressed to the doctor at his duty station, Patuxent River, Maryland.

In order to assist this Division to determine whether violations of Federal law have occurred, the Bureau is requested to interview [redacted] of the Mathias campaign, street address unknown, Baltimore, Maryland. Determine the source of the doctor's name and address (e.g., a computerized list of doctors), and the degree of involvement of the Senator, including the method of signing the letter (i.e., manual or machine signature).

A copy of the letter of solicitation is enclosed to assist you in your investigation.

We have no objection to the Bureau notifying Senator Mathias of this investigation.

Enclosure

EX-110

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
Dear Fellow American:

Please help me prove that my desire to reform campaign financing is not just "a naive and unreasonable resolution."

Not even the lessons of "Watergate" have caused Congress to pass campaign reform legislation. Therefore, I intend to make my own 1974 campaign for re-election to the Senate a model of a new kind of politics:

--- No contributions of more than $100 will be accepted from one person.
--- No cash will be accepted or disbursed.
--- All contributions will be publicly reported through a single committee.

Some very experienced politicians and a lot of cynics say it won't work. Some say I'm naive to expect people to respond. I know it's risky and that it won't be easy. I believe, however, our political system can work the way it's supposed to.

A statewide U. S. Senate campaign based on small contributions can succeed only if many who have never before given to a political candidate do so now. Won't you be one of the 10,000 contributors we need?

Your check in the $15 to $100 range in the enclosed envelope can go a long way to help. When others look at the politics in Maryland, let's show them a new standard of responsibility that can rekindle their faith in America. Let's not wait on others to lead; let's be the leaders ourselves.

Sincerely,

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.

P.S. All contributions to this campaign are deductible on your federal tax return (up to $100 on a joint return). I will see to it that you receive a receipt. If you have already contributed, please pass this letter and envelope on to a friend.
TITLE OF CASE
SENATOR CHARLES McC. (J)ATHIAS, JR.; Possible Violation of 18 U.S.C. 602 and 603

CHARACTER OF CASE
ELECTION LAWS

REFERENCES: Bureau airtel to Baltimore dated 4/1/75.

ADMINISTRATIVE:
was advised this investigation was being conducted at the specific request of the U.S. Department of Justice.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONVI.</th>
<th>FUG.</th>
<th>FINES</th>
<th>SAVINGS</th>
<th>RECOVERIES</th>
<th>ACQUIT.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CASE HAS BEEN:
- PENDING OVER ONE YEAR [ ] YES [ ] NO
- PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS [ ] YES [ ] NO

APPROVED
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

Copies Made:
- Bureau
- Baltimore (56-191)

Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency
Request Recd.
Date Fwd.
How Fwd.

Notations

A* COVER PAGE
Copy to: 1 - UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

Report of:    Office:    BALTIMORE
Date: APRIL 10, 1975

Field Office File #: BA 56-191    Bureau File #: b6 b7C

Title: SENATOR CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR.; POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. 602 and 603

Character: ELECTION LAWS

Synopsis: has informed the Department of Justice he received a letter of solicitation from Senator Charles McC. Mathias, Jr. during 1974 while he was on active duty with the U.S. Navy at Patuxent River, Maryland. was DC for Senator MATHIAS's campaign. He assumed name and address was obtained from a list of physicians available to interested parties including soliciting funds. is sure Senator MATHIAS was aware that solicitation by direct mail was being utilized. The Senator's signature on the letter to was a manual signature. -C-

DETAILS:

This report contains the results of a limited investigation.

This investigation is predicated upon receipt of a memorandum dated March 27, 1975 from Mr. JOHN C. KEENEN, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice. Mr. KEENEN advised that the department had received a letter from while he was on active duty with the U.S. Navy at Patuxent River, Maryland, he received a letter of solicitation at his duty station signed by Senator CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR.

Mr. KEENEN requested that of the MATHIAS campaign be interviewed regarding this letter.
furnished the following information:

He was [redacted] of the Senator CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR. campaign for re-election to the U.S. Senate during 1974. The [redacted] handled most of the "book work" and is currently employed on the staff of Senator MATHIAS.

The method of direct mail solicitation was used almost exclusively during Senator MATHIAS's campaign and those receiving this solicitation were informed no contributions over $100 would be accepted. [redacted] is sure Senator MATHIAS was aware of the solicitation by direct mail as this was a subject matter of several meetings attended by those active in the campaign including Senator MATHIAS. The number one guideline was that no violations of law be committed by those active in this campaign.

Mr. DOUGLAS BAILEY and Mr. EARLE PALMER BROWNE, supervised the solicitations. Both of these individuals are in public relations work in Washington, D.C. Mr. BROWNE is associated with Earle Palmer Browne and Associates and [redacted] is not aware of the name of the public relations firm employing Mr. BAILEY. He recalls Mr. BAILEY had previous experience with direct mail solicitation and possibly he was the one who actually obtained various lists that were used.

[redacted] does not know the source of these lists and it is his understanding these lists are available to interested parties including those interested in soliciting funds. He believes the lists primarily relate to professional individuals and there are listings identifying physicians exclusively. He believes these lists to include the address as well as the name of the individual and this was the source from which the name of [redacted] was obtained.

Interviewed on 4/9/75 at Baltimore, Maryland  File # BA 56-191
was shown a copy of a letter of solicitation dated March 27, 1974 addressed to [blank] signed "CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR.". He said this was a manual signature.

In conclusion, [blank] explained again that the number one guideline of the campaign was that no violation of law occur and any violation was "certainly unintentional".
TO: Mr. Gebhardt
FROM: J. S. Peelman
SUBJECT: SENATOR CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR.; POSSIBLE VIOLATION OF 18 U. S. CODE 602 AND 603 ELECTION LAWS

DATE: April 1, 1975

1 - Mr. Gebhardt
1 - Mr. Peelman
1 - Mr. Boyd
1 - Mr. Mintz
(Attn: Mr. Bowers)

The Criminal Division, Fraud Section, U. S. Department of Justice, has requested limited investigation into possible violations of Sections 602 and 603 of Title 18, U. S. Code, in connection with the 1974 campaign of Maryland Senator Charles McC. Mathias, Jr. Section 602, among other things, prohibits U. S. Senators from soliciting political contributions from Government employees or officers. Section 603 prohibits, among other things, soliciting such contributions in the office of such persons.

The Department received a letter from a who indicated that while on duty with the U. S. Navy he received a letter of solicitation signed by Senator Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., (R - Maryland), which had been addressed to him at his duty station with the Navy. In order to determine if it is a possible violation of the above Election Laws statutes, we are requested to interview of the Mathias campaign, Baltimore, Maryland.

The Department has no objection to our notifying Senator Mathias of this investigation. The Baltimore Office has been instructed to conduct the requested investigation by separate communication.

ACTION: The Legislative Matters Section, Legal Counsel Division, is requested to advise Senator Mathias that this investigation has been initiated.

15 APR 22 1975

LEGAL COUNSEL

MAY 1 1975
MESSAGE RELAY

Transmit in □ Plaintext □ Code Via Teletype the Attached □ Immediate □ Urgent □ Nitet

Date 1/12/76

From: Director, FBI

To: SACs:

To: RUEADWW/ □ The President □ The Vice President □ White House Situation Room
         □ Attn: □ Attn: □ Attn: General Crimes Section
RUEBWA/ □ Attorney General □ Deputy Attorney General
         □ Attn: Analysis and Evaluation Unit
RUEBWA/ □ Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division
RUEBWA/ □ Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division
         □ Attn: Internal Security Section □ Attn: General Crimes Section
RUEABND/ □ Drug Enforcement Administration
RUEBWA/ □ Immigration and Naturalization Service
RUEBWA/ □ U. S. Marshal's Service
RUEBDUA/ □ Department of the Air Force (AFOSI)
RUEACSI/ □ Department of the Army
RUEAII/ □ Director, CIA
RUEBJGA/ □ Commandant, U. S. Coast Guard
RUEKJCS/ □ Director, Defense Intelligence Agency
RHEGGTN/ □ Energy Research and Development Administration
RUEOGBA/ □ Federal Aviation Administration

RECV 10 89 3452
Jan 13 773

Foreign Liaison Unit □ Route through for review □ Cleared telephonically
□ Top Secret □ Secret □ Confidential □ Unclassified

Subject (Text begins next page):

SENATOR CHARLES MATTHIAS - VICTIM CONGRESSIONAL ASSASSINATION STATUTE.
BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FROM: BALTIMORE (89-NEW)  
; SENATOR CHARLES MAC MATHIAS - VICTIM, CONGRESSIONAL 
ASSASSINATION STATUTE.

ON 1/10/76 AND 1/11/76, F61, BALTIMORE ADVISED THAT: 

AT 8:05 PM JANUARY 10, 1976, (PROJECT) 

TELEPHONE NUMBER RESIDENCE 

, APPEARED AT MARYLAND STATE POLICE BARRACKS 
CUMBERLAND, MD., ALLEGING THAT SUBJECT HAD MADE STATEMENTS THAT 
HE WAS GOING TO KILL SENATOR CHARLES MAC MATHIAS. 

INTERVIEWED BY SA AND STATES 
SUBJECT IS PARANOID AND SCHIZOPHRENIC. HE ADVISED THAT 
SITUATION BEGAN APPROXIMATELY ONE YEAR AGO WHEN- 

SENATOR MATHIAS WAS RUNNING FOR CONGRESS TO REPRESENT 
MD. SUBJECT CLAIMED HE TOOK A JOB AT THE TIMES TO 
EYEWITNESS, WITH DREAMS OF HITTING A 
MENACING LOOK. SINCE THEN SUBJECT HAS CONCLUDED MATHIAS "HAS A CONTRACT ON HIM" FOR SOME REASON SUBJECT FEELS THAT 
HIT MAN WILL MAKE AN ATTEMPT ON HIS LIFE ON 1/11/76. 
STATED THAT IF THAT DAY PASSES WITHOUT INCIDENT HE WILL TO...

END PAGE ONE
SENATOR MATHIAS.

ADvised that subject has a history of mental instability in his family in as much as subject's brother is currently being treated for severe mental illness.

Described as

Has marital status is single and has no past military experience. resides on

and drives a Ford Econoline Van powder blue in color. Subject employed on weekends as a

Subject has a alcohol problem and also a long history of brawling. Subject owns a rifle, caliber unknown.

Attempts to locate and interview subject negative at this time.

Although it has been determined that subject is still in

Cumberland, Maryland.

End page two.
MARYLAND STATE POLICE NOTIFIED AND ALSO FREDRICK CITY POLICE DEPT., PLACE OF SENATOR MATHIAS RESIDENCE NOTIFIED OF THREAT AGAINST SENATORS' LIFE.

SA UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE BALTIMORE, MARYLAND NOTIFIED.

LEADS: BALTIMORE AT CUMBERLAND, MD., WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW FOR HIS THREAT AGAINST THE LIFE OF SENATOR MATHIAS. WHO WILL NOTIFY SENATOR MATHIAS'S OFFICE IN WASHINGTON, D.C. RE'ALLEGED THREAT ON SENATOR MATHIAS'S LIFE. WHO WILL NOTIFY U.S. SECRET SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. RE' THREAT ON SENATOR MATHIAS'S LIFE.
DENIED MAKING ANY OVERT THREATS AGAINST THE LIFE OF SENATOR CHARLES MC.C. MATHIAS. HE STATED IT WAS NOT IN HIM TO KILL ANY ANIMAL OR HUMAN BEING.

CLAIMS THAT ON FEB. 12, 1976 HE CAME INTO CONTACT WITH SENATOR MATHIAS AT A LINCOLN DAY DINNER HELD AT A LOCAL CLUB IN CEDARLAKE, MD. HE MADE EYE CONTACT ONLY WITH THE SENATOR.

INTERVIEWED JAN. 11, 1976 AND VERBENTLY DENIED MAKING ANY OVERT THREATS AGAINST THE LIFE OF SENATOR CHARLES MC.C. MATHIAS. HE STATED IT WAS NOT IN HIM TO KILL ANY ANIMAL OR HUMAN BEING.
APPA FOR THE PAST THREE WEEKS WHO HE IS CERTAIN WAS SENT BY THE
SENATOR TO GET HIM. HE COULD NOT IDENTIFY THE HUNTER OR ANY OTHER
INDIVIDUALS HE FEELS WERE SENT BY THE SENATOR.

IS

AND HE LIVES AT

AND PLANS TO MOVE WITHIN A WEEK TO

HE WAS ARRESTED JULY 24, 1959, AT CUMBERLAND FOR BREAK
AND ENTRY, AND WAS PAROLED BY JUVENILE COURT. ON DEC 9, 1964 WAS
ARRESTED BY MARYLAND STATE POLICE FOR ASSAULT, DISPOSITION UNKNOWN.
HE OWNS A .22 CALIBER RIFLE.

WHO NOTIFY SECRET SERVICE.
TO DIRECTOR
UFO
FROM BALTIMORE 69-NEXT 2 PAGES

"CHANGED" SENATOR CHARLES M. C. MATHAIS

VICTIM. CAF.

REFERRED TO DIRECTOR AND "FO, JAN 16, 1976."

TITLE MARKED CHANGED TO REFLECT SUBJECT'S MIDDLE NAME,

INTERVIEWED JAN 11, 1976 AND VERNEMENTLY
DENIED MAKING ANY OVERT THREATS AGAINST THE LIFE OF SENATOR CHARLES
MC. C. MATHAIS. HE STATED IT WAS NOT IN HIM TO KILL ANY ANIMAL OR
HUMAN BEING. CLAIMS THAT ON FEB 12, 1975 HE CAME INTO CON-
TACT WITH SENATOR MATHAIS AT A LINCOLN DAY DINNER HELD AT A LOCAL
CLUB IN CUMBERLAND, MD. HE MADE EYE CONTACT ONLY WITH THE SENATOR
AND SAW FEAR IN THE SENATOR'S EYES. HE WONDERED WHY THE SENATOR
WAS AFRAID OF HIM. SINCE THAT TIME, SUBJECT FEELS THE SENATOR HAS
CAUSED HIM TO BE SURVEILLED BY AT LEAST FOUR DIFFERENT INDIVIDUALS,
ALL OF WHOSE HE CLASSIFIES AS "MAN KILLER'S"

SUBJECT BELIEVES THERE IS A DISTINCT POSSIBILITY A SPECIFIC
ATTEMPT WILL BE MADE AGAINST HIS OWN LIFE, JAN 11, 1976, BY A REP-
RESENTATIVE OF THE SENATOR, AS THERE HAS BEEN A "HUNGER" IN THE
ARPA FOR THE PAST THREE WEEKS WHO HE IS CERTAIN WAS SENT BY THE SENATOR TO GET HIM. HE COULD NOT IDENTIFY THE HUNTER OR ANY OTHER INDIVIDUALS HE FEELS WERE SENT BY THE SENATOR.

AND PLANS TO MOVE WITHIN A WEEK TO

HE WAS ARRESTED JULY 24, 1959 AT CUMBERLAND FOR BREAK AND ENTERY AND WAS PAROLED BY JUVENILE COURT. ON DEC 9, 1964 WAS ARRESTED BY MARYLAND STATE POLICE FOR ASSAULT, DISPOSITION UNKNOWN.

HE OWNS A .22 CALIBER RIFLE.

"TO NOTIFY SECRET SERVICE."
At 2:05 PM January 17, 1976, appeared at Maryland State Police Barracks Cumberland, Md., alleging that subject had made statements that he was going to kill Senator Charles Mathias.

Subject is paranoid and schizophrenic. He advised that situation began approximately one year ago when Senator Mathias appeared in Cumberland, Maryland at the alien shanty stand out for speech. Has in attendance and made direct contact with Mathias and felt that Mathias gave him a menacing look. Since then subject has convinced himself that Mathias "has a contract on him." For some reason subject feels that hit man will make an attempt on his life on 1/17/76.

END PAGE ONE
SENATOR MATHIAS.

ADVISER THAT SUBJECT HAS A HISTORY OF MENTAL INSTABILITY IN HIS FAMILY IN AS MUCH AS SUBJECT'S BROTHER IS CURRENTLY BEING TREATED FOR SEVERE MENTAL ILLNESS.

HAS DESCRIBED AS

HABITUAL STATUS IS SINGLE

AND HAS NO PAST MILITARY EXPERIENCE. RESIDES ON

AND DRIVES A FORD FROMLINE VAN POWDER BLUE IN COLOR. SUBJECT EMPLOYED ON

WEEKENDS AS A

SUBJECT HAS A ALCOHOL PROBLEM AND ALSO A LONG HISTORY OF BRAWLING. SUBJECT OWNS A RIFLE; CALIBER UNKNOWN.

ATTEMPTS TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW SUBJECT NEGATIVE AT THIS TIME

ALTHOUGH IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT SUBJECT IS STILL IN CUMBERLAND, MARYLAND.

END PAGE TWO
MARYLAND STATE POLICE NOTIFIED AND ALSO FREDERICK CITY POLICE
DEPT., PLACE OF SENATOR MATHIAS RESIDENCE NOTIFIED OF THREAT
AGAINST SENATORS LIFE.

SA UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE BALTIMORE,
MARYLAND NOTIFIED.

LEADS: BALTIMORE AT CUMBERLAND, MD., WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW
RE HIS THREAT AGAINST THE LIFE OF SENATOR MATHIAS.

WFO WILL NOTIFY SENATOR MATHIAS'S OFFICE IN
WASHINGTON, D.C. RE ALLEGED THREAT ON SENATOR MATHIAS'S
LIFE. WFO WILL NOTIFY U.S. SECRET SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C.
RE THREAT ON SENATOR MATHIAS'S LIFE.

LXS FBI HQ CLP
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, BALTIMORE (89-134) (C)

SUBJECT: SENATOR CHARLES MC.C. MATHIAS — VICTIM — CONGRESSIONAL ASSASSINATION STATUTE

Re Baltimore nitels to the Bureau, dated 1/10/76 and 1/11/76.

Enclosed for the Bureau are four (4) copies of a LHM reflecting the nature of the alleged threat made by [redacted] and the results of an interview conducted with him.

The unidentified individual mentioned in the [redacted] LHM is [redacted].

It is noted that the most recent photograph of [redacted] which is available was taken on 12/9/64 by the Maryland State Police (MSP), Cumberland, Maryland, and is no longer considered to be a good likeness.

No additional investigation is contemplated by the Baltimore Division, UACB.

One copy of LHM being disseminated to U.S. Secret Service, Baltimore, Maryland.

JAN 20 1976
7142 Ambassador Road
Baltimore, Maryland
January 14, 1976

RE: SENATOR CHARLES MC.C MATHIAS - VICTIM CONGRESSIONAL ASSASSINATION STATUTE

At 8:05 p.m., January 10, 1976, an individual who requested that his identity not be revealed and [_____] employee of [_____], appeared at the Maryland State Police (MSP) Barracks, Cumberland, Maryland, requesting to speak to a representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). They were put in contact with a representative of the FBI by the MSP, and they advised as follows:

[_____] and the individual accompanying her stated that they are both acquainted with [_____], who resides on [_____]. They said that recently they have begun avoiding him as he is becoming increasingly paranoid in that he is obsessed with the idea that Senator CHARLES MC.C MATHIAS has "hit men" out to get him. [_____] has stated in the presence of [_____] and the individual who accompanied her that he was going to "get" the Senator before the Senator's hirelings got him. The individual accompanying [_____] advised further that [_____] owns a rifle and has a brother who is currently under some form of psychiatric treatment.

The individual who requested his name not be revealed stated that [_____]'s paranoia apparently began when Senator MATHIAS appeared at a dinner in Cumberland, Maryland, in early 1975. [_____] was in attendance and made eye contact only with the Senator.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
but came away feeling that the Senator was afraid of him and shortly thereafter began to believe he was being followed everywhere he went.

The following interview was conducted with [name withheld] on January 11, 1975, at Cumberland, Maryland:
was located at his place of employment.

He was advised of the identity of Special Agent (SA) [insert name] as a representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and of the identity of Trooper First Class (TFC) [insert name], Maryland State Police (MSP), Cumberland, Maryland.

was advised that a complaint had been received that he had made threats against the life of Senator CHARLES MC.C. MATHIAS and that this was the reason that the interview was necessitated.

vehemently denied ever having made any threats against anyone's life stating he could not kill an animal, much less a human being. He admitted to owning a .22 caliber rifle but said he never used it and was going to give it away soon to a relative.

said he has never met Senator MATHIAS personally but attended a Lincoln Day Dinner on February 12, 1975, at the Ali Ghan Shrine Club in Cumberland, Maryland, at which dinner the Senator was present. He said he made eye contact with the Senator across a table, saw fear in his eyes and wondered why the Senator should be afraid of him. Since then he believes he has been followed constantly at the Senator's orders and believes that at least four "man killers" have been sent to the Cumberland area to get him during this period. The latest of these he said is a man using the guise of a hunter, who has been in the area during the past three weeks with a dog and carrying a shotgun. When asked how he could spot a "man killer" answered that he has associated with Viet Nam veterans and has learned through contact with them.

concluded by stating that although he feared for his life and for those of the killers who had

Interviewed on 1/11/76 at Cumberland, Maryland  BA 89-154

by: SA 1/sw Date dictated 1/14/76

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loosed to your care. It and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
been sent to get him but had failed, he had no intentions of seeking out Senator MATHIAS to kill him. He did, however, request that the Senator be contacted and asked to call his people off.

The following description of [ ] was obtained through observation and interview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Birth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of Birth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scars/Marks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arrest Record

Residence

Education

Military Service
Occupation
Employment
was unable to furnish any additional information at this time.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (89-406) (RUC)

SUBJECT: SENATOR CHARLES MCCATHIAS - VICTIM CONGRESSIONAL ASSASSINATION STATUE (00:BA)

DATE: 2/10/76

Re Baltimore nitel to the Bureau dated 1/11/76, Bureau routing slip to WFO dated 1/21/76.

The following individuals were notified of the contents the above reference teletype. SA[ ] United States Secret Service, notified at 12:10 p.m. 1/11/76. Detective [ ] Metropolitan Police Department Intelligence Division, Washington, D.C. (WDC), contacted 1/12/76, Detective [ ] United States Capital Police, WDC, contacted 1/12/76.

AS No further investigation remains in this matter for WFO, this case is being placed in RUC status.

0 - Bureau
2 - Baltimore
1 - WFO

RST: lgm
(5)

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
September 12, 1976

Dear Mr. Percy,

I have sent you three letters seeking your help and advice on three very important matters.

The first letter concerned an investigation of one initiated by United States Senator Charles Mathias of Maryland, with the purpose of having one murdered by a hired assassin. It was by the grace of God that I was able to avoid this evil deed from happening to me and I am again asking your help in bringing this murderer to justice.

The other two letters, I can assume you have received, since I have received none from the Post Office. So I will not...
what is written in those letters are true and I will be glad to answer any and all questions concerning the God given knowledge in those two letters.

Sir, in America where Mario fled, this coward and imbecile should not be allow to continue to corrupt the souls of the good people of this state and I have information that will help in placing that snake in prison, where he belongs.

Respectfully yours,

[Signature]

COPY SENT TO
FBI DIRECTOR
KELLY
DIRECTOR KELLY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON D.C.

CERTIFIED
No. 461919
MAIL
Do not detach

No acknowledgment warranted. Copy See Base file 89-3452

9/14/76

EST

File

[Signature]
MESSAGE RELAY VIA TELETYPING

Date 2-22-77

CLASSIFICATION: 

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ UNCLASSIFIED

FIELD AND LEGAL MESSAGES
ONLY ☐ CLEAR ☐ EFTO

FM: DIRECTOR

TO:

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

☐ Attorney General
☐ Deputy Attorney General
☐ Attn: Analysis and Evaluation Unit

☐ Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Div.

☐ Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Div.
☐ Attn: Internal Security Section
☐ Attn: General Crimes Section

☐ Immigration and Naturalization Service

☐ U. S. Marshal's Service

☐ U. S. Secret Service (PID)

☐ Director, CIA

☐ Secretary of State

☐ Department of Treasury
☐ Attn: U. S. Customs

☐ Department of Treasury
☐ Attn: Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco & Firearms

☐ Drug Enforcement Administration

☐ Energy Research and Development Administration

☐ U. S. Postal Service

☐ National Aeronautics & Space Adm.

☐ Department of Transportation
☐ Attn: Director of Security

☐ Federal Aviation Administration

☐ Department of the Air Force (AFOSI)

☐ Department of the Army

☐ Naval Investigative Service

☐ National Security Agency (NSA/NSOC (Attn: SOO))

☐ Commandant, U. S. Coast Guard

☐ Director, Defense Intelligence Agency

☐ The President

☐ White House Situation Room
☐ Attn:

☐ The Vice President
☐ Attn:

☐ 8-7-2

(SUBJECT (TEXT BEGINS NEXT PAGE):

See Attached

EX-113

ASSOC. DIR. __________
Dep. AD Adm. ________
Dep. AD Inv. ________
Asst. DIR.: ________
Adm. Serv. ________
Ext. Affairs ________
Fin. & Pers. ________
Gen. Inv. ________
Ident. ________
Intell. ________
Legal Coun. ________
Plan. & Insp. ________
Rec. Mgt. ________
S. & T. Serv. ________
Spec. Inv. ________
Training ________
Telephone Rm. ________
Director's Sec. ________

ORIGINATOR Gov. RM 5048 EXT 4256

Foreign Liaison Unit
☐ Route through for review
☐ Cleared telephonically
with ________________

FEB 22, 1977

TELETYPING

FEB 22, 1977
SUBJECT: POSSIBLE THREAT AGAINST U.S. SENATOR CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS OF MARYLAND; FEBRUARY 18 THRU 21, 1977, CONGRESSIONAL ASSASSINATION STATUTE.

