The enclosed documents were reviewed under the Freedom of Information/Privacy Acts (FOIPA), Title 5, United States Code, Section 552/552a. Below you will find check boxes under the appropriate statute headings which indicate the types of exemptions asserted to protect information which is exempt from disclosure. The appropriate exemptions are noted on the enclosed pages next to redacted information. In addition, a deleted page information sheet was inserted to indicate where pages were withheld entirely and identify which exemptions were applied. The checked exemption boxes used to withhold information are further explained in the enclosed Explanation of Exemptions.
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579 page(s) were reviewed and 318 page(s) are being released.

Please see the paragraphs below for relevant information specific to your request as well as the enclosed FBI FOIPA Addendum for standard responses applicable to all requests.

- Document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning, other Government Agency [OGA].
- This information has been referred to the OGA(s) for review and direct response to you.
- We are consulting with another agency. The FBI will correspond with you regarding this information when the consultation is completed.

Please refer to the enclosed FBI FOIPA Addendum for additional standard responses applicable to your request. “Part 1” of the Addendum includes standard responses that apply to all requests. “Part 2” includes additional standard responses that apply to all requests for records on individuals. “Part 3” includes general information about FBI records that you may find useful. Also enclosed is our Explanation of Exemptions.

For questions regarding our determinations, visit the [www.fbi.gov/foia](http://www.fbi.gov/foia) website under “Contact Us.”
The FOIPA Request Number listed above has been assigned to your request. Please use this number in all correspondence concerning your request.

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, Suite 11050, 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP’s FOIA online portal by creating an account on the following website: https://www.foiaonline.gov/foiaonline/action/public/home. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked “Freedom of Information Act Appeal.” Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

You may seek dispute resolution services by contacting the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at 877-684-6448, or by emailing ogis@nara.gov. Alternatively, you may contact the FBI’s FOIA Public Liaison by emailing foipaquestions@fbi.gov. If you submit your dispute resolution correspondence by email, the subject heading should clearly state “Dispute Resolution Services.” Please also cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so it may be easily identified.

☑️ See additional information which follows.

Sincerely,

David M. Hardy  
Section Chief  
Record/Information Dissemination Section  
Information Management Division

Enclosure(s)

The enclosed documents represent the 1st interim release of information responsive to your Freedom of Information/Privacy Acts (FOIPA) request.

As previously indicated, document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning another agency. We are consulting with the other agency and are awaiting their response. Our office has processed all other information currently in our possession. The FBI will correspond with you regarding those documents when the consultation is completed.

Pursuant to new Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations effective on May 4, 2015, the duplication cost for paper releases was reduced from $0.10 to $0.05 per page. The duplication cost for a Compact Disc (CD) remains at $15.00 per CD. You are entitled to 100 free pages which you will receive as a $5.00 credit towards your first interim CD release. As a result, we must notify you there will be a $25.00 charge when the second interim release is made in this case. At that time you will be billed for the $10.00 remaining from the $15.00 fee of the first release, as well as the $15.00 duplication fee for the second release, for a total of $25.00.
FBI FOIPA Addendum

As referenced in our letter responding to your Freedom of Information/Privacy Acts (FOIPA) request, the FBI FOIPA Addendum includes information applicable to your request. Part 1 of the Addendum includes standard responses that apply to all requests. Part 2 includes additional standard responses that apply to all requests for records on individuals. Part 3 includes general information about FBI records. For questions regarding Parts 1, 2, or 3, visit the www.fbi.gov/foia website under “Contact Us.” Previously mentioned appeal and dispute resolution services are also available at the web address.

Part 1: The standard responses below apply to all requests:

(i) 5 U.S.C. § 552(c). Congress excluded three categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA [5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010)]. FBI responses are limited to those records subject to the requirements of the FOIA. Additional information about the FBI and the FOIPA can be found on the www.fbi.gov/foia website.

(ii) National Security/Intelligence Records. The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of national security and foreign intelligence records pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), and (j)(2) as applicable to requests for records about individuals [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(1), (b)(3), and (j)(2); 50 U.S.C § 3024(i)(1)]. The mere acknowledgment of the existence or nonexistence of such records is itself a classified fact protected by FOIA exemption (b)(1) and/or would reveal intelligence sources, methods, or activities protected by exemption (b)(3) [50 USC § 3024(i)(1)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that national security or foreign intelligence records do or do not exist.

Part 2: The standard responses below apply to all requests for records on individuals:

(i) Requests for Records about any Individual—Watch Lists. The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of any individual’s name on a watch list pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) and PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(7)(E), (j)(2)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that watch list records do or do not exist.

(ii) Requests for Records for Incarcerated Individuals. The FBI can neither confirm nor deny the existence of records which could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any incarcerated individual pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(7)(E), (b)(7)(F), and PA exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. §§ 552/552a (b)(7)(E), (b)(7)(F), and (j)(2)]. This is a standard response and should not be read to indicate that such records do or do not exist.

Part 3: General Information:

(i) Record Searches. The Record/Information Dissemination Section (RIDS) searches for reasonably described records by searching those systems or locations where responsive records would reasonably be found. Most requests are satisfied by searching the Central Records System (CRS), an extensive system of records consisting of applicant, investigative, intelligence, personnel, administrative, and general files compiled and maintained by the FBI in the course of fulfilling its dual law enforcement and intelligence mission as well as the performance of agency administrative and personnel functions. The CRS spans the entire FBI organization and encompasses the records of FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ), FBI Field Offices, and FBI Legal Attaché Offices (Legats) worldwide. A CRS search includes Electronic Surveillance (ELSUR) records.

(ii) FBI Records. Founded in 1908, the FBI carries out a dual law enforcement and national security mission. As part of this dual mission, the FBI creates and maintains records on various subjects; however, the FBI does not maintain records on every person, subject, or entity.

(iii) Requests for Criminal History Records or Rap Sheets. The Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division provides Identity History Summary Checks — often referred to as a criminal history record or rap sheets. These criminal history records are not the same as material in an investigative “FBI file.” An Identity History Summary Check is a listing of information taken from fingerprint cards and documents submitted to the FBI in connection with arrests, federal employment, naturalization, or military service. For a fee, individuals can request a copy of their Identity History Summary Check. Forms and directions can be accessed at www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/identity-history-summary-checks. Additionally, requests can be submitted electronically at www.edo.cjis.gov. For additional information, please contact CJIS directly at (304) 625-5590.

(iv) The National Name Check Program (NNCP). The mission of NNCP is to analyze and report information in response to name check requests received from federal agencies, for the purpose of protecting the United States from foreign and domestic threats to national security. Please be advised that this is a service provided to other federal agencies. Private citizens cannot request a name check.
EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

(b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;

(b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;

(b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;

(b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;

(b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;

(b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

(b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;

(b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or

(b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

(d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;

(j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;

(k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;

(k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;

(k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;

(k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;

(k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;

(k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.
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I.R.S. Head's Old Firm in Bank Inq.

By NICHOLAS M. HORROCK

WASHINGTON, Oct. 26—Internal Revenue Service agents have discovered a possible link between the former law firm of Donald C. Alexander, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, and a Bahamian bank suspected of being a haven for Americans attempting to evade taxes, sources familiar with the investigation said today.

These sources said that a "reliable informant" obtained a telephone card-index from a senior official of the bank and made it available to I.R.S. intelligence agents in early 1973. The telephone list included the names of many persons who had secret numbered accounts in the bank, and were already under investigation "by the service."

The telephone index also contained the name and address of Dinsmore, Shoel, Coates & Deupree, a Cincinnati law firm in which Mr. Alexander was a senior partner before his appointment to the I.R.S. in 1973. The names in the telephone index were part of material gathered to provide leads in Operation Tradewinds and Project Haven, related I.R.S. inquiries into Americans who attempted to evade income taxes by secret foreign investments.

Mr. Alexander approved a

Continued on Page 16, Column 4
Continued from Page 1, Col. 7.

The suspension of the Tradewinds-Haven investigation last August, IRS intelligence agents have told investigators from two House subcommittees, they believe Mr. Alexander may have approved the suspension because he discovered his own law firm might be involved.

Denies He Knew Of It

A spokesman for the agency acknowledged that the firm's name had been one of 200 names and telephone numbers acquired from the index by the agents. He said Mr. Alexander did not know it was among the lead items until after the program was suspended and thus the firm's involvement did not enter into his decision making.

He said that Mr. Alexander said he had never had any dealings with a Bahamian bank on his own behalf or on behalf of any of his clients. He said Mr. Alexander did not know if partners and associates in the firm had done business with the bank.

James O. Coates, a senior partner of Dinsmore, Shohl, Coates & Deupree, said he had "no idea why" the firm's name should be on the list. He added that the IRS had never formally advised the firm that it was investigating the possible connection.

Tradewinds is a decade-old effort by Internal Revenue to trace covert movement of money from the United States to the Bahamas. In January 1973, an informant aided IRS agents by photographing a list of 300 names of persons who had secret accounts in the Castle Harbor Bank of Nassau, a Nationwide Inquiry.

This list became the basis for a nationwide investigation dubbed Project Haven, headquartered in New York. The list, however, contained no addresses. Several weeks after the first acquisition, the sources said, the same informant was able to obtain a telephone index card file from the desk of a senior official at the bank and gave it to the agency. The agents then began to match names on the list with persons who had secret accounts.

The Cincinnati law firm does not have an account in the bank, the IRS spokesman said.

However, law firms in several cities are under investigation for aiding their clients in making covert money deposits. IRS officials have confirmed.

At the time the card index was obtained, Mr. Alexander was still in private practice with the firm in Cincinnati. He specialized in "creation," or trusts and estate planning, according to lawyers familiar with his career.

He said, through a spokesman at the service, that he had had no dealings with the Castle Harbor Bank and had never arranged a trust account or other matter there for a client.

Methods Reviewed

In early 1975 or late 1974, accounts on this point differ. Mr. Alexander, ordered internal review of various intelligence-gathering methods operated by the IRS.

suspended the investigation. Mr. Alexander later approved this action.

IRS agents have told investigators from the Commerce subcommittee and from the Oversight subcommittee of the Ways and Means Committee that they believe that, in the month's interval, Mr. Alexander may have learned of his firm's possible involvement.

A spokesman for the service said, however, that Mr. Alexander did not know it until after Aug. 13. Moreover, he said on Friday, the agency has removed the Haven project from suspension and ordered the 250 items sent to the field offices for "investigation of potential tax cases!" The IRS has informed the two subcommittees of its action, he said.

The Commerce subcommittee, headed by Representative Benjamin S. Rosenthal, Democrat of Queens, is expected to hold further public hearings on the question.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date Filed</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Title)__________________________
(File No.)________________________
Enclosed for each receiving Office is one copy of a memorandum from the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, dated 10/30/75, with attachments, requesting the FBI to initiate investigation of the Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

WFO should carefully review the enclosures and, thereafter, promptly initiate appropriate investigation. In connection with Congressman Al Ullman's letter to Treasury Secretary William Simon dated 9/19/75, the IRS Oversight Subcommittee of the House Ways and Means Committee, should be contacted for any additional details he may have in this matter. WFO should, thereafter, set forth appropriate leads for other Offices so that this investigation may be completed as soon as possible.

Other receiving Offices should carefully review enclosures, completely familiarizing themselves with the available details. Any investigation by these Divisions should be held in abeyance pending receipt of further instructions from WFO.

No discussions with the U. S. Attorney are necessary in this matter. Any questions relative to

(Do not type below this line.)
Airtel to SACs, WFO, AT, CI, JK and MM
Re: Donald C. Alexander

the scope of this inquiry should be forwarded to the Bureau for discussion with the Department.

Results of investigation should be submitted to the Bureau in a form suitable for dissemination.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND ATLANTA, CINCINNATI, JACKSONVILLE, MIAMI.
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

CHANGED, DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), BRIBERY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST, OO:WFO.

TITLE CHANGED TO REFLECT SUBJECT'S FULL NAME. TITLE PREVIOUSLY CARRIED AS "DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, IRS, BRIBERY, CONFLICT OF INTEREST, OO:WFO."

REFERENCE BUREAU AIRTEL DATED NOVEMBER 5, 1975.


_ Tickler
RWH: so
(2)
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NOT BE IN WDC ON NOVEMBER 10 OR 11, 1975, AND THAT HE WAS CONTEMPLATING GOING BEFORE A FEDERAL GRAND JURY ON NOVEMBER 12, 1975, AND ASKING FOR APPROPRIATE SUBPOENAS REGARDING THIS MATTER.

ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, THIS MATTER WAS DISCUSSED WITH OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ON THE IRS, HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE, UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVE, WDC, AND HE PROVIDED BACKGROUND INFORMATION REGARDING INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE AND HE ALSO STATED THAT IN ORDER FOR THE FBI TO HAVE FULL ACCESS TO ALL INFORMATION HE HAD RELATING TO IRS TAX INVESTIGATIONS THE AGENT PERSONNEL FOR THE FBI WOULD HAVE TO RECEIVE A DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY OR CLEARANCE FROM IRS IN ORDER
FBI

Date:

Transmit the following in (Type in plaintext or code)

Via (Precedence)

TO HAVE THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION, I STATED THAT HE FELT THAT IN ORDER TO CONDUCT A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION OF THIS MATTER, AND THE CASE AGENT, WFO, CONCURS, THE FBI WILL HAVE TO RECEIVE THIS DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY BEFORE CONDUCTING INTERVIEWS OF IRS OFFICIALS AND INFORMANTS.

Approved: .................................................. Sent .......................................... M Per ........................................

Special Agent in Charge
ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, [ ] DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, WAS CONTACTED CONCERNING DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY FOR FBI AGENTS CONDUCTING THIS INVESTIGATION. [ ] ADVISED THAT HE HAD BEEN ASSURED BY [ ] IRS, WDC, THAT IRS WOULD COOPERATE FULLY WITH THE FBI IN ALL REQUESTS CONCERNING THIS INVESTIGATION. IT WAS POINTED OUT

ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, [ ] TELEPHONICALLY ADVISED CASE AGENT, WFO, THAT HE HAD DISCUSSED THIS MATTER WITH A [ ] UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY, WDC, AND [ ] WANTED TO KNOW WHO IN THE FBI WOULD BE CONDUCTING THE INVESTIGATION.
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AND WHAT IRS MATERIALS THEY WANTED TO SEE. MR. [ ] ADVISED THAT HE FELT THAT THIS MATTER COULD BE GREATLY EXPEDITED IF CASE AGENT, WFO, WERE TO CONTACT MR. [ ] AND DISCUSS THE MATTER WITH HIM.

BUREAU WAS ADVISED TELEPHONICALLY OF STATUS OF THE DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY. PERMISSION WAS RECEIVED BY WFO TO CONTACT MR. [ ] AND DISCUSS THE MATTER.

ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, MR. [ ] UNITED STATES TREASURY DEPARTMENT, WDC, WAS CONTACTED AND HE ADVISED THAT IN ORDER FOR CONFIDENTIAL TAX PAYER INFORMATION TO BE REVIEWED BY THE FBI TWO CONDITIONS MUST BE MET BEFORE AUTHORITY CAN BE GRANTED. MR. [ ] STATED THAT THE FIRST CONDITION IS THAT HE BE PRESENTED WITH A LIST OF ALL INDIVIDUALS, SPECIFICALLY AGENTS WHO WILL BE WORKING ON THIS CASE AND SECONDLY, HE WOULD LIKE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF THE SCOPE OF THE WORK INVOLVED. MR. [ ] STATED THAT IT WAS TREASURY SECRETARY SIMON'S OPINION AS WELL AS HIS OWN, AND INDIVIDUALS WITHIN IRS INTELLIGENCE THAT IN ORDER TO INVESTIGATE THE ALLEGATIONS ALREADY IN POSSESSION
PAGE SIX WFO 58-1540

OF THE FBI FULLY THAT THE FBI WILL HAVE TO OVERLAP INTO THE INVESTIGATION OF PROJECT HAVEN. MR. [REDACTED] ADVISED THAT A COMMUNICATION WILL BE FORTHCOMING SHORTLY FROM TREASURY TO THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT ASKING THE FBI TO TAKE FULL INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION OF PROJECT HAVEN.

WFO AND ALL RECEIVING OFFICES WILL TAKE NO ACTION UNTIL INSTRUCTIONS ARE RECEIVED FROM THE BUREAU AS TO THE PROPER COURSE OF ACTION.

END.
TELETYPE URGENT

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND
ATLANTA, CINCINNATI 3:20 pm ••••
JACKSONVILLE, MIAMI 3:45 pm —••••

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER,
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS); BRIBERY; COI; Orr: WFO.

REFERENCE BUREAU AIRTEL DATED NOVEMBER 5, 1975, AND WFO
TELETYPE DATED NOVEMBER 10, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 11, 1975, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH MR.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF THE TREASURY, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), CONCERNING THE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) RECEIVING DISCLOSURE
AUTHORITY IN ORDER TO RECEIVE CONFIDENTIAL TAX INFORMATION.

STATED THAT HE WOULD ATTEMPT TO GET THE FBI DISCLOSURE
AUTHORITY SO THAT ANYONE IN THE FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COULD HAVE ACCESS TO HIS INFORMATION IF IT FELL IN THE ROUTINE
COURSE OF THEIR DUTIES IN INVESTIGATING THIS MATTER OR
ADMINISTERING THIS MATTER. ADVISED THAT HE WOULD KEEP

l- Tickler

RWH: so
(2)
THE FBI AND THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE INFORMED AS TO THE STATUS OF HIS ATTEMPTS.

ON NOVEMBER 10, 1975, the Oversight Subcommittee on the IRS, House Ways and Means Committee, United States House of Representatives, WDC, provided the following supplementary background information concerning key individuals in this matter:

1) DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER: WHITE MALE, DATE OF BIRTH MAY 22, 1921, PLACE OF BIRTH PINE BLUFF, ARKANSAS, FORMER RESIDENCE 7805 BRILL ROAD, CINCINNATI, OHIO, CURRENT RESIDENCE 4100 CATHERAL AVENUE, N.W., WDC, SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT NUMBER 430-12-0819, APRIL, 1966 TO FEBRUARY, 1973, PARTNER IN CINCINNATI LAW FIRM OF DINSMORE, SHOHL, COATES, AND DUPREE.

2) AL BARKER: RESIDENCE 2260 ARCH CREEK DRIVE, NORTH MIAMI, FLORIDA, FORMERLY THOUGHT TO BE A CINCINNATI BUSINESSMAN, AND RUMORED THAT HE TRIED TO BRIBE UNITED STATES SENATOR JOHN J. SPARKMAN. SUPPOSEDLY OWNS MR. B. GREETING CARD, 3500 N.W. 52ND STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA.

3) MARVIN WARNER: RESIDENCE 5401 COLLINS AVENUE, MIAMI, FLORIDA, SUPPOSEDLY FORMER CINCINNATI BUSINESSMAN.
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4) 

5) RALPH EVINRUDE: SUPPOSEDLY THE OWNER OF EVINRUDE MARINE PRODUCTS.

6) MARK H. KROLL: CARRIAGE HOUSE, 5401 COLLINS AVENUE, MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA.

7) AMBROSE LINDHORST: CINCINNATI ATTORNEY, AND SUPPOSEDLY REPUBLICAN COUNTY CHAIRMAN FOR THE CINCINNATI AREA.

8) 

BUREAU HAS ADVISED WFO THAT A SUMMARY TELETYPE IN THIS MATTER SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE BUREAU EVERY FRIDAY ON A WEEKLY BASIS. THEREFORE, WFO REQUESTS ALL OFFICES TO PROVIDE WFO WITH A NITEL ON THURSDAY EVENING REGARDING THE RESULTS OF ALL INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED IN THE RESPECTIVE DIVISIONS DURING THE WEEK. THE BUREAU HAS ALSO ADVISED WFO THAT THIS CASE IS TO RECEIVE THE HIGHEST PRIORITY ATTENTION AND THAT ALL LEADS SHOULD BE HANDLED IN AN EXPEDITIOUS MANNER.

Approved: 

Sent 

Special Agent in Charge
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ALL RECEIVING OFFICES ARE REQUESTED TO CHECK THEIR INDICES AND TO PROVIDE WHATEVER BACKGROUND INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE REGARDING KEY INDIVIDUALS SET FORTH IN THIS TELETYPE. ALL RECEIVING OFFICES ARE TO CONDUCT NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION AT THIS POINT UNTIL THE FBI RECEIVES PROPER DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY FROM THE IRS. AT THE TIME THIS DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY IS RECEIVED LEADS WILL BE FORTHCOMING FROM WFO.

END.
NR026 MM CODE
SENT 2:11 AM NITEL NOVEMBER 20, 1975 FOR PM NITEL NOVEMBER 19, 1975 SAK

TO DIRECTOR
WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)
FROM MIAMI (58-486)
DONALD CIRCHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BIRBERY; COI.

OCC: WASHINGTON FIELD.

REBUTEL TO WASHINGTON FIELD DATED NOVEMBER 14, 1975, AND
WASHINGTON FIELD'S TELETYPES TO BUREAU DATED NOVEMBER 12, 13, 17, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 14, 7975 IRS SPECIAL AGENT [REDACTED] ADVISED
DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY NECESSARY BEFORE HE AND SA [REDACTED]
COULD SUBMIT TO INTERVIEW. DISCLOSURE AUTHORIZATION RECEIVED
BY [REDACTED] LATE NOVEMBER 17, 1975. SA [REDACTED] INTERVIEWED NOVEMBER 18,
1975. HE DECLINED TO REVEAL IDENTITY OF INFORMANT UNTIL HE COULD
CONTACT INFORMANT, WHICH HAD BEEN ATTEMPTING TO DO SINCE FIRST

END PAGE ONE
IRS investigated Ralph End page three

Investigation at Jensen Beach, Florida, revealed that Ralph

End page three
EVINRUDE, OWNER OF YACHT CHANTECLEER, AND HIS WIFE ARE IN HONG KONG AND ARE EXPECTED TO RETURN EARLY NEXT WEEK. YACHT CAPTAIN DECLINED TO FURNISH INFORMATION WITHOUT PERMISSION OF EVINRUDE, ADDING THAT REPORTERS, INCLUDING TWO FROM WASHINGTON BUREAU OF LOS ANGELES TIMES, HAD BEEN ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT INSTANT MATTER. EVINRUDE’S SECRETARY ASSURED THAT FULL COOPERATION WOULD BE GIVEN UPON RETURN OF EVINRUDE.

Dockmaster at Jensen Beach advised his records show arrival of Chanteleer April 22, 1975, but do not show departure and he does not recall departure. Denies previous interview by IRS and unable to recall who the charter boat captain in port at the same time was.

Epicurean Market determined to be Epicure Market, Miami Beach. Investigation continuing Miami and Jensen Beach.

END

FBI WFO AEP CLR
TO DIRECTOR

WFO (58-1540)

FROM ATLANTA (5S-352) (P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA. BRIBERY; COI. 00:WFO.

RE WFO TEL, NOVEMBER 12, 1975.

NAMES OF INDIVIDUALS IN REFERENCED TELETYPES SEARCHED
ATLANTA INDICES AND NO RECORD EXISTS WITH THE EXCEPTION
OF THE NAME OF SUBJECT ALEXANDER. IN MARCH, 1973, RANDOLPH
THORGER LOCAL ATLANTA ATTORNEY AND FORMER COMMISSIONER OF
THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, WAS INTERVIEWED AS A REFERENCE
CONCERNING SPECIAL INQUIRY CONDUCTED REGARDING ALEXANDER.

ATLANTA TAKING NO ACTION UNTIL INSTRUCTIONS ARE
RECEIVED FROM THE BUREAU IN THIS CASE.

END

HOLD FOR ONE

WMC W/ FBI FOR ONE
Washington, D. C. 20535
November 12, 1975

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER,
Also Known As
Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service
BRIbery; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

On November 11, 1975, a discussion was held with
United States
Department of the Treasury, Washington, D. C., concerning
the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) receiving disclosure
authority in order to receive confidential tax information.

stated that he would attempt to get the FBI disclosure
authority so that anyone in the FBI or Department of Justice
could have access to this information if it fell in the routine
course of their duties in investigating this matter or
administering this matter. advised that
he would keep the FBI and the Department of Justice
informed as to the status of his attempts.

This document contains neither
recommendations nor conclusions of
the FBI. It is the property of the
FBI and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be
distributed outside your agency.

4- Bureau
2- WPO (58-1540)

RWH: so
(6)
AI:TEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka
Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service
BRIBERY; COI
(CC:WFO)

Enclosed for the Bureau are four copies of a self-explanatory LHM.

2 Bureau (Enc. 4)
2 WFO

RWH: so
(4)
Washington, D. C. 20535
November 12, 1975

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER,
Also Known As
Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service,
BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

On November 7, 1975, this matter was discussed with Attorney, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C. (WDC), who advised he would be handling this matter as Attorney, Department of Justice, WDC, was leaving the Department of Justice. Advised that he knew very little about the case due to the fact that he, too, had just received it.

On November 10, 1975, this matter was discussed with Oversight Subcommittee on the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), House Ways and Means Committee, United States House of Representatives, WDC, and he provided background information regarding individuals involved in this case and he also stated that in order for the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to have full access to all information he had relating to IRS tax investigations

4- Bureau
1- WFO (58-1540)

RWH: so
(5)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER

the Agent personnel for the FBI would have to receive a
disclosure authority or clearance from IRS in order to
have this type of information. stated that he felt that in order to conduct a thorough investigation of
this matter, and the case Agent, WFO, concurs, the FBI will
have to receive this disclosure authority before conducting
interviews of IRS officials and informants. stated

On November 10, 1975, Oversight Subcommittee on the IRS, House Ways and Means
Committee, United States House of Representatives, WDC, provided the following supplementary background information concerning key individuals in this matter:

1) Donald Crichton Alexander: White male, date of birth May 22, 1921, place of birth Pine Bluff, Arkansas, former residence 7805 Brill Road, Cincinnati, Ohio, current residence 4100 Cathedral Avenue, N.W., WDC, Social Security Account Number 430-12-0819, April, 1966 to February, 1973, partner in Cincinnati law firm of Dinsmore, Shohl, Coates, and Dupree.

2) Al Barker: Residence 2260 Arch Creek Drive, North Miami, Florida, formerly thought to be a Cincinnati businessman, and rumored that he tried to bribe United States Senator John J. Sparkman. Supposedly owns Mr. B. Greeting Card, 3500 N.W. 52nd Street, Miami, Florida.
DONALD CRITCHTON ALEXANDER

3) Marvin Warner: Residence 5401 Collins Avenue, Miami, Florida, supposedly former Cincinnati businessman.

5) Ralph Evinrude: Supposedly the owner of Evinrude Marine Products.

6) Mark H. Kroll: Carriage House, 5401 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida.

7) Ambrose Lindhorst: Cincinnati attorney, and supposedly Republican County Chairman for the Cincinnati area.

On November 10, 1975, [ ] Departmental Attorney, was contacted concerning disclosure authority for FBI Agents conducting this investigation. [ ] advised that he had been assured by [ ] IRS, WDC, that IRS would cooperate fully with the FBI in all requests concerning this investigation. It was pointed out [ ]

On November 10, 1975, [ ] telephonically advised case Agent, WFO, that he had discussed this matter with a [ ] United States Department of Treasury, WDC, and [ ] wanted to know who in the FBI would be conducting the investigation and what IRS materials they wanted to see. [ ] advised...
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER

that he felt that this matter could be greatly expedited if case Agent, WFO, were to contact and discuss the matter with him.

FBI Headquarters was advised telephonically of status of the disclosure authority. Permission was received by WFO to contact and discuss the matter.

On November 10, 1975, United States Treasury Department, WDC, was contacted and he advised that in order for confidential tax payer information to be reviewed by the FBI two conditions must be met before authority can be granted. stated that the first condition is that he be presented with a list of all individuals, specifically Agents who will be working on this case and secondly, he would like a brief statement of the scope of the work involved.

On November 11, 1975, a discussion was held with United States Department of the Treasury, WDC, concerning the FBI receiving disclosure authority in order to receive confidential tax information. stated that he would attempt to get FBI disclosure authority so that anyone in the FBI or Department of Justice could have access to this information if it fell in the routine course of their duties in investigating this matter or administering this matter. advised that he would keep the FBI and the Department of Justice informed as to the status of his attempts.

On November 12, 1975, Departmental Attorney, was contacted and he advised that the Deputy Attorney General was in the process of discussing this matter with United States Attorney Earl J. Silbert, WDC, as to the best method of handling this matter. Therefore, his Grand Jury authority in the District of Columbia was being held up. again advised that
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER

that he would be out of the WDC area on November 13 and 14, 1975. Stated that he would now be unable to obtain Grand Jury authority in the District of Columbia until the week of November 17-21, 1975, therefore, no subpoenas on this matter would be forthcoming until this time.

Case Agent, WFO, provided with background information concerning the FBI receiving disclosure authority from the IRS concerning this investigation. Case Agent, WFO, emphasized to the importance of the FBI in receiving this disclosure authority as no active investigation could be logically initiated until it was received. Advised he would assume responsibility for negotiations with concerning the FBI receiving disclosure authority so that they could actively investigate this matter.

On November 12, 1975, Departmental Attorney, United States Department of Justice, WDC, telephonically advised that he had a meeting scheduled for 9:30 a.m. on November 17, 1975, with the Acting Chief of the IRS, Disclosure Unit and that hopefully this problem can be solved at this time so the investigation can proceed.

requested that the case Agent, WFO, prepare a list of subpoenas, on official memoranda, needed by the FBI in order to start their investigation of this matter. The following is that list. The first nine items are telephone toll records.
The FBI is conducting no further investigation regarding this matter until receipt of information from the Department of Justice as to the status of disclosure authority.
AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka Donald C. Alexander, Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service
BRIBERY; COI (00:WFO)

Enclosed for the Bureau are four copies of an LHM reflecting summary of investigation conducted by WFO to date.
FBI

Date: NOVEMBER 13, 1975

Transmit the following in CODE (Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND MIAMI OFFICE

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(F)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, IRS, BRIBERY; COI, OO:WFO.

REFERENCE WFO TELETYPE DATED NOVEMBER 12, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 13, 1975, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), AND HE ADVISED THAT

LEADS. MIAMI. AT MIAMI, FLORIDA. WILL IMMEDIATELY CONTACT INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI, FLORIDA, AND INTERVIEW HIM REGARDING COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER. WILL, DURING

1- Tickler

RWH: so (2)

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent: Houston
TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING IN __________________________________________ (Type in plaintext or code)

VIA ______________________________________________________________________ (Precedence)

PAGE TWO WFO 58-1540

INTERVIEW, ASCERTAIN IDENTITY OF □ CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT AND THEREAFTER ARRANGE FOR THE INTERVIEW OF THE INFORMANT. DURING INTERVIEW OF INFORMATION WILL ASCERTAIN IF THE INFORMANT WOULD BE WILLING TO TESTIFY IN COURT TO HIS KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER. WILL INTERVIEW ____________________________________________________________________________ INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, REGARDING HIS COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF CAPTIONED MATTER.

END.

Approved: __________________________________________ Sent ________________ M Per ____________________ Special Agent in Charge
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND ATLANTA, CINCINNATI, JACKSONVILLE, MIAMI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, IRS, BRIBERY, COI, OO:WFO.

REFERENCE WFO TELETYPING DATED NOVEMBER 12, 1975.

THE FOLLOWING IS A LIST OF LEADS SET OUT BY THIS OFFICE THAT ARE CONTEMPLATED IN THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE. AS SOON AS THE FBI GETS FULL DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY FROM THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), A COMMUNICATION WILL BE FORTHCOMING ASKING THAT THESE LEADS BE CONDUCTED.

LEADS. MIAMI. 1) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW MARK H. KROLL, CARRIAGE HOUSE, 5401 COLLINS AVENUE, MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

2) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW AL BARKER, 2260 ARCH CREEK DRIVE, NORTH MIAMI, FLORIDA, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

1- Tickler
RWH:so (2)
PAGE TWO WFO 58-1540.

3) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW MARVIN WARNER, 5401 COLLINS AVENUE, MIAMI, FLORIDA, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

4) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW _______ MIAMI, FLORIDA, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

5) WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW RALPH EVINRUDE, EVINRUDE MARINE PRODUCTS, REGARDING THIS MATTER.

6) WILL, AT JENSEN'S BEACH, FLORIDA, INTERVIEW _______ REGARDING ANY INFORMATION HE HAS OF A YACHT TRIP BY THE YACHT CHANTICLEER ON THE WEEKEND OF APRIL 26, 1975.

7) WILL LOCATE _______ OF THE YACHT CHANTICLEER AND WILL INTERVIEW HIM REGARDING THE YACHT TRIP PROPOSED ON APRIL 26, 1975, AND ATTEMPT TO ASCERTAIN COMPLETE LIST OF PASSENGERS AND ALL OTHER INFORMATION HE HAS REGARDING THAT TRIP, INCLUDING WHY THAT TRIP WAS CANCELLED.

8) WILL ATTEMPT TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW ALL MEMBERS OF THE YACHT CHANTICLEER'S CREW REGARDING YACHT TRIP ON APRIL 26, 1975.

9) WILL INTERVIEW OWNERS OF THE EPICUREAN MARKET REGARDING CATERING THE YACHT TRIP ON APRIL 26, 1975, AND WILL ASCERTAIN
IF THE EPICUREAN MARKET HAS RECORDS REGARDING CATERING THAT TRIP.

10) WILL INTERVIEW G.T. REGISTER, JR., CHIEF, INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, REGARDING HIS KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

11) WILL INTERVIEW IG&R GROUP, INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, REGARDING ALL INFORMATION SHE HAS CONCERNING THIS MATTER.

12) WILL INTERVIEW INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, REGARDING HIS COMPLETE INFORMATION OF THIS MATTER.

JACKSONVILLE. WILL INTERVIEW JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA, REGARDING HIS COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

CINCINNATI. WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW AMBROSE LINDHORST REGARDING HIS COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

ATLANTA IS BEING FURNISHED A COPY IN THAT IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT LEADS WILL BE FORTHCOMING IN THE ATLANTA DIVISION.

END.
TO: SAC, WFO (58-1540)
FROM: SAC, JACKSONVILLE (50-123) (P) IP

DONALD CRIGHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER,
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS); EMERY; COI; CO: WFO.

RE UTTEL NOVEMBER 12, 1975.

JACKSONVILLE INDICES NEGATIVE ON ALL NAMES MENTIONED IN

RETEL.

END

WUC OF FBI CLR

7 Prong

58-1540-68

[Signature]

November 12
NR015 WA PLAIN
2:00 PM MITEL 11-14-75 JAC
TO WASHINGTON FIELD (59-1549)
ATLANTA
CINCINNATI
JACKSONVILLE
MIAMI
FROM DIRECTOR
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS, BOIRFY;
COI, CO: WFO

PENFORTH DATED NOVEMBER 13, 1975.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF EACH RECEIVING OFFICE, THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAS REQUESTED THAT THIS MATTER BE RESOLVED AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE DATE.

THIS CASE IS RECEIVING EXTENSIVE PRESS COVERAGE NATIONALLY AND IS BEING CLOSELY FOLLOWED BY THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.