TO LEAVE A MESSAGE. THEIR CODE-A-PHONE WAS TURNED ON AT ABOUT 5:30 PM, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1977 AND WAS OPERATIVE CONTINUOUSLY UNTIL ABOUT 9:00 AM MONDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 1977. DURING THIS PERIOD A TOTAL OF 22 CALLS WERE RECEIVED AND MESSAGES RECORDED FROM AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS

2 TELEPHONE NUMBER STATED THAT HE HAD "EXPERIENCE WITH MENTAL INSTITUTIONS, MAINLY AND THAT HE TOOK ADVANTAGE OF BEING THERE BY LEARNING 'EVERYTHING HE COULD ". DURING ANOTHER MESSAGE LEFT, STATED, "WHEN I WAS 18 YEARS OLD, I HAD 4 BIG GUNS BEHIND ME: TWO 90'S AND TWO 120'S. ENOUGH TO BLOW UP THE GOD DAMNED NATION'S CAPITOL OFF THE MAP".

ADvised THAT IS KNOWN TO HER OFFICE AS A FREQUENT CALLER AND IS NOT KNOWN TO HAVE A VIOLENT DISPOSITION TOWARD THE SENATOR. SHE STATED THAT MANY OF THE RECORDED CALLS RECEIVED SOUNDED AS THOUGH WAS INTOXICATED AND THAT WAS NOT CONSIDERED A SERIOUS THREAT.
SPECIAL AGENT (SA) U.S. SECRET SERVICE (USSS),
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, WASHINGTON, D.C. WAS CONTACTED AND ADVISED
OF THE ABOVE.

ADMINISTRATIVE: TELEPHONE CALL FROM U.S. CAPITOL POLICE
RECEIVED AT 1559, AND NOTIFICATION TO USSS MADE BY SA

LEAD-AGENT: BALTIMORE

(1) NOTIFY APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES.
(2) CONSIDER INTERVIEW.

BT
SUBJECT: POSSIBLE THREAT AGAINST U.S. SENATOR CHARLES McC. MATHIAS OF MARYLAND; FEBRUARY 18 THRU 21, 1977, CONGRESSIONAL ASSASSINATION STATUTE.


________________________, SUPRA, ADVISED THEIR OFFICE EMPLOYS A CODE-A-PHONE WHICH ACTIVATES A RECORDING REQUESTING THE CALLER Relayed to USSS a.m., 2-22-77

541, 223 1977

JWD: 2-22-77
TO LEAVE A MESSAGE. THEIR CODE-A-PHONE WAS TURNED ON AT ABOUT 5:30 PM, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1977 AND WAS OPERATIVE CONTINUOUSLY UNTIL ABOUT 9:00 AM MONDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 1977. DURING THIS PERIOD A TOTAL OF 22 CALLS WERE RECEIVED AND MESSAGES RECORDED FROM AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS

TELEPHONE NUMBER STATED THAT HE HAD "EXPERIENCE WITH MENTAL INSTITUTIONS, MAINLY AND THAT HE TOOK ADVANTAGE OF BEING THERE BY LEARNING EVERYTHING HE COULD ". DURING ANOTHER MESSAGE LEFT, STATED, " WHEN I WAS 18 YEARS OLD, I HAD 4 BIG GUNS BEHIND ME: TWO 90'S AND TWO 120'S. ENOUGH TO BLOW UP THE GOD DAMNED NATION'S CAPITOL OFF THE MAP".

ADVISED THAT IS KNOWN TO HER OFFICE AS A FREQUENT CALLER AND IS NOT KNOWN TO HAVE A VIOLENT DISPOSITION TOWARD THE SENATOR. SHE STATED THAT MANY OF THE RECORDED CALLS RECEIVED SOUNDED AS THOUGH WAS INTOXICATED AND THAT WAS NOT CONSIDERED A SERIOUS THREAT.
SPECIAL AGENT (SA), U.S. SECRET SERVICE (USSS), INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, WASHINGTON, D.C. WAS CONTACTED AND ADVISED OF THE ABOVE.

ADMINISTRATIVE: TELEPHONE CALL FROM U.S. CAPITOL POLICE RECEIVED AT WFO AND NOTIFICATION TO USSS MADE BY SA

LEAD: AT BALTIMORE

(1) NOTIFY APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES.

(2) CONSIDER INTERVIEW OF
Total Deleted Page(s) = 1
Page 14 ~ Duplicate - to 89-HQ-5640-Serail 1, p.2;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
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X  No Duplication Fee  X
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MESSAGE RELAY VIA TELETYPEx
(RESTRICTED USE)

Date: 1/25/84 PRECEDENCE:

FM: DIRECTOR, FBI

TO: Washington Field Office

☐ White House/WH/
☐ Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco Firearms/BATF/
☐ Central Intelligence Agency/CIA/
☐ CIA DCD/DCD/
☐ Dept. of Energy HQS/DOEHQ/
☐ Dept. of Energy Germantown DIV/DOE/
☐ Dept. of Justice/DOJ/
☐ Dept. of State/DOS/
☐ Dept. of the Army/DA/
☐ Dept. of Treasury/DOT/
☐ Defense Intelligence Agency/DIA/

☐ Director National Security Agency/NSA/
☐ Director Naval Investigative Service/DIRNAV/INSERV/
☐ Drug Enforcement Admin./DEA/
☐ FAA Washington HQ/FAA/
☐ HQ AFOSI Bolling AFBDC/AFOSI/
☐ INSCOM Ft. Meade/INSCOM/
☐ Nuclear Regulatory Commission/NRC/
☐ U.S. Customs Service/UCS/
☐ U.S. Immigration & Naturalization Service/INS/
☐ U.S. Secret Service/USSS/
☐ Other: 

Classification: UNCLAS

Addressee Internal Distribution

For: 

Subject: POSSIBLE THREAT TO U.S. SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, CAS

☐ See Attached

DE-148

Approved By: Originator: Tele Ext. 4294 Room/Div.: 5046/6

DO NOT FILE WITHOUT COMMUNICATIONS STAMP
USE AND PREPARATION OF FORM 0-73

Restrictions on Use

1. Only incoming teletype messages within the categories listed in MIOG Section 16-1.7 pages 1251 & 1252 may be prepared using form 0-73.

2. Use of Form 0-73 is restricted to incoming teletype messages received at FBI HQ Communications Center within the last 72 hours.

3. Addressees must be Bureau Offices (LEGAT/Field) or other Government Agencies. Geographical location must be indicated if other Government Agency is located outside the Washington, D.C. area.

4. Editing of message text is restricted to typed or printed changes of a word or two. Changes to the existing text involving more than a word or two will require the originator to initiate a new message using Form 0-93. Administrative data may be added immediately following the text and must be identical for all addressees.

5. Teletype messages received by the Communications Center that do not meet the above criteria shall be returned to the originator for preparation using Form 0-93.

Preparation of 0-73 Form (Yellow)

1. Date & Precedence - Type or print date and indicate precedence by checking the appropriate box.

2. Addressee(s) - Type or print address(e)s immediately following the "TO:" or place a check mark in the appropriate box. Note: When using block "Other," indicate geographical location if addressee(s) is located outside Washington, D.C. If addressee(s) is a military installation, the name of the base, fort, or station must be listed to ensure delivery.

3. Classification - Type or print the classification and if appropriate the caveat and warning notices.

4. Addressed Internal Distribution - Complete when the originator wishes the message to be distributed to a known entity within a Headquarters Agency (i.e. Division, Section, Unit, etc.). List the addressee(s) abbreviation and the internal distribution, i.e. a message to Dept. of State, Dept. of Justice, and Defense Intelligence Agency; list on the "For" line(s) as follows:
   Example: For: DOS For SY/TAG; DOJ for Asst. AG Criminal Div.; DIA For DSOP.
   Messages which do not list internal distribution shall be delivered to the agency headquarters where their analyst will effect in-house distribution.

5. Subject - Type or print the subject in the space provided or check "see attached" if subject is identical to attached message.

6. Originator's Boxes - Type or print the originator's name, telephone extension, room number, and division.

7. Approved By Box - Indicate approval for transmission by initialing the approved by box. Note: The person approving the message is solely responsible for assuring all necessary editing changes are accurate and are legible.

Preparation of Message To Be Transmitted

1. Duplicate Copy & Notations - Xerox 1 copy of the incoming teletype message. A notation shall be made on the original incoming teletype indicating one copy made for relay to SACS ________, (or LEGATS) ________, (or Government Agencies) ________.

2. Editing of Duplicate Copy (Heading) - Using a lead pencil ONLY draw single lines through the first and last lines of the message heading; connect these lines from top right to bottom left forming a "Z" figure. (Do Not Obliterate the Heading)

3. Editing Changes to the Text - (See Restrictions on Use, Item 4)

4. Administrative Data - Type or print administrative data immediately following the text.

OF INVESTIGATION
25 JAN 47 21 02
RECEIVED
Possible threat to U.S. Senator Charles Mathias, 356 W. 35th St., D.C., on or about January 24, 1964. A teletype was sent to the U.S. Senate, January 16, 1964, entitled "Possible threat to U.S. Senator Edward M. Kennedy, 356 W. 35th St., D.C.; "Suspicion, no 35th.""

On January 24, 1964, Office of Senator Charles Mathias, J. 301, George M. Fallon Bldg., Baltimore, Maryland, Telephone 301-962-4850, advised that the Senator's Baltimore Office have received two telephone calls Instant date from captioned subject stating that Senator Mathias, "better watch out." First call was received at approximately 8:35 A.M. and lasted short period of time. Caller identified himself as [redacted], did not sound excited, and made non-sensical comments.
CONCERNING FACT THAT [REDacted] HAD NUMEROUS CONTACTS IN WHITE HOUSE
REFUTING FACT THAT SENATOR MATHIAS HAD SUCH CONTACTS.

[REDacted] ALSO STATED THAT MATHIAS WAS A SEX MANIAC. SECOND CALL
WAS RECEIVED AT APPROXIMATELY 13:00 A.M. AND WAS SIMILAR TO
FIRST CALL IN THAT CONTENTS OF CONVERSATION WERE INCOMPREHENSIBLE.
CALLER IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [REDacted] AND MADE SUCH STATEMENTS AS
COMPLAINTS THAT BLACK PEOPLE WERE BEING HARASSED AND THAT THOSE
PEOPLE BELONGED IN JAIL, ENDING WITH SENATOR MATHIAS, "BETTER WATCH
OUT." [REDacted] MADE NO STATEMENTS IN EITHER CALL AS TO SPECIFIC
DETAILS AS TO WHY OR HOW SENATOR MATHIAS SHOULD BE CONCERNED FOR
HIS SAFETY.

[REDacted] RELATED AN EARLIER CALL ON DECEMBER 30, 1983, FROM
AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [REDacted] THAT CALL WAS
SIMILAR IN THE CONFUSION OF ITS CONTENTS. AT ONE POINT IN THE
CALL [REDacted] WAS QUOTED AS SAYING, "THE SENATOR DID NOT DESERVE
TO BE MENTIONED IN THE NEW YORKER MAGAZINE," AND, "HE STANDS FOR
MAIL THEFT AND LIQUIDATION AND I STAND FOR HIS LIQUIDATION."
AGAIN [REDacted] DID NOT SOUND EXCITABLE AND DID NOT RAISE HIS VOICE.
ADDITIONALLY [REDacted] DID NOT DESCRIBE IN SPECIFIC DETAILS THE
NATURE OF THE POSSIBLE HARM THAT MIGHT COME TO SENATOR MATHIAS.
IS KNOWN TO SENATOR MATHIAS' BALTIMORE OFFICE, EXTENDING BACK TO 1980. IS A PROLIFIC LETTER WRITER AND IN EACH INSTANCECONSTANTLY COMPLAINS OF HARASSMENT FROM THE POSTAL AUTHORITIES AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT. IS DESCRIBED AS, WHO HAS BEEN OBSERVED WEARING A SPORTS COAT AND SLACKS IN ONE OCCASION WHEN HE MADE AN APPEARANCE IN THE SENATOR'S BALTIMORE OFFICE.

ON JANUARY 24, 1984, SA U.S. SECRET SERVICE, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, WAS PROVIDED WITH THE ABOVE INFORMATION.

ON JANUARY 24, 1984, AT APPROXIMATELY 4:40 P.M., DET. BALTIMORE CITY PD, WAS PROVIDED WITH THE ABOVE INFORMATION.

FBI, BALTIMORE, ADVISED TO CONTACT WASHINGTON OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS AND PROVIDE DETAILS OF CALLS TO SENATOR'S BALTIMORE OFFICE TO THE U.S. CAPITOL POLICE.

THE U.S. SECRET SERVE HAS INDICATED A STRONG DESIRE TO INTERVIEW WHO RESIDES AT TELEPHONE CONCERNING HIS NUMEROUS KNOWN CONTACTS WITH OTHER U.S. SENATORS. FBI BALTIMORE IS CLOSELY FOLLOWING POSSIBLE INTERVIEW OF
Baltimore will advise FBI HQ of results of interview
and thereafter present to U.S. Attorney, Baltimore,
Maryland.

BT
REFERENCE BALTIMORE TELETYPE TO FBI HQ, JANUARY 10, 1984
ENTITLED, "POSSIBLE THREAT TO U.S. SENATOR EDWARD M. KENNEDY, CAS; EXTORTION, OO: BA".

ON JANUARY 24, 1984, OFFICE OF SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JR., GEORGE H. FALLON BUILDING, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, TELEPHONE 301-962-4850, ADVISED THAT THE SENATOR'S BALTIMORE OFFICE HAVE RECEIVED TWO TELEPHONE CALLS INSTANT DATE FROM CAPTIONED SUBJECT STATING THAT SENATOR MATHIAS, "BETTER WATCH OUT." FIRST CALL WAS RECEIVED AT APPROXIMATELY 8:35 A.M. AND LASTED SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. CALLER IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS, DID NOT SOUND EXCITED, AND MADE NON-SENSICAL COMMENTS.
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CONCERNING FACT THAT [ REDACTED ] HAD NUMEROUS CONTACTS IN WHITE HOUSE
REFUTING FACT THAT SENATOR MATHIAS HAD SUCH CONTACTS.

[ REDACTED ] ALSO STATED THAT MATHIAS WAS A SEX MANIAC. SECOND CALL
WAS RECEIVED AT APPROXIMATELY 10:00 A.M. AND WAS SIMILAR TO
FIRST CALL IN THAT CONTENTS OF CONVERSATION WERE INCOMPREHENSIBLE.
CALLER IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [ REDACTED ] AND MADE SUCH STATEMENTS AS
COMPLAINTS THAT BLACK PEOPLE WERE BEING HARASSED AND THAT THOSE
PEOPLE BELONGED IN JAIL, ENDING WITH SENATOR MATHIAS, "BETTER WATCH
OUT." [ REDACTED ] MADE NO STATEMENTS IN EITHER CALL AS TO SPECIFIC
DETAILS AS TO WHY OR HOW SENATOR MATHIAS SHOULD BE CONCERNED FOR
HIS SAFETY.

[ REDACTED ] RELATED AN EARLIER CALL ON DECEMBER 30, 1983, FROM
AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [ REDACTED ]. THAT CALL WAS
SIMILAR IN THE CONFUSION OF ITS CONTENTS. AT ONE POINT IN THE
CALL [ REDACTED ] WAS QUOTED AS SAYING, "THE SENATOR DID NOT DESERVE
TO BE MENTIONED IN THE NEW YORKER MAGAZINE," AND, "HE STANDS FOR
MAIL THEFT AND LIQUIDATION AND I STAND FOR HIS LIQUIDATION."
AGAIN [ REDACTED ] DID NOT SOUND EXCITABLE AND DID NOT RAISE HIS VOICE.
ADDITIONALLY, [ REDACTED ] DID NOT DESCRIBE IN SPECIFIC DETAILS THE
NATURE OF THE POSSIBLE HARM THAT MIGHT COME TO SENATOR MATHIAS.
IS KNOWN TO SENATOR MATHIAS' BALTIMORE OFFICE, EXTENDING BACK TO 1980. IS A PROLIFIC LETTER WRITER AND IN EACH INSTANCE CONSTANTLY COMPLAINS OF HARASSMENT FROM THE POSTAL AUTHORITIES AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT.

B. APPROX

IS DESCRIBED AS

, WHO HAS BEEN OBSERVED WEARING A SPORTS COAT AND SLACKS IN ONE OCCASION WHEN HE MADE AN APPEARANCE IN THE SENATOR'S BALTIMORE OFFICE.

ON JANUARY 24, 1984, SA , U.S. SECRET SERVICE, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, WAS PROVIDED WITH THE ABOVE INFORMATION.

ON JANUARY 24, 1984, AT APPROXIMATELY 4:40 P.M., DET. , BALTIMORE CITY PD, WAS PROVIDED WITH THE ABOVE INFORMATION.

FBI, BALTIMORE, ADVISED TO CONTACT WASHINGTON OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS AND PROVIDE DETAILS OF CALLS TO SENATOR'S BALTIMORE OFFICE TO THE U.S. CAPITOL POLICE.

THE U.S. SECRET SERVICE HAS INDICATED A STRONG DESIRE TO INTERVIEW WHO RESIDES AT TELEPHONE , CONCERNING HIS NUMEROUS KNOWN CONTACTS WITH OTHER U.S. SENATORS. FBI BALTIMORE IS CLOSELY FOLLOWING POSSIBLE INTERVIEW OF.
Baltimore will advise FBIHQ of results of interview and thereafter present to U.S. Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland.

BT
MESSAGE RELAY VIA TELETYPING (RESTRICTED USE)

Date: 1/25/84

PM: DIRECTOR, FBI

TO: [Blank]

☐ White House/WH/
☐ Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco Firearms/BATF/
☐ Central Intelligence Agency/CIA/
☐ CIA DCD/DCD/
☐ Dept. of Energy HQS/DOEHQ/
☐ Dept. of Energy Germantown DIV/DOE/
☐ Dept. of Justice/DOJ/
☐ Dept. of State/DOS/
☐ Dept. of the Army/DA/
☐ Dept. of Treasury/DOT/
☐ Defense Intelligence Agency/DIA/

☐ Director National Security Agency/NSA/
☐ Director Naval Investigative Service/DIRNAV/INSERV/
☐ Drug Enforcement Admin./DEA/
☐ FAA Washington HQ/FAA/
☐ HQ AFOSI Bolling AFBDC/AFOSI/
☐ INSCOM Ft. Meade/INSCOM/
☐ Nuclear Regulatory Commission/NRC/
☐ U.S. Customs Service/UCS/
☐ U.S. Immigration & Naturalization Service/INS/
☐ U.S. Secret Service/USSS/
☐ Other: [Blank]

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Addressee Internal Distribution

For: [Blank]

Subject: POSSIBLE THREAT TO U.S. SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, CAS

☐ See Attached

Approved By: OBE/WW

Originator: [Blank]

Tele Ext. 4294

Room/Div.: 5046/6

DO NOT FILE WITHOUT COMMUNICATIONS STAMP
POSSIBLE THREAT TO U.S. SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, J.A., GEORGE H. FALLON BUILDING, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, TELEPHONE 321-962-4650, ADVISED THAT THE SENATOR'S BALTIMORE OFFICE HAVE RECEIVED TWO TELEPHONE CALLS INSTANT DATE FROM CAUGHTED SUBJECT STATING THAT SENATOR MATHIAS, "BETTER WATCH OUT." FIRST CALL WAS RECEIVED AT APPROXIMATELY 8:35 A.M. AND LASTED SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. CALLER IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS DID NOT SOUND EXCITED, AND MADE NON-SENSEICAL COMMENTS.
CONCERNING FACT THAT [ ] HAD NUMEROUS CONTACTS IN WHITE HOUSE REFUTING FACT THAT SENATOR MATHIAS HAD SUCH CONTACTS.

[ ] ALSO STATED THAT MATHIAS WAS A SEX MANIAC. SECOND CALL WAS RECEIVED AT APPROXIMATELY 12:37 A.M. AND WAS SIMILAR TO FIRST CALL IN THAT CONTENTS OF CONVERSATION WERE INCOMPREHENSIBLE.

CALLER IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [ ] AND MADE SUCH STATEMENTS AS COMPLAINING THAT BLACK PEOPLE WERE BEING HARASSED AND THAT THOSE PEOPLE WERE PUT IN JAIL, ENDING WITH SENATOR MATHIAS, "BETTER WATCH OUT." [ ] MADE NO STATEMENTS IN EITHER CALL AS TO SPECIFIC DETAIL AS TO WHY OR HOW SENATOR MATHIAS SHOULD BE CONCERNED FOR HIS SAFETY.

[ ] FOLLOWING AN EARLIER CALL ON DECEMBER 30, 1982, FROM AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS [ ]. THAT CALL WAS SIMILAR IN THE CONFUSION OF ITS CONTENTS. AT ONE POINT IN THE CALL [ ] WAS QUOTED AS SAYING, "THE SENATOR DID NOT DESERVE TO BE MENTIONED IN THE NEW YORKER MAGAZINE," AND, "HE STANDS FOR MAIL THEFT AND LIQUIDATION AND I STAND FOR HIS LIQUIDATION." AGAIN [ ] DID NOT SOUND EXCITABLE AND DID NOT RAISE HIS VOICE.

ADDITIONALLY, [ ] DID NOT DESCRIBE IN SPECIFIC DETAILS THE NATURE OF THE POSSIBLE HARM THAT MIGHT COME TO SENATOR MATHIAS.
IS KNOWN TO SENATOR MATHIAS' BALTIMORE OFFICE, EXTENDING BACK TO 1980. IS A PROLIFIC LETTER WRITER AND IN EACH INSTANCE CONSTANTLY COMPLAINS OF HARASSMENT FROM THE POSTAL AUTHORITIES AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT.

IS DESCRIBED AS WHO HAS BEEN OBSERVED WEARING A SPORTS COAT AND SLACKS IN ONE OCCASION WHEN HE MADE AN APPEARANCE IN THE SENATOR'S BALTIMORE OFFICE.

ON JANUARY 24, 1984, SA U.S. SECRET SERVICE, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, WAS PROVIDED WITH THE ABOVE INFORMATION.

ON JANUARY 24, 1984, AT APPROXIMATELY 4:40 P.M., DET. BALTIMORE CITY PD, WAS PROVIDED WITH THE ABOVE INFORMATION.

FBI, BALTIMORE, ADVISED TO CONTACT WASHINGTON OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS AND PROVIDE DETAILS OF CALLS TO SENATOR'S BALTIMORE OFFICE TO THE U.S. CAPITOL POLICE.

THE U.S. SECRET SERVICE HAS INDICATED A STRONG DESIRE TO INTERVIEW WHO RESIDES AT TELEPHONE CONCERNING HIS NUMEROUS KNOWN CONTACTS WITH OTHER U.S. SENATORS. FBI BALTIMORE IS CLOSELY FOLLOWING POSSIBLE INTERVIEW OF.
Baltimore will advise FBI of results of interview
and thereafter present to U.S. Attorney, Baltimore,
Maryland.

BT
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, BALTIMORE (89A-252) (SQ.6) (C)
SUBJECT: CHANGED

Residing At
CHARLES MATHIAS, JR.,
MEMBER OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE-VICTIM
January 24, 1984
CONGRESSIONAL, CABINET AND SUPREME COURT-ASSASSINATION, KIDNAPPING, AND ASSAULT
(MEMBER OF CONGRESS)

The title is marked changed to include address of subject and full character of a CCSCAKA case.
Reference Baltimore teletype to FBIHQ, dated 1/24/84.

Enclosed for FBIHQ are an original and two copies of an LHM, dated and captioned as above. Additionally, a copy of the LHM is being provided to the United States Secret Service, Baltimore, Maryland.

Interview of the complainant,

Office of the United States Senator CHARLES MATHIAS, JR., George H. Fallon Building, Baltimore, Maryland, telephone number 962-4850, and three other female members of the Senator's staff that had received the telephone call from the captioned subject revealed that the subject had only made threats of an unspecified nature to United States Senator CHARLES MATHIAS. Dissemination of this information was made to United States Secret Service, Baltimore, Maryland, on January 24, 1984, and at the same time the Senator's office

Bureau (Enc. 3)
DJK:rmw
(3)
in Washington, D.C., notified the United States Capitol Police of the subject's calls to the Senator's Baltimore office.

The United States Secret Service, Baltimore, Maryland, is attempting to interview [Redacted]. In view of the nature of the threat and the elements of this statute, no presentation of these facts was made to the United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland. Since no further investigation remains, Baltimore is considering this matter closed.
RESIDING AT

CHARLES MATHIAS, JR.,
MEMBER OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE—VICTIM
JANUARY 24, 1984
CONGRESSIONAL, CABINET, AND SUPREME COURT
ASSASSINATION, KIDNAPPING, AND ASSAULT
(MEMBER OF CONGRESS—ASSAULT)

On January 24, 1984, Office of the United States Senator CHARLES MATHIAS, JR., George H. Fallon Building, Baltimore, Maryland, telephone number 962-4850, advised that the captioned subject had made two telephonic contacts with the Baltimore Office of Senator MATHIAS on January 24, 1984. One call was received at approximately 8:35 a.m., and a second call was received at approximately 10:00 a.m., on January 24, 1984. Both telephone messages were brief, somewhat rambling and disjointed, and simply warned the Senator that, "He had better watch out". In both telephone messages left his name. In neither message did make a specific threat to the Senator's life.

advised that has been known to the office of the Senator since approximately 1980. The office holds an extensive file containing numerous letters from . In most instances the letters complain of the Postal Services opening mail and of Baltimore City Police harassment. In addition, the letters which were received mainly in the period 1980 through 1982 mention numerous political figures including United States Senators, local politicians and law enforcement agencies. Specifically, has mentioned United States Senator PAUL SARBANES, Baltimore City and Baltimore City Mayor WILLIAM DONALD SCHAEFER. Interviews were conducted with and three other female employees of Senator MATHIAS' Baltimore Office. In each of the interviews it was determined that had made no specific threat to the Senator, but had merely made an unspecific warning.

This document contains neither recommendation nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
On January 24, 1984, The United States Secret Service, Baltimore, Maryland, was advised of the telephone calls to the office of Senator MATHIAS by [Redacted]. On the same date, [Redacted] was advised by the Baltimore Office of the FBI to notify the Senator's office in Washington, D.C., and request that office to notify the United States Capitol Police, Washington, D.C., of the contact made by [Redacted] at the Baltimore Office of the Senator. Subsequently, [Redacted] advised that the Senator's office in Washington, D.C., had been advised of the contact by [Redacted] and that the United States Capitol Police had been notified by the Senator's Washington office. Additionally, on February 10, 1984, Special Agent [Redacted], United States Secret Service, Baltimore, Maryland, advised that attempts had been made by Special Agents of the Baltimore Office of the United States Secret Service to contact [Redacted] at his residence [Redacted] telephone number [Redacted] without success. The United States Secret Service did verify that [Redacted] resides at the [Redacted] address, but on each occasion, when attempts to contact [Redacted] were made, [Redacted] would refuse to answer the door or speak with the Special Agents.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
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Memorandum

TO : Mr. DeLoach
FROM : M. A. Jones
DATE: 12-5-60

SUBJECT: CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR. (REP.-MARYLAND)
CONGRESSMAN-ELECT, SIXTH DISTRICT

BIOGRAPHICAL DATA:

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., Republican of Frederick, Md., was born 7-24-22, and received his education at Haverford College, (A.B. - 1944), Yale University, and University of Maryland (LL.B. — 1949). Mathias was admitted to the Maryland bar in 1949, and thereafter practiced in Frederick County. During World War II, he served as a Naval officer in the Philippines campaign and participated in the occupation of Japan. Mathias' public offices include Assistant Attorney General of Maryland, 1953-54; city attorney of Frederick, 1954-59, and in 1959, served in the Maryland House of Delegates. He was elected to the 87th Congress on 11-8-60, defeating Democratic incumbent John R. Foley.

INFORMATION IN BUFILES:

A check of Bureau indices reflects no references identifiable with Mathias in Bufiles.

RECOMMENDATION:

None. For information.
TO: Mr. A. H. Belmont
FROM: Mr. W. C. Sullivan
DATE: March 11, 1963

SUBJECT: CONGRESSMAN CHARLES McC. MATHIAS (R-Md.)

In accordance with plans previously approved by the Bureau, I talked this morning to eleven students from Haverford College who were visiting Washington. This was done by request of the above-captioned congressman. The students were mainly interested in the over-all jurisdiction and operations of the FBI. Additionally, they had a special interest in the problem of communism.

I offered to arrange a tour of the FBI for the students. They said that they would re-examine their schedule to see if they could fit it in. It was obvious that they were very much interested in taking a tour, but the trip for them has been carefully scheduled. Therefore, they do not have much free time left.

RECOMMENDATION:

For your information.

l - Mr. Belmont
l - Mr. Sullivan
l - Mr. DeLoach
l - Mr. Morrell
l - Mr. M. A. Jones
l - Mr. Smith

WCS:mtb  (7)
LETTERS TO THE STAR

Mr. Mathias on Civil Rights

The Star has been very generous to me in its support of many projects which I have sponsored from time to time. I find it difficult to believe, therefore, that we are as far apart on the question of civil rights legislation as might seem apparent from your editorial of Tuesday, June 4, entitled "Civil Rights Policies."

As a matter of fact we are in perfect agreement on that part of your editorial which said, "This is no time for sham performances in such a grave matter." I heartily concur in this sentiment. I have co-sponsored the civil rights proposals made on June 3, as well as an earlier bill, only because I believe that these are absolutely necessary. The original 1963 Republican Civil Rights Bill was both comprehensive and constructive in nature. It was so moderate, in fact, that events proved that more stringent measures were necessary and for that reason we supplemented our proposal with the legislation introduced on Monday, June 3.

What we have proposed in the supplemental bill is, in substance, similar to Part III of the Eisenhower Civil Rights Program which failed to pass the Senate in 1967, in addition to a National Public Accommodations Measure based on the authority of the 14th Amendment to the Constitution. We believe that the Republican Civil Rights Program, as represented by the bills introduced earlier this year and on Monday last, constitute a "well considered civil rights program." We feel that events make it perfectly clear to any reasonable man that some new legislation is necessary, and we think we have proposed the kind of legislation to fill the need.

Republican members of the Judiciary Committee would have been happy to meet with the Attorney General at any time to discuss areas of agreement, and co-operate with respect to civil rights legislation. As far as I know, not a single Republican member of the House Judiciary Committee has been consulted in any way by the Attorney General on the subject of civil rights legislation.

In determining if anyone is guilty of a "sham" performance, fairness requires that the activities of all those who bear a share of responsibility should be subjected to examination. The President's record of statements with respect to his intentions on the subject of civil rights is exemplary. The Attorney General has likewise made many convincing speeches on this subject. You will recall that in 1960 the President pledged that the first legislation he would recommend to the 87th Congress would be a civil rights bill and he went so far as to confide to the country that, at his request, Senator Clark of Pennsylvania and Congressmen Celler of New York were already drafting such a bill. The 87th Congress came and went and no such executive recommendation was transmitted. Early this year the President did make certain recommendations in the field of civil rights, but they have proved to be so obviously inadequate to the present emergency that it is generally expected that he will submit further proposals. On three separate occasions the Attorney General has been scheduled to testify on these proposals before the Judiciary Committee of the House and on each occasion has postponed his appearance; although it is my understanding that we now have a fourth date with him.

In the meantime, the House Judiciary Committee convened civil rights hearings on May 8, 1963, which was approximately the time that the seriousness of the situation in Birmingham was apparent to the whole country. Republican members of the committee withheld advancing further legislative suggestions for nearly a month in the expectation that there could be either a bipartisan, or at least an administration proposal. Neither was forthcoming.

A call from the administration for bipartisanship at this late date would be a little hollow, but would still not go unanswered in view of the vital interests of the Nation which are involved.

This is no time for sham performances in such a grave matter. The Republican members of the House who have felt their responsibility with respect to civil rights believe that the actions they have taken have been restrained, moderate, and in full accord with the conscientious discharge of their legislative duties. If everyone else who has a part to play in this unhappy situation will avoid "sham" it may yet be that the Congress can enact legislation framed with sufficient wisdom and restraint to save the country from an agonizing experience.

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.,
Member, House of Representatives, 6th District, Maryland.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Wick
FROM: M. A. Jones

DATE: 10/24/66
SUBJECT: CONGRESSMAN CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR. (R-MD.)

By appointment SA Bowers called on Congressman Mathias, with whom we have had cordial relations in the past, on 10/20/66. The Congressman stated he has received information from a newspaperman considered reliable to the effect that there is a definite tie between slot machine operators in Southern Maryland and New York members of La Cosa Nostra.

The Congressman related his information indicates the New York City Police or a District Attorney in New York City has a tape of apparently a telephone conversation between a Cosa Nostra leader in New York and a slot machine operator in Southern Maryland. Reportedly this is a lengthy conversation dealing with business operations but containing one brief passage indicating Cosa Nostra is collecting or has collected funds to be used in the current political campaign in Maryland in support of candidates friendly to the slot machine operators.

Congressman Mathias advised that he felt the above data should be brought to the attention of the Bureau for their information. He has no further information concerning the alleged tape but if in the event his source furnishes any additional information or desires to talk to the FBI, he will let us know.

It is to be noted there has been and is a very heated political situation in Maryland involving the phase-out of slot machines. We have no information tying in La Cosa Nostra with the slot machine industry in Maryland. Congressman Mathias is aware of the current publicity involving Joseph Nesline and Charles Touraine, local gamblers who, during the course of their recent trial on charges of gambling in Maryland, indicated they were not in Maryland to open up any illegal gambling operation, but were interested in possibly the opening of a restaurant with legal slot machines.

RECOMMENDATION:
That this memorandum be referred to the Special Investigative Division.

1 - Mr. DeLoach 1966
1 - Mr. Wick
1 - Mr. Gale
DWB: rlf/jer/dsac (7)
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Wick
FROM: M. A. Jones
DATE: 11-1-66
SUBJECT: CONGRESSMAN CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR. (R-MD.)

Reference is made to my memorandum to you dated 10-24-66 concerning information reported by Congressman Mathias to SA Bowers regarding an alleged taped conversation between a New York La Cosa Nostra member and a slot machine operator in southern Maryland in which the New Yorker reportedly stated that funds had been or were being collected for use in the current political campaign in Maryland to support candidates friendly to the slot machine industry.

On 11-1-66 Congressman Mathias contacted Bowers and stated he has the name of an individual member of the New York City Police Department who reportedly has control of the tape or was responsible for the conversation being recorded. He identified this individual as Sergeant Salerno of the New York Police Intelligence Unit but requested that we endeavor to use this information in such a way as not to jeopardize Salerno's position. The Congressman stated that while he realizes the FBI is rightly limited in the information it can disclose, he would be most appreciative if we could possibly tell him if the alleged tape exists or the reported conversation took place. He commented that the nearness of election day makes the time element most important to him.

The Congressman was thanked for the information and no indication was given to him that we would be able to supply him any data regarding this matter at any time in the future.

The Sergeant Salerno referred to by Congressman Mathias undoubtedly is Sergeant Ralph Salerno of the Central Investigations Bureau of the New York City Police Department. Salerno in recent months has gained considerable publicity as a so-called authoritative spokesman on organized crime. He appeared on the recent NBC "White Paper" on organized crime.

RECOMMENDATION:

That this matter be referred to the Special Investigative Division which already has instructed the New York Office to check concerning the alleged tape.

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Gale
1 - Mr. Wick

DWB: MM (6)
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Bishop
FROM: M. A. Jones

DATE: 3/11/68

SUBJECT: TELEVISION PROGRAM, "CAPITAL TIMELINE" MARCH 11, 1968

Captained program was monitored by an Agent of the Crime Research Section in view of information received that Congressman Charles Mathias (R-Maryland) was to be a guest and in his newsletter dated 2/13/68, he expressed apprehension at the number of records concerning individuals being maintained by the Federal Government.

During the program, Congressman Mathias made no mention of the matter discussed in the above newsletter.

Senator Daniel Brewster (D-Maryland) was also a guest and, in answer to a question, Senator Brewster said that Congress should outlaw all "private" wire tapping including local police and the FBI. He indicated that he favored a bill which would permit limited wire tapping in matters such as treason and organized crime. In those cases, he advocated allowing wire tapping upon the issuance of process by a court based on definite information as to what law is being violated and being definite as to the time, place, and circumstances of the wire tap.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Miss Gandy
1 - Miss Holmes

LSL:emm/mls
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MAR 22 1968

34 MAR 24 1968
Releat 2/7/68 which requested a search of Rufiles concerning subject and, in the event no information was discovered to preclude subject's use, Bureau authority was requested to designate subject as a Bureau-approved PSI.

Search of Rufiles concerning subject and spouse uncovered no information not already known to your office.

Because of the sensitive nature of subject's employment as , the Bureau does not at the present time desire subject to become operational on behalf of the Bureau or come under Bureau control. Your request for designating subject a Bureau-approved PSI is, therefore, denied at this time.

You should continue, however, to receive information from subject and reap maximum benefits possible short of having subject come under Bureau control. As suggested in Releat, it would be well that any contacts necessary with subject be away from his employment.

1 - 94-54474 (Charles McC Mathias, Jr.)

SFP: plk

(6)

NOTE:

DUPLICATE YELLOW

NOTE CONTINUED PAGE TWO
Bufile 94-62970 reveals Mathias is one of a group of about 40 Republican Congressmen known as the "Wednesday Club." In early '67 these Congressmen were signatories to a statement concerning Federal Organization to Fight Crime which had inaccuracies and was critical of Bureau. Memo R.E. Wick to Mr. DeLoach 3/14/67 reported on a conference 3/13/67 between Bureau officials and some of the Congressmen, including Mathias. The Congressmen's explanations were considered inadequate and the Director commented as to the Congressmen's statements that they had no intention of being critical of the FBI and would not issue any statement designed to bring criticism on the FBI, "They certainly lied."
To: Mr. Wick

From: J. J. Daunt

DATE: March 23, 1967

SUBJECT: PROPOSED STATEMENT CONCERNING FEDERAL ORGANIZATION TO FIGHT CRIME PREPARED FOR THE "WEDNESDAY CLUB" A GROUP OF ABOUT 40 REPUBLICAN CONGRESSMEN

(copy attached)

By memorandum dated March 14, 1967, our contacts with Congressmen Robert Taft (R-Ohio), Joseph McDade (R-Pa), Charles Mathias (R-Md) and Charles Mosher (R-Ohio) were set forth. The following summarizes their reaction.

Congressman Taft, when confidentially advised of the Bureau's observations concerning the above proposed statement, stated that he was unfamiliar with the details of the report but he has personally assured that there was no intention of being critical of the FBI. He suggested that contact be made with Congressman McDade who is the Chairman of the subcommittee on crime legislation for the "Wednesday Club."

Congressman McDade during the course of our discussion with him also assured us that there was no intention of being critical of FBI operations but pointed out that there is a need for all Federal investigative agencies to conduct their business like the FBI. He was defensive in that he had already signed the statement and explained that the format of the proposal was in question form rather than in statements of fact and that they were merely inquiring as to whether or not there should be more consolidation of Federal investigative authority.

Congressman Mosher raised several questions as to the content of the statement which indicated that he was not at all familiar with it in detail. His reaction was that perhaps the FBI was being oversensitive in that it was not his impression that the statement was critical of the FBI.

1 - Mr. DeLoach
1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Mr. Sullivan
1 - Mr. Wick
1 - Mr. Jones

CONTINUED-OVER)
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Enclosure
Memorandum to Mr. Wick
Re: Proposed Statement Concerning
Federal Organization to Fight Crime

Congressman Mathias was of the same opinion as the above
Congressmen in that there was no intention of being critical of the FBI and
that he wished that all law enforcement agencies had the same standards
as the FBI. Mathias pointed out that his group would vote for the President's
legislation on crime but "we are looking for an issue and we found it in the
need for Federal reorganization."

ACTIONS

For information.

[Initials and handwritten note:]

These members are
totally ignorant of
the meaning of
the King's English.
Their statements were
inaccurate and
hostile.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. DeLoach

FROM: R. E. Wick

DATE: March 14, 1967

SUBJECT: PROPOSED STATEMENT CONCERNING FEDERAL ORGANIZATION TO FIGHT CRIME PREPARED FOR THE "WEDNESDAY CLUB" A GROUP OF ABOUT 40 REPUBLICAN CONGRESSMEN

Reference is made to my memoranda to you dated March 6 and 8, 1967, in captioned matter. The Director approved our contacting Congressman Robert Taft, Jr. (R-Ohio) in connection with an analysis made by us of a 25-page solid typewritten proposed statement concerning crime legislation. The statement had been prepared by Douglas Bailey for consideration by 40 Republican Congressmen who belong to the "Wednesday Club," and was to be issued to the press sometime this month.

Briefly, the 25-page statement called for consolidation of all Federal law enforcement agencies into a single criminal agency in the Department of Justice, separation of the FBI's jurisdiction in internal security and criminal work; it proposed a Research Division of the Department of Justice to study all phases of crime and a coordinating council for crime prevention programs. It commented on the FBI's relative autonomy has resulted in a constantly decreasing ability of the public or Congress to know precisely what it does; it referred to the semi-autonomy of the FBI in the Department as demonstrated by the debate over wiretapping. In general, the document contained many critical statements without any justification.

DEVELOPMENT:

Late the afternoon of Friday, 3/10/67, our informant in this matter, contacted us, who, in turn, is said that the Republicans in the House are fighting among themselves as to how they shall present the Republican's answer to the President's message on
Wick to DeLoach, 3/14/67


crime. He stated that Congressman Richard H. Poff (R-Va.) had been instructed by the Republican leadership to write a report on the GOP's stance. In the meantime, Congressman McDade had Douglas Bailey (his researcher), prepare the above-mentioned 25-page document. Both factions were unaware the other was preparing papers relating to the proposed legislation on crime bills in the House.

Congressman Taft on Monday, 3/13/67, was confidentially advised of the Bureau's observations concerning the 25-page statement of the crime study group. It was pointed out there were some mis-statements of fact relating to the FBI which he may wish to consider before the report is given to the press. He agreed to do so, but indicated unfamiliarity with the details of the report and suggested that we talk to Bailey and Congressman McDade. had advised us in the meantime that the statement had been distributed to all 40 members of the "Wednesday Club" and possibly to other Republicans.

In view of this, Congressman Poff was contacted and he indicated that neither he nor the Republican leadership was in agreement with the "Wednesday Club's" report. He advised that he had already prepared an analysis of the President's crime message at the instructions of the leadership. He indicated he felt the Administration's legislation was going too fast, too soon with insufficient funds. He advised that the Republican leadership was having a meeting on the afternoon of 3/13/67 to firm up a formal Republican position, based on his analysis. Poff described the "Wednesday Club" as comprised of the liberal wing of the Republican Party and seemingly not controllable by the Republican leadership (Gerald Ford, R-Michigan).

Mr. Poff advised on the morning of 3/14/67 that it has not as yet been decided when the Republican leadership will issue its statement on the President's crime legislation, but that it would be forthcoming either sometime this week or the week of March 20, 1967, and would be directed along entirely different lines than that of the crime study group of the Wednesday Club.

The three signers in addition to Taft of the 25-page proposed statement are Joseph M. McDade (R-Pa.); Charles Mathias (R-Md.); and Charles A. Mosher (R-Ohio). Mr. McDade called me yesterday and asked
Wick to DeLoach Memo, 3/14/67

that I see him at 5:00 p.m., Monday evening, on a confidential basis, since he did not want to be a party to any statement which would be critical of the FBI.

Mr. Daumt and I at 5:00 p.m. yesterday, 3/13/67, did see Mr. McDade in his office, at which time he called in Douglas Bailey, his research man (a former professor from Massachusetts), as well as Congressman Mosher and Mathias.

We explained the inaccurate references to the FBI in the proposed statement. The men stated they had no intention of being critical of the FBI and certainly would not issue any statement designed to bring criticism on the FBI. Mr. Mosher said he believed we were overly "sensitive" on the matter, that they were raising questions about other Federal agencies and not the FBI, but necessarily had to include the FBI since we are the most prominent Federal agency. The consensus of the Congressmen was that they should go ahead and issue a statement to morning papers of Wednesday, 3/15/67, but they would "water it down" by the technique of asking questions about the needs of reorganizing Federal investigative functions, such as: "How often are two Federal agencies working on the same case without knowing it?" and "Does it make sense for most executive departments to have their own criminal investigation bureaus?" also, "Should not the Director of the FBI be elevated to a rank requiring both Presidential appointment and Senate confirmation?" All questions relating to research into the causes of crime and related matters, questions relating to correction, probation, rehabilitation and pardon matters are included in their release.

We explained to the Congressmen that we were calling upon them solely for the purpose of advising them of some matters included within their report bearing on the FBI which were not correct and they may wish to give these consideration.

We pointed out to the Congressmen that despite the fact the references to the FBI were put in the form of a question rather than a statement of fact without any documentation or justification, the fact, nevertheless, remained that newspapermen will certainly view such references to the FBI as critical.
Wick to DeLoach Memo, 3/14/67

OBSERVATIONS:

There is no doubt but that the liberal Republican group comprising the "Wednesday Club" are out to obtain all the publicity they can get. Congressman Mathias said his group will vote for the President's legislation on crime but "We are looking for an issue and we found it in Federal organization." It was apparent that none of the Congressmen, with the exception of Chairman McDade, is completely familiar with the 25-page statement they have signed and intend to issue for publication Wednesday morning, 3/15/67. They are looking for headlines. For instance, Congressman Taft, in commenting upon the need for a Provost General within the Department of Justice to compel information sharing as proposed in the statement, explained that this was directed at Social Security information, business information in the Department of Commerce and income tax data. We explained to the Congressman the FBI's exchange of information with police agencies and he said that they had no intention of being critical about this aspect of the FBI's work, and that their proposal is directed at all Federal investigative agencies who have no control over the information which he discussed above. Congressman Mosher said that at no point in this statement is it stated that confusion, competition and duplication exists among Federal investigative agencies. We pointed out to Congressman McDade, Mosher and Mathias that such a statement appears on page 2 of their statement under the phrasing "Our preliminary study has nonetheless provided ample examples of confusion, competition and duplication to justify many times over our recommendation for a broad-ranging Congressional investigation of the Federal Government's organization to combat crime." The men, nevertheless, reiterated that this was more or less posed as a question. I told the men that insofar as the FBI is concerned, there is no confusion, competition and duplication.

advised me late last evening that the "Wednesday Club" leadership had intended to have a press conference today, 3/14/67, on this matter, but has canceled it. Instead, they are mailing out 800 copies of the attached 7-page revised statement which will be signed by several Congressmen, perhaps, in addition to McDade, Mathias, Taft and Mosher, who were primarily responsible for having it drawn.

ACTION TO BE TAKEN:

This matter will be followed closely.
Memorandum

To: Mr. DeLoach

R. E. Wick

DATE: March 9, 1967

SUBJECT: PROPOSED STATEMENT CONCERNING FEDERAL ORGANIZATION TO FIGHT CRIME PREPARED FOR THE "WEDNESDAY CLUB," A GROUP OF ABOUT 40 REPUBLICAN CONGRESSMEN

Charles McC. Mathis

My memorandum of March 6, 1967, advised of a document, captioned as above, which was prepared for consideration by 40 Republican Congressmen who belong to the "Wednesday Club." The document was prepared by a staff assistant, namely Douglas Bailey.

The document was turned over to us by

Summary of Detailed Analysis

The author of this document is naive and uninformed and certainly is no friend of the FBI. The whole proposal, namely "Federal Organization to Fight Crime" is built on a false premise. Applauding the President's Crime Message, even though tardy, the author of this proposal suggests that the President has overlooked the fact that there can be no comprehensive attack on the crime rate until all Federal criminal investigative agencies are centralized in one. This is unrealistic simply because Federal investigative effort was never intended to combat the common local crime problem. The Federal investigative agencies have little jurisdictional control over the 3 million serious crimes committed in the U.S. in 1966 or the 6 1/2 million arrests which local and state police made on a national basis.

Su

1. Mr. DeLoach
2. Mr. Mohr
3. Mr. Wick
4. Mr. Sullivan
5. Mr. Jones
6. Mr. Daunt (detached)

94 54474
NOT RECORDED
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Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach
Re: Proposed Statement Concerning Federal Organization to Fight Crime

The Administration's crime bill and all similar crime bills in Congress call for a new Federal role that has never existed before, namely financial aid to the states, research and the coordination of information. In addition, the author ignores the diverse nature of Federal law and the inefficiency that results from the loss of management controls in "monster" agencies. The author claims that recent debates over wire-tapping show the extent to which the FBI is a semi-autonomous agency. He ignores published testimony of the Director and the documentation which establishes the FBI's use of wiretapping was done with the full knowledge of all Attorneys General, as well as under carefully controlled conditions. Gratuitous statements are made of jealousies, competition, suspicion, lack of communication, etc., between Federal agencies and in not one instance are these charges factually supported.

The report suggests the separation of Federal criminal investigative functions from internal security and personnel security investigations. He overlooks the fact that these responsibilities were legislated by Congress or are done at the direction of the President. He is obviously unaware of the fact that they frequently overlap and our daily liaison with local police on criminal matters contributes to a successful exchange and cooperative arrangements in the internal security function. The author suggests that the FBI's relative autonomy results in a constantly decreasing ability of the public or the Congress to know precisely what it does. This is, of course, ridiculous. The FBI is unique in exposing to the public through public information programs just what its responsibilities are and its effectiveness in dealing with these responsibilities. The Director's Appropriations testimony and correspondence with members of the Congress are testimony to frank and open exchange.