WFO HAS BEEN INSTRUCTED TO SUBMIT A SUMMARY TELETYPE EACH FRIDAY; THEREFORE, EACH OFFICE SHOULD SUBMIT A SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED EACH WEEK TO REACH WFO ON TIME.
NR014 MM PLAIN

5:51PM NITEL NOVEMBER 14, 1975 SAK
TO WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1549)
    CINCINNATI (58-259)
FROM MIAMI (53-486)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA COMMISSIONER, IRS BRIBERY;
COI CO: WASHINGTON FIELD

RE WASHINGTON FIELD TELETYPE TO BUREAU, NOVEMBER 12, 1975
AND CINCINNATI TELETYPE TO WASHINGTON FIELD, NOVEMBER 13, 1975,
AND MIAMI TELEPHONE CALL TO WASHINGTON FIELD, NOVEMBER 13, 1975.

REVIEW OF TELEPHONE AND CITY DIRECTORIES AND MIAMI FILES
REFLECTED FOLLOWING INFO RE INDIVIDUALS IN MIAMI AREA REFERRED
TO IN RE WASHINGTON FIELD TELETYPE:

MISTER B GREETING CARD CO., 3500 N.W. 52 ST., MIAMI, FLA.,
ALVIN BARKER, PRESIDENT, HAS TELEPHONE 633-1556. MIAMI INDICES
NEGATIVE THIS COMPANY.

ALVIN V. BARKER,
NORTH MIAMI, FLA., HAS TELEPHONE ___________. MIAMI INDICES
NEGATIVE.

WITH RESPECT TO ___________. PUBLIC
SOURCES INDICATE NO SUCH ADDRESS. THERE IS A NORTH BAY RD.
MIAMI BEACH, BUT DIRECTORIES FAIL TO SHOW ANY RESIDENCES IN 6230 BLOCK. NO LISTING FOR A

MIAMI INDICES NEGATIVE AS TO

MARVIN WARNER (RETIRED) 5491 COLLINS AVE., APT. 144, MIAMI BEACH, FLA., HAS TELEPHONE 864-6650. MIAMI FILE 161-546, 00 CINCINNATI, REFLECTS MARVIN LEON WARNER, BORN JUNE 3, 1919, PRESIDENT, MARVIN WARNER CO., "ABASH CONSOLIDATED CORP., CINCINNATI, OHIO, FORMER RESIDENCE 1071 CELESTIAL ST., CINCINNATI, OHIO, WAS PARTNER OF

MARK H. KROLL, CARRIAGE HOUSE, 5491 COLLINS AVE., MIAMI BEACH, FLA., HAS UNLISTED TELEPHONE, NUMBER OF WHICH HAS NOT YET BEEN ASCERTAINED. DIRECTORIES INDICATE ONE

MIAMI BEACH, FLA., TELEPHONE MIAMI FILE INDICATED MARK H. KROLL, AT END OF 1966, OPERATED STATE FIRE AND CASUALTY CO. AND NORTH AMERICAN GUARANTEE CO. WAS CONVICTED IN AMERICAN BOND CASE REFERRED TO IN RE CINCINNATI TELETEYPE.

CURRENT ADDRESS UNKNOWN, LAST REFERRED TO IN MIAMI FILES IN 1967. THIS INDIVIDUAL APPARENTLY IDENTICAL
RE RALPH EVINRUDE, MIAMI FILES REFLECT P ALPH EVINRUDE, CHAIRMAN OF BOARD, EVINRUDE OUTBOARD MOTOR CO., LAST KNOWN RESIDENCE 945 S. INDIAN RIVER DR., JENSEN BEACH, FLA. HIS WIFE, MRS. FRANCES LANGFORD EVINRUDE, OPERATES OUTRIGGER RESORTS, JENSEN BEACH.

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO PROTECT RECORDS WITH RESPECT TO TELEPHONES OF ABOVE INDIVIDUALS PENDING RECEIPT OF SUBPOENA.

END.
Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT (Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPEx

NITEx (Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY; COI; OO: WFO.

REFERENCE CINCINNATI TELETYPEx TO WFO DATED NOVEMBER 14, 1975.

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED TO WFO VIA REFERENCED CINCINNATI TELETYPEx.


1- Tickler

RWH: so

(2)

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
PAGE TWO WFO 58-1540

ALTHOUGH SEVERAL PERSONS INTERVIEWED STATED THAT HE WAS EXTREMELY HARD TO GET ALONG WITH.

ONE OF THE REFERENCES INTERVIEWED IN [ ] WAS WITH KANTER CORPORATION, CINCINNATI, OHIO.

REVIEW OF LATEST CINCINNATI DIRECTORIES SHOW THAT [ ] (PROBABLY IDENTICAL WITH [ ]) IS THE [ ] OF THE KANTER CORPORATION, INC., LOCATED AT 650 NORTHLAND ROAD, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 513-851-6000. HOME ADDRESS IS [ ] CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER [ ] CAPTIONED MARVIN LEON WARNER REPORTS THAT AND WARNER [ ] AND WARNER HAVE BEEN FRIENDS FOR YEARS.
MARVIN LEON WARNER IS PRESENTLY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE HOME STATE SAVINGS ASSOCIATION, CINCINNATI, OHIO. WARNER HAS AN OFFICE AT 601 MAIN STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 513-721-3400. WARNER RESIDES AT HIGHLAND TOWERS, 1071 CELESTIAL STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 513-381-6010 AND 3577 BRADBURY ROAD, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 513-752-3735. WARNER IS A WHITE MALE, DATE OF BIRTH JUNE 8, 1919, PLACE OF BIRTH BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA, HEIGHT FIVE FEET TEN INCHES, WEIGHT 175 POUNDS. IN 1967, WARNER WAS DIVORCED FROM HIS WIFE, __________. WARNER'S ATTORNEY REPRESENTING HIM IN THE DIVORCE SUIT WAS AMBROSE H. LINDHORST. WARNER RECENTLY APPOINTED UNITED NATIONS DELEGATE-AT-LARGE BY PRESIDENT GERALD FORD.

MARK H. KROLL AND __________ AND OTHERS (SAME CASE)

EXAMINATION OF PUBLIC SOURCE DOCUMENTS INDICATED KROLL NO LONGER RESIDES IN CINCINNATI, OHIO. TELEPHONE DIRECTORY SHOWS
Transmit the following in (Type in plaintext or code)

Via (Priority)

PAGE FOUR WFO 58-1540

THAT A RESIDES AT CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER CINCINNATI UNABLE, AT THIS POINT, TO DETERMINE IF IDENTICAL TO KROLL'S FRIEND.

AMBROSE J. LINDHORST, ATTORNEY AT LAW, RESIDES AT 1044 ROOKWOOD DRIVE, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 513-871-1185. LINDHORST'S LAW FIRM, LINDHORST AND DREIDAME, AMERICAN BUILDING, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE NUMBER 513-421-6630.

REQUEST OF THE BUREAU. THE BUREAU IS REQUESTED TO CHECK BUREAU INDICES AND FURNISH PERTINENT BACKGROUND INFORMATION TO WFO REGARDING ALEXANDER, WARNER, KROLL, AND LINDHORST.

END.
TO ATLANTA
  JACKSONVILLE
  MIAMI
  WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)
FROM CINCINNATI (58-259) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER,
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS); BRIBERY; COI; 00: WFO.
RE WFO TEL TO DIRECTOR NOVEMBER 12, 1975.
REVIEW OF CI FILE 161-1416, CAPTIONED DONALD CRICHTON
ALEXANDER SHOWS THAT ALEXANDER AND HIS WIFE MARGARET ALEXANDER
RESIDED AT 1122 BOOMWOOD, CINCINNATI, OHIO IN MAY, 1973. FROM
JULY, 1948 TO JULY, 1954, ALEXANDER WAS A MEMBER OF WASHINGTON, D.C.
LAW FIRM OF COVINGTON AND BURLING; FROM JULY, 1954 THROUGH
APRIL, 1966 A PARTNER WITH THE LAW FIRM OF TAFT, STETTINIUS
AND HOLLISTER; FROM APRIL, 1966 UNTIL MAY, 1973 A PARTNER WITH
THE LAW FIRM OF DINSMOFT, SHOUL, COATES AND DEUPPE. ALEXANDER
HAS TWO DAUGHTERS AND ROBERT C. ALEXANDER. ALEXANDER HIGHLY
RECOMMENDED ALTHOUGH SEVERAL PERSONS INTERVIEWED STATED THAT HE WAS
EXTREMELY HARD TO GET ALONG WITH.
ONE OF THE REFERENCES INTERVIEWED IN

WAS WITH KANTER CORPORATION, CINCINNATI, OHIO.

REVIEW OF LATEST CINCINNATI DIRECTORIES SHOW THAT

PROBABLY IDENTICAL WITH IS THE

OF THE KANTER CORPORATION, INC., LOCATED

AT 650 NORTHLAND RD., CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE 513-951-6000

HOME ADDRESS IS CINCINNATI, OHIO

TELEPHONE CAPTIONED MARVIN LEON

WARNER REPORTS THAT AND WARNER OWNED THE CONSTRUCTION FIRM

OF CINCINNATI, OHIO.

AND WARNER HAVE BEEN FRIENDS FOR YEARS.

MARVIN LEON WARNER IS PRESENTLY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE HOME

STATE SAVING ASSOCIATION, CINCINNATI, OHIO. WARNER HAS AN OFFICE

AT 671 MAIN STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE 513-721-3493.
WARNER RESIDES AT HIGHLAND TOWERS, 1471 CELESTIAL STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE 513-381-6010 AND 3577 BRADBURY RD., CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE 513-752-3735. WARNER IS A M/M, DOB JUNE 8, 1919, POB BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA, HEIGHT 5' 10", WEIGHT 175 LBS. IN 1967 WARNER WAS DIVORCED FROM HIS WIFE, . WARNER'S ATTORNEY REPRESENTING HIM IN THE DIVORCE SUIT WAS AMBROSE H. LINDHORST. WARNER RECENTLY APPOINTED UNITED NATIONS DELEGATE-AT-LARGE BY PRESIDENT GERALD FORD.

EXAMINATION OF PUBLIC SURCE DOCUMENTS INDICATED KROLL NO LONGER RESIDES IN CINCINNATI, OHIO. TELEPHONE DIRECTORY SHOWS THAT A RESIDES AT , CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE CINCINNATI UNABLE, AT THIS POINT, TO DETERMINE IF IDENTICAL TO KROLL'S FRIEND.

AMBROSE H. LINDHORST, ATTORNEY AT LAW, RESIDES AT 1044 ROOKWOOD DRIVE, CINCINNATI, OHIO, TELEPHONE 513-371-1135. LINDHORST'S LAW FIRM, LINDHORST AND DREIDAME, AMERICAN BLDG., CINCINNATI, OHIO,
CINCINNATI WILL CONTACT TELEPHONE COMPANY TO DETERMINE IF TOLL RECORDS STILL EXIST FOR TELEPHONE NUMBERS SET FORTH ABOVE FOR THE MONTHS MARCH, APRIL AND MAY OF 1975.

END
12:45 AM MITEL NOVEMBER 15, 1975 SENT FOR MITEL NOVEMBER 14, 1975 SAK TO DIRECTOR

WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)
FROM MIAMI (58-486)
DONALD CROCKETT ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BPIBERY, COI.
CO: "WASHINGTON FIELD.

RE: WASHINGTON FIELD TELTYPE TO BUREAU AND MIAMI DATED NOVEMBER 13, 1975, INSTRUCTING IMMEDIATE INTERVIEWS OF [Redacted], SPECIAL AGENTS, INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, IRS, MIAMI, AND IDENTIFICATION AND INTERVIEW OF THEIR INFORMANT IN INSTANT MATTER.

SPECIAL AGENT [Redacted] WAS CONTACTED NOVEMBER 14, 1975, FOR INTERVIEW AND WAS APPRISED THAT INTERVIEW WAS ALSO DESIRED WITH SA [Redacted] AND WITH INFORMANT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. [Redacted], AFTER CONSULTING WITH SUPERIORS, INFORMED THEIR REGULATIONS PROHIBIT THEIR SUBMITTING TO INTERVIEW UNTIL RECEIPT OF INFORMATION FROM THEIR DISCLOSURE OFFICE THAT DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY HAS BEEN GRANTED.

[Redacted] WAS INFORMED THAT STEPS ARE UNDER WAY IN WASHINGTON FOR DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY. ARRANGEMENTS WERE MADE FOR RECONTACT WITH [Redacted] ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1975.

END

PLS HOLD TKS
FROM MIAMI (59-1541)

FROM JACKSONVILLE (59-193) P

DONALD CRIGHTON ALXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BRIGHTY

CC: CC: JACKSONVILLE.


JACKSONVILLE HAS RECEIVED INFORMATION THAT S.T. (TROY) REGISTRANT, J.P., NUMBER 19 IN REFERENCED NITEL, HAS REIGNED FROM IRS AND IS CURRENTLY PRESIDENT, PROFESSIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., 2951 S. BAYSHORE DRIVE, CS 11, MIAMI, FLA., TELEPHONE 305-442-6153.

ABOVE FOR INFORMATION RECEIVING OFFICER IN EVENT INTERVIEW WITH REGISTRANT IS REQUESTED AT FUTURE DATE.

END

6/27/75 11:13 AM

QID OF FBI TH FOR OUR CLR

54-1500-20

Checked

Nov 10 5 22 PM

Approved
Date: NOVEMBER 17, 1975

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND AGENTS CINCINNATI, CLEVELAND, COLUMBUS, CINCINNATI 5586, GER; JACKSONVILLE, MIAMI 602-SPAM

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI; OO:WFO.

REFERENCE WFO NITEL DATED NOVEMBER 13, 1975.

ON NOVEMBER 17, 1975, A CONFERENCE WAS HELD IN OFFICE, DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), CONCERNING THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) RECEIVING DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY IN THIS MATTER.

DISCLOSURE UNIT, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), WDC, ADVISED THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF THE IRS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEYS AND THE FBI AGENTS ASSISTING THEM ARE ENTITLED TO ACCESS TO TAX INFORMATION IN CONNECTION WITH THEIR CURRENT REVIEW OF CERTAIN

1- Tickler

RWH: so (2)

Approved: ____________________________  Sent: ____________________________

Special Agent in Charge  Per
PAGE TWO WFO 58-1540.

ALLEGATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE AGAINST THE COMMISSIONER OF THE IRS. ADVISED THAT THE FBI AND THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WOULD RECEIVE FULL COOPERATION AS TO ANY RECORDS OR DOCUMENTS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE IRS AND THAT ANY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE AGENTS IN THAT REGARD. ADVISED THAT IF THE LOCATION OF ANY TAX DOCUMENTS NEEDED TO BE ASCERTAINED HIS OFFICE WOULD BE AT THE FBI'S DISPOSAL. IN ADDITION, IT WAS ASCERTAINED THAT ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUCH AS NAMES OF EMPLOYEES NEEDED TO BE CONTACTED IN THE COURSE OF THIS INVESTIGATION COULD BE OBTAINED THROUGH IRS, WDC, AND HE ADVISED THAT HE WOULD BE AVAILABLE AT ALL TIMES UNTIL THE COMPLETION OF THIS INVESTIGATION.

ALL OFFICES ARE TO PROCEED WITH LEADS AS SET FORTH IN REFERENCED NITEL. IN ADDITION, CINCINNATI WILL DETERMINE ALL INDIVIDUALS CONNECTED WITH AND INTERVIEW THOSE INDIVIDUALS REGARDING THEIR COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF THIS MATTER.

END.

Approved: _______________________________ Sent __________________________ M Per ____________________

Special Agent in Charge
AIRTEL

TO: SAC, CINCINNATI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRITCHTON ALEXANDER, aka Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service,
BRIBERY; COI
(00:WFO)

Re WFO teletype dated 11/17/75.

Enclosed for Cincinnati and Miami are subpoenas directed to Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company, 225 E. 4th Street, Cincinnati, Ohio, and Southern Bell Telephone Company, 36 N.E. 2nd Street, Miami, Florida, respectively.

Departmental Attorney advised that the telephone companies should be advised that these subpoenas can be complied with by turning over the records to a representative of the FBI. However, if that does not seem reasonable, can be contacted at the U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D. C., telephone number

2- Cincinnati (Enc. 1)(RM)
2- Miami (Enc. 1)(RM)
1- WFO
WFO 58-1540

LEADS

CINCINNATI

AT CINCINNATI, OHIO. Will serve appropriate subpoena and supply WFO with return of service and appropriate records.

MIAMI

AT MIAMI, FLORIDA. Will serve appropriate subpoena and supply WFO with return of service and appropriate records.
P&G Connection
Cleared In Probe

Enquirer Washington Bureau

WASHINGTON—The Justice Department has closed its investigation of allegations that Internal Revenue Service Commissioner Donald Alexander gave improper legal advice to the Procter & Gamble Co. while he was practicing law in Cincinnati.

But “certain allegations” have been referred to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) by the department, spokesman Robert Stevenson said Tuesday.

Stevenson said the allegations in no way involve the “P&G Caper.”

Alexander has denied any wrongdoing and recently wrote Chairman Al Ullman of the House Ways and Means Committee asking for an investigation to clear his name.

Stevenson would not reveal which allegations were referred to the FBI for further investigation.

Alexander has denied the charge. P&G

Enquirer Washington Bureau

WASHINGTON—The Justice Department has closed its investigation of allegations that Internal Revenue Service Commissioner Donald Alexander gave improper legal advice to the Procter & Gamble Co. while he was practicing law in Cincinnati.

But “certain allegations” have been referred to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) by the department, spokesman Robert Stevenson said Tuesday.

Stevenson said the allegations in no way involve the “P&G Caper.”

Alexander has denied any wrongdoing and recently wrote Chairman Al Ullman of the House Ways and Means Committee asking for an investigation to clear his name.

Stevenson would not reveal which allegations were referred to the FBI for further investigation.

Alexander has denied the charge. P&G
NR 011 CI PLAIN
9 45 PM NITEL 11/19/75 GEB
TO DIRECTOR
WFO (58-1540)
FROM CINCINNATI (58-259) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA., DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI;
00: WFO.

RE: WFO NITEL TO BUREAU AND OTHER OFFICES, NOVEMBER 17, 1975.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), CINCINNATI, OHIO, WAS CONTACTED ON NOVEMBER 17, 1975, AND STATED HE WOULD COOPERATE COMPLETELY WITH FBI IN THIS INVESTIGATION.

END

WWC WF FBI CLR
Date: NOVEMBER 19, 1975

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND CINCINNATI, AND JACKSONVILLE
MIAMI.

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI;

OO: WFO.

REFERENCE BUREAU AIRTEL DATED NOVEMBER 5, 1975, AND WFO
NITEL DATED NOVEMBER 17, 1975.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC), WAS
INTERVIEWED ON NOVEMBER 17, AND 18, 1975. ADVISED THAT

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent: M-Per

St Aff File

Nov 19 8:20
Transmit the following in __________

(Date: ____________)

(Via ____________)

(Type in plaintext or code)

b7C PER IRS
b7D PER IRS
b7E PER IRS
b7F PER IRS

PAGE TWO WFO 58-1540.

Approved: ____________________ Sent ___________ M Per ___________

Special Agent in Charge
ON NOVEMBER 19, 1975, DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, WDC, TELEPHONICALLY ADVISED WFO THAT

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per
Transmit the following in: 

(Type in plaintext or code) 

Via: 

(Precedence) 

PAGE FOUR WFO 58-1540.
INVESTIGATION CONTINUING AT WFO.

END.

Approved: ____________________________  Sent: ____________________________  M  Per: ____________________________

Special Agent in Charge
11/19/75

AIRTEL

TO: SAC, MIAMI (58-486)
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRIGHTON ALEXANDER, aka
Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner
Internal Revenue Service
BRIBERY; COI
(00:WFO)

Re Bureau airtel to WFO 11/5/75, WFO teletype
dated 11/10/75, WFO teletype dated 11/12/75, WFO nitels
dated 11/13/75, Bureau nitel dated 11/14/75, Miami nitel
dated 11/14/75, Cincinnati nitel dated 11/14/75, Jacksonville
nitel dated 11/17/75, WFO nitel dated 11/17/75, and WFO
airtel dated 11/18/75.

Enclosed for Miami are copies of original
informant memoranda concerning

informant . Informant memoranda beli
to Miami for their investigative assistance in

2- Miami (Enc. 6)
WFO

RWH: so
(3)
Total Deleted Page(s) = 25
Page 44 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 45 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 46 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 47 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 48 ~ Referral/Consult;
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Page 50 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 51 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 52 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 53 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 54 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 55 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 56 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 57 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 58 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 59 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 60 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 61 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 62 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 63 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 64 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 65 ~ Referral/Consult;
Page 66 ~ Referral/Consult;
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Page 78 ~ Referral/Consult;
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Page 81 ~ Referral/Consult;
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AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  (Attn: COMPUTER SYSTEMS DIVISION, DATA PROCESSING SECTION, SA)

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka
Donald G. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service
BRIBERY; COI
(00:WFO)

Re WFO telephone call to SA
11/20/75.

Enclosed for the Computer Systems Division are
toll records relating to DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER. The
Computer Systems Division is requested to perform a
telephone analysis of the enclosed records per referenced
telephone call. Additional records are expected to be
submitted in the future.
NR 005 CI PLAIN
90 5PM NITEL 11/20/75 GEB

TO DIRECTOR

MIAMI (58-486)

WFO (58-1540)

FROM CINCINNATI (58-259) (P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA., DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI; 00: WFO.

RE CINCINNATI NITEL TO BUREAU, AND WFO, NOVEMBER 19, 1975.

EFFORTS TO LOCATE FOR INTERVIEW DETERMINED

IS RESIDING IN MIAMI, FLORIDA, AND CAN BE REACHED
AT MIAMI, TELEPHONE NUMBER

MARVIN WARNER UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW TODAY. APPOINTMENT
MADE TO INTERVIEW WARNER ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 1975, IN
CINCINNATI, OHIO.

MIAMI, IF NOT ALREADY DONE, INTERVIEW REGARDING
THIS MATTER.

WFO OBTAIN SUBPOENAS FOR TELEPHONE RECORDS OF AMBROSE
LINDHORST, MARVIN WARNER, AND

END.
TO: SAC, WFO (58-1540) (P)                        DATE: 11/20/75
FROM: SA PERRY SPEEVACK

SUBJECT: DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY - CONFLICT OF INTEREST

On 11/19/75, an individual, who indicated that he desired to remain anonymous but wanted to furnish the FBI the following information indicated that he was in a position to possess same, telephonically contacted this writer. Caller advised that he assumed that this writer was in a position to provide the following information to the appropriate person(s):

JOHN OLSZEWSKI and hiswere Directors underwho was anat the IRS under captioned subject. was one of seven assistant commissioners. His responsibility included Audit, Appellat and Intelligence.

OLSZEWSKI was apparently forced out of his position by captioned subject. OLSZEWSKI is described as a "Law and Order" man who attempted to maintain the integrity of the Intelligence Organization. Subject apparently removed investigative techniques from the Intelligence. OLSZEWSKI allegedly is very bitter toward subject as a result of above and in a position to provide assistance in an investigation regarding captioned subject.

(□) WFO

PS: smd

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
is allegedly unhappy with subject also but may not be as bitter as OLSZEWSKI. Both are retired in the Washington, D.C. area.

who now , who now

at IRS Headquarters, was a revenue agent in the region covering New Mexico (western or southwestern) and was assigned to audit of U.S. Senator JOSEPH M. MONTOYA when the was

Allegedly, instructions to terminate the audit emanated from Washington, D.C.

IRS Organization is as follows:

Commissioner of Internal Revenue
Deputy Commissioner
Seven Regional Commissioners
Districts

It is noted that this information is being reported for possible lead purposes in that the reliability of this caller is not known, and the information does not appear to be first-hand knowledge.
Internal Revenue Service

Commissioner

To: ____________________________ Date: 9/18/75

President
The ______________ Corporation

Donald C. Alexander
Constitutionalist Asks Ethics Code

By Rudolph A. Pyatt Jr.
Washington Star Staff Writer

A National code of ethics should be adopted by the business community, the chief executive of one of the country's largest real estate development corporations suggests.

"I think if we are to judge businesses, 'we ought to have a standard on which to judge them," Joseph H. Kanter, said in a recent interview.

Kanter is vice president and chief executive of the Kanter Corp., developer of new communities. He holds similar positions with ITI Corp., a real estate and construction company, and with the boards of at least seven savings and loan and investment banking companies.

Kanter, at one time, was listed as controlling assets of more than $3 billion.

HE DESCRIBES himself as a "self-made businessman" who has "the deepest personal devotion to the Constitution." He is a practicing lawyer and a member of the New Jersey Bar.

Kanter says: "I basically am a constitutionalist." He believes in the Constitution, which, according to him, guarantees a "healthy, entrepreneurial atmosphere.

Since this country was founded, he feels, it is in the interest of business leaders and corporate executives to participate in the establishment of a more alert, conscious society.

"We have an obligation to do this," says Kanter.

AT THE SAME TIME, he thinks it would be in the interest of businessmen to establish and abide by a code of ethics. He sees this as an extension of "being good citizens."

"I'd like to see a code of ethics for business, sponsored, supported, voluntarily regulated by business, the same way as professionals in medicine, law and accounting operate," Kanter said.

Businessmen, he continued, have a code of ethics in varying degrees. However, Kanter added, "I think it has to be formalized, more specifically spelled out, broadened, so that business spells out its social awareness and responsibility."

Kanter contends that if Americans expect elected officials to comply with certain standards of conduct, businessmen should also be required to comply.

KANTER CITED recent revelations of what he termed "questionable practices by multinational corporations as justification for a code of ethics." As a business executive, he believes the business community has a responsibility to make a profit, and he also believes in a profit-and-loss statement or the balance sheet.

He expressed a similar viewpoint in remarks prepared for today's observance of Citizenship Day sponsored by the National Conference on Citizenship.

Kanter is president of the National Conference, which Congress chartered in 1953 as a private, nonprofit organization to establish and contribute to the education of a more alert, conscious society.

Ceremonies at the National Archives today will mark the beginning of Constitution Week, which the National Conference on Citizenship is sponsoring. A highlight of the week will be the public display of the original four pages of the Constitution.

THE NATIONAL Conference also will host a reception today in the Rayburn House Office Building. Members of Congress have been invited to sign a replica scroll of the Constitution and to rededicate themselves to its principles.

Kanter has served on the Conference Board for the last three years. He is as well known for his civic and philanthropic work as for his career as a businessman. He is 52 years old.

He says he works (as) "strongly independence-minded citizen," and the "fundamentals that made this country what it is."

Graduated from Ohio State University, Kanter says he has been able to devote much of his time to civic and charitable causes because he has developed a strong belief in "serving the public interest." He is the Ohio-based "corporations and subsidiaries."
MARK H. KROLL ON NOVEMBER 20, 1975, FURNISHED SWORN SIGNED STATEMENT. SAID HIS ONLY RECALLED CONTACT WITH DONALD C. ALEXANDER WAS IN 1958 IN OFFICE OF HIS (PHONETIC) IN CINCINNATI.

HIS RELATIONSHIP WITH AMBROSE LINDHORST WAS PRIMARILY CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP. LINDHORST REPRESENTED HIM IN SEVERAL CIVIL SUITS IN PAST BUT HAS NO INVOLVEMENT IN HIS PRESENT TAX LIABILITY CASE. TAX CASE IS HANDLED BY CLEVELAND ATTORNEYS ASSOCIATED WITH , PRIMARILY AND A . THE ONLY PROPOSALS FOR POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT OF TAX LIABILITIES HAVE BEEN ADVANCED BY IRS. NO ONE HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO INTERCEDE IN TAX CASE THROUGH USE OF PERSONAL FRIENDSHIPS OR POLITICAL CONTACTS. KROLL DOES NOT KNOW IF ALEXANDER AND LINDHORST ARE...
Known to one another, since he has never seen them together or heard them refer to each other. Kroll said he has never been on Chanticleer, has never chartered it or had it made available to him for cruise. Denied plans to take Alexander on cruise together with [ ], Marvin Warner, [ ], in April 1975.

Kroll was not questioned regarding

Alvin Barker was interviewed November 20, 1975, and stated he was never invited on fishing trip weekend of April 26, 1975. Stated in April 1975 he was in Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas for three weeks. Barker denied knowing Donald Alexander.

Q [ ] Interviewed November 20, 1975 and furnished sworn signed statement stating he was not invited for trip on Chanticleer weekend of April 26, 1975. Stated he was not aware of
TRIP BEING PLANNED. STATED DONALD ALEXANDER WAS ATTORNEY FOR FOR SEVENTEEN YEARS.
STATED HE HAS MET MARK KROLL, BUT DOES NOT RECALL ANY CONVERSATION WITH HIM DURING 1975.

MARVIN WARNER NOT LOCATED MIAMI. RENTAL AGENT CARRIAGE HOUSE, MIAMI BEACH, STATED WARNER RARELY COMES TO USE THE APARTMENT, BUT LETS HIS FRIENDS USE APARTMENT. NO RECORD LOCATED TO INDICATE MAID SERVICE WAS STARTED FOR WEEKEND OF APRIL 26, 1975. WARNER RESIDES AT HILAND TOWERS, 1071 CELESTIAL STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO EMPLOYED AT 601 MAIN STREET, CINCINNATI, TELEPHONE 513-721-3400.

INTERVIEW OF PERSONNEL AND REVIEW OF RECORDS OF EPICURE MARKET, MIAMI BEACH, FOR PERIOD APRIL 18 THROUGH 30, 1975 FAILED TO DISCLOSE ANY SALE TO YACHT CHANTICLEER OR TO EVINRUDE OR TO KROLL.

G.T. REGISTER, FORMER CHIEF, INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, IRS, MIAMI, ADVISED HE IS ON STANDBY FOR FEDERAL GRAND JURY TESTIMONY AND WILL MAKE APPOINTMENT FOR INTERVIEW AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, PROBABLY NOVEMBER 21, 1975.

ALL LEADS SET BY OO FOR MIAMI HAVE BEEN COVERED EXCEPT INTERVIEWS OF REGISTER, RALPH EVINRUDE AND CAPTAIN AND CREW OF CHANTICLEER.

END PAGE THREE
PAGE FOUR (MM 58-486)

LEAD AT CINCINNATI, OHIO:

LOCATE AND INTERVIEW MARVIN WARNER.

END

PLES HOLD TWO MORE TKS
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; COI; 00: WFO.

INVESTIGATION BY CINCINNATI DISCLOSED THAT MARVIN WARNER WAS UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW ON NOVEMBER 20, 1975. APPOINTMENT MADE TO INTERVIEW WARNER ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 1975, IN CINCINNATI, OHIO.

INVESTIGATION BY MIAMI DISCLOSED THE FOLLOWING:

MARK H. KROLL, ON NOVEMBER 20, 1975, FURNISHED SWORN SIGNED STATEMENT. SAID HIS ONLY RECALLED CONTACT WITH DONALD C. ALEXANDER WAS IN 1958 IN OFFICE OF (PHONETIC) IN CINCINNATI. RETAINED ALEXANDER TO ADVISE HIM ON TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PROPERTY SETTLEMENT INCIDENTAL TO THE DIVORCE. HIS RELATIONSHIP...
PAGE TWO WFO 58-1540.

WITH AMBROSE LINDHORST WAS PRIMARILY CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP. LINDHORST REPRESENTED HIM IN SEVERAL CIVIL SUITS IN PAST BUT HAS NO INVOLVEMENT IN HIS PRESENT TAX LIABILITY CASE. TAX CASE IS HANDLED BY CLEVELAND ATTORNEYS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ONLY PROPOSALS FOR POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT OF TAX LIABILITIES HAVE BEEN ADVANCED BY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS). NO ONE HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO INTERCEDE IN TAX CASE THROUGH USE OF PERSONAL FRIENDSHIPS OR POLITICAL CONTACTS. KROLL DOES NOT KNOW IF ALEXANDER AND LINDHORST ARE KNOWN TO ONE ANOTHER, SINCE HE HAS NEVER SEEN THEM TOGETHER OR HEARD THEM REFER TO EACH OTHER.

KROLL SAID HE HAS NEVER BEEN ON CHANTICLEER, HAS NEVER CHARTERED IT OR HAD IT MADE AVAILABLE TO HIM FOR CRUISE. DENIED PLANS TO TAKE ALEXANDER ON CRUISE TOGETHER WITH MARVIN WARNER, IN APRIL, 1975.

KROLL WAS NOT QUESTIONED REGARDING

INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY WFO ON NOVEMBER 19, 1975, DISCLOSED THE FOLLOWING:

IRS, WDC, PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING:
PAGE FOUR WFO 58-1540.

1. APPOINTMENT CALENDAR PAGES AND TELEPHONE LOGS FROM THE TIME SUBJECT CAME TO IRS.

2. ORIGINAL TRAVEL VOUCHERS FROM THE TIME SUBJECT CAME TO IRS.

3. A LIST OF ALL CURRENT AND FORMER EMPLOYEES IN THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE FROM THE TIME SUBJECT CAME TO IRS.

ADvised that subject accrues neither annual or sick leave in his position and he takes time off from the job as required and as the situation permits.

On November 20, 1975, provided personal telephone toll records received from the subject covering the period June, 1973 through October, 1975, and also provided a print out of some office telephone calls for the period of August, 1973 through October, 1975.

A review of the calendar pages and telephone logs has been instituted by WFO at this time. A compilation of telephone records provided by Alexander is now being instituted by the computer systems division of the bureau. A printout is expected shortly and leads will be set forth immediately concerning these telephone records.
PAGE FIVE WFO 58-1540.

JAMES QUINN, CHIEF, INVESTIGATIONS BRANCH, INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION, INSPECTION SERVICE, IRS, WASHINGTON, D.C., WAS INTERVIEWED ON NOVEMBER 21, 1975, AND ADVISED

END.

Approved: ___________________________ Sent ___________________________ M Per ___________________________

Special Agent in Charge
Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, 10th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 3014 provided Special Agent [Name] with the following items:

1. Personal telephone records of DONALD C. ALEXANDER, Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service, from June, 1973 to present.

2. Explanation of DONALD C. ALEXANDER's office telephone system and supporting records of long-distance calls from Commissioner's office.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
NR011 JK PLAIN
12:10AM SENT 11-22-75 NITEL 11/21/75 HER
TO WFO (58-1540)
FROM JACKSONVILLE (58-123) P
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS,
BRIbery, COI, 00J.

RE WFO Teletype TO BUREAU, NOV. 12, 1975.

JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT, IRS, FURNISHED SUBSTANTIALLY SAME INFORMATION SET FORTH IN REPORT BY IRS INSPECTORS CONCERNING ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SUBJECT. A REPORT CONTAINING THE INTERVIEWS AND ENCLOSING COPIES OF DOCUMENTS FOR WFO AND MIAMI PREPARED.

END

CJD WF FBI TKS FOR TWO AND CLR

58-1540-33

Nov 22 12 25...
Date: NOVEMBER 25, 1975

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPe NITEL

(Precedence)

TO: SAC, MIAMI (58-486) SAC

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRITCHON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF
INTEREST, OO: WFO.

REFERENCE WFO NITEL TO MIAMI DATED NOVEMBER 19, 1975.