The author's discussion of the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports is evidence of his ignorance. He makes the statement that while the FBI is provided funds annually for a program of public information it is not notably successful. As a case in point, merely to refute this briefly: The only documentation to justify the national crime problem, the establishment of the President's Crime Commission and to support the current crime legislative proposals is the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports. Almost without exception the only

(CONTINUED-OVER)
Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach
Re: Proposed Statement Concerning
Federal Organization to Fight Crime

Statistics utilized in the President's Crime Commission report were reproduced from Uniform Crime Reports or other FBI statistics.

ACTION

1. That the attached detailed analysis of the questioned document be discussed with [blank]. This should be done with a member of my office (Mr. Wick's) and the Washington Field Office Agent handling [signature]

2. [Blank] should be instructed to advise us when and if this document is distributed to the Congressmen who are members of the "Wednesday Club."

3. Upon receipt of this information, that the document has been distributed, my office (Mr. Wick's) will contact Congressman Robert Taft, Jr., who is a friendly contact. We will refute the document with Congressman Taft. This contact should not be made until the document officially gets into the hands of Taft or [blank].

(Details - Over)
FEDERAL ORGANIZATION TO FIGHT CRIME

This proposal is generally naive and is built on a false premise. On page 1 it is stated "a comprehensive Federal effort to lower the crime rate can be truly effective only with a major reorganization of the Federal Government's departments, agencies, and bureaus charged with the task. Today that organization is a mess."

Comment

Traditionally and historically law enforcement in this country has been the responsibility of local governments and states. The crime problem is local in nature and can only be dealt with by local agencies. The Federal investigative agencies were never designed to deal with the voluminous murder, rape, burglary, robbery, auto theft, sex offenses, narcotics, fraudulent checks, etc. Federal legislation was passed to assist local law enforcement with respect to those crimes where state boundaries were crossed which required a greater continuity of investigation. Other Federal legislation was passed for specific crime problems such as broad conspiracy-type matters and, particularly, aggravated situations such as bank robberies, kidnaping, etc.

However, while the FBI successfully investigates and solves bank robberies, bank robbery makes up less than 1 percent of the total robberies committed in the United States. While the FBI does an efficient job in investigating interstate transportation of stolen motor vehicles, this type of car theft is only 5 percent of the more than 500,000 auto thefts in this country. Federal investigative agencies make approximately 50,000 arrests per year for Federal violations. Local and state police make over 6 million arrests for criminal acts not including traffic offenses. Federal law enforcement agencies have insignificant jurisdictional control over the 3 million serious crimes of violence and against property committed in 1966.

In short, the Federal investigative agencies have never been "charged" with the task of lowering the "crime rate." In fact, the present legislative proposals do not place such a charge on the Federal investigative agencies. What is proposed is financial assistance to local law enforcement, research and the coordination of information.
On pages 2, 3 and 4 the proposal in question describes the Federal investigative method of 20 law enforcement agencies as one of confusion, competition, duplication, chaos, and one of poor communication and self-defeating jealousies.

**Comment**

On its face such undocumented generalizations are without any basis in fact. While there is always room for consolidation, particularly as new responsibilities are added to the investigative agencies by Congress, Federal criminal law is highly specialized and sharply defined.

The legal areas of Federal investigative jurisdiction are supplemented by bilateral memoranda of understanding so that there is no confusion as to responsibility among the agencies themselves. The FBI maintains daily liaison with other agencies. Again, Federal investigative agencies are not organized to combat local crime but, rather, to enforce Federal laws.

As the Director of the FBI has pointed out on many occasions, it is unlikely that a single monster Federal investigative agency could operate with efficiency or as effectively in enforcing the diverse nature of Federal law.

The real problem is, again, at local level where there are 40,000 investigative agencies through the village constable, municipal police, county sheriff, state police, state bureaus, park police, etc. The crime problem is the responsibility of these agencies and the problem of multiplicity of jurisdiction is within the state.

On page 5 a statement is made that a comprehensive approach to organized crime and narcotics enforcement at the Federal level require the full cooperation of a number of agencies and these are set forth.

**Comment**

This, of course, is a fact, but the point is that one agency has primary responsibility and the data collected by another agency on organized crime or narcotics is a by-product of their defined jurisdiction. For instance, the contribution of the Postal Inspector to organized crime is intelligence that is a by-product of their highly specialized Postal law violations.
A similar charge is made with respect to a number of training schools that are maintained by the Federal law enforcement agencies such as the Bureau of Narcotics and the FBI. Again, the training schools for local law enforcement officers put on by the FBI and the Federal Bureau of Narcotics involve vastly different specialized courses.

On page 6 a statement is made that although the FBI is within the Department of Justice, "recent debates over wire tapping procedures have shown the FBI maintains an informal semi-autonomy from administration regulation."

Comment

This statement is without any basis in fact. Mr. Hoover's testimony as well as documentation has clearly shown that the FBI's use of wire tapping was done with the full knowledge of the Attorneys General and under controlled conditions.

On page 7 the statement is made that the President's Message to Congress on crime did not resolve any of these "severe" Federal organization problems. "On the contrary, the proposals in the message, regardless of their individual merit, merely impose new structural problems on top of the existing chaos."

Comment

In the first place, the author has not established any case with respect to the investigative functions of the Federal agencies. Furthermore, the proposed legislation suggests new responsibilities such as financial assistance to the states for law enforcement purposes, research, and other programs which are new to the Federal role. The proposed legislation spells out some means of administering such programs, but what new responsibilities may fall upon Federal investigative agencies are not clear at this time.
On pages 9 and 10 the recommendation is made that all criminal law enforcement agencies of the Federal Government should be replaced by a single criminal law enforcement agency within the Department of Justice.

Comment

As pointed out earlier, this proposal is just not responsive to the national crime problem. In itself, the recommendation is an oversimplification. While some consolidation is made from time to time, this broad brush approach is full of pitfalls. The statements concerning unhealthy competition, duplication of effort, suspicion, confusion, distrust, are simply mudslinging.

The conclusion that one large centralized agency would result in a savings of money and better restraint so that the interest of the public could be safeguarded is not valid. It comes down to the fact that management of a small organization versus a large organization is considerably more likely to maintain control and restraints on personnel. While one might save money in avoiding duplication of certain administrative staff, the loss of management controls in a large organization result in lower efficiency and offset any savings.

On page 10A it is suggested that routine criminal investigation and law enforcement should be entirely separated from national security and personnel security investigations. It alleges that the internal security jurisdiction is used to involve Federal agencies in matters that local agencies may not "welcome."

Comment

The FBI's success in the internal security field has, to a large extent, been the result of its close liaison on a daily basis with local and state law enforcement agencies in criminal matters of mutual interest. These same agencies are also alert to internal security problems and, as a result, a close working relationship exists in a common effort of national defense.
There is no abuse of this internal security responsibility on the part of the FBI. Personnel security investigations conducted by the FBI are limited to high level Administration individuals at the request of the President. Other personnel security investigations conducted by the FBI are done only when information of an internal security character is developed on the prospective employee. There is, then, a definite relationship between this type personnel security investigation and the FBI's responsibility for internal security matters.

On page 12 a statement is made "For example, the FBI's relative autonomy has resulted in a constantly decreasing ability of the public or the Congress to know precisely what it does."

Comment

This is a completely unjustified statement without one iota of fact being presented. The FBI's public information programs, tours, speaking engagement, publications, etc., are a model of exposure to the public as to just what its responsibilities are and its effectiveness in dealing with these responsibilities. The Director of the FBI has been forthright in all of his appropriations testimony and correspondence with members of Congress who are interested in any activity carried on by the FBI.

It is suggested on page 12 that through the centralization of the Federal investigative effort in one agency the proven checks and balances of the Government would be greatly enhanced. This is contrary to fact. Decentralization obviously provides more checks and balances. As far as improving the cooperation and coordination with state and local agencies, the fact is that local law enforcement and the FBI enjoy the highest degree of cooperation. While the author of this paper is concerned with the "relative autonomy" of the FBI, in recommending a corrections service within the Department of Justice, stress is placed on the need for this new agency to have independence.

On pages 14 and 15 where recommendations are made in connection with a research division within the Department of Justice. The purpose of the division is to conduct research concerning crime and criminal behavior and to centralize information on such studies.
Comment

This was a recommendation of the President's Crime Commission and would be a new role for the Department of Justice. The author is overoptimistic of the potential of this research and what it can produce. He is also uninformed because considerable research has been conducted in the area of crime and criminal behavior at colleges and universities, as well as in public agencies. What has not been conducted is not due to the lack of funds or the lack of technique but rather because of the lack of data and our lack of knowledge about human behavior. The statistical data that is lacking falls under the area of courts, corrections and parole and probation authorities. Only local law enforcement in cooperation with the FBI has provided any significant information on crime and criminals.

On page 15 the recommendation is made that the new division of research should establish its own system of crime statistics to replace the inadequate program now operated by the FBI. It is alleged that the FBI's program is inadequate because local law enforcement agencies do not consistently apply FBI regulations in reporting crime and also because the data collected is limited to "the reporting of offenses committed."

Comment

Again, the author of this paper is uninformed on the facts. The Crime Commission recommended that a new office be established within the Department of Justice to collect statistics from the courts, prisons and jails and parole and probation authorities throughout the country. The only nationwide collection of criminal statistics in this country is produced by local law enforcement in cooperation with the FBI and are published in Uniform Crime Reports. An attempt to collect national court statistics in this country "fell on its face" in 1945 because of a lack of cooperation between local courts. The Crime Commission report recognized this fact and pointed out the need to collect data from these other areas and that it be closely coordinated with the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports.
The FBI regulations referred to by the writer are apparently the standard definitions of crime which are necessary in a national program. In order to overcome the wide variation in state law as to the definition of each crime, common definitions were established. The police in this country conform to these definitions in reporting crimes to the FBI. The same procedure of common definitions will have to be applied in any forthcoming national collection of court, correctional, etc., statistics.

The point is that the full collection of criminal justice statistics in this country is inadequate but this is no criticism but rather a tribute that only the police through the FBI provide nationwide statistics.

With regard to the statement that FBI statistics are "inadequate" because they are limited to "the reporting of offenses committed," the author has fallen into a trap of the uninformed. The fact that all crime is not reported to police agencies by citizens was established 37 years ago when the Uniform Crime Reporting Program was initiated. Some alleged experts have now "rediscovered" this fact. Uniform Crime Reports are limited to the extent to which citizens report crimes to the police. The extent to which they are not reported to the police by citizens is interesting but academic. The point is that police statistics are administrative by nature and only those offenses reported to them are what they must concern themselves with in terms of crime control and manpower needs.

On pages 15 and 16 it is suggested that more analyses and interpretation should be made of statistics and an FBI Agent is not necessarily qualified to undertake such meaningful analysis. The FBI has over the years furnished to sociologists, colleges and universities both published and unpublished data for their analysis and study. The FBI has then provided the raw data as a service to the social scientists. In addition, the FBI conducts its own studies with personnel who have competent backgrounds to make meaningful analyses. Anyone who has ever had any experience with the collection of mass data for statistical purposes knows that the most competent person to judge the limitations and the proper use of the data are those involved in the collection from local agencies.
On page 16 it is stated that "the FBI is provided funds annually for a program of public information but it has not been notably successful."

Comment

This is ridiculous. The only documented source to justify the establishment of a President's Crime Commission, as well as the current proposed crime legislation in Congress is the FBI's Uniform Reports. A review of the President's Crime Commission report indicates that almost without exception the source of all statistics are the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports or other FBI statistical studies. Apparently the author of this paper has never heard of the FBI's monthly Law Enforcement Bulletin and the numerous other publications and articles by Director J. Edgar Hoover.

The Appendix developed by the author of this paper attempts to show present Federal Government organization and is filled with inaccuracies, omissions and obvious misclassifications.
Mr. J. Edgar Hoover  
Director, Federal Bureau  
of Investigation  
9th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

At a debate last week in Maryland, Senator Brewster  
and I were asked to comment on a statement purporting to  
have been made by Senator McCarthy and relating to termina-  
tion of your services as Director of the F.B.I. I have been  
disturbed by some of the partial quotations, taken out of  
context, that distort what I actually said.

Fortunately, the Evening Star made a reasonably  
accurate report and I am glad to enclose a copy for your  
information.

With kind regards,

Sincerely yours,

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
September 30, 1968

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

My dear Congressman:

I received your letter of September 25th and the attached newspaper clipping. It was certainly thoughtful of you to furnish this.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: The newspaper article is from the "Washington Star" of 9-18-68. Congressman Mathias was elected to Congress in November, 1960, and we have enjoyed cordial relations with him. Senator Daniel B. Brewster (D-Maryland) was elected to Senate November, 1962. In October, 1965, he was critical of the Director cancelling a lecture by an FBI representative at University of Maryland in which Bayard Rustin was also to appear. Rustin is well known to the Bureau as an ex-convict, moral degenerate and an admitted former member of Young Communist League. Director instructed Mr. DeLoach to note "After you have explained to Brewster reasons for cancellation of FBI speaker and Brewster told you he would not appear on platform with such a 'bastard' (Brewster's words), he attacked me in the press and writes me for an explanation as to cancellation. Just how low can a politician sink."
Brewster, Mathias Field Questions

By MARTHA ANGEL
Star Staff Writer


Appearing before a politically alert audience of about 300 in Silver Spring, the two candidates fielded a battery of questions about their views on issues ranging from Vietnam and crime to congressional ethics.

For the most part, differences between the two men appeared to be a matter of degree rather than basic philosophy. Neither could claim a clear victory from the exchange of views, although Mathias appeared to win a slight edge in audience applause.

With newspaper columnist Jack Anderson funneling questions from the audience, the inquiries became distinctly pointed on several occasions—especially in regard to congressional ethics.

Financial Questions

Anderson challenged both Brewster and Mathias to tell the audience whether they had made personal financial disclosure statements and if not, to reveal their financial worth on the spot.

Mathias sailed through this test by explaining he had already made a full public disclosure of his financial status. He added that during his eight years in Congress, his income as a private attorney has averaged no more than about $2,000 a year.

Brewster conceded he had made “no detailed public statement on my net worth or investments.” He said that “because of my birth I have inherited certain reasonably substantial properties and trusts.”

Despite probing from Anderson, Brewster did not put a price tag on his net worth but noted that beginning in January, all senators will be required to file statements with the Senate listing their assets and outside financial interests.

Not Available to Public

Anderson promptly reminded the audience that such statements are to be open to scrutiny only by the Senate, and will not be available to the press and public.

On Vietnam, Mathias said he still favors a plan of “gradual reciprocal identifiable disengagement” which he and several other congressmen proposed 14 months ago.

He suggested that the Johnson Administration has missed several “signals” from North Vietnam and the Viet Cong indicating a willingness to de-escalate the conflict and said:

“This is the road we must travel. We must look for signals, take opportunities as they are presented and get this war and this killing over with.”

Brewster said the United States “must make abundantly clear to all the world that we seek a military victory, but that we will stand by our word, and to the extent of our national interest, will oppose aggression.”

Would Use U.N. More

He urged pursuit of the Paris negotiations, a greater use of the United Nations and vigorous exploitation of all diplomatic channels to world capitals “both friendly and enemy.”

He cautioned, however, that the U.S. should not “cave in only to be forced to fight another day on another battlefield under less advantageous conditions.”

Questioned about the disorders at the Democratic National Convention in Chicago last month, Brewster condemned both the violence of the “vicious, militant, hard-core agitators” and “thoroughly irresponsible and vicious” police beatings of newsmen and demonstrators.

Mathias suggested that one way to eliminate dissent is to “de-criminalize the just causes of dissent,” mentioning specifically that “we do have an unjust draft law.” His comment drew loud applause.

Calls Drugs One Cause

On the crime issue, Mathias said that “a lot of the root of the problem lies with narcotics and drugs. Young people get the habit, and to feed it, they steal purses, cars, anything.”

He said organized crime is behind much of the narcotics traffic in this country and charged that the Justice Department’s organized crime and racketeers division has “decreased its efforts since the late Robert P. Kennedy was attorney general.”

Mathias urged that this agency be “bedded up,” and also called for improvements in the “quality and quantity” of law enforcement at every level of government.

The 6th District Republican said the crime problem has been around “for many years” but used to be contained in the center city, “where the official attitude of those in charge was that if they did it to themselves, we’re not going to worry too much.”

Brewster Urges Attack

Brewster agreed that an “all-out attack on syndicated crime” is necessary and also urged heavier fines, more and better judges, a reformed bail bond system and “certain types of firearms control.”

Both candidates endorsed the watered-down gun control legislation now pending in Congress.

Brewster, who co-sponsored a much stronger bill calling for licensing and registration, now said he believes such provisions should be included in state, not federal, legislation.

Mathias did not mention either licensing or registration of firearms.

Both men were asked whether they thought FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover should be fired, as Sen. Eugene McCarthy, D-Minn., suggested during his campaign.

In a discussion of congressional reform, Mathias criticized the seniority system as the “dead hand on Congress” and urged that committee chairmanships be made elective. Alternatively, he said, committee members rather than chairmen should decide the positioning of bills on the docket.

Brewster conceded he had found the seniority system to have “slightly more appeal with each passing year,” and said that “in the absence of a better proposal, it does lend order.”

Last night’s confrontation was sponsored by the Capital Lodge of B’nai B’rith. Several more meetings of the two candidates are planned and former Democrat George P. Mahoney, running for the Senate as an independent, is expected to join in at least one debate in the future.
TO: Mr. Bishop  
FROM: M. A. Jones  
DATE: 11-14-68  

SUBJECT: CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR. (R)  
SENATOR-ELECT--MARYLAND  

SYNOPSIS:

On 11-5-68 Republican Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., of Frederick defeated incumbent Senator Daniel B. Brewster. Mathias had been in the United States Congress, representing Maryland's 6th District, since 1961. During his tenure as a congressman we have had favorable relations with Mathias. He has referred numerous constituent matters to the Bureau and has requested speakers and various reprints from time to time. Mathias was a member of the "Wednesday Club," a group of about 40 Republican Congressmen, which issued a proposed statement regarding a Federal organization to fight crime. As a result, Rep. Mathias, as well as several other members of the group, was contacted and various inconsistencies and inaccuracies in the statement were pointed out to him, particularly the inaccurate reference to the FBI. Mathias and the other men stated that they had no intention of being critical of the FBI in the proposed statement and certainly would not issue any statement designed to bring criticism of the FBI. The Director noted, "These members are totally ignorant as to the meaning of the King's English." Their statement was inaccurate and hostile as to the FBI.  

In March, 1968, Rep. Mathias and Senator Brewster appeared on a TV program at which it had been alleged he would make statements concerning the FBI. This program was monitored and Rep. Mathias made no mention of such matters although Senator Brewster, in answer to a question, said that Congress should outlaw all "private" wire tapping, including local police and FBI. On 9-18-68 the "Washington Post" contained a news item entitled "Mathias-Brewster: Genteel Debate by Think-Alikes" which indicated Maryland's two major party candidates for the United States Senate fenced with each other and a dozen campaign issues but found themselves in basic agreement more often than not. The article stated that both Rep. Mathias and Senator Brewster called for peace in Vietnam, recognizing the need for safer streets and paid obeisance to J. Edgar Hoover. The article further indicated that each, asked their views by columnist Jack Anderson, the moderator, simply hinted that "the aging FBI chief might soon wish to retire of his own accord."
M. A. Jones to Bishop memo
RE: CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR. (R)

BIOGRAPHICAL:

On 11-5-68 Republican Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., of Frederick
defeated incumbent Senator Daniel B. Brewster. Mathias, who was born
7-24-22 at Frederick, attended Haverford College, Yale University, and received
his LL. B. degree from the University of Maryland. He has served as Assis-
tant Attorney General of Maryland; City Attorney of Frederick; and as a member
of Maryland’s General Assembly. He is a member of the United States Naval
Reserve and was on active duty, 1942-46. Mathias was first elected to the 87th
Congress on 11-8-60 and has been re-elected to each succeeding Congress.

INFORMATION IN BUFILES:

During his tenure as a Congressman we have had favorable rela-
tions with Mathias. He has referred numerous constituent matters to the Bureau
and has requested speakers and various reprints from time to time.

Repr. Mathias is a member of the "Wednesday Club," a group
of about 40 Republican Congressmen who, in 1967, /document entitled "Proposed
Statement Concerning Federal Organization to Fight Crime." This document
applauded the President’s crime message but suggested the President had over-
looked the fact that there can be no comprehensive accuracy of the crime rate
until all Federal criminal investigating agencies/centralized into one. An
analysis of this document revealed that its author was naive and uninformed and
no friend of the FBI. His discussion of the FBI Uniform Crime Reports revealed
his ignorance. On 3-13-67 representatives of the FBI contacted several members
of the group, including Mathias, and pointed out the inconsistencies and inac-
curacies in the statement and explained the inaccurate references to the FBI.
These men stated they had no intention of being critical of the FBI in the proposed
statement and certainly would not issue any statement designed to bring criticism
of the FBI. One of these Congressmen indicated he believed the FBI was overly
sensitive and that they were raising questions about other Federal agencies and
not the FBI, but necessarily had to include the FBI since we are the most
prominent Federal agency. It was the consensus of the Congressmen that they
should go ahead and issue a statement but that they would "water it down." It
was pointed to them that, even so, newsmen would certainly take the view that
the references to the FBI were critical. The Director noted, "These members
are totally ignorant as to the meaning of the King’s English. Their statement
was inaccurate and hostile as to the FBI."
M. A. Jones to Bishop memo
RE: CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR. (R)

On 3-6-68 Miss Nina Kauffman of WRC-TV, NBC station in D. C., telephoned and indicated that on 3-11-68 they would have as their guest on the daily interview program entitled "Capital Tieline," Repr. Mathias. She stated in a recent newsletter issued by Mathias he alleged that the FBI maintains numerous records on citizens of the United States, such as income tax records, records of savings accounts, medical histories, psychiatric histories, etc. His newsletter deplored this accumulation of intimate details of personal lives. She advised that Mathias' newsletter and information in it would be the subject of discussion on the program and invited a representative of the FBI to appear to discuss this matter on the program. A copy of the newsletter was obtained and Miss Kauffman was subsequently advised that since the newsletter made no reference whatsoever to the FBI we would have no desire to have a representative appear on the program with Repr. Mathias to discuss it. (The newsletter actually discussed types of information regarding citizens maintained by all Federal agencies.) The TV program was monitored, however, and while Mathias expressed apprehension at the number of records on individuals being maintained by the Federal Government, he made no mention of the FBI. Senator Brewster, also a guest, in answer to a question said that Congress should outlaw all "private" wire tapping, including the police and FBI.

The "Washington Post" of 9-18-68 contained a news item entitled "Mathias-Brewster: Genteel Debate by Think-Alikes" which indicated Maryland's two major party candidates for the United States Senate fenced with each other and a dozen campaign issues but found themselves in basic agreement more often than not. The article stated that both Repr. Mathias and Senator Brewster called for peace in Vietnam, recognizing the need for safer streets and paid obeisance to J. Edgar Hoover. The article further indicated that each, asked their views on Hoover by columnist Jack Anderson who moderated the candidates' forum, simply hinted that "the aging FBI chief might soon wish to retire of his own accord."
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December 17, 1970

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

My dear Senator:

Your letter of December 11th, with enclosure, has been received.

With respect to your constituent's inquiry about an article in a recent issue of "Time" magazine, I can assure you that there is not one shred of truth to the unfounded accusation that the FBI paid members of the Ku Klux Klan to persuade another Klan member to dynamite the home of a Jewish businessman in Meridian, Mississippi. This is an absolute falsehood. We definitely do not engage in entrapment.

These false charges have caused a number of responsible citizens to contact me. I wrote to the Editor in Chief of this magazine calling to his attention the serious misstatements of fact in this article and my letter appears in the December 21st issue.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: Bufiles disclose prior correspondence with Senator Mathias, (R-Maryland), concerning constituent inquiries. Enclosed is a copy of a page from "Time," 10/26/70. This is a rehash of false allegations concerning the FBI which originally appeared in a series of articles in February, 1970, by Jack Nelson, a syndicated writer for the "Los Angeles Times."
December 11, 1970

Office of Congressional Liaison
Federal Bureau of Investigation
9th and Pennsylvania, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

I have received an inquiry from a constituent on the paragraph marked on the enclosed excerpt from Time magazine.

I would appreciate a report from you commenting on the issue mentioned.

Thank you for your cooperation.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senator
(R-MD.)

CM/hcg
Enclosure (1)
Division, was sharing a motel room with SLED Chief Strom.

When Bass and Nelson submitted their manuscript to World, the publishers sent out a promotional release emphasizing the book's sensationalism. Two days later, Bass was asked by an FBI friend in Colombia if he could photocopy the book for "Mr. Hoover." Bass agreed, and was soon rewarded by a long, furious letter from FBI Boss J. Edgar Hoover:

"The book is so biased in its attempt to smear the FBI," said an angry Hoover, "that it raises serious questions as to the competence and objectivity of the authors." After a rebuttal by Bass, Hoover signed off a second letter with "[Y]our biased attack on the 450,000 FBI agents-and-past' method of writing and arguing. As you certainly are familiar with this particular method, there is no need of my elaborating upon it."

The book smacks more of hard work than of paste; besides, there was precious little published material about Otangeburg to cut up. Bass plans no further reply to Hoover. As for Nelson, he has not heard from Hoover at all.