IN REFERENCED NITEL IT WAS POINTED OUT THAT

1- Tickler

RWH: so

(2)
INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY WFO REVEALS THIS MEMORANDUM WHICH CONTAINED THE INFORMATION COULD HAVE COME FROM TWO SOURCES:

1) INTELLIGENCE

DIVISION, MIAMI POST OF DUTY, OR

2) MIAMI POST OF DUTY

MIAMI WILL INTERVIEW BOTH INDIVIDUALS REGARDING COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF INVESTIGATION NOW BEING CONDUCTED AND WILL ASCERTAIN WHERE THE INFORMATION CAME FROM REGARDING

END.
TO: SAC, CINCINNATI (58-259)
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST, OO:WFO.

ON NOVEMBER 24, 1975, DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, WASHINGTON, D.C., ADVISED THAT CINCINNATI

WILL DISCONTINUE THE INTERVIEW OF AMBROSE LINDHORST UNTIL FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS ARE RECEIVED FROM WFO.

END.

1- Tickler

RWH: so (2)

Approved: NFSI
Special Agent in Charge
TO DIRECTOR

WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)

CINCINNATI (58-259)

FROM MIAMI (58-486)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BRIBERY; COI.

RE MIAMI TELETYPING TO BUREAU DATED NOVEMBER 20, 1975, WASHINGTON FIELD AIRTEL TO CINCINNATI DATED NOVEMBER 18, 1975, WASHINGTON FIELD TELETYPE TO MIAMI DATED NOVEMBER 25, 1975.

SUBPOENA forwarded with RE WASHINGTON FIELD AIRTEL OF NOVEMBER 18, 1975, was served on

RALPH EVINRUDE, OWNER, YACHT CHANTICLEER, INTERVIEWED NOVEMBER 25, 1975. CAPTAIN AND ENGINEER OF CHANTICLEER ALSO INTERVIEWED.

ALL THREE DENY ANY CHARTER OR ANY NEGOTIATIONS TO CHARTER OR USE CHANTICLEER ON PART OF KROLL OR OTHER INDIVIDUALS THIS MATTER.

EVINRUDE SAYS HAS NEVER CHARTERED VESSEL; THAT ONLY HE AND/OR HIS PERSONAL GUESTS EVER USED VESSEL. CHANTICLEER ARRIVED JENSEN BEACH APRIL 22, 1975 AFTER MEXICO CRUISE AND REMAINED IN DOCK UNTIL LEFT APRIL 29, 1975 ENROUTE JONES BOAT YARD, MIAMI. NO PREPARATIONS, WHATSOEVER, WERE MADE AT JENSEN BEACH FOR ANY USE OF CHANTICLEER BY ANY FISHING PARTY. ONLY ACTIVITY ABOARD DURING THAT PERIOD AFTER ARRIVAL AT JENSEN BEACH WAS CLEANUP AFTER MEXICO TRIP; ALSO, SOME OF CREW LIVE ABOARD.

END PAGE ONE
G.T. REGISTER, FORMER CHIEF, IRS INTELLIGENCE, MIAMI, INTER-
VIEWED UNDER OATH NOVEMBER 24, 1975. HE ADVISED

IRS GROUP MANAGER, IRS INTELLIGENCE, MIAMI, UNAVAILABLE UNTIL
MONDAY, DECEMBER 1, 1975.

END

JKM FBI WFO ACK FOR ONE HOLD
NR 008 CI PLAIN
1:25AM NITEL KOD
TO WFO
FROM CINCINNATI (58-259) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA., DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER,
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST.
OO: WFO.

RE WFO NITEL TO CINCINNATI, NOVEMBER 25, 1975.

MARVIN L. WARNER WAS INTERVIEWED ON NOVEMBER 24, 1975,
AT CINCINNATI, OHIO. WARNER STATED THAT HE KNOWS NOTHING ABOUT
A "FISHING TRIP" TO THE BAHAMAS FROM MIAMI, FLORIDA ON WEEKEND
OF APRIL 25-27, 1975, WITH DONALD C. ALEXANDER, AMBROSE
LINDHORST, MARK KROLL, AND AL BARKER. WARNER
STATED THAT SUCH A TRIP WAS NEVER DISCUSSED, PLANNED OR
CONTEMPLATED. WARNER STATED HE LAST SAW KROLL IN MIAMI
SEVERAL YEARS AGO. WARNER HAS NOT TALKED TO ALEXANDER SINCE HE
BECAME COMMISSIONER OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. WARNER
IS NOT AWARE OF ANY ATTEMPT BY KROLL TO HAVE KROLL'S TAX
LIABILITY REDUCED THROUGH ALEXANDER OR ANYONE ELSE.

ON NOVEMBER 21, 1975, INVESTIGATIONS IRS, CINCINNATI, OHIO, STATED THAT

5-8-1540-37
CINCINNATI HOLDING IN ABYANCE INTERVIEW OF LINDHORST.

AIRMAIL COPY SENT MIAMI.

END

FBI WFO AEP CLR
Clerk, Administrative Section, Commissioner's Office, Internal Revenue Service, telephone 964-4017, residence , telephone was made aware of the nature of the inquiry and thereafter provided the following information:

 has been a clerk with the Internal Revenue Service for the past 23 years, and could provide no information regarding allegations made against the Commissioner nor regarding the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN

Interviewed on 11/26/75

by

Date dictated 11/26/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
File Clerk, Administrative Section, Commissioner's Office, Internal Revenue Service, telephone 964-4017, residence , telephone was made aware of the nature of the inquiry and thereafter furnished the following information:

She has held her present position for the past two years and her duties are to file copies of correspondence and publications generated to or from the Commissioner's Office. could provide no information regarding any allegations brought against the Commissioner. She could provide no information regarding the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN

11/26/75 Washington, D.C. 58-1540-39

Interviewed on File #

by

Date dictated 11/26/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Commissioner's Office, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), residence [ ], Silver Spring, Maryland, telephone [ ], was made aware of the nature of the inquiry and thereafter provided the following information:

Advised she has held her present position since 1958. Advised that in her duties, she is responsible for receiving and recording the Commissioner's mail, as well as handling the routine administrative matters of the Commissioner's Office. The only information she could provide regarding any allegations against the Commissioner were letters that come in addressed to him, which either praise or condone him for his actions.

Could provide no information regarding the following individuals or that the Commissioner was planning a yacht trip to the Bahamas in April, 1975:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
She has held her present position since July, 1975, and prior to that time was employed as a secretary in the Audit Division of the IRS. The only information she could provide regarding the present investigation being conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) was the fact that she typed the list of present and former employees in the Commissioner's office, which was provided by [redacted] the knowledge of the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN
She has held her present position since August, 1971, and emphatically denied receiving any information regarding allegations made against Commissioner ALEXANDER. She denied having knowledge of the names MARK KROLL, AMBROSE LINDHORST, MARVIN WARNER, AL BARKER, or RICHARD GERSTEIN.
Division Internal Revenue Service, telephone residence, Alexandria, Virginia, telephone, advised that she was the secretary to. She held that position from February, 1974 until June, 1975, when she was transferred to her present position.

could provide no information regarding a planned yacht trip by the Commissioner in April of 1975, nor could she provide any information or knowledge of the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Tax Law Specialist, Disclosure Staff, Internal Revenue Service, Room 1702, telephone [redacted], residence [redacted], Clinton, Maryland, telephone [redacted], advised that she has held her present position since December, 1973, and prior to that time was the [redacted] in the outer reception area from August, 1972 until December, 1973.

She could provide no information regarding a yacht trip that may have been planned by Commissioner ALEXANDER during April, 1975, nor did she have knowledge of the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Maryland, telephone [redacted], was interviewed and advised that she was the [redacted] to BURKE WILLSEY from December, 1973 until WILLSEY left in September, 1975. She emphasized that WILLSEY was definitely Commissioner ALEXANDER's right-hand man and was more of a personal assistant to the Commissioner. There were three assistants to Commissioner ALEXANDER, although there was no question that WILLSEY was the closest to him. WILLSEY presently is with a Los Angeles, California law firm of Musick, Peeler, and Garrett, located at North Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, telephone 213-269-3322.

She could provide no information regarding a yacht trip that may have been planned by Commissioner ALEXANDER during April, 1975, nor could she provide any information or possess knowledge of the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN
Internal Revenue Service. Room 3026, telephone residence was interviewed and advised that she has held her present position since December, 1973. Prior to that time, she was the to Commissioner ALEXANDER for approximately four or five months. As the Commissioner's she did little dictation because the Commissioner dictated most of his correspondence on dicto-belts and these were typed by secretaries in the outer office. Her main duties consisted of handling his appointments and sorting correspondence.

She advised the Commissioner, while she was his never planned any yachting trips in the southeastern portion of the United States; in fact, the only vacations the Commissioner would take were to Cape Cod or back to his home in Cincinnati. could provide no information or had no knowledge of the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN

She advised that trip folders were kept on each trip the Commissioner took, which included visits to the various regional offices and speaking engagements. These trips were always coordinated with the Office of Public Affairs. A copy of the Commissioner's expense vouchers for a particular trip were always filed in the trip folder.

11/28/75 Washington, D.C. 58-1540-46

Interviewed on by SA aih

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Division, Room 1013, telephone [redacted], residence [redacted]. She has been in her present position since November, 1974. From June, 1974 until September, 1974, she was the [redacted] to BURKE WILLSEY. She advised that Commissioner ALEXANDER relied more on Mr. WILLSEY than anyone else on his immediate staff. [redacted] considered Mr. WILLSEY as being the Commissioner's "personal" assistant.

[redacted] could provide no information regarding a yacht trip planned by the Commissioner in April, 1975, nor has she ever heard of the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN

Interviewed on 11/28/75 at Washington, D.C. by SA [redacted] Date dictated 11/28/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Audit Division, Internal Revenue Service, Room 2501, telephone residence, Annandale, Virginia, telephone was made aware of the nature of the inquiry and at that time furnished the following information:

has held his present position since June, 1975, and prior to that time was WILLIAM WILLIAMS from May, 1974 until June, 1975. 

advised that BURKE WILLSEY was the closest to Commissioner ALEXANDER, and could be considered his aide-de-camp and right-hand man. BILL WILLIAMS would also have to be considered very close to the Commissioner; however, WILLSEY was closer.

could provide no information regarding a possible yacht trip planned by Commissioner ALEXANDER during April, 1975, and this interview was the first he had heard of such a trip. also advised that he had no knowledge of the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARVIN WARNER
AL BARKER
RICHARD GERSTEIN

emphasized that if a yacht trip had been mentioned in which the Commissioner was going to be in attendance, he would have remembered because the Commissioner is very adamant against lavish spending.
Transmit the following in _____________ CODE
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TO: DIRECTOR, FBI AND MIAMI (58-486) 255

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST; OO: WFO.


WFO IS NOT RESTATING INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED WEEK OF NOVEMBER 24-28, 1975 BY MIAMI DIVISION AS BUREAU ALREADY HAS THE INFORMATION IN REFERENCED NITEL.

INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY CINCINNATI:

MARVIN L. WARNER WAS INTERVIEWED ON NOVEMBER 24, 1975, AT CINCINNATI, OHIO. WARNER STATED THAT HE KNOWS NOTHING ABOUT A "FISHING TRIP" TO THE BAHAMAS FROM MIAMI, FLORIDA, ON WEEKEND OF APRIL 25-27, 1975, WITH DONALD C. ALEXANDER, AMBROSE LINDHORST, MARK KROLL, AND AL BARKER. WARNER STATED THAT SUCH A TRIP WAS NEVER DISCUSSED, PLANNED OR CONTEMPLATED. WARNER STATED HE LAST SAW KROLL IN MIAMI SEVERAL TIMES AND...
YEARS AGO, WARNER HAS NOT TALKED TO ALEXANDER SINCE HE BECAME COMMISSIONER OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. WARNER IS NOT AWARE OF ANY ATTEMPT BY KROLL TO HAVE KROLL'S TAX LIABILITY REDUCED THROUGH ALEXANDER OR ANYONE ELSE.

ON NOVEMBER 21, 1975, INVESTIGATIONS, IRS, CINCINNATI, OHIO, STATED THAT INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY WFO:

NUMEROUS INDIVIDUALS CURRENTLY ON THE SUBJECT'S STAFF AND CLERICAL EMPLOYEES WHO WORK IN SUBJECT'S OFFICE WERE

Approved: ___________________________ Sent _______ M Per ________________

Special Agent in Charge
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INTERVIEWED CONCERNING THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF THE PROMINENT INDIVIDUALS' NAMES REGARDING THIS MATTER AND ANY KNOWLEDGE THEY MAY HAVE HAD CONCERNING THE YACHT TRIP. ALL WERE NEGATIVE.

WILLIAM E. WILLIAMS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, IRS, WAS INTERVIEWED ON NOVEMBER 25, 1975,
Transmit the following in (Type in plaintext or code)

Via (Precedence)

---

ON NOVEMBER 26, 1975, IRS, WASHINGTON, D. C., ADVISED

Approved: __________________________ Sent ______________ M Per__________

Special Agent in Charge
ON NOVEMBER 26, 1975, [Departmental Attorney, Lead Miami, Miami, Florida], advised that [Departamental Attorney, Lead Miami, Miami, Florida] will contact [OR at above mentioned address and obtain copies of all documents regarding].

END.
Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Department of the Treasury, 10th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 3014, provided Special Agent RICHARD W. HOUSTON with the following items:

1. Calendar pages and telephone logs from the time Commissioner ALEXANDER came to IRS.

2. Memo from IRS regarding Commissioner's time and attendance. Memo states in part that the Commissioner accrues neither annual or sick leave, but takes time off as the situation permits.

3. Original travel vouchers from the time Commissioner Alexander came to IRS.

4. Listing of current and former employees in the Commissioner's office from the time Commissioner Alexander came to IRS.

Interviewed on 11/19/75 at Washington, D.C. by SA RICHARD W. HOUSTON. File # WFO 58-1540.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Jacksonville District, Internal Revenue Service, Jacksonville, Florida, was advised of the identities of the interviewing agents and the fact that an investigation was being conducted concerning allegations against Commissioner DONALD ALEXANDER of the Internal Revenue Service. He was advised of his rights and was furnished an Interrogation; Advice of Rights form which he executed. He was further advised that his statements would be under oath and the oath was administered to him.

advised that the first information that he received concerning allegations against Commissioner ALEXANDER occurred during a meeting that he attended in Jacksonville during the latter part of April, 1975. This meeting occurred at approximately 3:15 p.m. and was attended by

for Jacksonville; G. T. REGISTER, Chief of the Intelligence Division for the Jacksonville District; and himself. The purpose of this meeting was to discuss an allegation furnished to Special Agent in the Miami Office by a confidential informant. stated that as best he could recall, this information concerned the

In a subsequent discussion, stated that he believed

The confidential informant had also furnished information which indicated that Commissioner ALEXANDER would be

names not recalled to

for the weekend. recalled that the discussion in this meeting was more or less a planning session on what to do with this information.
stated that the final decision of the group was that the information should be shared with the Regional Commissioner in Atlanta and the Inspection Division. The discussion took in the activities of and the informant and the information was re-evaluated to make the best decision possible.

said that he does not know positively but feels that TROY REGISTER originally got in touch with Office and then called in Jacksonville. At any rate, furnished the information from the informant to stated that he has no personal knowledge of the conversations by other persons and that he had no conversations with other persons concerning this matter. His only involvement consisted of briefings by the Intelligence personnel.

advised in the way of background he has been in Jacksonville since January of 1974 and prior to that he was in the Milwaukee District from October, 1972, to January, 1974, and in private industry prior to that date.
Florida, telephone was contacted at his office, Room 736, 400 West Bay Street, Jacksonville, where he is employed as Internal Revenue Service, telephone.

Special Agent advised that the agents desired to discuss with him all knowledge he possessed concerning allegations against Internal Revenue Commissioner DONALD CRITCHTON ALEXANDER. said he would furnish all information in his possession concerning the matter and that he had already furnished information pertaining to the matter to Inspector JAMES QUINN, Internal Revenue Service.

said that he had been advised through channels that the Federal Bureau of Investigation was granted full disclosure authority in conducting its investigation concerning allegations against Mr. ALEXANDER.

Special Agent made available to a Form 395 captioned "Interrogation; Advice of Rights" which he read and stated he fully understood and then signed the waiver, was then placed under oath by Special Agent and furnished the following information:

related that he had been the of Internal Revenue in Jacksonville since September 1, 1974, after having served as Greensboro, North Carolina, from June, 1973, to August 31, 1974. He has been employed by Internal Revenue Service for 25 years.