Muckraker's Progress

The fact that J. Edgar Hoover addressed his complaints about The Otangeburg Massacre only to Jack Bass is no mere coincidence. The FBI stopped talking to Jack Nelson last year—an acknowledgment of his more than 20 years of extraordinary muckraking in the South.

Nelson began the practice as soon as he got out of high school in Biloxi, Miss., in 1947. As a reporter for the Biloxi Daily Herald, he probed the city's gambling so effectively that it was finally investigated by Senator Estes Kefauver's peripatetic investigating committee. In 1953, at the Atlanta Constitution, he wrote a devastating expose of vice and corruption in Hinesville, Ga. Directly or indirectly, his story resulted in so many grand jury indictments (44) of Hinesville's citizens that when Nelson turned up to cover the proceedings, he was mobbed by the local people. Spread-eagled across the hood of a car by a deputy sheriff while the locals yelled for his blood, Nelson appealed to a passing judge to arrest his attacker. "What's the name of your assistant?" the judge asked. When Nelson confessed he didn't know, the judge said: "Sorry, I can't write out an arrest warrant without a name." A Hinesville policeman finally saved him from lynching, though not from eventual arrest by venal policemen, who charged him with, among other things, raping B-girls.

Operating (Politicians). After Hinesville, Nelson zeroed in on lottery rings, voting frauds, gambling, prostitution and governmental corruption. Once, when he tuned up a long road scraper that the Georgia Bureau of Investigation had been unable to find, The Constitution published a map showing the GBI where it might find its machine. The next day the GBI sheepishly picked it up and charged a guilty contractor several thousand dollars for "renting" state property.

In 1959 Nelson wrote a series of articles charging Otangeville (Ga.) Central State Hospital with using experimental drugs on mental patients without the permission or knowledge of relatives, hiring doctors who used alcohol and drugs on duty, even letting nurses perform major surgery when doctors weren't present. The resulting furor ended with the resignation of Otangeville's chief surgeon and seven other doctors. The hospital superintendent retired, and the hospital was removed from the jurisdiction of the gruff-ridden public welfare department and transferred to the public health department. Nelson's Otangeville expose won him a Pulitzer Prize "for distinguished local reporting under deadline pressure."

Spur Relations. In 1965, after a series of pieces on Georgia marriage mills, Nelson was hired by the Los Angeles Times. He opened a Times bureau in Atlanta where he concentrated on civil rights. During the 1960s he had admired the FBI. Says he, "If the threat of the FBI hadn't been around, it could have been a lot worse for civil rights workers." But last February the relationship soured. Nelson had learned that the FBI had given two Ku Klux Klansmen $36,500 to persuade Kathy Ainsworth, a fellow Klansman, to dynamite the home of a Jewish businessman in Meridian, Miss. When Mrs. Ainsworth appeared with her dynamite, a gun battle ensued during which she was shot to death by Meridian policemen. Nelson's story about the use of agents provocateurs raised moral and legal questions than the FBI was prepared to answer. Ever since, Nelson has been on the FBI's list of untouchable people.

Chancellor of the Exchequer

Some day, somebody like Ralph Ginzburg will publish the best promotions of Ralph Ginzburg. It will include blurs for Eros, the hard-cover quarterly "devoted to the reading of two," a fact, the magazine that would not hesitate to ask "Where are the emperor's clothes?" and Avant-Garde, the journal pledged to generate "an orgasm of the mind." And it will certainly include Ginzburg's pitches for his newest publishing venture, a consumer newsletter called Moneysworth.

Full-page ads appearing in magazines and newspapers across the country carry the boldface head: YOU'RE BEING ROBBED! The magazine claims, evaluated three new U.S. minicars. Fact is, the tests involved only one "investigator," Sam Julty, a freelance New York writer-broadcaster. He had the use of a Pinto, which gets top rating, for just two hours. And, though Julty is a former automobile mechanic and service manager, he merely looked under the hoods at the engines. "I would call my report," he says, "a poor man's version of what Consumer Reports does. I did not have the facilities to do a comprehensive job. I wish I had."

Julty may never get them working for Moneysworth. Sitting in his Manhattan office behind a door marked DANGER! HIGH VOLTAGE! Ginzburg twits the techniques of the nation's leading consumer publication. "Consumer Reports sometimes leaves the reader more confused than when he started," he said. "They overload him with conflicting facts. They still leave the choice to the reader. We don't—we make it for him."
TO: Mr. Bishop

FROM: J. J. Daunt

DATE: 10-8-70

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR NCIC OPERATING MANUAL
BY SENATOR CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR. (R. - MARYLAND)

in the Office of Herbert E. Hoffman, Chief, Legislative and Legal Section, Office of the Deputy Attorney General, telephonically requested this date that a copy of the NCIC Operating Manual be forwarded Mr. Hoffman for Senator Mathias. She further asked that this request be handled as soon as possible. The request for the Manual at this time is obviously in connection with a previous request handled by Hoffman's office from Senator Mathias for detailed information on the Justice Department's activities in data processing. According to this previous request in July, 1970, Senator Mathias was particularly interested in the safeguards employed to protect individual privacy in these systems.

By letter from the Director to Mr. Hoffman dated 8-3-70 material was furnished for Senator Mathias and it was pointed out in this letter that the other information requested could be obtained from information previously furnished Hoffman in answer to a similar inquiry from Senator Sam J. Ervin, Jr. (D - North Carolina). An NCIC Operating Manual had previously been given Senator Ervin.

NCIC Operating Manuals are made available to all law enforcement agencies throughout the United States and dissemination is made normally on a need-to-know basis in connection with law enforcement matters. Attached letter to Mr. Hoffman encloses a Manual for Senator Mathias.

RECOMMENDATION: NOT RECORDED

Deleted Copy Sent by Letter Dated 9-16-70 Per FOI PA Request

That the attached letter be approved for transmittal to Mr. Hoffman along with the NCIC Operating Manual.

Enc: Sent 10-8-70
1 - Mr. Sullivan
1 - Mr. Menz
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. Callahan
1 - Mr. Daunt

CRIME RESEARCH
Memorandum

TO: Mr. DeLoach
FROM: L. E. Bishop

DATE: 3-11-70

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS
BOMBING OF DORCHESTER COUNTY COURTHOUSE
CAMBRIDGE, MARYLAND
3-11-70

The above courthouse was bombed by unknown subjects on the above captioned date. We advised the Department of this, but no request for investigation has yet been received by the Department. We have offered to cover out of state leads for local authorities, and have offered the facilities of the Laboratory and Identification Divisions.

On 11:20 a.m., 3-11-70, William Mills, Administrative Assistant in the Office of Congressman Morton (R. -Md.) advised that the Congressman and Senator Charles Mathias, Jr., (R. -Md.) are immediately proceeding to Cambridge, Maryland, by chartered plane and will depart Page Terminal at Washington National Airport at 12:00 noon today. Mills advised that Congressman Morton wished to have someone from FBI Headquarters accompany him and Senator Mathias to Cambridge. Mills stated he did not know why this request was made, but believed it was because it would indicated that these men had secured the investigative services of the FBI.

Bishop advised Mills that it would not be possible for anyone from the FBI to accompany Congressman Morton and Senator Mathias to Cambridge inasmuch as we are not conducting any active investigation into this matter.

It would seem pretty apparent that this is strictly a political move on the part of Morton and Mathias.

RECOMMENDATION:

Note: For information by Letter Dated 3-16-70

- I. DeLoach for FOIPA Request
- M. Rosen
- M. Sullivan
- M. A. Jones
- Mr. Bishop

67 MAR 24 1970
AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (105-36731)
FROM: SAC, WFO (105-11530) (P)

Enclosed for the Bureau are five copies of LHM dated and captioned as above.

LHM is classified "Secret-No Foreign Dissemination" since information contained therein was furnished by a highly sensitive source of continuing value.

Confidential source mentioned in LHM is [Redacted]

3 - Bureau (Enc. 5)
1 - WFO

CNL: paw
(4)
INTERNAL SECURITY - R


On February 4, 1969, a confidential source, who has furnished reliable information in the past, advised that on that date [redacted] made an appointment to pay a courtesy call on the newly elected United States Senator from Maryland, Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., at 4:00 p.m. on February 19, 1969.
August 30, 1973

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias:

I am enclosing two advance copies of the September 1973 issue of the FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin as I thought you might be interested in the article, beginning on page 16, entitled "The Personal Patrol Car Program," by Chief Roland B. Sweitzer and Sergeant Giacamo San Felice, Prince George's County Police Department, Forestville, Maryland.

The distribution of our magazine, without charge, to sworn law enforcement officers is one of the cooperative services of the FBI designed to improve the standards of the profession.

Sincerely yours,

[Handwritten Signature]

Clarence M. Kelley
Director

Enclosures (2)

NOTE: Senator Mathias (R-Md.) and the Bureau have enjoyed favorable relations.
TO : The Director  
FROM : D. W. Bowers  
DATE: 7/11/73

SUBJECT: SENATOR CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR. (R.-Md.) CONFIRMATION OF CLARENCE M. KELLEY

You inquired on your copy of my memorandum of 7/2/73 captioned "The Congressional Record, June 29, 1973," "Has this been done?" with reference to questions submitted to you during confirmation hearings by Senator Mathias.

The questions were furnished to Pat McSweeney, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, by Senator Mathias' assistant, late on 6/25/73. FBI personnel and Department of Justice personnel prepared answers to the questions which were submitted to you on 6/26/73 for your approval. Following this approval, the answers were delivered to Senator Mathias by McSweeney on 6/27/73. (This was recorded in Bowers to Baise memorandum of 6/28/73 entitled "Confirmation of Clarence M. Kelley.")

The "Congressional Record" of June 27, 1973, on pages S 12112 to S 12115 (copy enclosed) contained remarks by Senator Mathias in connection with the vote being taken that day concerning your nomination. Included with the Senator's remarks were his 29 questions and the answers, submitted to him. The names of the NCIC Advisory Policy Board members were included in the "Congressional Record" of June 29, 1973 on pages S 12494-S 12495 (copy enclosed.)

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

Enclosures

ENCLOSURE

1 - Mr. Callahan
1 - Mr. Bowers - Encs.

DWB:jo
(4)
84 AUG 10 1973

94-54474
NOT RECORDED
167 AUG 3 1973

AUG 2 1973
To: Director, FBI

ATTENTION:

From: SAC, BALTIMORE (174-1009) CIVIL RIGHTS SECTION
       GENERAL INVEST. DIV.

Subject: UNSUBJ; Threat to Bomb
           X DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIV.
Office of United States Senator
Charles Mathias, United States Federal Building,
Baltimore, Maryland, 1/12/73

CR EL DIH CRA-64 PA PE PF E

EID Bomb Threats Extremist Matters
White Hate Black

Summary of Complaint: On 1/11/73, the Baltimore Division received telephone call from unidentified male speaking with an effeminate voice, believed to be in mid-20's, who stated, "I hate to say this, but there is a bomb in Senator MATHIAS' office. It's going to go off at 4:00 tomorrow."

Sergeant United States Secret Service;
Baltimore City Police Department;
Assistant United States Attorney ANDREW J. GRAHAM and Officer
GSA Guard Force, United States Federal Building,
contacted on 11/11/73. Additionally, SA WFO, was notified. Stated that he would notify Capitol Police and Secret Service, Washington, D.C.

ACTION: UACB:

[x] No further action being taken and
[x] LHM enclosed. [x] Copy furnished to USA BALTIMORE

Bureau (Encls. 7)-FD-376 (enclosure to LHM)
 REGIS. MAIL [x] LHM being submitted to U. S. Secret Service, Baltimore
1 - Baltimore [x] Report being submitted
GCB:rrd Preliminary investigation instituted
(3) Limited investigation instituted

59 FEB 7 1973 - Approval:
Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT (continued):

Search was conducted in Room 1616 of the Federal Building, Senator MATHIAS' office, with negative results.

Recontact with Sergeant [Redacted], Baltimore City Police Department, on 1/12/73, revealed that no explosive device was found nor had a detonation occurred.
NR 001 BA PLAIN
4:18 AM NITEL 1-12-73 HJS
TO ACTING DIRECTOR (ATTN: DOMINTEL)
WFO
FROM BALTIMORE (174-NEW) 1P

UNSUB: THREAT TO BOMB OFFICE OF U.S. SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, 1-12-73.

RE BA NITEL 1-11-73.
OFFICER GENERAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATION GUARD FORCE, FEDERAL BUILDING, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND ADVISED 1-11-73, THAT MR. DONALD ZACCANINI, AN AID TO SENATOR MATHIAS WAS CONTACTED AND APPRISED OF THE REPORTED BOMB THREAT TO THE SENATOR'S OFFICE, INITIALLY RECEIVED ON 1-11-73.

ADMINISTRATIVE: LHM FOLLOWS.

END

IMT FBI WA
CLR

Deleted Copy Sent by Letter Dated 7-16-75 Per FOIPA Request

UNRESP CRIME REPORTING

94-54474
NOT RECORDED
150 JAN 16 1973
UNSUB: THREAT TO BOMB OFFICE OF U.S. SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, 1-12-73.

RE BATELCALL TO WFO 1-11-73.

AT 7:15 PM, 1-11-73, SA PAUL M. GRIBER, FBI BALTIMORE, RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL FROM AN UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKING WITH AN EFFEMINATE VOICE, ESTIMATED TO BE IN HIS MID TWENTIES, WHO STATED "I HATE TO SAY THIS BUT THERE IS A BOMB IN SENATOR MATHIAS' OFFICE. ITS GOING TO GO OFF AT FOUR O'CLOCK TOMORROW" THEN HUNG UP IMMEDIATELY. LOCAL SECURITY SERVICE, AUSA AND GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION GUARD FORCE AT FEDERAL BUILDING NOTIFIED AS WELL AS BALTIMORE CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

EFFORTS TO CONTACT THE SENATOR'S OFFICE HAVE BEEN UNSUCCESSFUL.

EFFORTS CONTINUING.

FBI, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE ALSO NOTIFIED OF ABOVE MATTER.

ADMINISTRATIVE:

LHM FOLLOWS.

END

Deleted Copy Sent Charles M. Mathias
by Letter Dated 1-16-75
Per FOIPA Request
June 12, 1972

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias: 

I have received your communication of May 24th enclosing a copy of a letter relating to disturbances at the University of Maryland.

Please be assured that the FBI thoroughly investigates those organizations and individuals that seek to undermine our basic freedoms and threaten the internal security of our Nation through revolution and anarchy. The results of our inquiries are furnished to the Department of Justice which has the responsibility for determining whether prosecution is warranted in such matters.

Enclosed is some material relating to the work of the FBI in this area, together with the copy of the letter you forwarded.

Sincerely yours,

L. Patrick Gray III
Acting Director

Enclosures (5)
Correspondent's enclosure
Appropriations Testimony, 3-17-71 Re: Internal Security
Biloxi Speech
The Black Panther
Revolutionary-Guerrilla Attacks Law Enforcement
1 - Baltimore - Enclosures (3)
1 - Administrative Review Unit - Enclosures (3) (detached)
NOTE: Buffs disclose prior correspondence with Senator Mathias mostly dealing with constituents' inquiries.

JNK: bmv (7)

MAIL ROOM □  TELETYPE UNIT □

04 JUN 12 1972

Deleted Copy Sent Charles McC. Mathias
by Letter Dated 7-16-75
Per FOIPA Request
United States Senate
May 24, 1972

Respectfully referred to:

Mr. Wallace Johnson
Associate Deputy Attorney General
for Legislation
Department of Justice
Room 4115
Washington, D. C. 20530

Because of the desire of this office to be responsive to all inquiries and communications, your consideration of the attached is requested. Your findings and views, in duplicate form, along with return of the enclosure, will be appreciated by

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
FEDERAL BU. OF INV.

In reply, please refer to: Mrs. V. Revenman

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
Form #2 FEDERAL BU. OF INV.

176 JUN 15 1972

EXPS

Jun 3 1972

Deleted Copy Sent by Letter Dated 7/6-75 Per FOIPA Req.-
Baltimore, Md.
April 23, 1972

Dear Senator,

In view of the riots that have taken place at the University of Maryland and spread to other parts, it seems to be very evident that communists have infiltrated this institution and that it has become a hotbed of Communism in this country.

I think that the Administration owes it to the people to have the FBI thoroughly investigate and root out the ringleaders, and then make it impossible for them to do any more harm in the future.
Pay n. Collin, Md.
April 23, 1922

Dear Senator,

In view of the riots that have taken place at the University of Maryland and spread to other parts, it seems to be very evident that communists have infiltrated this institution and that it has become a hotbed of communism in this country.

I think that the Administration owes it to the people to have the FBI thoroughly investigate and root out the ringleaders and then make it impossible for them to do any more harm in the future.
Memorandum

Subject: (Criminal, Fed)

TO: [Blank]

Enclosed for the Bureau are 5 copies of [Blank], dated [Blank].

Enclosed is a [Blank]

The enclosed is being furnished the Bureau for dissemination and examination by the Bureau.

NOT LUSTED

- 241

- 21

DATE: 2-3-72

b3
b7C
b7D
b7E

ORIGINAL FILED IN
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

C. D. Brennan

FROM: W. R. Wannall

DATE: June 29, 1971

SUBJECT: MC LEK

1 - Mr. Sullivan
1 - Mr. Mohr

1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. Dalbey
1 - Mr. Brennan
1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Wagoner

In a memorandum this morning, we called to the Director's attention the fact that Senator Charles McC. Mathias, Jr. (R), Maryland, had volunteered information to a Washington Field Office (WFO) Agent yesterday that he had received from Daniel Ellsberg, who is under indictment in the leak case involving "The New York Times," some copies of classified documents.

The Senator said he had informed the Attorney General and, at the latter's request, had told Assistant Attorney General Robert C. Mardian about this, but had not been contacted by either, although Mardian said someone would be sent to his office regarding this matter. We advised the Director that, if he approved, we would write to the Attorney General and advise him that if the Attorney General desired, we would be willing to contact Mathias, after the Senator returns to Washington on 6/30/71, to examine or obtain the material in his possession.

This afternoon, 6/29/71, Assistant Attorney General Mardian telephoned to relate that he, on instructions from the Attorney General, had called Senator Mathias and arranged to send Departmental attorney Daniel McAuliffe to see Mathias. He asked Mathias if he was willing to turn the documents over, and Mathias said he would discuss this possibility when he was contacted.

Mardian said that, recognizing that the Senator may turn over the documents, the Bureau might desire to have an Agent accompany McAuliffe to the office of Mathias to receive the documents and treat them as possible evidence. He asked to be advised of our desires in this matter. He was told we would let him know.

ACTION: Per FOIPA Request

Since the documents could constitute evidence in a case which we are investigating at the Attorney General's specific instructions, we feel it would be desirable for an Agent to receive them and preserve them as such. Accordingly, if the Director approves, we will not write to the Attorney General as previously indicated this morning but will have a WFO Agent accompany McAuliffe to Senator Mathias' office for the above-stated purpose.
WASHINGTON (UPI) -- Sen. Charles Mathias, R-Md., said today government computers are "bringing the ammunition for persecution, harassment and idle gossip within the reach of every prosecutor and part-time deputy sheriff in the land."

Mathias said Attorney General John N. Mitchell secretly had given the FBI control over an elaborate national criminal justice data bank without regard to standards that had been developed to protect the individual against unjust accusations. In testimony prepared for the Senate Constitutional Rights Subcommittee, Mathias urged development of legislation to insure that such computerized operations contain built-in safeguards against police state tactics.

Mathias said a pioneer 10-state system called Project Search (system for electronic analysis and retrieval of criminal histories) had been developed as the forerunner of a national criminal justice data bank.

The law enforcement assistance administration was put in charge of the system and made a $1.5 million grant for its operational development Dec. 9.

On Dec. 10, in an internal directive which was not publicly released, the attorney general transferred the prime responsibility for future development of a nationwide system for exchanging criminal histories from LEAA to the FBI, Mathias said. He said he understood Mitchell's letter mentioned nothing about a "code of ethics" that had been developed by Project Search to protect individual privacy.

The code barred the use of "irrelevant data" and "unverified intelligence tips," recommended continuous purging of the system to eliminate records of accused first offenders who were found innocent, and prescribed procedures to permit individuals to correct inaccuracies or prejudicial omissions in their arrest records.
Mathias said the individual should have some assurance that a youthful indiscretion will not follow him forever. A suspended sentence at age 18 for marijuana possession should not "pop up for years to jeopardize his applications for jobs, for credit cards and for home loans," Mathias said.

Among the 64,000 computerized files maintained by the Bureau of Narcotics, he noted, were dossiers on "three boys under three years old. Will that item be buried in statistical reports, surfacing only as curiosity? Or will three boys be pursued for life by the tragic fact that they were exposed to narcotics almost before they could talk?"
October 11, 1973

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias:

During the course of my confirmation hearing before the Committee on the Judiciary of the United States Senate, June 19, 20 and 25, 1973, it was apparent to me that there is widespread interest in the Congress as to the activities of the National Crime Information Center (NCIC). It is my opinion that a discussion and explanation of NCIC would be of benefit to Members of the Congress of the United States.

It, therefore, gives me pleasure to extend an invitation to you or a member of your staff to attend a briefing on this subject on October 25, 1973, at 10:00 a.m. The briefing will be held in the Conference Room of the Computer Systems Division, Room 3119, FBI Identification Division Building, 2nd and D Streets, S. W., Washington, D. C.

In order that we may plan for proper seating arrangements, a reply by October 22, 1973, would be very helpful.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

NOTE: See memo Campbell to Callahan dated 10/10/73 re "Congressional Liaison, NCIC/CCH Briefing."
November 7, 1973

Honorable Charles Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias:

I regret that neither you nor a member of your staff was able to attend the briefing held on October 25, 1973, at the FBI Identification Building. The briefing included a presentation concerning the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) system, particularly the concepts and issues involved in the Computerized Criminal History Program, followed by a tour of the FBI's computer facilities. Questions posed by the perceptive Congressional staff members provided for a mutually beneficial discussion.

Since you were unable to attend, I have enclosed a packet of information, which I believe will be most useful in answering questions you may have about the NCIC.

Should you have any questions please feel free to contact me or a member of my staff.

Sincerely,

C. M. Kelley

Enclosure:

1 - Mr. Franck
1 - Mr. Bowers
1 - Mr. Thompson
1 - Mr. Campbell
1 - Mr. Stultz

NOTE: See memo Campbell to Callahan, dated 10/26/73, captioned "Congressional Liaison, NCIC/CCH Briefings, 10/25/73."
November 16, 1973

Clarence M. Kelley, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Director Kelley:

Thank you very much for sending the article on the National Crime Information Center. As one who is greatly interested in the operation of that system, I will read the article carefully.

I also regret that the member of my staff who had planned to attend the briefing on October 25, 1973, on the NCIC system was unable, at the last minute, to attend. The week of October 21, 1973, was quite hectic for members of the Judiciary Committee and members of their staff. I understand that a number of people who had planned to attend were unable to do so and, while I regret the inconvenience that this may have caused you, I hope that you will be able to re-schedule another briefing session in the not too distant future.

Sincerely,

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senator
November 26, 1973

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias:

I have your letter of November 16th asking about another opportunity for a briefing on our National Crime Information Center (NCIC).

I am most happy to afford you and any members of your staff a briefing on NCIC. I suggest that your representative make direct contact with Wason G. Campbell, my Assistant Director in charge of our Computer Systems Division, for an appointment that is mutually convenient.

Sincerely yours,

Clarence M. Kelley
Director

FBB:ms (8)

1 - Mr. Franck
1 - Congressional Services Office
1 - Mr. Malmfeldt
1 - Mr. Campbell
1 - Mr. Stultz
1 - Mr. Buell

NOTE: Bureau has had cordial relations with Senator Mathias.
December 11, 1973

The Honorable Clarence M. Kelley
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Director Kelley:

Thank you for your letter of November 26, 1973. Your offer for another opportunity for a briefing on NCIC is most welcome. I shall ask my staff to contact Mr. Campbell, as you suggest, and see if we can establish a date that is mutually convenient.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senator

CM: qr/wc

Deleted Copy Sent by Letter Dated 7-16-76
Per FOI PA Request
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: Mr. 

FROM: Mr. Mendenhall

DATE: 6/19/75

SUBJECT: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST
RE: CHARLES M. CURDY MATHEIAS, Jr.

Attached is a copy of the FOIA request and pertinent files and/or excised documents which appear subject to disclosure. Addendum should include total Agent time expended in the review.

Please make every effort to insure that this memorandum, with its attached documents, is returned to the FOIA Section within (2) working days.

Questions on this matter should be discussed with 

RECOMMENDATION(S): (only items checked apply)

( ) 1. That the Division(s) review the excised document(s) to insure sufficient deletions have been made. Please explain briefly the reason for any additional deletions requested. If the materials proposed for disclosure are from a classified serial, insure that all portions which justified the classification have been deleted.

( ) 2. That the Intelligence Division:

(a) Review the classification of serial(s) to insure documents should remain classified, indicating on the file copies which paragraphs are classified; which paragraphs, if any, are unclassified; and those which have been declassified in their entirety. Addendum should note any newly declassified serials.

(b) Review the balance of the file to determine if currently unclassified serials warrant classification. Newly classified serials should also have indicated on the file copies the classified and unclassified paragraphs. Addendum should note any newly classified serials.

INTELLIGENCE DIVISION ADDENDUM ATTACHED

1 - Mr. WANNALL
Attention: Mr. HELGESON

1 - Mr.
Attention: Mr. 

1 - Mr. Bassett
Attention: Mr. Griffith
MEMO
DATE: 6/19/75
RE: WALTER F. MONDALE

* For file see classification review memo

CHARLES MCARDY
MATHIAS

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12-1-2016 BY 08328790 ADC
ADDITION: INTELLIGENCE DIVISION LJB:sdjs 6/25/75

A classification review was conducted of the serials listed in the attachment to the instant memorandum. The following observations relate to this review:

94-54474 contains an unrecorded copy of an LHM dated 2/6/69 captioned "[ ]". This serial remains classified and the paragraph concerning Charles McCurdy Mathias is included in the classified material.

Serials 100-429998-92, 100-434886-54, 105-18784-754, 105-36731-429 and 105-124338-120 remain classified as to the paragraphs concerning Mathias contained therein.

Serial 105-25263-1310 also remains classified. One paragraph concerning Mathias (paragraph 2 of the LHM dated 4/17/70 at Washington, D.C., regarding [ ] is classified. Another paragraph containing the name of Mathias, paragraph 4, is not classifiable.

Agent time expended in handling this matter was \( \frac{1}{2} \) hour.
March 4, 1974

Mr. Leo Conroy  
Special Agent in Charge  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Atlanta, Georgia  

Dear Agent Conroy:

In meeting with Chief Kelley last week, he told me of the outstanding manner in which you handled the kidnapping case. I would like to offer my appreciation and commendation to you for bringing this tragic incident to a speedy and happy conclusion.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.  
United States Senator
November 17, 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. ADAMS

RE: BURGLARY OF PRIVATE RESIDENCES
OF SENATORS HOWARD BAKER AND
CHARLES MATHIAS

On November 17, 1975, Senators Baker and Mathias, accompanied
by Howard Leibengood, came to my office. The subject of the conversation
was the burglary of the private residences of the Senators.

Senator Baker said that he and Senator Mathias were of the opinion
that the same person committed both burglaries. He said, however, there
was no feeling by either that there was any element of danger present. He
pointed out there were some patterns which gave rise to the feeling that they
were done by one person or one group and which indicated that there was some-
thing other than burglary of things of value which may have been the goal of the
subject. These patterns were recounted as follows:

1. It was indicated that the method of entrance was very thorough
as was the search conducted. An example was the removal of
a pane of glass which was made with such precision that the pane
was restored without the need to do anything further insolar as
build-up or even addition of the molding.

2. The care exercised in the entry would indicate that both seemed
primarily exercising extreme precautions and were done in
either a highly professional manner or in a manner to indicate
that it was not the usual type of careless burglary.

3. Papers and documents were rifled, but were returned in a
careful manner and there was very little disarray. In some
cases, even the papers were returned to envelopes where they
had not previously reposed.
4. Nothing valuable was taken in either burglary. Senator Mathias said he may have lost two Swiss bank notes of about 100 franc value, but he was not positive of this.

Senator Baker said that he had a small safe and the subject entered into the safe through the bottom, laid out the contents, but took nothing. He indicated further that the subject went through his clothing, but took nothing.

Senator Baker said that the only thing of value to any investigator was that at the scene of his burglary, a pair of sunglasses, apparently of rather expensive make, were left. He feels they probably were left by the subject inasmuch as no one in his family can identify them. He as well as Senator Mathias said no fingerprints had been developed.

Senator Baker further said that his maid, who has been in his employ for a number of years, felt that two cassette tapes were stolen from her although she does not know this to be a positive fact inasmuch as they may have been misplaced. They were not confidential and referred only to a party which she attended as well as a recording of a television broadcast.

I informed them that I thought that these burglaries seemed to be of a different pattern and wondered if perhaps they might feel that this could comprise harassment or possibly an indication that their positions as Senators and members of investigative committees may have made it possible that the burglar may have been trying to indicate he was flaunting them. They said this had occurred to them and it appeared that due to the unusual nature of both cases, this was probably the most likely possibility.

Senator Baker particularly pointed out that Guard's Mark had handled his case and that they might be of some assistance. He said that he further felt that the wiretap case which had been checked by the Bureau may have some answers as to the reason for the entry into his home, and he suggested that for that purpose Mr. Leibengood by advised and that thereafter it might be advantageous to any investigation contemplated for a further review of the case to be made by us.
Memorandum for Mr. Adams

November 17, 1975

Both Senators said they take briefcases home but never take home highly classified documents. They further said they do not make such documents as they might take home available for any illegal entry or exposure to such subjects as might conduct such entries.

Insofar as our jurisdiction in this matter, I explained that obviously we do not have direct jurisdiction. I informed them, however, that I was inclined toward favoring our entrance into the matters because of their positions as elected officials and as members of investigative committees. I told them I thought that they are entitled to such extensions of our policy as could be made in order to accommodate their predicament. Particularly was I thinking that this could well be a flaunting or harassment as mentioned hereinbefore and that through a check of the alleged wiretapping case, we might develop some leads. Senator Baker said that he understood from the local police that the known burglars in the area seemed to be confused about these entries and were of the opinion it was not one of them.

Senator Mathias said he would like to have Bob Kelly of his staff established as a liaison man with the Bureau in this matter, and Senator Baker said he would like to have Howard Leibengood of the Senate Select Committee staff act as his liaison person.

I informed both Senators that this matter would be presented to the Department and that I would indicate in this presentation that I felt we should extend ourselves insofar as taking jurisdiction in view of the unusual facts present therein. Senator Baker asked me if he might report to the press concerning his visit with me as indicating that I would "look into the matter." I told him I felt this might be ambiguous, but then agreed to such a designation when Senator Baker said he understood our lack of jurisdiction and that we might still not be able to go into the case, but it might be of some deterrent value if the statement were made.

I thereafter telephoned Deputy Attorney General Tyler and told him of my feelings in this matter. I informed him I would have Mr. Adams talk with him further regarding this. He said he would take into account the matters which I discussed with him and my reasoning insofar as my recommendation that we do enter into the case.
I feel this matter, if approved by Deputy Attorney General Tyler, should be given expeditious attention and that we should have in addition to investigators looking it over, necessary Laboratory personnel assigned to determine if there is anything which can be developed through a crime scene search or other inspection of the premises, particularly the allegation of Senator Baker that he had three lines coming into his home for the telephone when in reality only two were needed. This, he said, indicated that possibly the third line was a part of the wiretap installation.

As soon as a determination is made that we are going to look into the burglaries, the offices of both Senators should be immediately thereupon advised.

Very truly yours,

(5) Cm. Kelley
Clarence M. Kelley
Director
November 18, 1975

The attached material was sent to the Director by Harold R. Tyler, Jr., Deputy Attorney General, and reads as follows:

"11/17/75

The Director, FBI

Re: Memo to Adams dtd Nov 17, 1975

I agree that the Bureau should lend its offices in this matter. These break-ins seem likely to be more than the ordinary "common law" variety. Then, too, there is the worry of possible harm to two elected government officials.

Hrt Jr. (Harold R. Tyler, Jr.)
DAG"

COPY: gms
The Director, FBI

Re: Memo to Adams
Sent Nov 17, 1975

I agree that the Bureau should lend its efforts in
this matter. These buck-passing
seem likely to be more than
the ordinary "common law"
ments of their kind, there is
the danger of possible harm to
the public interest (officers).

[Signature]

DAI

[Date: 11-17-75]
TELETYPE

NOV 11 1975

1:57PM IMMEDIATE 11/11/75 R/K

TO: DIRECTOR

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FROM: BALTIMORE (62-NEW) P

UNAUDED; BURGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES MCC C

MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, NOVEMBER 7 - 9, 1975

INFORMATION CONCERNING.

ON THE EVENING OF NOVEMBER 19, 1975, THE FOLLOWING

INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED TELEPHONICALLY FROM SENATOR

CHARLES MCC C MATHIAS, JR., 3000 LELAND STREET, CHEVY

CHASE, MARYLAND, AND PERSONALLY FROM HIS SON,

, AT THE CHEVY CHASE RESIDENCE:

THE MATHIAS FAMILY WAS AWAY FROM THEIR HOME FROM

7 P.M., NOVEMBER 7, 1975, UNTIL 0:30 P.M., NOVEMBER 9,

1975. UPON RETURN, IT WAS OBSERVED THAT A KITCHEN

WINDOW WAS PARTIALLY OPEN, ALSO THAT THE FRENCH DOORS

IN THE DINING ROOM WERE PARTIALLY OPEN. A��索 OF

THE RESIDENCE WAS MADE BY MEMBERS OF THE MATHIAS FAMILY

NOVEMBER 9 - 10, 1975.

IT APPEARS THAT SOMEONE HAD BEEN IN THE RESIDENCE;

HOWEVER, THE ONLY ITEMS DETERMINED TO BE MISSING AS OF THE

4 NOV 18 1975

CC - 62 - 116395
Night of November 10, 1970 were two one hundred Swiss franc notes. One note was missing from a drawer in the senator's upstairs bedroom, while the other note was missing from a drawer in the upstairs bedroom of his son, [ ]

Numerous articles throughout the residence appeared to have been handled or disturbed. These articles included jewelry boxes in Mrs. Mathias's bedroom, items in a small living room desk and plants. Several articles, of obvious value, such as jewelry and watches, although disturbed, were not taken. No apparent effort was made to take the television sets and other valuable household articles.

Senator Mathias also advised there had not been any papers of value or of a classified nature taken and that no such papers are maintained at the residence whenever he is absent from the residence.

Montgomery County, Maryland Department of Police (MDP) notified 3:40 p.m., November 10, 1970, by FBI, Silver
SPRING, MARYLAND, OF THE BURGLARY, RESPONDED TO MATHIAS RESIDENCE, CONDUCTED INTERVIEWS AND CRIME SCENE SEARCH. TWO APPARENT LATENT IMPRESSIONS OF VALUE DEVELOPED BY NJOP FROM THE INTERIOR OF THE FRENCH DOORS IN THE DINING ROOM. NO APPARENT SIGN LOCATED OF FORCED ENTRY INTO RESIDENCE. NEITHER SENATOR MATHIAS OR NJOP DEVELOPED ANY SUSPECTS.

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA: SENATOR MATHIAS NOT PRESENT AT RESIDENCE ON EVENING OF NOVEMBER 19, 1970, BUT DID CONTACT RESIDENCE TELEPHONICALLY WHILE AGENTS PRESENT.

SENATOR MATHIAS SUGGESTED MODUS OPERANDI IN THIS MATTER MAY BE SIMILAR TO RECENT BREAK-IN AT HOME OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WHO LIKE SENATOR MATHIAS, IS A MEMBER OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE. NO REASON FOR BREAK-IN OF BAKER RESIDENCE NOT KNOWN.

SENATOR MATHIAS EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION TO THE FBI AND TO NJOP FOR RESPONDING TO HIS RESIDENCE, StATING IF ANY OTHER INFORMATION RELATING TO THE BURGLARY IS DEVELOPED, HE WILL IMMEDIATELY CONTACT POLICE AUTHORITIES.
INFORMATION COPY TO WFD IN VIEW OF SENATOR MATHIAS'S
POSITION WITH THE UNITED STATES SENATE.

BALTIMORE WILL FOLLOW WITH WCUP.

END

Adj. Fding CLR Tu
Memorandum

TO: Mr. J. B. Adams

FROM: Legal Counsel

SUBJECT: BREAK-IN AT THE RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES McC. MATHIAS 3808 LeELAND STREET CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND

At approximately 9:10 p.m. on 11-10-75 Inspector John B. Hotis contacted Senator Mathias, at the Senator's request. The Senator, who was then visiting at the Swedish Embassy, advised that his home on 3808 Leeland Street, Chevy Chase, Maryland, had been broken into sometime during the past three days. He said that nobody was at the home over the weekend from 7:00 p.m. Friday, 10-7-75, to 8:00 o'clock Sunday evening, 10-9-75. The burglary was discovered late Monday afternoon when his wife noticed that some plants by a window on the ground level had been moved. Senator Mathias said that no jewelry or other items of value were taken from the residence. A gold watch on top of a dresser was not disturbed but it was apparent that someone had looked through the drawers.

The Senator said that there were no documents or other materials at his residence which he had obtained in his capacity as a member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities. He felt, however, that we should be notified since the incident was similar to the break-in of Senator Baker's home several weeks ago.

Mr. Hotis promptly notified Bill Campbell, Senior Resident Agent, Silver Spring, Maryland, of the incident and requested that he contact somebody at the Mathias residence for further details. He was also instructed to notify the Montgomery County Police Department. The night supervisor for the General Investigative Division, was also contacted and furnished the above information.

RECOMMENDATION: None. For information.
The Attorney General

Director, FBI

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION IN FBI FILES BY SENATORS GARY HART, WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, WALTER F. Mondale AND ROBERT MORGAN, MEMBERS OF THE U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

This is in reference to a letter to you dated May 23, 1975, signed by Senator Frank Church and Senator John C. Tower, Chairman and Vice Chairman, respectively, of the Committee, in which they requested any files or documents in the Department or FBI concerning five members of this Committee. These five members were identified as Senators Gary Hart, Walter D. Huddleston, Charles McC. Mathias, Walter F. Mondale, and Robert Morgan. A copy of this letter was made available to this Bureau on June 10, 1975, by Mr. K. William O'Connor, Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, Office of the Deputy Attorney General.

In response thereto, there are attached one copy each of five letterhead memoranda along with the five sealed envelopes which contain the original of these letterhead memoranda and pertinent documents from our central files concerning each of the above-mentioned Senators. In the explanations furnished to each Senator, certain documents are being excluded and various deletions are being made based on certain provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. Each Senator has been advised that if he wished to have any of the material reconsidered it would be appropriate to discuss this matter with you.

Our search in response to this request located four Department documents. We assume you will be making release of these documents. They are as follows:

1 - Bufile 62-116395 (SSC)

Letter from Elliot L. Richardson, Attorney General, to William D. Ruckelshaus, Acting Director, dated June 26, 1973, subject "Attached Mathias Correspondence."
The Attorney General

Letter from John C. Keene, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, to Director, FBI, dated March 27, 1975, subject "Senator Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.; Possible Violation of 18 United States Code 602 and 603."

Letter from Administrative Assistant Attorney General, to Director, FBI, dated June 5, 1963, subject "Hart, Gary W. MR."

Letter from William A. Geoghegan, Assistant Deputy Attorney General, to Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director, FBI, dated May 1, 1964, subject "Gary Warren Hart."

Concerning the four above-mentioned documents, we have copies in our files. In attached documents to Senators Mathias and Hart respectively, we advised them of locating Department documents and that the matter has been referred to the Attorney General.

Enclosures (10)

2 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: K. William O'Connor - Enclosures (5)
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Attention: Enclosures (5)

NOTE: See Legal Counsel to Mr. J. B. Adams memorandum of 6/11/75 captioned, "Senstudy 75," in which it was recommended and approved that the FOIA Section review Bufiles for any documents or records concerning each of the above-mentioned Senators.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. McDermott

FROM: J. C. Farrington

DATE: 7/17/75

SUBJECT: DELIVERY OF RAW FILES ON SENATORS
WALTER F. MONDALE, CHARLES McC. MATHIAS, JR.,
AND GARY HART TO MR. DOUGLAS R. MARVIN,
SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
ON THURSDAY, 7/17/75

At approximately 11:00 a.m., today, the writer received a call from Assistant Director John A. Mintz in which Mr. Mintz advised that he had just learned from Douglas R. Marvin that Senators Mondale, Mathias and Hart had granted approval to have the Attorney General's staff review files on captioned Senators. Mr. Mintz pointed out that Mr. James B. Adams, Assistant to the Director, Deputy Associate Director, has, following conferral with the Director, advised that the Director has instructed that our files on the above-captioned Senators should be made available to Mr. Marvin for the purpose of having the documents reviewed as to whether information, in addition to that furnished the Senators by letter dated July 16, 1975, should now be made available to them. Following a discussion with you, Mr. McDermott, and further consultation between you and Mr. Adams, it was decided that the complete main files of these Senators would be made available to Mr. Marvin. With regard to the see references pertaining to them, these raw references would be removed from the pertinent files and only the see references, rather than the actual files, would be made available to Mr. Marvin. At approximately 11:55 a.m., the main files from the above-mentioned Senators files were personally delivered to Mr. Marvin by James C. Farrington, along with copies of our search slips on the Senators.

Mr. Marvin then asked as to the possibility of receiving a copy of the excised documents on these three Senators which we have already furnished to the Senators so that they could make a comparison between what we gave the Senators and what was actually in our files. He was advised that another copy would be made of these documents and it would be brought to him.

Enc.
1 - Mr. Adams
1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. McDermott
1 - Mr. Farrington

CONTINUED - OVER

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

3040-108
J. C. Farrington to Mr. McDermott memo
Re: Delivery of Raw Files to Mr. Douglas R. Marvin

Subsequently, the see references and an exact duplication of the documents furnished the Senators were also made available to Mr. Marvin, along with itemized receipts of these files, copies of which are attached.

RECOMMENDATION:

For record purposes.
July 17, 1975

I, Douglas R. Marvin, Special Assistant to the Attorney General, have received the following files from the Federal Bureau of Investigation today, July 17, 1975, on the following subject:

Senator Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.

---

94 - 54474
94 - 45767
94 - 47912
56 - 5105
94 - 54474
94 - 44648
89 - 2560
62 - 465-24-268 ep12
5-6 - 1861
7 - 16021 - 6
47 - 52807 - 2
62 - 115-695 - 4
62 - 116-270 - 7
105 - 229897-121
65 - 74060 - 165
73 - 157-25 - 5
94 - 1-325-55-148
100 - 429998-82p6
105 - 36731 - 429
174 - 3 - 6723

---

Douglas R. Marvin
Special Assistant to the Attorney General

ENCLOSURE
TO: Mr. Gallagher
FROM: J. E. O'Connell
SUBJECT: U.S. Senator (D) Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., (MD)
INFORMATION CONCERNING

DATE: 11/10/75

At 9:43 p.m., 11/10/75, Inspector John B. Hotis, Congressional Liaison, Office of Legal Counsel, telephonically advised Night Supervisor [REDACTED] that he (Inspector Hotis) had received a call from Senator Mathias shortly after 9:00 p.m., 11/10/75, who advised Inspector Hotis he believed his residence (Chevy Chase, Maryland) had been broken into although nothing had been taken. Senator Mathias told Inspector Hotis that jewelry and other items were in full view but were not disturbed. Senator Mathias believed entry may have been gained through a window as the window appeared to have been opened. Senator Mathias believed entry was possibly made over the weekend but he was not specific. Senator Mathias indicated he had not notified the local authorities regarding this matter as he wished to discuss it first with the FBI since he is a member on the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Senator Mathias also stated he was reporting this to the FBI in view of the burglary which occurred to the residence of U.S. Senator Baker.

Inspector Hotis advised he subsequently contacted the Senior Resident Agent (SRA), Silver Spring, Maryland, and requested that he make inquiry at Senator Mathias' residence regarding this matter and to advise local authorities.

ACTION: For information.
January 6, 1976

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias:

I thought you might be interested in the excellent article, "The Use of Charter Airlines in Extradition Cases," by Colonel Robert O. Mathews, Chief, Howard County Police Department, Ellicott City, Maryland, which begins on page nine of the January, 1976, FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin. Two advance copies are enclosed for your information. We are grateful for Colonel Mathews' cooperation in making this manuscript available to the FBI, and we know that his presentation will be of interest to law enforcement personnel throughout the country.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Clarence M. Kelley
Director

Enclosures (2)

1 - Office of Congressional Affairs
1 - Mr. Malmfeldt (detached)
January 14, 1976

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias:

In keeping with your request, Special Agents of the FBI completed a microphone and telephone security survey of your home on December 22, 1975. During this survey it was requested that the FBI check all of the appearances of your telephone lines. On January 6, 1976, an Agent of the FBI and telephone company personnel made the appropriate inspections. No evidence of clandestine devices or tapping was detected during the check of the telephone lines or during the survey on December 22, 1975.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Clarence M. Kelley
Director

NOTE: Checks of the homes of Senators Baker and Mathias were requested during a meeting with the Director on 11/17/75.
Memorandum

TO: MR. CALLAHAN  DATE: 11-18-75
FROM: T. J. JENKINS

SUBJECT: BURGLARY OF PRIVATE RESIDENCES
OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER AND
CHARLES MATHIAS

On November 17, 1975, the Director met with the above-captioned Senators. At that time they both asked the Director to have their homes swept for electronic devices. The Director agreed to do this and I have arranged for Assistant Director Cochran to contact H. Leibengood, Assistant to Senator Baker, and Bob Kelly, Assistant to Senator Mathias to make the necessary arrangements.

ACTION:

Submitted for information.
January 23, 1976

Dear Mr. Kelley:

Thank you ever so much for your letter of January 14th. Your efforts and those of your staff are much appreciated and I am pleased to have the report contained in your letter.

With best personal regards, I am

Sincerely,

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senator

Mr. Clarence M. Kelley
Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20535
United States Senate
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510
OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Mr. Clarence M. Kelley
Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20535

[Signature]
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September 30, 1977

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias:

The October, 1977, issue of the FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin contains an article, beginning on page two, entitled "Police-Sponsored Motorcycle Safety Education," by Mr. Adam G. Johnson, Director, Licensing and Law Enforcement, Motorcycle Safety Foundation, Linthicum, Maryland. I thought you might be interested in seeing this excellent article. Enclosed are two advance copies for your information.

The distribution of our magazine, without charge, to sworn law enforcement officers is one of the cooperative services of the FBI designed to improve the exchange of information about proven law enforcement programs and thereby enhance the standards of our profession.

Sincerely yours,

C. M. Kelley

Clarence M. Kelley
Director

Enclosures (2)

1 - Office of Congressional Affairs
1 - Mr. Malmfeldt (detached)  
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March 25, 1986

Congressional Liaison
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C. 20535

Dear Congressional Liaison:

I have heard from several law enforcement agencies in Maryland about the proposal to require fees for attendance at the FBI's National Academy.

These agencies, including the Baltimore City and Prince George's County police departments, have emphasized that attendance of their officers at the Academy has helped to build strong, cooperative links between federal and local law enforcement officials and has produced demonstrable results in the effort to curb crime.

These Maryland officials report that if the FBI begins requiring local agencies to pay the costs of the Academy training, they will probably be forced to withdraw from participation. I am sure you would agree that this would be a very regrettable result indeed.

I would appreciate your consideration of the concerns expressed by these Maryland law enforcement officials.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senator

CM:dm
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR.
UNITED STATES SENATE

March 1, 1985

Dear Bill:

As you know, I have been constantly ready to defend the legitimate interest of the Bureau and to protect the Bureau from unfair attack. I hope to be able to play this role in the future.

For that reason, I would appreciate a briefing on the circumstances surrounding the Miami airport incident and that of the constituents of Representative Tim Wirth who undertook a letter writing project to a Soviet diplomat. If you deem it advisable, I would be glad to accept the briefing within the limitations of security classification.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
(Maryland)

The Honorable William H. Webster
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
J. Edgar Hoover Building
Washington, D.C. 20535

[Redacted]

[Stamp: JAN 22 1990]
April 7, 1986

Honorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Mathias:

I have received your March 25th letter regarding the budgetary proposals involving the FBI's training programs which resulted from the imposition of budgetary constraints. The concern of your constituent law enforcement officials is certainly understandable, and I appreciate your writing on their behalf.

We recognize the need to provide training in the latest investigative techniques and management applications to law enforcement personnel at every level. I hope we can continue such programs at Quantico and locally to those who desire this training.

Sincerely,

William H. Baker
Assistant Director
Office of Congressional and Public Affairs

1 - Honorable Edwin Meese III
   The Attorney General - Enclosure
1 - Baltimore - Enclosure
   Personal Attention SAC: Bring to the attention of the
   Police Training Coordinator
1 - Mr. Otto - Enclosure
1 - Mr. Monroe (Quantico) - Enclosure
1 - Congressional Affairs Office

NOTE: Senator Charles McC. Mathias' letter is one of a number of letters expressing concern over the FBI's Fiscal Year 1987 budget and its impact on general law enforcement training. This response was coordinated with SA William T. Gulicki, Training Division.
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3 copies of 32 documents from our files.

The deletions which have been made have been based on the
Excerpts of Information Act.

A review of the central files of the FBI has been
conducted to locate records pertaining to you in accordance
with the letter to the Attorney General dated May 28, 1975,
signed by Senators Frank Church and John D. Ehrlichman.