From December, 1974, through May, 1974, G. TROY REGISTER, JR., was Chief of Intelligence Division, Jacksonville District, however, he has since retired. During the aforementioned period of time Special Agent and Special Agent were employed in the Intelligence Division, Jacksonville District, with their duty station at Miami, Florida. He said he was aware in late 1974 and continuing to the present time that
Special Agent had a confidential source who furnished him valuable information on a number of different individuals. It was his understanding that this informant furnished information to when was not available.

said that in late December, 1974, or early January, 1975, he received information from TROY REGISTER that Special Agent while contacting informant received information from the informant who mentioned Commissioner ALEXANDER's name. The information as recalls was that

ALEXANDER and was Commissioner ALEXANDER's said that at the time this information was related to him it did not suggest any wrongdoing on the part of Commissioner ALEXANDER. said he prepared no memorandum concerning the mentioning of Commissioner ALEXANDER's name but does recall that there was a written record made of Agent contact with his source and further he recalls that in January, 1975, he had his prepare a transmittal memo to the District Director, Internal Revenue Service, Cincinnati, Ohio, forwarding to the Cincinnati Office information which Special Agent received from his informant. The reason for this transmittal of information to Cincinnati was because the information related to a MARK H. KROLL from whom the Cincinnati District Office was attempting to collect a large tax judgment.

said at that time he had no written record of the information furnished to him in January, however, later during the interview when he obtained some documents he then recalled more concerning the information received in January, 1975. He said that as best he can now recall the thrust of the information was as follows:

MARK H. KROLL had been paroled from Atlanta Federal Penitentiary and he claimed that he was instrumental in obtaining attorney AMBROSE LINDHORST, Cincinnati, Ohio, to assist in
He said he particularly remembers that the informant's information was to the effect that he additionally recalled that he obtained information from the informant that MARK H. KROLL was claiming that he was seeking through attorney AMBROSE LINDHORST to

In response to questions concerning the allegations that Commissioner ALEXANDER was to meet with certain individuals in Miami, Florida; for a weekend fishing trip, advised as follows:

said that the first information he recalls concerning this matter occurred around the latter part of April, 1975. On that particular date TROY REGISTER and his from the Miami Office had debriefed a confidential informant which indicated that Commissioner ALEXANDER Generally the informant's information indicated that MARK KROLL was

recalled that he had a meeting in Jacksonville with TROY REGISTER and his to discuss this matter. During the discussion he ascertained that REGISTER had instituted investigation into this matter by setting up surveillances on the craft that was to be used for the weekend party and made arrangements to use an agent's boat and a camper. said that he felt that REGISTER had overextended his jurisdiction in this matter and felt that the information should be passed to the Regional Office in Atlanta, Georgia.

recalled that he had spoken with in Atlanta by phone. He said that he could not recall whether he initiated the call to or whether had called him on it. He digressed to state at this point that the normal procedure for funneling this information to the region would have been transmitted to Atlanta. could not recall that he personally talked to concerning this matter. In any event in discussing this matter with it was determined that the information should be furnished to
The eventual outcome of the discussion was that this matter would be handled by the Inspection Division and in this respect the Florida District was directed to assign agents to the Inspection Division for this investigation. Also stated that he received no official information concerning this investigation but did have some feedback through the agents from his division.

stated that he had no memorandum or other files maintained by him concerning the allegations against Commissioner ALEXANDER. The only memorandum emanating from his office was the letter dated January 21, 1975, to the District Director, Cincinnati, Ohio, concerning the information previously discussed on KROLL. caused the records of the Intelligence Division to be searched and eventually the following described memoranda were located:

A memorandum from Special Agent dated April 14, 1975, concerning a contact with a confidential informant.

A memorandum from Special Agent dated April 14, 1975, concerning a contact with the same confidential informant.

A memorandum dated May 7, 1975, to Southeast Region, Atlanta, Georgia, from G. T. REGISTER, Chief, Intelligence Division, Jacksonville District. Enclosed with this memorandum was a memo to the file of Special Agent dated April 21, 1975, and seven xeroxed pages of a handwritten chronological work sheet covering investigation from April 21, 1975, to May 6, 1975, by Special Agent. Also enclosed were eight photographs of the pleasure craft Chanticler.

Copies of these communications were requested for this investigation. stated that he would obtain permission for the release of the information and would turn them over to Bureau Agents upon deletion of information that
would tend to identify the confidential informant obtained therein.

said he does not know of any other memoranda or documents which were prepared by employees within the Jacksonville District Office other than the ones enumerated above. He pointed out that TROY REGISTER, former Chief of Intelligence Division, is now retired and that he, was not completely familiar with the recording keeping within the Intelligence Division. He said that Mr. REGISTER's on leave that day and it might be possible that when she returns she might have knowledge of any other memorandum concerning the allegations which could have been prepared.

did he had no other information or knowledge concerning the allegations against Commissioner ALEXANDER other than what he has enumerated above. He then advised that he did not know or recall ever having met any of the following persons:

AL BARKER

MARVIN LEON WARNER

MARK H. KROLL

AMBROSE LINDHORST

he reiterated that to his recollection he had not discussed the Commissioner ALEXANDER affair with any persons other than TROY REGISTER and Special Agent Special Agent and Internal Revenue Service Inspectors JAMES QUINN and
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Nine copies of FD-302 with

Nine copies of FD-302 with

Letter dated 11/20/75, to SA's

Jacksonville Division, from

District Director, IRS,

Jacksonville, transmitting the following:
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Enclosed herewith for Miami is one copy of each of the above described enclosures.

According to Bureau instructions received in referenced WFO telephone call, no report being submitted.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Office of Congressman STEVEN SYMMS (R-Idaho), Room 1410, Longworth Building, telephone number blank, residence blank, Alexandria, Virginia, telephone number blank, was made aware of the nature of the inquiry and thereafter provided the following information:

She was blank to Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) from March to May, 1973; blank to BURKE WILLSEY; Assistant to Commissioner ALEXANDER from May to September, 1973; and from September, 1973 to November, 1975, blank to the Commissioner.

She advised WILLSEY thought the Commissioner was a tyrant and had a Napoleon Complex, however, she believes WILLSEY would protect ALEXANDER. WILLSEY and blank were the closest to ALEXANDER, however, later ALEXANDER turned more toward WILLSEY as he and blank had a falling out. blank would make comments behind the Commissioner's back which should never have been said. For example, blank stated on one occasion to blank and in front of blank another Administrative Aide, "I just can't believe Mr. ALEXANDER, he's been shredding up information that he shouldn't be destroying."

Blank told WILLSEY of blank comment and he could not believe she would say such a thing and said that blank should not be making statements like that.

Blank advised there was one safe in blank office and one in ALEXANDER's office where all the confidential reports were kept regarding Watergate, Nixon's tax return, and
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other sensitive matters. The Commissioner, WILLSEY, and ______ were the only individuals having access to the safes and knowledge of the combinations.

____ could provide no information regarding a possible yacht trip planned by ALEXANDER nor did the names LINDHORST, KROLL or GERSTEIN mean anything to her.
Disclosure

Staff, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Room 1613, telephone number [_] residence [__], Arlington, Virginia, telephone number [__] was made aware of the nature of the inquiry and thereafter provided the following information:

She was [__] from June, 1968 to November, 1973. Commissioner DONALD ALEXANDER and BURKE WILLSEY both arrived at IRS in May, 1973. WILLSEY was the closest to the Commissioner. Prior to becoming Commissioner, ALEXANDER was on the Tax Advisory Group to Commissioner WALTERS.

[___] could provide no information regarding a possible yacht trip planned by ALEXANDER nor had knowledge of the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
RICHARD GERSTEIN
MARVIN WARNER
ALVIN BARKER

Interviewed on 12/1/75 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 58-1540-5

by ___________ Date dictated 12/1/75
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DATE: 12/3/75

TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING IN

{TYPE IN PLAINTEXT OR CODE}

VIA

TELETYPING

NITEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9248)
AND SAC, MIAMI (58-486) (SAK)

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540) (P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY, COI,

O0:WFO

INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED BY WFO ON DECEMBER 3, 1975
THAT FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT, MIAMI,
FLORIDA (ASSIGNED TO STRIKE FORCE IN MIAMI) HAS AN INFORMANT
WHO IS CLOSE TO RICHARD GERSTEIN, DADE COUNTY PROSECUTOR,

MIAMI WILL LOCATE AND INTERVIEW REGARDING ANY
INFORMATION HE HAS CONCERNING THIS MATTER AND DURING INTER-
VIEW WILL ASCERTAIN IF INFORMANT WOULD BE AGREEABLE TO
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI) INTERVIEW. NO

1 - TICKLER

RWH:CES

(2)

APPROVED: SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

SENT:
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ATTEMPT SHOULD BE MADE TO ARRANGE AN INTERVIEW WITHOUT NOTIFYING WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE FIRST.

END
Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPe URGENT (Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-0248) AND
ATLANTA (58-352) CINCINNATI (58-259) JACkSONVILLE (58-123) MIAMI (58-486)
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C.
ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS),
Bribery, Conflict of Interest, OFF: WFO.

ALL OFFICES WILL FORWARD FD 302S OF INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED
TO DATE TO WFO IN A MANNER IN WHICH THEY WILL ARRIVE AT WFO
NO LATER THAN DECEMBER 8, 1975, AS THE BUREAU HAS REQUESTED
WFO TO SUBMIT A REPORT ON THIS MATTER TO THE BUREAU NO LATER
THAN DECEMBER 10, 1975.

END.

Tickler

RWH: so
(2)

SEAPCHED INDEXED SERIALIZED
DEC 4 11 26 AM '75

FBI-WASH 11 24 75

Approved: Special Agent in Charge
She became employed by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) on October 30, 1972, as a clerk in the Public Affairs Division. On December 9, 1974, she was promoted to [redacted] in the office to Commissioner ALEXANDER. On September 26, 1975, to November 28, 1975 she was an [redacted] in the Intelligence Division. While working for [redacted] with [redacted] she never heard complain about Commissioner ALEXANDER shredding or destroying information he should not have. [redacted] personally handled all the requests to IRS from the Special Prosecutor during Watergate and these would be shredded by [redacted] after fulfilling the request. The shredder machine is located in the outer office in a closet, Room 3005.

[redacted] and BURKE WILLSEY were probably the closest to ALEXANDER.

[redacted] never told her or [redacted] anything that was going on in the office. She felt that would definitely protect the Commissioner.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
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Virginia, to Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Commissioner, Office of Chief Counsel, furnished the following information:

advised that he entered on duty with the IRS in September of 1974. He did not know Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER prior to his employment by the IRS.

advised that he has no knowledge that Commissioner ALEXANDER is acquainted with the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
RICHARD GERSTEIN

AL
PARKER

VINCENT ALO

advised that he has no knowledge that Commissioner ALEXANDER planned or possibly made a boat trip from Jensen's Beach, Florida on April 26, 1975. After checking his calendar, advised that he worked from 8:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m. on April 26, 1975. could not recall whether or not he saw Commissioner ALEXANDER on that date. commented that his calendar does not show that Commissioner ALEXANDER planned to be out of town on that date. advised that he always makes a note to himself on when Commissioner ALEXANDER plans to be out of town on weekends as an aid to himself in planning his schedule.
Green Belt, Maryland, to the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), furnished the following information:

advised she entered on duty with the IRS on August 27, 1957 and was assigned to the Commissioner's Office in May of 1969.

was asked if she recognized any of the following names in her capacity as to Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
RICHARD GERSTEIN

furnished an index card from ALEXANDER's personal file which listed the following:

4700 Biscayne Boulevard, Miami, Florida, 33161,

The index file was maintained and initiated by so that she would have a guide as to whom the Commissioner should talk to.

later recalled that the reason for making up the card was that the Commissioner had sent a newspaper article quoting in the Washington Post, a newspaper of general circulation in the Washington, D.C. area.

AL
BARKER
VINCENT ALO

knew of no trip from Jensen's Beach, Florida by the Commissioner on April 26, 1975. She stated the Commissioner very seldom goes anywhere unless he is asked to speak or is visiting a field office.

Interviewed on 11/25/75 at Washington, D.C. File # 58-1540-62

by SAS and R: aih

Date dictated 12/1/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
EVELYN I. SORRELL, 1926 35th Place, N.W., Washington, D.C., furnished the following information:

SORRELL advised that she entered on duty with the Internal Revenue Service on March 17, 1942. She advised that she was secretary to IRS Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER from November 5, 1973 until her retirement on May 3, 1974.

SORRELL advised that she has no knowledge that Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER is acquainted in any way with the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
RICHARD GERSTEIN

AL BARKER

VINCENT ALO

SORRELL advised that she has no knowledge of Commissioner ALEXANDER having planned or taken a boat trip from Jensen's Beach, Florida, on April 26, 1975.
Mount Vernon, Virginia, furnished the following information:

advised that he entered on duty with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) in August of 1937. He served in the Commissioner's Office from August of 1960 until June of 1973. advised that he retired from the IRS in June of 1973 as to Commissioner.

advised that he has no knowledge that Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER is acquainted in any way with the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
RICHARD GERSTEIN

AL BARKER

VINCENT ALO

advised that he has no knowledge that ALEXANDER planned or took a boat trip from Jensen's Beach, Florida, on April 26, 1975.

12/2/75  Mount Vernon, Virginia  58-1540-64
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Alexandria, Virginia, furnished the following information:

- Advised that she was formerly an employee of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) from March 5, 1973 until October of 1973. She advised that as a result of accumulated sick leave and annual leave, her official resignation date from the IRS is listed as January or February of 1974.
- Advised that she left the IRS due to the birth of a child and is now a housewife.

- Advised that she has no knowledge whatsoever of the following individuals:
  - MARK KROLL
  - AMBROSE LINDHORST
  - RICHARD GERSTEIN
  - AL BARKER
  - VINCENT ALO

- Advised that she has no knowledge of Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER having planned or taken a boat trip from Jensen's Beach, Florida, in April of 1975.
to the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Washington, D. C. (WDC), voluntarily appeared at the Washington Field Office and thereafter provided the following information:

advised that during Watergate, the Special Prosecutor's Office would forward requests to the Commissioner by special messenger and the letters would be delivered to WILLSEY or her. She emphasized that WILLSEY was the actual contact for requests from the Special Prosecutor's Office and members of Congress to the Commissioner. Upon receiving a request from either the Special Prosecutor or a member of Congress concerning President NIXON's tax return or a Watergate related matter, she would make a copy of the letter for the Commissioner's file and after the Commissioner saw the copy, it would be returned to and she would place the copy in the safe located in her office where all the Watergate related files were maintained. The original letters would go to BURKE WILLSEY or his secretary and WILLSEY would take appropriate action. The Watergate file, which is presently located in her safe, contained internal memos, letters and replies from the Special Prosecutor's Office and members of Congress concerning Watergate or NIXON's tax returns.

The shredder is located in outer office in a closet where her washroom is located, Room 3005.

advised that she had only used the shredder one three occasions and those occasions were to destroy some personal letters she had written. denied ever destroying any items related to the IRS.

The Compliance People, which was headed by at the time of Watergate, used the shredder on occasion. Never to her knowledge has she observed or heard of Commissioner
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ALEXANDER destroying anything that he should not have destroyed nor has she ever observed him using the shredder.

She has never actually observed WILLSEY using the shredder, however, WILLSEY has stated to her on occasion, "Where is the shredder", or "Is the shredder working?" stated that if the Commissioner wanted something destroyed he would probably give it to his personal secretary or place it in his confidential trash basket.

emotionally stated that the above information was the truth to the best of her knowledge and that she would not lie for Commissioner ALEXANDER or any other employee of the IRS and thereby possibly jeopardizing her retirement after serving the IRS for 34 years.
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11:30 PM NITEL DECEMBER 4, 1975 SAK
TO DIRECTOR
WASHINGTON FILED (58-1540)
CINCINNATI (58-259)
FROM MIAMI (58-486) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA COMMISSIONER, IRS; BRIBERY; COI.
CO: WASHINGTON FILED.

RE MIAMI TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED NOVEMBER 26, 1975, WASH­
INGTON FILED TELETYPES TO BUREAU DATED NOVEMBER 28, 1975 AND DECEMBER
3, 1975.

CREW OF CHANTICLEER INTERVIEWED AND DENY ANY PREPARATIONS
OR PLANS FOR TRIP TO BAHAMAS.

ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, FOLDER CONTAINING COPIES OF MEMORANDA BY
IRS SA [ ] WITH INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT MADE AVAILABLE
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EVALUATION OF INFORMANT. NO INFORMATION CONCERNING INSTANT MATTER
CONTAINED THEREIN. [ ] ADVISED MEMORANDA WOULD BE FORWARD ED TO
WASHINGTON WHERE COPIES TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO SA RICHARD HOUSTON,
WASHINGTON FIELD, BY IRS INTELLIGENCE. INFORMATION IN TWO ENVELOPES
FROM SAFE OF IRS [ ] .

END PAGE ONE
INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT, WILL ALSO BE MADE AVAILABLE AT WASHINGTON LEVEL. AFTER CONFERRING WITH SA MIAMI, DETERMINED THAT INFORMATION IN THE TWO ENVELOPES NOT PREVIOUSLY MADE AVAILABLE TO FBI ARE MEMORANDA DATED JUNE 29, 1975 AND MAY 4, 1975, AND PAGES SIX AND SEVEN OF CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY PREVIOUSLY MADE AVAILABLE AT WASHINGTON, BUT CONSISTING OF ONLY PAGES ONE THROUGH FIVE.

ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, INTERVIEWED UNDER OATH. HE ADVISED
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EFFORTS BEING MADE TO ARRANGE APPOINTMENT WITH FLORIDA LAW ENFORCEMENT BUREAU AGENT IN ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY ALLEGED GERSTEIN EMISSARY.

END
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Arlington, Virginia, to the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), furnished the following information:

advised that she has been with the IRS since June 5, 1965, and became a to Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER on September 2, 1974.

gave the following responses to the question whether she had ever heard of the following names during her employment:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
RICHARD GERSTEIN

stated she was familiar with the name, but can not remember if she took a call.

AL BARKER

Sounded familiar to, but she did not know where she had heard the name.

VINCENT ALO

did state that the Commissioner has received calls from Cincinnati, Ohio from his former partners in the law firm Dinsmore, Shohl, Coates, and Deupree. The calls were received from and

knew of no trip by the Commissioner during the weekend of April 26, 1975 to Jensen's Beach, Florida.

furnished the following phone numbers assigned to the Commissioner:

964-4115
964-4116
964-3140
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stated that to her knowledge, the Commissioner very seldom goes to dinner outside of his own home and very seldom goes out to lunch. If he does go to lunch, it is usually to the Metropolitan Club in Washington, D.C.

furnished the following information, that on Saturday, April 26, 1975, the following was true:

was secretary in that day. People the Commissioner met with that day in the office:

Mr. BURKE WILSEY:  Then Assistant to the Commissioner
Mr. WHITAKER:  Chief Counsel
Mr. ALVIN D. LURIE  Assistant Commissioner (Employee Plans and Exempt Organizations)
Virginia, Alexandria, to the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), furnished the following information:

stated that she entered on duty with the IRS on September 21, 1964, and has worked in the Commissioner's Office since January 6, 1974.

was asked if she was familiar with the following names in her capacity as to the Commissioner of the IRS:

MARK KROLL

AMBROSE LINDHORST

RICHARD GERSTEIN

AL BARKER

VINCENT ALO

She stated the name sounds familiar. She saw some correspondence regarding him, but does not remember when she saw it or what it contained.