Mr. William J. Morris (detached)

Mr. David (detached)

Mr. Frank Church (detached)

Mr. John D. Ehrlichman (detached)

Mr. Richard H. Nixon (detached)

Mr. John D. Ehrlichman (detached)
NOTE: See Director, FBI, to the Attorney General letter dated 7/16/75, captioned, "Request for Information in FBI Files by Senators Gary Hart, Walter D. Huddleston, Charles McCurdy Mathias, Walter F. Mondale and Robert Morgan, Members of the U. S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities," DRW:mlh. Mathias is the subject of the following Bufiles: 9-45767 "Victim, Extortion" (3 serials); 9-47912 "Victim, Extortion" (4 serials); 94-49643 "Victim, Extortion-Racial Matters" (5 serials); 9-53432 "Victim, Extortion" (3 serials); 56-5105 "Possible Violation of Title 18, USC 602 and 603, Election Laws" (3 serials); 89-2560 "Possible Victim, Congressional Assassination" (5 serials); and 94-54474 "R-Maryland" (13 serials). He is being furnished excised copies of the following references: 9-53432; 9-45767; 9-47912; 56-5105; 94-54474; 62-96529-268; 9-0-1861; 7-16021-6; 47-52807-2; 56-116270-7; 94-1-32555-148; 174-3-6723; 94-37990-101; 100-443916-278; 89-2560; U. S. Secret Service documents are being given per on 6/26/75, with "No objection from USSS," 9/49543-1 and 4. CIA Legal Office, contacted SA D. R. Williams 7/15/75 concerning they are in general terms referring to documents in CIA response to Senator Mathias. He was advised our review will cover these documents. Mathias is also identified in the following references which are being denied: 73-15725-5, 100-429998-82 p. 6, 100-434886-54, 9/49543-1 and 4.95-45505-24. Justice Department documents contained in 56-5105-1 and 62-115695-4 are being referred. Per addendum to memo from Mr. McDernott to Mr. Wannall dated 6/19/75 the (b)(1) exemption is being utilized.
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FM SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (9A-NEW) (C-4) (P)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI PRIORITY

ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT

FBI, BALTIMORE PRIORITY

FBI, COLUMBIA PRIORITY

BT

UNCLAS

SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS-VICTIM; UNKNOWN VICTIMS OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; EXTORTION;

CO: BALTIMORE

ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECEIVING OFFICES, ON 5/14/86, AT APPROXIMATELY 5 P.M., DETECTIVE __________, UNITED STATES CAPITOL POLICE (USCP), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION UNIT (CIU), 331 FIRST STREET, NE, WASHINGTON, D.C. (WDC), TELEPHONE NUMBER (202) 224-0928, TELEPHONICALLY APPRISED WFO OF THE

Q 2-WFO

BR: dlj 85
(2)

Approved: ____________________________
Transmitted ____________________________ Per ____________________________

5/14/86 2:20 P.M.
PAGE TWO DE WF #0056

FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

ON 5/14/86, AT APPROXIMATELY 4:17 P.M., OF THE OFFICE OF SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, RUSSELL BUILDING, ROOM SR-387, WDC, TELEPHONE NUMBER (202) 4654, ADVISED THAT SHE HAD JUST RECEIVED A CALL, FROM AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS ROBERT SANDERS, WHO STATED, "IF I CAME UP THERE AND SHOT OR STABBED SOMEBODY, WHAT WOULD THEY DO?"

BY WAY OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION, ON 5/2/86, FOR SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, HAD ADVISED DETECTIVE THAT A HAD TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THEIR OFFICE, THAT SAME DATE, AND HAD WISHED TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT WITH SENATOR MATHIAS, REGARDING A MEDICAL CLAIM THAT HE HAD FILED WITH THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, IN SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND. ON 5/2/86, ADVISED DETECTIVE THAT HE WAS TIRED OF LIVING ON THE STREETS, DID NOT WANT TO GO TO JAIL, AND WAS GOING TO DO AWAY WITH HIMSELF AND OTHERS. ADVISED AT THAT TIME, THAT CASE WORKER TELEPHONE NUMBER (301) 427-7126, IN SENATOR MATHIAS'
Baltimore Office, was trying to help Sanders in this matter.

On 5/2/86, Detective contacted and learned that the Social Security Administration, Silver Spring, Maryland, was also attempting to aid Sanders in his claim with their agency.

On 5/2/86, Detective interviewed and determined that Sanders was in the process of hitchhiking to __________ to visit his aunt; __________ provided a telephone number for the aunt of __________.

Further advised on 5/2/86, that Sanders advised that he was going to call her on 5/9/86. __________ stated that Sanders is attempting to file a medical claim for social security, and the process has been timely.

She feels the subject is abusive because he feels he can intimidate the Social Security personnel into approving his claim. She advises the reason the subject contacted the Senator's office is because of the length of time the process has taken.

On 5/2/86, __________ advised detective __________ that on an
UNKNOWN DATE, SANDERS MADE A STATEMENT TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY PERSONNEL THAT HE WAS GOING TO "LINE THEM UP AND SHOOT THEM".

DETECTIVE ADVISES THAT THE ADDRESSES THE SUBJECT HAS GIVEN SOCIAL SECURITY ARE THE FOLLOWING:

ON 5/14/86, AT APPROXIMATELY 6:45 P.M., SA , UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE, TELEPHONE NUMBER (202) 634-5100, WAS APPRISED OF THE NATURE AND CONTENT OF THE THREAT AND PROBABLY IDENTITY OF THE PERPETRATOR.

THE FOLLOWING BIOGRAPHICAL DATA IS PROVIDED REGARDING SANDERS:

NAME: 

Approved: Transmitted Per
(Number) (Time)

_DETECTIVE [Redacted] USCP, HAS REQUESTED THAT, IF POSSIBLE, HE BE NOTIFIED, SHOULD THE BUREAU LOCATE THE INDIVIDUAL, IN ORDER THAT HE MIGHT PARTICIPATE IN THE INTERVIEW.

LEADS:

BALTIMORE DIVISION AT HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND: ATTEMPT TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW SUBJECT REGARDING HIS INTENTIONS, PRESENT TO UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, AND ADVISE WFO IN ORDER THAT APPROPRIATE AGENCIES MIGHT BE APPRISED.

IN THE EVENT THAT SUBJECT IS NOT IN MARYLAND AND APPEARS TO BE IN CHESTER, SOUTH CAROLINA, DISSEMINATE APPROPRIATE
LEADS.

WALES CHECK NEGATIVE FOR SUBJECT.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

#0056
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FM SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (9A-NEW) (C-4) (P)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI PRIORITY

ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT

FBI, BALTIMORE PRIORITY

FBI, COLUMBIA PRIORITY

BT

UNCLASS

SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS-VICTIM; UNKNOWN

VICTIMS OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; EXTORTION;

OO: BALTIMORE

ARMED AND DANGEROUS.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECEIVING OFFICES,

ON 5/14/86, AT APPROXIMATELY 5 P.M., DETECTIVE [REDACTED] UNITED

STATES CAPITOL POLICE (USCP), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION UNIT

(CIU), 331 FIRST STREET, NE, WASHINGTON, D.C. (WDC), TELEPHONE

NUMBER (202) 224-0928, TELEPHONICALLY APPRISED WFO OF THE
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UNCLAS

FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

ON 5/14/86, AT APPROXIMATELY 4:17 P.M., \_

OF THE OFFICE OF SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, RUSSELL
BUILDING, ROOM SR-387, WDC; TELEPHONE NUMBER (202) 4654,
ADvised THAT SHE HAD JUST RECEIVED A CALL FROM AN INDIVIDUAL
WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS ROBERT SANDERS, WHO STATED,
"IF I CAME UP THERE AND SHOT OR STABBED SOMEBODY, WHAT WOULD
THEY DO?"

BY WAY OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION, ON 5/2/86, \_

RECEPTIONIST FOR SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, HAD
ADvised DETECTIVE \_

THAT A \_

HAD TELEPHONICALLY
CONTACTED THEIR OFFICE, THAT SAME DATE, AND HAD WISHED TO MAKE
AN APPOINTMENT WITH SENATOR MATHIAS, REGARDING A MEDICAL CLAIM
THAT HE HAD FILED WITH THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, IN
SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND. ON 5/2/86, \_

ADvised DETECTIVE
\_

THAT HE WAS TIRED OF LIVING ON THE STREETS, DID NOT WANT
TO GO TO JAIL, AND WAS GOING TO DO AWAY WITH HIMSELF AND OTHERS.
ADvised \_ AT THAT TIME, THAT CASE WORKER \_

TELEPHONE NUMBER (301) 427-7126, IN SENATOR MATHIAS'
PAGE THREE DET: #005

Baltimore office, was trying to help Sanders in this matter.

On 5/2/86, Detective [Redacted] contacted [Redacted] and
learned that [Redacted] Social Security Administration,
Silver Spring, Maryland, was also attempting to aid
Sanders in his claim with their agency.

On 5/2/86, Detective [Redacted] interviewed [Redacted] and
determined that Sanders was in the process of hitchhiking
to [Redacted] to visit his aunt; [Redacted]

Provided a telephone number for the aunt of [Redacted]

Further advised [Redacted] on 5/2/86, that Sanders
advised that he was going to call her on 5/9/86.

Stated that Sanders is attempting to file a medical claim
for Social Security, and the process has been imely.

She feels the subject is abusive because he feels he can
intimidate the Social Security personnel into approving his
claim. She advises the reason the subject contacted the
Senator's office is because of the length of time the
process has taken.

On 5/2/86 [Redacted] advised Detective [Redacted] that on an
UNKNOWN DATE, SANDERS MADE A STATEMENT TO THE SOCIAL SECURITY PERSONNEL THAT HE WAS GOING TO "LINE THEM UP AND SHOOT THEM".

DETECTIVE ADVISES THAT THE ADDRESSES THE SUBJECT HAS GIVEN SOCIAL SECURITY ARE THE FOLLOWING:

ON 5/14/86, AT APPROXIMATELY 6:45 P.M., SA

UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE, TELEPHONE NUMBER (202) 634-5100, WAS APPRISED OF THE NATURE AND CONTENT OF THE THREAT AND PROBABLY IDENTITY OF THE PERPETRATOR.

THE FOLLOWING BIOGRAPHICAL DATA IS PROVIDED REGARDING SANDERS:

NAME:
ON 5/14/86, AT APPROXIMATELY 7 P.M., __________
ASSISTANT DEPUTY FOR CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS, DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, 3RD AND INDEPENDENCE AVENUE, NW,
WDC, TELEPHONE NUMBER (202) 475-6534, WAS ADVISED OF THE
NATURE AND CONTENT OF THE THREAT, AND PROBABLE IDENTITY
OF THE SUBJECT, AND __________ REQUESTED, IF POSSIBLE, THAT THE
SUBJECT BE INTERVIEWED ON 5/15/86.

DETECTIVE __________ USCP, HAS REQUESTED THAT, IF POSSIBLE,
HE BE NOTIFIED, SHOULD THE BUREAU LOCATE THE INDIVIDUAL, IN
ORDER THAT HE MIGHT PARTICIPATE IN THE INTERVIEW.

LEADS:

BALTIMORE DIVISION AT HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND: ATTEMPT
TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW SUBJECT REGARDING HIS INTENTIONS,
PRESENT TO UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, AND ADVISE WFO IN ORDER
THAT APPROPRIATE AGENCIES MIGHT BE APPRISED.

IN THE EVENT THAT SUBJECT IS NOT IN MARYLAND AND APPEARS
TO BE IN CHESTER, SOUTH CAROLINA, DISSEMINATE APPROPRIATE
LEADS.

WALES CHECK NEGATIVE FOR SUBJECT.

ARMEN AND DANGEROUS.

BT
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date Filed</th>
<th>To be returned</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6/10/86</td>
<td>x Orig notes re interview of</td>
<td>(dy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6/10/86</td>
<td>x FD-395 for</td>
<td>(dy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6/10/86 Orig notes re interview of</td>
<td>(dy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6/10/86</td>
<td>x Subpoenas for</td>
<td>both not delivered (dy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/10/80</td>
<td>x Orig notes wk of</td>
<td>(dy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/10/80</td>
<td>x Interview notes</td>
<td>(dy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6/10/80</td>
<td>x Interview notes</td>
<td>(dy)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Field File No. 9A-5404
Serial # of Originating Document
OFO and File No. OFO
Date Received 5/14/86
From (Name of Contributor)
Russell Building (Address of Contributor)
Washington, D.C. (City and State)
By (Name of Special Agent)
To Be Returned □ Yes □ No Receipt Given □ Yes □ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure □ Yes □ No

Title
SENATOR CHARLES NATHIAS - VICTIM
SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION PERSONNEL - VICTIMS
EXTORTION

Reference: (Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: □ Original notes re interview of
Charles Mathias

Room 387
Russell Building
205 10
224 463 4

on Wednesday
5/14/86

around 3:30 or 4:00, maybe

The 4:00 pm

WTR
5/14/86
Add an index card and didn't volunteer

remember trying to tell me
police were running him
through for no reason at all
(i didn't think immediate minutes
but some time earlier)

Carrying and ravishing
mentioned Metro status
mentioned by D.C. police
at incident at Metro
status some time ago
implied calling officer to
get some kind of officer for

I disagree with the kind of Senator
implication.
Opposing Reagan administration
violence

when ended conversation
ended it with one very
violent
unusually lasted a couple of minutes

caller said he would like to speak with the Lent as usual neither didn't ask what
calling about
just said Lent as was not available and asked if somebody else could help him
and that's when he started talking about his problem with Social Security
he was having problems getting his benefits from Social Security
problems & medical records didn't discuss specific medical problems

I told him needed to talk to case worker
caller spoke with Fairham office he said Fairham office couldn't help him

I spoke with asked in this

He hesitated and said

yes, Robert Fandanz
And if donor because known in office as trouble maker to be careful and courteous he sounded upset and angry.

Then said, "What would happen if I came down there and killed an officer somebody?"

I said you would be arrested.

Oh no I wouldn't. They wouldn't be able to catch me.

Then there motions to switch call to tree and suggested tool.
Answered in Mather's office.

Unknown caller.

Male.

Sounded like black male.

Not very old or not young.

Dressed to uneducated.

Maybe not.

You would recognize his voice again would you?

If he talked like a normal caller probably not.

Don't know if you could pick out of a voice line-up.
Don't know if could pick
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Serial # of Originating Document: ______________________

OFO and File No. ______________________

Date Received 5/16/86

From __________________________________________

(Name of Contributor)

(Address of Contributor)

(City and State)

By __________________________________________

(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Submitted □ Yes □ No □ Receipt Given □ Yes □ No

Grand Jury Material: Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure □ Yes □ No

Title: SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS - VICTIM; UNKNOWN SOCIAL SECURITY PERSONNEL - VICTIMS; EXTORTION

Reference: ______________________

(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: □ Original notes re interview of

FD-395
It should go closer and come back and line you all up against the wall but if it due to know what would happen as you go to that effect.

About 1:30 A.M.

Lakes
On the way home I met a man who had just come back from abroad. He told me about his experiences abroad and how much he enjoyed the trip. He said that he had visited many interesting places and met some wonderful people. I asked him if he had any advice for me on my upcoming trip. He said that the key was to be open-minded and to embrace new experiences. I thanked him for his advice and wished him well on his future travels.
Did you make

statement?

I never said that

stuff - why would I say

that stuff, it was trying
to have them help
Notice of discipline
12/14/85
Alegedly being disrespectful and using profane and abusive language to members of the labor relations personnel office on or about 12/14/85
Philadelphia, PA

STATE OF N.C.
MECKLENBURG
INTERROGATION; ADVICE OF RIGHTS

YOUR RIGHTS

Place: Washington, D.C.
Date: 5/18/86
Time: 2:32 p.m.

Before we ask you any questions, you must understand your rights.

You have the right to remain silent.

Anything you say can be used against you in court.

You have the right to talk to a lawyer for advice before we ask you any questions and to have a lawyer with you during questioning.

If you cannot afford a lawyer, one will be appointed for you before any questioning if you wish.

If you decide to answer questions now without a lawyer present, you will still have the right to stop answering at any time. You also have the right to stop answering at any time until you talk to a lawyer.

WAIVER OF RIGHTS

I have read this statement of my rights and I understand what my rights are. I am willing to make a statement and answer questions. I do not want a lawyer at this time. I understand and know what I am doing. No promises or threats have been made to me and no pressure or coercion of any kind has been used against me.

Signed: [Signature]

Witness: [Signature] FBI 5/18/86

Witness: [Signature] URO 5/18/86

Time: 2:35 p.m.
Field File No. 9A-5404
Serial # of Originating Document
5F11
OO and File No.
Date Received: 5/14/86
From: ____________________________
(Name of Contributor)

(Address of Contributor)

(City and State)

By: ____________________________
(Name of Special Agent)

To Be Returned ☐ Yes ☐ No; Receipt Given ☐ Yes ☐ No

Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only; Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ☐ Yes ☐ No

Title:
SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS VICTIM
UNKNOWN SOCIAL SECURITY PERSONNEL VICTIM
EXTORTION

Reference: ____________________________
(Communication Enclosing Material)

Description: ☑ Original notes re interview of
Monday
"Sorry to overhead and go home and come back. It should have you all up against the wall but I won't because I know the consequences."

[Handwritten text with unclear handwriting]
Field File No.: 9A-5404
Serial # of Originating Document
DO and File No.: HFO
Date Received: 5/13/86
From: AUSA
(Name of Contributor)
555 4th Street, NW, 5th Floor, Rm. 5127
(Address of Contributor)
Washington, D.C.
(City and State)
By: ___________________________
(Name of Special Agent)
To Be Returned: ☐ Yes ☐ No Receipt Given: ☐ Yes ☐ No
Grand Jury Material - Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure: ☐ Yes ☐ No
Title: SENATOR CHARLES MATTHAS - VICTIM,
UNKNOWN SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION - VICTIM,
EXTORTION
Reference: ___________________________
(Communication Enclosing Material)
Description: ☐ No original notes re interview of:
1. Subpoena duces tecum for ___________________________ not delivered;
2. Subpoena duces tecum for ___________________________ not delivered
SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY BEFORE GRAND JURY

SUBPOENA FOR:
☐ PERSON  ☒ DOCUMENTS OR OBJECT(S)

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to appear and testify before the Grand Jury of the United States District Court at the place, date, and time specified below.

PLACE
United States District Courthouse
3rd and Constitution Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

ROOM
Grand Jury

DATE AND TIME
Tuesday, June 3, 1986

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to bring with you the following document(s) or object(s):*

DUCES TECUM: (Please produce): Any and all

This subpoena may be satisfied by turning over the above information to Special Agent

☐ Please see additional information on reverse

This subpoena shall remain in effect until you are granted leave to depart by the court or by an officer acting on behalf of the court.

CLERK
James P. Davey
(by) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE
Tuesday, May 13, 1986

NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER OF ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
ROXANE N. SCKOLOVE
555 4th Street, N.W.
5th Floor, Rm. 5127
Washington, D.C. 20001

This subpoena is issued upon application of the United States of America

*If not applicable, enter "none."
RETURN OF SERVICE(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>PLACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BY SERVER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVED</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>PLACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SERVED ON (NAME)

SERVED BY

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAVEL</th>
<th>SERVICES</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DECLARATION OF SERVER(2)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.

Executed on __________________________

Date

Signature of Server

Address of Server:

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

(1) As to who may serve a subpoena and the manner of its service see Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(2) “Fees and mileage need not be tendered to the witness upon service of a subpoena issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or agency thereof (Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) or on behalf of certain indigent parties and criminal defendants who are unable to pay such costs (28 USC 1825, Rule 17(b) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure).”
TO:

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY BEFORE GRAND JURY

SUBPOENA FOR:
☐ PERSON  ❑ DOCUMENTS OR OBJECT(S)

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to appear and testify before the Grand Jury of the United States District Court at the place, date, and time specified below.

PLACE
United States District Courthouse
3rd and Constitution Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

ROOM
Grand Jury

DATE AND TIME
Tuesday, June 3, 1986

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to bring with you the following document(s) or object(s):*

DUCES TECUM: (Please produce): Any and all

This subpoena may be satisfied by turning over the above information to Special Agent

☐ Please see additional information on reverse

This subpoena shall remain in effect until you are granted leave to depart by the court or by an officer acting on behalf of the court.

CLERK
James F. Davey
(SIGN DEPUTY CLERK)

DATE
Tuesday, May 13, 1986

Robert E. Line

This subpoena is issued upon application of the United States of America

NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER OF ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
ROXANE N. SCKOLOVE
555 4th Street, N.W.
5th Floor, Rm. 5127
Washington, D.C. 20001

*If not applicable, enter "none."
RETURN OF SERVICE

RECEIVED BY SERVER
DATE
PLACE

SERVED
DATE
PLACE

SERVED ON (NAME)

SERVED BY
TITLE

STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAVEL</th>
<th>SERVICES</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.

Executed on __________________________ Date __________________________

Signature of Server __________________________

Address of Server __________________________

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

(1) As to who may serve a subpoena and the manner of its service see Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(2) "Fees and mileage need not be tendered to the witness upon service of a subpoena issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or agency thereof (Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; Rule 17(d), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure) or on behalf of certain indigent parties and criminal defendants who are unable to pay such costs (28 USC 1925, Rule 17(b) Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure)."
Field File No.: 9A-5404
Serial # of Originating Document: 
Case and File No.: MFC
Date Received: 
From: Officer
(Name of Contributor)
300 Indiana Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C.
(Address of Contributor)
City and State:
By: 
(Name of Special Agent)
To Be Returned: ☐ Yes, ☐ No. Receipt Given: ☐ Yes, ☐ No.
Title:
SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, VICTIM;
UNKNOWN SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION PERSONNEL—VICTIMS;
EXTORTION
Reference: 
(Communication Enclosing Material)
Description: ☑ Original notes re interview of:
Officer: 

387
Delaware & Constitution
11262

MD 3rd Floor
300 Indiana
Tues. 9:00 a.m.
Wed. 5:00 p.m.

Subpoena

2576 Criminal History

Officers
Tom

Mary

My own... who... never have to talk...
Field File No.
Serial # of Originating Document 17
CC and File No. WFO 3404
Date Received 7/24/86
From
SENATOR MATHIAS
(Address of Contributor)
WASHINGTON, D.C.
By
(Name of Special Agent)
To Be Returned □ Yes □ No Receipt Given □ Yes □ No
Grand Jury Material: Disseminate Only Pursuant to Rule 6(e), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure □ Yes □ No
Title
SENATOR MATHIAS: VICTIM
EXTORTION:
□ U.S.A.
Reference:
(Communication Enclosing Material)
Description:
Mathias, Jr. called 7/22/86 at 2:20 p.m. to report.

Caller: Male, Black, mature (late 30's or 40's), partially educated. "I'm going to get some of you bastards up there. I'm going to kill some of you motherfuckers."

Personally spoke with two or three times.

Quite sure I was him.
INBOX.2 (#2029)

TEXI:
BA0007 13600112

PP HO CO WF

DE BA

P 162100Z MAY 86

FM BALTIMORE (9A-3184)(HRA) RUC

TO DIRECTOR, FBI PRIORITY

ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT

SAC, COLUMBIA PRIORITY

SAC, WFO (9A-NEW)(C-4) PRIORITY

BT

UNCLAS

"CHANGED" SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS - VICTIM;

UNKNOWN VICTIMS OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION; EXTORTION;

OO: WFO.

TITLE MARKED "CHANGED" TO DESIGNATE WFO AS OO PER REQUEST OF SA

WFO, MAY 15, 1986. BA PREVIOUSLY DESIGNATED AS OO.

RE TELCALL BETWEEN SA WFO, AND HYATTSVILLE, RA,


REFERENCED TELETYPE REQUESTED BALTIMORE DIVISION TO ATTEMPT TO

LOCATE AND INTERVIEW SUBJECT AT HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND. INVESTIGATION

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

9-5404-2

98

99
Determined subject had left this address on December 3, 1985 and did not provide rental office with any forwarding address. Investigation at determined the following:

Advised that subject only receives his mail at this address and she has not seen the subject in approximately two months. Stated she does not know the whereabouts of the subject but all of his mail is routed to an address in

A criminal check of the subject with the Prince Georges County Police Department was negative and a triple I inquiry was also negative.

On May 15, 1986, Detective U.S. Capitol Police, and SA WFO, were advised of subject’s probable whereabouts, Columbia, at

Attempt to locate and interview subject regarding his intentions and advise WFO.

Armed and dangerous
FM SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (9A-NEW) (C-4) (P)  
TO DIRECTOR, FBI IMMEDIATE  
FBI, BALTIMORE IMMEDIATE  
FBI, COLUMBIA IMMEDIATE  
BT  
UNCLASS  

SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS AND UNKNOWN  
MEMBERS OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION-VICTIM; EXTORTION;  

CC: WFO  

ARMED AND DANGEROUS.  

RE: WFO TELETYPE TO BUREAU, DATED 5/14/86.  

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE BUREAU AND RECEIVING OFFICES,  
CAPTIONED SUBJECT WAS LOCATED AND INTERVIEWED IN WASHINGTON, D.C., ON 5/16/86. SUBJECT INDICATED HIS PRESENT ADDRESS IS  

HOWEVER, HE PLANNED TO  
TRAVEL TO SOUTH CAROLINA TO VISIT RELATIVES.  