No

No

She recalled that the name was familiar, but does not know whether it was a phone call or letter involved.

No

No

No

No

stated that she was not familiar with any trip on the weekend of April 26, 1975 by Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER from Jensen's Beach, Florida.

was the on duty April 26, 1975.

According to the records of the Commissioner's Office, IRS, furnished by does not recall being on duty that day.
Chevy Chase, Maryland, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), furnished the following information:

a. Advised that he has been with the IRS since September 1, 1975.

b. Advised that he has no knowledge of the Commissioner knowing or having had any relationship whatever with the following individuals:

   - MARK KROLL
   - AMBROSE LINDHORST
   - RICHARD GERSTEIN
   - AL BARKER
   - VINCENT ALO

   Advised that this individual may be related to a BURTON KANTER in Chicago, but he has no knowledge of the Commissioner knowing either individual.

   c. Advised that he has no knowledge of IRS Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER having planned or making a boat trip from Jensen's Beach, Florida, on April 26, 1975.
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Maryland, Clinton, furnished the following information:

advised that she has no knowledge of Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER being acquainted with or having been in contact in any way with the following individuals:

MARK KROLL
AMBROSE LINDHORST
RICHARD GERSTEIN

AL BARKER

VINCENT ALO

also advised that she had no knowledge of Commissioner ALEXANDER planning or making a boat trip on April 26, 1975 from Jensen's Beach, Florida.
D.C., who is Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER, furnished the following information:

- Advised that she entered on duty with the IRS in September of 1969 and has worked in her present capacity since October of 1975.

- Advised that she had no knowledge of Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER being acquainted with or having been in contact in any way with the following individuals:
  
  - MARK KROLL
  - AMBROSE LINDHORST
  - RICHARD GERSTEIN
  - AL BARKER
  - VINCENT ALO

- Also advised that she has no knowledge of Commissioner ALEXANDER planning or making a boat trip on April 26, 1975 from Jensen's Beach, Florida.
Investigations Branch, Internal Security Division, Office of the Assistant Commissioner (Inspection), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Washington, D.C. (WDC), was interviewed concerning the IRS internal investigation of DONALD C. ALEXANDER, Commissioner, IRS. After was advised as to the official identity of the interviewing Special Agent (SA) and the purpose of the interview he was placed under oath after which he furnished the following information:

advised that he first learned of the IRS investigation from JAMES QUINN, Chief of the IRS Investigations Branch, who notified him in mid-April, 1975 that he was to go to Miami with QUINN to commence an investigation of ALEXANDER. According to he left for Miami a day or two later on Wednesday, April 23, 1975, and along with QUINN commenced interviewing various IRS employees. advised he believed the scope of the investigation was set by QUINN probably after discussions with IRS since apparently QUINN had a list of the individuals to be interviewed upon arriving at Miami. said it was his impression from discussions with QUINN that in spite of the fact ALEXANDER was the IRS Commissioner he came under the rules of conduct like any other IRS employee and according to QUINN the IRS investigation "would not hold any punches".

advised that during his first or second day in Miami, which would be on April 23, 1975, or the morning of April 24, 1975, he was advised along with QUINN by SA of the pending yacht trip aboard the Chanticleer on the following weekend. According to QUINN called WDC soon after receiving this information and he assumed QUINN spoke to indicated he was not assigned to the surveillance of the Chanticleer since ALEXANDER knows him by sight, due to previous assignments to ALEXANDER's guard detail.

Regarding his check of the flight records of Delta Airlines in Atlanta, Georgia, concerning a search of passenger
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records for the name of [redacted], also known as, [redacted] booked passage to Cincinnati, Ohio from Miami, Florida during the month of March, 1975, [redacted] advised he was able to check only two direct flights from Miami. [redacted] stated that he wanted to check all flights to Cincinnati from Miami which amounted to 15 to 20 in number, however, he was advised by Delta Airlines officials this check would require too much manpower and even refused [redacted] offer to supply sufficient manpower to perform this record check.

[redacted] was questioned as to why no follow up investigation was made after the yacht trip failed to take place, such as contact with the captain and crew of the Chanticleer, employees of the Food Market, et cetera, to which [redacted] replied that he believes IRS went as far as it could with its investigation since they believed any such follow through investigation would probably compromise the informant and place his life in danger. [redacted] said the IRS investigation revealed no evidence of wrongdoing concerning ALEXANDER or anyone connected with IRS and the resulting investigative report contains all interviews conducted in this matter.

Regarding his destruction of investigative notes including the Cincinnati surveillance logs, [redacted] advised the IRS manual requires destruction after an investigation is completed, and in practice such notes are kept approximately 30 days. [redacted] further advised he kept the notes for an additional 2 or 3 weeks after the report had "cleared" the Assistant Commissioners office and then received permission from his supervisor, [redacted] to destroy the notes. [redacted] said he later learned he should not have destroyed the surveillance logs.
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DONALD CRITCHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; CONFLICT
OF INTEREST, 00:WFO.

REFERENCE WFO TELETYPE DATED NOVEMBER 28, 1975.

INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED AT MIAMI:

CREW OF CHANTICLEER INTERVIEWED AND DENY ANY PREPARATIONS
OR PLANS FOR TRIP TO BAHAMAS.

ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, FOLDER CONTAINING COPIES OF MEMORANDA
BY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS) SPECIAL AGENT
WITH INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT MADE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW BY
IRS INSPECTOR MIAMI. EARLIEST MEMO JULY 1, 1974,
AND MOST RECENT MEMO IS IN SEPTEMBER, 1974. NO EVALUATION OF
INFORMATION. NO INFORMATION CONCERNING INSTANT MATTER CONTAINED
THEREIN. ADVISED MEMORANDA WOULD BE FORWARD TO
WASHINGTON WHERE COPIES ARE TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO SPECIAL
AGENT RICHARD W. HOUSTON, WASHINGTON FIELD, BY IRS INTELLIGENCE.

RWH/DJT: so
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Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent:
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INFORMATION IN TWO ENVELOPES FROM SAFE OF IRS CONTAINING INFORMATION FROM INFORMANT, WILL ALSO BE MADE AVAILABLE AT WASHINGTON LEVEL. AFTER CONFERRING WITH SPECIAL AGENT MIAMI, DETERMINED THAT INFORMATION IN THE TWO ENVELOPES NOT PREVIOUSLY MADE AVAILABLE TO FBI ARE MEMORANDA DATED JUNE 29, 1975, AND MAY 4, 1975, AND PAGES SIX AND SEVEN OF CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY PREVIOUSLY MADE AVAILABLE AT WASHINGTON, BUT CONSISTING OF ONLY PAGES ONE THROUGH FIVE.

ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, INTERVIEWED UNDER OATH. HE ADVISED

Approved: _____

Sent ________ M Per ________

Special Agent in Charge
INVESTIGATION AT WASHINGTON, D. C.:

INTERVIEWS OF FORMER EMPLOYEES AND CURRENTLY EMPLOYEES ON
THE SUBJECT'S STAFF AND FORMER AND CURRENT CLERICAL EMPLOYEES WHO
WORKED IN THE SUBJECT'S OFFICE HAVE BEEN COMPLETED. THE ONLY
PERTINENT INFORMATION DEVELOPED WAS THAT HE MAINTAINS AN INDEX
CARD FOR [NAME] IN HIS OFFICE WITH [ADDRESS]
AND TELEPHONE NUMBER IN MIAMI, FLORIDA, ON THE INDEX CARD.

ON DECEMBER 1, 1975, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH

DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY AND HE ADVISED THAT

THEREAFTER A GRAND JURY SUBPOENA WOULD BE ISSUED FOR

AS

OF DECEMBER 5, 1975, [DATE] HAD NOT RECEIVED GRAND JURY AUTHORITY.
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ON DECEMBER 2, 1975, IRS, WDC, WAS INTERVIEWED AND ADVISED
ON DECEMBER 3, 1975, [ ] DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, ADVISED THAT [oversight subcommittee on the IRS, house ways and means committee, united states house of representatives],
PAGE SEVEN WFO 58-1540.

WDC, THAT

MIAMI HAS BEEN INSTRUCTED TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW....

THE RESULTS OF THAT INTERVIEW WERE NOT MADE AVAILABLE TO WFO BY TIME OF DICTATION.

END.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9240)
FROM: SAC, MIAMI (58-406) (P)
SUBJECT: DONALD GEORGE ALEXANDER, a/k/a DONALD GEORGE CRIMES, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIDGET, MO
CC: UFO

Re UFO teletype to Bureau, 12/4/75.

Enclosed herewith for UFO are 2 copies each of the following FD-302s and inserts containing results of investigation by the Miami Office to date.

Insert

FD-302 SA
IRS, 11/19/75

FD-302 SA
IRS, 11/19/75

Insert, SA
IRS, 11/19/75

FD-302 G, TROY REGISTER, 11/24/75

FD-302 Group Manager
IRS, 11/24/75

Insert Inspector
IRS, 12/2/75

FD-302 ALVIN RABINER, 11/20/75

FD-302
11/20/75

FD-302
11/20/75
FD-302 MARK KROLL, 11/20/75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FD-302</th>
<th>Carriage House, 11/20/75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD-302</td>
<td>Epicure Market, 11/20/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-302</td>
<td>Epicure Market, 11/20/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-302</td>
<td>Dockmaster, 11/19/75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FD-302 RALPH EVINRUDE, 11/24/75

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FD-302</th>
<th>Chanticleer, 11/24/75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD-302</td>
<td>Chanticleer, 11/25/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-302</td>
<td>Chanticleer, 11/25/75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is to be noted that FD-302 of interview of IRS SA on 12/2/75, will be forwarded in the near future. Agent is out of town until Monday, 12/8/75.
Special Agent Intelligence Division, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), 6th floor, Federal Building, Miami, Florida, in interview under oath administered by Special Agent (SA) was apprised at the outset of the identities of the interviewing Agents. He was informed by SA that information was desired as to all information furnished to him by his confidential informant with respect to any alleged relationship between MARK H. KROLL and IRS Commissioner, DONALD ALEXANDER, any efforts by KROLL through AMBROSE LINDHORST to have his tax liability settled for $15,000; any information concerning an alleged trip by with an emissary of Dade County State Attorney RICHARD GERSTEIN, to Cincinnati, Ohio, to furnish information to LINDHORST; and any information concerning a proposed yacht trip arranged by KROLL on which ALEXANDER, LINDHORST, and others were to be guests.

SA also requested to divulge the identity of his informant to the Agents and if possible make arrangements for Agents to speak with the informant personally.

He cannot reveal the identity of his informant.

The informant has on a number of occasions expressed concern for his life if his identity as an informant should become known believes that the informant genuinely fears for his life.

Interviewed on 11/18/75 at Miami, Florida File # Miami 58-486
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also was confident of the reliability of the informant and has never received any indication that the informant was fabricating information to further his own ends.

has no copies of memoranda previously prepared by him containing the information furnished by the informant. He has absolutely no written record of this information. At the time the matter concerning Mr. ALEXANDER was being investigated by the IRS Inspectors, turned over his entire file containing all of his papers to his group manager, who turned it over to the Inspectors.

believes the first information he received from the informant concerning was that contained in his memorandum of December 30, 1974, which he believes was to the effect that

The informant had also advised

The informant advised that

and believes that has some other tax liabilities of which is not aware. Some time in early 1975, the informant advised him that
To the best of [illegible] recollection, the adverse publicity concerning IRS Intelligence and Operation Leprechaun appeared in the newspapers in March, 1975. On April 13, he believes was the date, the informant reported to him [illegible].

With respect to [illegible], he came to Miami from Cleveland and defended [illegible] had contended that he was destitute and needed a public defender and there was some speculation as to why a Cleveland attorney had come down to defend him. Informant advised that [illegible].

The informant was present [illegible].
In this connection, Mr. [name] mentioned that he obtained their information with regard to Operation Leprechaun, as an informant, because it became known that she was...

When the IRS Inspectors who were in Miami with respect to Operation Leprechaun were told of the above information, they instructed the Intelligence Agents, including [name] in Miami, that Intelligence was to conduct no independent investigation with respect to the matter. At that time, [name] and SA had already instituted a review of airline tickets with respect to direct flights between Miami and Cincinnati for the purpose of determining whether or not the name of [name] appeared thereon in order to verify that such a trip took place before making their formal report. They were instructed to discontinue this by the Inspectors who indicated that any investigation should properly be conducted by the Inspectors.

While the Inspectors were in Miami on the Leprechaun matter, the informant furnished information to... According to the informant, the informant said that...
informed Mr. G. T. REGISTER, JR.,
Chief of the Intelligence Division in Miami. Mr. REGISTER informed the Inspectors and there was some discussion about who in the IRS would know about the allegations. The Inspectors could only say that from him it would go to the Head of Investigations.

The Inspectors then instructed to go to Jensen Beach to attempt to find the yacht "Chantecler" since they at that time did not know if the trip was to start from Jensen Beach or Miami Beach. He arrived on Thursday evening, April 24, 1975, and found the "Chantecler" at Jensen Beach. It was a 112 foot yacht with portholes from front to rear. The yacht was a beehive of activity with all the portholes lit up and people all over the boat. determined that the crew was allowed to live on or off the yacht. He stayed over Thursday night and then called Inspector JAMES QUINN in Jacksonville, Florida and informed him of the situation. On Friday morning everything was quiet on the yacht. and watched the yacht all day long and nothing happened. It was known, from the size of the craft, that it would have to depart at high tide either Friday morning or Friday night. It was estimated it would take 10 or 12 hours to get to Miami so it should have left on Friday morning if it was to reach Miami in time to begin the trip on the 26th. On Saturday morning the yacht was still at Jensen Beach.

The informant later reported to that.
The informant later never received any further information from the informant indicating why the trip had been called off. He himself speculated that, if the trip had been called off by ALEXANDER, it could have been a result of the telephone call to Washington by the Inspectors, since the Inspector was only able to say that he would furnish the information only to the Head of Investigations in Washington.

In addition to surveillance of the "Chantecler" at Jensen Beach, there was also some photographic surveillance work performed by [ ] of the Inspection Staff with respect to this proposed fishing trip.

The informant told [ ] on another occasion [ ] observed [ ] whom he knew by sight, in the company of KROLL, MEYER LANSKY, Miami Organized Crime figure, and VINCENT ALO on Miami Beach. The informant
Inspector QUINN was in Miami investigating the above matters and he wanted to speak personally with the informant, but the informant declined to authorize the Inspector to reveal his identity at that time because...
said that when he told Inspector QUINN about having defended in a tax fraud case, he had not intended to give the impression he believed this fact was an indication of possible involvement of DONALD ALEXANDER. He had mentioned the matter as background concerning in connection with the informant's information from

advise[d] he would contact SA as soon as he was able to speak with his informant to determine whether or not the informant would agree to his identity being divulged to the FBI and whether or not the informant would be willing to testify to information furnished by him.
Special Agent (SA), Intelligence Division, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), 6th floor, Federal Building, Miami, Florida, in interview under oath administered by SA, was apprised at the outset of the identities of the interviewing agents. He was informed by SA that he was requested to furnish all information received by him from a confidential informant with respect to any alleged

SA also asked if he was willing to divulge the identity of the informant and was told that if possible, the agents desired to interview the informant personally.

advised as follows:

The informant, from whom the information came, furnished information primarily to SA and has no idea how to go about contacting the informant. Even if he did know the informant's whereabouts, he would feel that if the informant's identity was to be revealed, it should be revealed by . In that respect, he stated that SA had been successful in contacting the informant on instant date and would probably be available to speak with agents later in the day. He then continued as follows:

To the best of his recollection, the informant telephoned him one morning in April, 1975, when the informant was unable to reach SA. A memorandum concerning the results of this contact by the informant was prepared by
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and if that memorandum showed the date was April 14, 1975, then that is the date on which it occurred.

had met with the informant on previous occasions when was not available and this was the reason the informant telephoned him on this date. The informant did not want to speak on the telephone, so he met him elsewhere.

When met the informant, the latter advised that

was fairly sure that

and at any rate, the informant was

GERSTEIN is the subject of a criminal investigation by the Intelligence Division of IRS in Miami. and if the information furnished by the informant
The information from the informant concerning the allegations made by the press which would lead the public to believe that the allegations were true and that the motives of IRS Intelligence were true when, in fact, they were not true.

The original operation, after which Commissioner ALEXANDER made statements to the press, which were true and that the motives of IRS Intelligence as alleged by the informant were true when, in fact, they were not true.

The informant reported to him as extensively as he could in an effort to determine any specific information in the informant's possession as to what the facts were.

He was unable to get any conclusive, factually information, which could be used in an attempt to verify the truth of the allegations. He believed that the evidence was much too serious for him to send forward in the form in which he had received it. He believed that the evidence should be made before a report was made. He requested the informant to report to him as extensively as he could in an effort to determine any specific information in the informant's possession as to what the facts were.
In the meantime, [redacted] decided to make a preliminary check of the most logical airline records to determine whether he could verify the trip. He cannot remember whether the informant actually [redacted]. He believes the latter is the case and that he, [redacted], instituted a check of airline schedules to cover a period of about 21 days. He was told by the people at Delta Airlines that they would do the best they could, but it might be a monumental task. He believes he found that the most convenient flights would have been certain direct Delta flights and he had these checked for the names [redacted] and [redacted]. He cannot now remember whether or not he also asked that the name of MARTIN DARDIS, Chief Investigator for GERSTEIN, be checked. He felt that if he found the name of [redacted] or [redacted], he would then be able to zero in on the other names.