©WFO  
WR:dlj 88  
(2)
PAGE TWO DF 0034  UNCLAS

SUBJECT WAS ADVISED OF HIS RIGHTS, DENIED MAKING ANY
THREATENING STATEMENTS TO SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS'S OFFICE,
OR THE SILVER SPRING SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OFFICE, AND
STATED HE HAD NO INTENTION WHATSOEVER OF HARMING ANYONE.
AUSN______________________ WAS APPRISED OF RESULTS OF
INVESTIGATION AND DECLINED PROSECUTION.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, U.S. CAPITOL POLICE, AND
SECRET SERVICE NOTIFIED.

BALTIMORE AND COLUMBIA ARE REQUESTED TO DISCONTINUE LEADS
SET FORTH IN REFERENCED TELTYPE.

DETAILS WILL FOLLOW.

BT
0034

NNNN

Approved: ___________________ Transmitted ___________________ Per ___________________
(Number) (Time)
was interviewed by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted], who identified themselves to SANDERS as Special Agents of the FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI). At the onset of the interview, SANDERS was advised by SA [redacted] that he was being interviewed in connection with a telephone call placed to the office of Senator CHARLES MATHIAS, Russell Building, Room SR-287, Washington, D.C. (WDC), telephone number (202) 224-4654, on 5/14/86, at approximately 4:17 p.m., during which time a caller, who identified himself as ROBERT SANDERS, stated "If I came up there and shot or stabbed somebody, what would they do?"

SANDERS was warned of certain rights and he waived these rights as shown on a Warning and Waiver Form.

SANDERS voluntarily furnished the following information:

He called Senator MATHIAS' office, on the morning of 5/14/86, in order to complain about a delay the SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (SSA) was causing in processing a claim. SANDERS denied voicing a threat and stated such words were not in his vocabulary.

Investigation on 5/16/86 at Washington, D.C. File #9A-5404 by SA [redacted] WTR:dlj Date dictated 5/19/86

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your office.
SANDERS feels the illness he suffers from may result in behavior which he forgets. As an example, he was arrested, for Disorderly Conduct, by the METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT (MPD), WDC, on 9/11/85, although he can not remember details of the incident. He was also arrested in Mecklenburg, North Carolina, on 11/2/71, for Disorderly Conduct. Finally on 11/19/85, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, he received a Notice of Discipline, from the Labor Relations Personnel Office for being disrespectful and using profane and abusive language. Again, SANDERS indicated he could not recall the incident. The details were provided from documents which SANDERS carried with him.

SANDERS stated he has no intention whatsoever in harming any public officials.

The following information was obtained through observation and interview:

Name:
Sex:
Race:
Date of birth:
Place of birth:
Social Security Number:
Height:
Weight:
Addresses:

Detective_____ UNITED STATES CAPITOL POLICE, Criminal Investigations Unit, Washington, D.C., was present during portions of the interview.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 6/11/86

Officer METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT (MPD), 300 Indiana Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. (WDC), Room 2076, provided copies of the following enclosed documents:

1. MPD Criminal History Records, MPD ID for

2. MPD Prosecution Report, dated 1/19/86, for Solicitation of Prosecution.

3. MPD Prosecution Report for Disorderly Conduct.

Investigation on 5/27/86 at Washington, D.C. File #9A-5404-6

by SA WTR:dlj Date dictated 5/22/86

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of Transcription 6/12/86

1

[Redacted] to Senator CHARLES MATHIAS, Russell Building, Room 387, Washington, D.C. (WDC), telephone number (202) 224-4654, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and nature of the interview, and she thereafter provided the following information:

On 5/14/86, shortly before 4:00 p.m., a male caller, who sounded like a black man, stated that he would like to speak to Senator MATHIAS.

She replied that the Senator was not in and inquired as to if anyone else could possibly help him. Without discussing his particular medical problem, the caller indicated he was having problems getting his benefits from the SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (SSA) - the Lanham, Maryland office could not help him.

She next asked the caller if he was Mr. SANDERS. He hesitate and said, "Yes, ROBERT SANDERS." By way of background information, she explained that ROBERT SANDERS is well known to Senator MATHIAS' office for making complaints regarding his supposed difficulties with the SSA.

SANDERS sounded upset and angry and stated:

"What would happen if I came down there and shot or stabbed somebody?"

She said that he would be arrested and he replied:

"Oh no I wouldn't. They wouldn't be able to catch me."

The call was then switched to [Redacted] for Senator MATHIAS. She did not ask him his...

Investigation on 5/19/86 at Washington, D.C. File #9A-5404

by SA [Redacted] WTR:dlj Date dictated 5/24/86

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
name and the caller did not volunteer it. The caller complained about being harassed by unidentified members of the METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT (MPD) regarding an incident taking place, at a Metro station, some time earlier.

[Blank] was present during the latter part of the interview.
Washington Field Office
1900 Half Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20535
July 2, 1986

United States Attorney
Washington, D.C. 20001

Attention: Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA)
Rozane N. Sokolove

Re: __________

Dear Sir:

This letter will serve to confirm the May 14, 1986 telephonic conversation between AUSA ______ of your office, and Special Agent (SA) ______ of the Washington Field Office (WFO) of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), when the following matter was discussed:

On May 14, 1986, at approximately 4:17 p.m., for Senator Charles Mathias, Russell Building, Room SF-387, Washington, D.C., telephone number (202) 224-4654, advised Detective ______ of the United States Capitol Police, that she had received a call, from an individual who ultimately identified himself as "Robert Sanders" who reportedly stated:

"If I came up there and shot or stabbed somebody, what would they do?"

A preliminary inquiry determined that referenced ______ is a matter of record with Senator Mathias’s office because of his complaints regarding the Social Security Administration’s handling of a medical compensation claim he had filed with them.

On May 16, 1986, Sanders telephonically contacted WFO and voluntarily met with SA ______ and Detective ______ in order to discuss his alleged threat. Sanders indicated he may have called Senator Mathias’s office, on the morning of May 14, 1986, in order to complain about a delay the Social Security Administration was causing in processing his

2-Addressee
1-WFO

WTR:mab
medical claim. He adamantly denied voicing any type of threat and stated that such words were not in his vocabulary. Sanders said he had no intention whatsoever of harming any public official but merely wished to make inquiries to the status of his claim.

It is noted that, in the interview of [ ], she indicated that she would probably not be able to pick out Robert Sander's voice.

Consequently, on May 16, 1986, AUSA[ ] declined prosecution based on the difficulty in identifying Robert Sanders as having made the call in question and the lack of any clearly voiced intention to carry out any type of threat. AUSA[ ] and SA[ ] concurred that the matter would be re-evaluated should the situation change.

Very truly yours,

Dana E. Caro
Special Agent in Charge

By: [ ]
Supervisory Special Agent
ADMINISTRATION, 962 Wayne Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland, telephone number (301) 427-7067, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and nature of the interview and thereafter provided the following information:

On, or about, 4/30/86, an individual, he identified as ROBERT SANDERS, was talking to a fellow SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION employee, regarding his (SANDERS') medical claim. SANDERS was becoming increasingly frustrated and stated:

"I should go home and come back and line you all up against the wall, but I won't because I know what would happen if I did", or words to that affect.

said he was approximately four feet away from SANDERS when he made this statement.

Investigation on 5/16/86 at Washington, D.C. File #9A-5404-10
by SA WTR:dlj Date dictated 5/21/86

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
AIRTEL

7/9/86

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT)
FROM: SAC, WFO (9A-5404)(C-4)(C)

"CHANGED":

SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS AND
UNKNOWN MEMBERS OF THE SOCIAL
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION-VICTIMS;
EXTORTION;
OO: WFO

Re WFO tel to Bureau, 5/16/86.

Title marked "Changed" to reflect true name and alias
of subject. Title formerly carried as:
SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS AND UNKNOWN MEMBERS OF THE SOCIAL
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION-VICTIMS; EXTORTION; OO: WFO.

Enclosed for the Silver Spring and Hyattsville Resident
Agencies (RAs) are the following items:

1. 1/19/84 MPD Mug Shot of captioned subject.
2. Copy of 5/16/86 FD-302 of interview of captioned
   subject.
3. Copy of 5/19/86 interview of
4. Copy of 5/27/86 FD-302 regarding acquisition of MPD
   Police reports for captioned subject.
5. Copy of 5/16/86 FD-302 of interview of SOCIAL
   SECURITY ADMINISTRATION employee
6. Copy of FBI Rap Sheet for captioned subject.
7. Copy of 6/25/86 U.S. CAPITOL POLICE Intelligence
   Bulletin regarding subject.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

2-Bureau (Info.)
4-Baltimore (Encl. 7)

WTR: dlj (7) 86

Restrain Species
For the information of the Silver Spring and Hyattsville RAs, on 5/14/86, Detective U.S. CAPITOL POLICE (USCP), Criminal Investigations Unit (CIU), 331 First Street, NE, Washington, D.C. (WDC), telephone number (202) 224-0928, telephonically apprised the Washington Field Office (WFO) of the following information:

On 5/14/86, at approximately 4:17 p.m., for Senator CHARLES MATHIAS, Russell Building, Room SR-387, WDC, telephone number (202) 224-4654, advised she had just received a call, from an individual who identified himself as ROBERT SANDERS, who stated:

"If I came up there and shot or stabbed somebody, what would they do?" or words to that affect.

Detective also advised that SANDERS had allegedly made verbal threats against employees of the SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, address 962 Wayne Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland, telephone number (301) 427-7067.

On 5/16/86, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, Silver Spring, Maryland, advised that on, or about, 4/30/86, SANDERS had visited their office and in a moment of frustration had said:

"I should go home and come back and line you all up against the wall but I won't because I know what would happen if I did", or words to that affect.

On 5/16/86, SANDERS voluntarily met with WFO Bureau Agents and Detective and agreed to be interviewed regarding the aforementioned threats. SANDERS adamantly denied making any type of threatening phone call to Senator MATHIAS' office.

On 5/16/86, AUSA declined prosecution.

On 6/27/86, the USCP advised that SANDERS had appeared in Senator MATHIAS' office, on 6/26/86. SANDERS had displayed anger regarding his SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION medical claim; however, he made no direct, or indirect, threats whatsoever.

Deputy Chief, USCP, has been apprised of all Bureau efforts in this matter and they now consider the incident closed.
On 6/27/86, [Redacted], Security and Protection, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), WDC, telephone number (202) 245-3410, advised WFO that Sanders had appeared at the Silver Spring Social Security Administration office, that same date. Sanders had made no threats whatsoever but had become belligerent and was asked to leave by the Montgomery County Police Department.

On 6/27/86, [Redacted] again declined any prosecutive interest in Sanders' activities, based on his appearance in Senator Mathias' office.

On 6/27/86, [Redacted] indicated his agency was continuing to monitor the situation and requested Bureau assistance and cooperation in the matter.

On 6/30/86, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland, Operations Building 1L19, telephone number FTS 934-6255, contacted WFO and advised that the Baltimore Division would be responsible for any continuing investigation regarding the Silver Spring Social Security Administration office.

On 6/30/86, Social Security Administration, 962 Wayne Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland, telephone number (301) 427-7126, indicated that her agency had initiated a psychological evaluation of Sanders which essentially indicated that he had a problem with "verbal aggression"; however, the report gave no indications that Sanders was likely to carry out any type of physical threat. WFO now considers that matter closed. Any additional investigation is left at the discretion of the Baltimore Division.
United States Attorney
Washington, D.C. 20001

Attention: Assistant United States Attorney

Reference: 

Dear Sir:

This letter will serve to confirm the June 30, 1986 telephonic conversation between Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) _______ of your office and Special Agent (SA) _______ of the Washington Field Office (WFO), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), when the following matter was discussed:

On June 30, 1986, SA _______ learned that referenced _______ had reappeared in Senator Mathias' office, on June 26, 1986, and had also visited the Silver Spring Social Security Administration office, on June 27, 1986. Although Sanders became angry when he was told that his Social Security claim had been disapproved, his demeanor was calmer than on earlier occasions, and he made no threats of any type whatsoever. Sanders advised Social Security Administration personnel that he would file an appeal regarding his medical claim.

SA _______ and AUSA _______ also discussed the fact that, a criminal history record has been identified for Sanders, which includes arrests for _______.

2-WFO
(1-9A-5404
1-66-3564subH)

FBI = WASH FIELD OFFICE
JUL 10 1986
Consequently, on June 30, 1986, AUSA _______ declined prosecution based on lack of probable cause and the fact that Sanders continues to show no specific intent to harm. AUSA _______ and SA _______ concurred that the matter would be reevaluated should the situation change.

Very truly yours,

Dana E. Caro
Special Agent in Charge

By: ____________
Supervisory Special Agent
FROM SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (C-4) (9A-NEW) (P)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI PRIORITY

ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT

FBI, BALTIMORE PRIORITY

ATTN: HYATTSVILLE AND SILVER SPRING RA

BT

UNCLAS

SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS JR.-VICTIM;

-VICTIM; EXTORTION-THREATENING TELEPHONE CALLS

REFERENCE WFO AIRTEL TO DIRECTOR ET AL., DATED 5/16/86
WITH ENCLOSURES TO HYATTSVILLE AND SILVER SPRING RA.

FOR INFORMATION OF PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT AND BALTIMORE,
ON 7/17/86 AT 10:30 A.M., CAPTIONED SUBJECT TELEPHONICALLY
CONTACTED THE OFFICE OF VICTIM SENATOR AND SPOKE TO VICTIM-

THE CALLER WAS IDENTIFIED AS THE SUBJECT BY

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

WFO

KHS: cjccj (2)

Approved: __________

Transmitted: __________

(Number)
DURING THE COURSE OF A HEATED DISCUSSION. THE SUBJECT STATED "I WANT A JOB OR MY DISABILITY OR I'M GOING TO TAKE SOME LIVES IF NECESSARY EVEN IF I HAVE TO TAKE MY OWN." DURING THE CONVERSATION THE CALLER WAS IRATE AND USED PROFANITY.

VICTIM STATED THAT SUBJECT HAS BEEN NOTIFIED BY THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION THAT HE IS NOT ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE DISABILITY BENEFITS AND THIS MAY HAVE PROMOTED THE TELEPHONE CALL.

USCP HAS PLACED OFFICERS IN THE OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS AND AT HIS RESIDENCE.

THE SUBJECTS LAST KNOWN ADDRESS IS

SUBJECT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED ARMED AND DANGEROUS DUE TO HIS PRIOR ARREST RECORD.

LEADS: WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE: AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH SENATOR'S OFFICE AND CAPITOL HILL POLICE IN THE EVENT THAT SUBJECT ATTEMPTS TO ENTER VICTIM'S OFFICE.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

Approved: 0053 Transmitted Per 0053 (Number) (Time)
FROM: WFO & EMH1
SUBJECT: 198/053 PRIORITY
DATE: 18 JUL 86 00:49:43 GMT
CC:
TEXT:
VZCZCWF053
PP HQ BA
DE WFO53 198 0155
ZNR UUUUU
P 170155Z JUL 86
FROM SAC, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (C-4) (9A-NEW) (P)
TO DIRECTOR, FBI PRIORITY
ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT
FBI, BALTIMORE PRIORITY
ATTN: HYATTSVILLE AND SILVER SPRING RA
BT
UNCLAS
SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS JR.—VICTIM;
VICTIM; EXTORTION—THREATENING TELEPHONE CALLS
REFERENCE WFO AIRTEL TO DIRECTOR ET AL, DATED 5/16/86 WITH ENCLOSURES TO HYATTSVILLE AND SILVER SPRING RA.
FOR INFORMATION OF PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT AND BALTIMORE, ON 7/17/86 AT 10:30 A.M., CAPTIONED SUBJECT TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THE OFFICE OF VICTIM SENATOR AND SPOKE TO VICTIM—THE CALLER WAS IDENTIFIED AS THE SUBJECT BY ARMED AND DANGEROUS
DURING THE COURSE OF A HEATED DISCUSSION. THE SUBJECT STATED "I WANT A JOB OR MY DISABILITY OR I'M GOING TO TAKE SOME LIVES IF NECESSARY EVEN IF I HAVE TO TAKE MY OWN." DURING THE CONVERSATION THE CALLER WAS IRATE AND USED PROFANITY.

VICTIM [REDACTED] STATED THAT SUBJECT HAS BEEN NOTIFIED BY THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION THAT HE IS NOT ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE DISABILITY BENEFITS AND THIS MAY HAVE PROMOTED THE TELEPHONE CALL.

USCP HAS PLACED OFFICERS IN THE OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS AND AT HIS RESIDENCE.

THE SUBJECTS LAST KNOWN ADDRESS IS [REDACTED]

SUBJECT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED ARMED AND DANGEROUS DUE TO HIS PRIOR ARREST RECORD.

LEADS: WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE: AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

MAINTAIN CONTACT WITH SENATOR'S OFFICE AND CAPITOL HILL POLICE IN THE EVENT THAT SUBJECT ATTEMPTS TO ENTER VICTIM'S OFFICE.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

BT

#0053
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FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 7/25/86

MATHIAS, 387 Russell Building, Washington, D.C. (WDC), telephone number (202) 224-4654, was apprised of the identity of the interviewing agent and nature of the interview and she thereafter provided the following information:

On 7/17/86, at approximately 10:30 a.m., a male caller, who sounded like a black man, engaged her in a conversation in which he complained that his request for social security disability benefits had been turned down.

During the conversation, she addressed the caller as Mr. SANDERS and, at one point, specifically asked if he was Mr. ROBERT SANDERS; she thinks he did say yes but he certainly did not deny it. By way of background information, she explained that ROBERT SANDERS is well known to Senator MATHIAS's office for making complaints regarding his supposed difficulties with the SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

The man she believes to be ROBERT SANDERS made the following statement:

"I'm going to take some lives if necessary even if I have to take my own. I'm not saying how or when or who."

Investigation on 7/17/86 at Washington, D.C. File #9A-5404

by SA WTR/dlj Date dictated 7/23/86

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
to Senator CHARLES McC. MATHIAS; Jr.; 387 Russell House Office Building (HOB); Washington, D.C. (WDC), telephone number (202) 224-4654, was advised of the identity of the interviewing agent and nature of the interview and thereafter provided the following information:

On 1/22/86, at approximately 3:20 p.m., a black male caller, in his late 30's or early 40's, telephonically contacted the Senator's office, at the aforementioned number, and stated:

"I'm going to get some of you bastards up there. I'm going to kill some of you motherfuckers."

The caller then hung up.

In her own words, she "felt quite sure it was him," meaning SANDERS is a matter of record with Senator MATHIAS' office and she has personally spoken with SANDERS on several occasions.

Investigation on 7/24/86 at Washington, D.C. File #9A-5404

by WTR/dlj Date dictated 7/29/86

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
FM FBI, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (9A-5404) (C) (C-4)

TO DIRECTOR, FBI (ROUTINE)

FBI, BALTIMORE (ROUTINE)

BT

UNCLASS

ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT

SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JR. - VICTIM;

- VICTIM; EXTORTION - THREATENING TELEPHONE CALLS; OO:WFO

RE WFO TELETYPE TO DIRECTOR, DATED 7/17/86.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT, AND
BALTIMORE DIVISION, AN INDIVIDUAL, BELIEVED TO BE CAPTIONED
ROBERT SANDERS, TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THE CAPITOL HILL
OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS, THREATENED TO COMMIT SUICIDE, AND
MADE BROAD THREATENING STATEMENTS, ADDRESSED TO NO ONE IN
ARMED AND DANGEROUS
PAGE TWO DE WP #0018 UNCLAS

PARTICULAR.

ON AUGUST 6, 1986, SANDERS APPEARED, WITHOUT WARNING, AT THE OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS, REQUESTING ASSISTANCE WITH DISABILITY BENEFITS. SANDERS' DEMEANOR WAS CALM, POLITE AND COOPERATIVE. HE ASKED SENATOR MATHIAS TO INTERVENE WITH THE SILVER SPRING SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OFFICE - SANDERS WAS AFRAID OF BEING ARRESTED IF HE SHOWED UP THERE.

INVESTIGATION HAS DISCLOSED THAT, IN MAY, 1986, A


ARMED AND DANGEROUS

Approved: ______________ Transmitted ______________ Per ______________
(Number) (Time)
PAGE THREE DE WF #0018 UNCLAS

SANDERS HAS MADE NO MORE THREATS TO DATE.

ACCORDINGLY, WFO CONSIDERS THIS MATTER CLOSED BUT WILL
RE-OPEN UPON RECEIPT WITH NEW THREATS.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

BT
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Approved: Transmitted Per
(Number) (Time)
TO: HQ2 @ EMH2, BA @ EMH2
FROM: WFO @ EMH1
SUBJECT: 0018 ROUTINE
DATE: 4 SEP 86 19:34:29 GMT
CC:
TEXT:
WZCZCWF 0018
RR HQ BA
DE WF 0018 1937
ZNR UUUUU
R 04 1825Z SEP 86
FM FBI, WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE (9A-5404) (C) (C-4)
TO DIRECTOR, FBI (ROUTINE)
FBI, BALTIMORE (ROUTINE)
BT
UNCLAS
ATTN: PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT

SENATOR CHARLES MATHIAS, JR. - VICTIM;
- VICTIM; EXTORTION - THREATENING TELEPHONE CALLS; 00:WFO

RE WFO TELETYPETO DIRECTOR, DATED 7/17/86.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE PERSONAL CRIMES UNIT, AND
BALTIMORE DIVISION, AN INDIVIDUAL, BELIEVED TO BE CAPTIONED
ROBERT SANDERS, TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THE CAPITOL HILL
OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS, THREATENED TO COMMIT SUICIDE, AND
MADE BROAD THREATENING STATEMENTS, ADDRESSED TO NO-ONE IN
ARMED AND DANGEROUS
PARTICULAR.

ON AUGUST 6, 1986, SANDERS APPEARED, WITHOUT WARNING, AT THE OFFICE OF SENATOR MATHIAS, REQUESTING ASSISTANCE WITH DISABILITY BENEFITS. SANDERS' DEMEANOR WAS CALM, POLITE AND COOPERATIVE. HE ASKED SENATOR MATHIAS TO INTERVENE WITH THE SILVER SPRING SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OFFICE - SANDERS WAS AFRAID OF BEING ARRESTED IF HE SHOWED UP THERE.

INVESTIGATION HAS DISCLOSED THAT, IN MAY, 1986, A


ARMED AND DANGEROUS
PAGE THREE DE WF #0018 UNCLAS

SANDERS HAS MADE NO MORE THREATS TO DATE.

ACCORDINGLY, WFO CONSIDERS THIS MATTER CLOSED BUT WILL
RE-OPEN UPON RECEIPT WITH NEW THREATS.

ARMED AND DANGEROUS

BT

#0018
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Washington Field Office
1900 Half Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20535
September 4, 1986

United States Attorney
Washington, D.C. 20001

Attention: Assistant United States Attorney

Reference: __________

Dear Sir:

This letter will serve to confirm the August 22, 1986, telephonic conversation between Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) Roxane Sokolove, of your office, and Special Agent (SA) __________, of the Washington Field Office (WFO), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), when the following matter was discussed:

Senator Charles Mathias, 387 Russell Building, Washington, D.C. (WDC), telephone number (202) 224-4654, reported that on July 17, 1986, at approximately 10:30 a.m., a male caller, who sounded like a black man, engaged her in a conversation in which he complained that his request for social security disability benefits had been turned down. During the conversation, she addressed the caller as Mr. Sanders and, at one point, specifically asked if he was Mr. Robert Sanders; she thinks he did say yes but he certainly did not deny it. By way of background information, she explained that Robert Sanders is well-known to Senator Mathias' office for making complaints regarding his supposed difficulties with the Social Security Administration (SSA).

The man she believes to be Robert Sanders made the following statement:

"I'm going to take some lives if necessary even if I have to take my own. I'm not saying how or when or who."

2-Addressee
2-WFO
(1-9A-5404)
(1-66-3564 Sub H)
WTR: cdp
(4)
to Senator Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., 387 Russell House Office
Building (HOB), Washington, D.C. (WDC), telephone number (202)
224-4654, shortly thereafter reported that, on July 22, 1986, at
approximately 3:20 p.m., a black male caller, in his late 30's or
early 40's, telephonically contacted the Senator's office, at the
aforementioned number, and stated:

"I'm going to get some of you bastards up there. I'm
going to kill some of you mother fuckers."

The caller then hung up.

In her own words, she "felt quite sure it was him,"
meaning________________. Sanders is a matter of record
with Senator Mathias' office and she_____________ has personally
spoken with Sanders on several occasions.

On August 6, 1986,_________________________, United
States Capitol Police (USCP), advised WFO that on that same date,
Sanders had suddenly appeared at the Capitol Hill Office of
Senator Mathias, requesting assistance with his disability
benefits. Sanders' demeanor was described as "calm and
cooperative." He was interviewed, on the scene, by Sergeant
____________________ and Detective____________________ (USCP), and Sanders
stated he had only visited Senator Mathias' office to ask them to
intervene, on his behalf, with the Silver Spring office of the
SSA; Sanders was afraid of being arrested if he showed up in
Silver Spring. Sanders was assured, by Senator Mathias' office,
and the USCP, that he would be assisted in the future in any way
possible.

Further investigation has disclosed that on May 15,
Consequently, on August 22, 1986, AUSA declined prosecution based on the fact that Sanders continues to show no specific intent to harm. AUSA and SA concurred that the matter would be re-evaluated should the situation change.

Very truly yours,

Dana E. Caro
Special Agent In Charge

By:
Supervisory Special Agent