[redacted] did not make any notes while he was speaking with the informant, but after the conversation, he returned to the office and wrote it all down. He subsequently destroyed these notes after preparation of a memorandum.

He believes that on the night of the same day on which he spoke with the informant, [redacted] was reached by the informant and [redacted] questioned him closely and may have received some details in addition to those received by [redacted].

On the following morning, [redacted] and [redacted] compared notes and, at that point, called the Chief, G. T. REGISTER and discussed the situation with him. The investigation of personnel matters falls within the jurisdiction of the IRS Inspection Division and they did not want it to appear that they were jumping into the Inspector's jurisdiction. [redacted] and [redacted] each
prepared separate memorandums making the original and one copy. They personally delivered the originals to the Regional Inspector and the copies were given to the Chief, Mr. REGISTER, and filed in Miami. Subsequent to that, perhaps a few days later, Inspector JAMES QUINN came to Miami and was somewhat annoyed because had instituted a check of airline records, which he felt should be done by the Inspection Division.

did not have any further contact with the informant concerning these allegations. He does not recall ever receiving any information from the informant.

believes that he and speculated as to who might have been GERSTEIN's emissary and they believed it was unlikely that it would be either MARTIN DARDIS or, and they did not believe such a mission would be entrusted to someone of lesser stature. They, therefore, believed it was more likely to be a close friend outside the State Attorney's Office. He believes mentioned a person who had been seen meeting with GERSTEIN on Miami Beach.

is aware that the informant had previously furnished to information concerning but the informant never furnished any such information directly to. He believes

was aware that there was some
information of this nature, but he received absolutely no direct information and had no contact with the informant concerning this. He also did not participate in any investigation or surveillance.

_________ has had insufficient personal contact with the informant to arrive at an independent decision as to his reliability. He believes that SA_______ has a much better idea of his reliability. The informant
On November 19, 1975, IRS SA [_____________________] advised SAs [_____________________] and [_____________________] that he had personally contacted his informant. [______________________]

SA [_____________________] said he would recontact the informant after he [_____________________] had had time to think, and would advise SA [_____________________] if there [______________________]
Mr. G. TROY REGISTER, in interview under oath, administered by Special Agent (SA) at his office, Professional Financial Services, Incorporated (Inc.), 2951 South Bayshore Drive, Captain's Suite 11, Miami, Florida, was informed at the outset of the identities of the interviewing agents and was informed by SA that all information in his possession was desired with respect to information furnished by a confidential informant of Internal Revenue Service (IRS) SA.

Mr. REGISTER advised that he has recently retired from his position as Chief, Intelligence Division, IRS, Miami, Florida.

He recalls that in early 1975, information was brought to his attention by SA concerning the possibility of a settlement of a civil tax liability on the part of MARK KROLL, who had been in the Atlanta Penitentiary. This has been sometime ago and Mr. REGISTER cannot remember all of the details, and feels that the memoranda prepared by SA should be the best record of what the information was. He does not remember specifically how the source.

Mr. REGISTER recalls that one of the allegations was that ALEXANDER had been MARK KROLL's tax accountant or financial advisor. Mr. REGISTER caused a check to be made of IRS records to determine if ALEXANDER's name appeared anywhere in the file as a representative of KROLL. A representative in a
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tax matter normally receives a Power of Attorney from the person who has the tax liability and the file showed no Power of Attorney in ALEXANDER's name.

REGISTER recalls receiving the information from SAs [name redacted] and [name redacted] as to the information received from the informant to the effect that Mr. REGISTER had instructed [name redacted] to record this information in memoranda. REGISTER cannot at this date remember if he saw the actual memoranda, but he was fully cognizant of the contents. He recalls that, when he learned from SA [name redacted] that he had instituted a check of airlines records, he suggested that he discontinue this, inasmuch as it might be interpreted as encroaching on the jurisdiction of the IRS Inspection Division, even though they would have liked to perform the entire investigation and believed themselves capable of doing as good a job or better than the Inspection Division.

The memoranda of [name redacted] and [name redacted] were furnished by them to [name redacted], who furnished copies to [name redacted], and briefed [name redacted], had previously been made aware of the allegation, from informant, that the informant. When IRS Intelligence learned of these assets, the District
Director of the Jacksonville District was notified, as well as the District Director in Cincinnati, so there would be no compromise with assets of this type in existence.

Mr. REGISTER also recalls that an allegation was made by [informant that].

When the critical information concerning this alleged trip was received, Mr. REGISTER made it available to Inspector JAMES QUINN, IRS, who was in Miami at the time. He turned the information over to Mr. QUINN, because it was clear that this type of information was not an Intelligence responsibility to check out but lay within the jurisdiction of Inspection, according to procedure mandate. He was astonished to see that Mr. QUINN, who was Chief of Special Investigation Branch, quickly departed for Washington after receiving the information, when it seemed to Mr. QUINN that the prudent thing for a man in his position would be to remain on the site and check out the allegation. Instead, Mr. QUINN went to Washington and sent to Miami a young man who was basically a photographer and who did not seem to have investigative experience.

Mr. REGISTER saw that the necessary photographic equipment was lent to this young man and he also gave him the services of SA [identify the yacht and the other personalities involved].

Mr. REGISTER, however, made it clear that beyond these steps, Intelligence could not be involved, particularly at that time the Intelligence Division was under investigation by the Commissioner, as a result of
certain publicity concerning an operation called "Operation Leprechaun".

According to information which Mr. REGISTER received, but which he cannot verify, Inspector QUINN passed the information on to the Director of Internal Security of the Inspection Division (whose last name he cannot remember) and this man passed it on to [name redacted], who in turn, passed it on to BILL WILLIAMS, Deputy Commissioner and to BERT WILLSEY (phonetic), Assistant to the Commissioner. According to past experience, when information is furnished to the Assistant to the Commissioner, it is the same as furnishing it to the Commissioner.

Inspector JIM QUINN came down to Miami just to verify who in Miami knew of the earlier allegations reported by the informant concerning [redacted]. Since he was on the site, the new information about the trip was furnished to him, according to regulations. However, before giving QUINN the information, REGISTER asked him what procedure would be followed with the information and who would learn of it. REGISTER was convinced that if certain people heard of it, the Commissioner would automatically hear of it. Inspector QUINN said that this type of allegation normally should go from the Assistant Commissioner of Inspections directly to one of the Assistant Secretaries of Treasury. That motivated Mr. REGISTER to go ahead and furnish the information to Mr. QUINN immediately, according to manual procedure. He would probably have had some conferences with the District Director before turning over the information, if he had not been assured by Inspector QUINN of the route the information would take. It now appears that the information did not follow that route, but went up to the Assistant to the Commissioner.

Mr. REGISTER recalls that surveillance of the [redacted] after it was located in [redacted] Florida,
by SA showed These preparations suddenly ceased for some reason. The yacht later moved to Jones Boat Yard in Miami.

As for the reliability of informant, Mr. REGISTER talked at length with when the first information concerning was brought to his attention, is convinced the informant is reliable and has. The informant had recited to

was beginning to serve an eight-year sentence for income tax violation and had another ten-year federal sentence for a total of 18 years. He also had state charges pending against him. The informant told

REGISTER is still unable to believe that got out after serving little more than a year.

Mr. REGISTER has no recollection of information coming from the informant that.
Mr. REGISTER feels that all the persons involved in the allegations of the informant should be brought before a grand jury.

Mr. REGISTER does not know the identity of the informant. He was not really an informant, because he could not contact the informant. During that period IRS Intelligence was not allowed to gather intelligence information or contact informants. They could accept information if someone called or walked into the office. All the informants they had been working had to be dropped.
Intelligence Division, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Miami, Florida, in interview under oath administered by Special Agent (SA), at his office on the sixth floor of the Federal Building, Miami, Florida, furnished the following information with respect to information furnished to IRS SA by informant with respect to one of the senior group managers, responsible for approving such disbursements. There were five group managers each of whom was responsible to an assistant chief and a chief of intelligence in Jacksonville, Florida.

Up until the Commissioner of the IRS did away with intelligence gathering by IRS, in early 1975, was in charge of the group of agents who gathered intelligence throughout the State of Florida.

Some time in, exact date not recalled by SA came to him and, referring to his own notes, told that he had some important information from his informant. then read through his own notes completely. then asked him to go over it again while made notes, in view of the sensitive nature of the information.

He said that these were the notes prepared by him of the information received in from SA.
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After receiving the information and making his notes, he called who was the
in Jacksonville, and reported the information to him. He said he would call right back. He did call back
and instructed to have SA get on a plane and come right to Jacksonville. SA did fly
up to Jacksonville immediately and met with and perhaps others. That was as far as it went as far as
was concerned. He had received the information
and reported it up the line to his supervisor.

Then took the original of his notes and placed them in a pink envelope, sealed it and placed it in his safe marked confidential material to be opened only by JKW."

He believed that things would happen after the furnishing of this information to his superiors, but nothing happened immediately; then in January and February the IRS inspection people came to Miami and took all records that had to do with confidential informants. in January or February of 1975, turned over this envelope to the inspectors out of his safe, with the above-mentioned note on the front. Later, one of the inspectors, Inspector , went into office and asked if he would open the pink envelope and allow the inspectors to read it. This was on March 19, 1975. told Inspector he would have to read the notes and refresh his memory prior to deciding whether or not he could
allow the inspectors to read it. After he had read the notes, he told that the only person he would allow to see the contents of the envelope would be the Regional Inspector out of Atlanta. The inspectors then apparently made a telephone call because he came to Miami on March 21, 1975. He came to office with the envelope and asked him to open the envelope and allow to read the contents, did so at which time read notes which were in the envelope. returned the notes to the envelope and handed it to asked if wanted to keep the envelope but instructed him to keep it. resealed the envelope and put it back into his safe.

The next thing that happened was on April 8, 1975, while was in Jacksonville. He received a call from out of the National Office, would be in Miami. asked that secretary, if she had the combination to safe, give the envelope to called his secretary and told her would be over from the Roberts Building in Miami, where the inspection division was housed. At the time, told her to triple check his credentials, type up a detailed receipt for to sign and then to give him the envelope. This was done.

furnished to SA copies of the receipt signed by.

The next thing concerning this situation which came to attention was on April 25, 1975. He was still in Jacksonville. At that time he was interviewed by Inspectors JIM QUINN and . These inspectors came to Jacksonville and interviewed. In a very few minutes, . The inspectors were extremely interested in the identities of anyone who was aware of the information which had been furnished by informant. During this interview,
in addition to relating to the inspectors the facts with relation to what had been done with the information as furnished to him by [insert name] who works in the office of the [insert name] had been in Jacksonville some weeks earlier conducting a review of intelligence division records. One day while at lunch in Morrison's Cafeteria, [insert name] remarked that he hoped that the situation with the Commissioner turned out okay, [insert name] had not known what [insert name] was referring to and did not pursue the matter further. He told the inspectors he was unable to recall who else was present.

[insert name] has never had any reason to doubt the informant's reliability, in fact, he and [insert name] and others in intelligence felt that they had the find of a lifetime.

[insert name] has learned, from discussing the matter with [insert name], that the informant questions

Beyond the information in his handwritten notes, [insert name] did not thereafter receive any information from [insert name] which he had received from his informant. He
Until December 1, 1975, it was his belief and apparently SA____'s belief that there was no further written information in the Miami Office with respect to information received by SA____ from his informant. However, in going through his safe on December 1, 1975, SA____ found two envelopes bearing the initials of SA____ and the designation____. He called this fact to attention on December 1 and learned that had not been aware that these envelopes were still in the safe either. SA____ assured that the contents of these envelopes, if they have not already been made available to the FBI, can be made available promptly.

____ was asked by SA____ whether or not there has been an IRS investigation concerning Dade County State Attorney RICHARD GERSTEIN. He confirmed that there has been and that SA____ is the Agent responsible for same and that can furnish summary information concerning the investigation.
On December 2, 1975, IRS Inspector 6th Floor, Roberts Building, Miami, Florida, made available to SA for review a folder containing copies of memoranda prepared by IRS SA containing information received by from his confidential informant. The earliest memorandum in the file was dated July 1, 1974, and the most recent memorandum therein was in September, 1974. The file contained no evaluation of the reliability of the informant. Portions of the information had been excised for the purpose of protecting the identity of the informant. No information concerning Inspector advised he was not authorized to provide copies of the above memoranda at the Miami level. He said he would forward copies to Washington, to be made available to the FBI by the Intelligence Division of IRS.

Inspector said he would also arrange for the forwarding of the information contained in two envelopes in the safe of IRS to Washington, for furnishing to the FBI at Washington at the same time.
ALVIN BARKER was contacted at Mister B Greeting Card Company, 3500 N. W. 52nd Street. He was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents by Special Agent (SA) and that the interview concerned an investigation to determine if DONALD ALEXANDER, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has violated any Federal Bribery Statutes or Federal Conflict of Interest Statutes. After being placed under oath by SA he furnished the following information:

He does not know DONALD ALEXANDER, either on a social, personal, professional, or business basis. He was surprised to learn that ALEXANDER was the Commissioner of the IRS. He has never met ALEXANDER.

He has heard of AMBROSE LINDHORST. He knows LINDHORST only by reputation as a Cincinnati attorney. He does not know LINDHORST on a social, personal, professional, or business basis. He has never met LINDHORST.

He knows MARK KROLL and has known him for about twenty (20) years. He knows KROLL from Cincinnati. He knows KROLL on a personal and social basis. He has had no business dealing with KROLL except that he rented some space to KROLL for about two months, for the storage of shoes. Within the last year KROLL was going to come to work for him, but never did.

He knows that KROLL lived in an apartment on Miami Beach, Florida. He does not recall the name of the apartments nor the address, and has never been to the apartment.

To his knowledge KROLL was to marry who lives at an unknown address at Miami Beach, Florida. was previously married and had lived in North Carolina. He has met.
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He has not been out with KROLL for the last three or four months. He does not know where KROLL lives now or how to contact him.

He believes KROLL to be a very capable man, and believes that KROLL was going into the shoe business. He did not think KROLL to be a "crook" although KROLL served time in the federal prison. He felt that KROLL had been "set up" and was mixed up with some kind of mortgage deal. He does not know KROLL to associate with undesirable characters or persons with questionable character. He thinks KROLL to have been a successful businessman, a business entrepreneur, and enjoys a good reputation in the Cincinnati area. At one time KROLL was financially well-to-do, but he does not think KROLL is financially well off now, because he feels the government broke him.

He and his wife were never invited on a boat trip which was hosted by KROLL. He hates water and hates fishing even more. KROLL knows of his dislike for water and, because of this, he was sure that he and his wife were not invited on a boat trip. He knows that he did not go on any boat trip in April, 1975 because he was in the Methodist Hospital at Houston, Texas for three weeks in April and May, 1975.

He believes KROLL knows LINDHORST.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
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[Redacted]

She was contacted at [Redacted] by [Redacted], and that the interview concerned an investigation to determine if DONALD ALEXANDER, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has violated any Federal Bribery Statutes or Federal Conflict of Interest Statutes. [Redacted] refused to be placed under oath, but furnished the following information:

She and her [Redacted] have never gone on a boat trip to the Bahamas at the invitation of MARK KROLL. She said her husband dislikes water and would not take a boat trip. She does not recall their being invited on such a boat trip.

She and her husband left Miami, Florida on April 17, 1975 by Continental Airlines en route to Houston, Texas where her husband entered the Methodist Hospital on April 20, 1975. He was in the hospital for about three and one-half weeks. She stayed at Houston for about two weeks, and then returned to Miami. Her husband was in the hospital for testing.
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I, [name], was contacted at his residence, Miami Beach, Florida, telephone [phone number]. Special Agent (SA) [SA name] advised of the official identities of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the inquiry. SA [SA name] placed under oath after which he furnished the following information in the form of a signed, sworn statement:

"I, [name], make the following free and voluntary statement to [SA name] who have identified themselves to me as special agents of the FBI:

I reside at [address], Miami Beach, Florida, telephone number [phone number]. I have known Donald Alexander for approximately 17 years. From 1958 until he was nominated for the position of IRS Commissioner, Mr. Alexander represented [organization].

I am not aware of a planned boat trip from Miami to the Bahamas aboard the 112 foot yacht Chanticleer for the weekend of April 26, 1975. I have met Mark Kroll but do not recall having any conversations with him in 1975. I am a former business associate of Marvin Warner and have seen him only socially over the past seventeen years. I may have met Al Barker in Cincinnati at some civic or social function but do not specifically recall having met them. On the weekend of April 26, 1975, I was not invited to be a passenger aboard the Chanticleer with any of the above mentioned persons.
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"I have read this one page statement and sign it because it is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

"/s/ [Signature]

"Sworn to and subscribed before me on 11/20/75.
"/s/ [Signature], Special Agent, FBI, Miami, Fla., 11/20/75.

"Witness: [Signature], Special Agent, FBI, Miami, Fla., 11/20/75."

In addition to the above information, [Signature] advised that he is acquainted with Cincinnati attorney, AMBROSE LINDHORST and LINDHORST has handled a few business matters for him in the past. [Signature] owns an 85 foot yacht named [Signature].
Mr. MARK KROLL, 5401 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, was advised of the identity of the contacting Agents and the purpose of the interview. He was advised of his rights as set forth in an "Interrogation; Advice of Rights" form which he was given and read. He signed the "Interrogation; Advice of Rights" form.

Mr. KROLL was placed under oath by Special Agent Mr. KROLL furnished the following signed statement:

"11-20-75

"I MARK H. KROLL being duly sworn make
the following free and voluntary statement to
Special Agents [ ] and [ ]
who have identified themselves to me
as agents of the F.B.I. and have informed me of
the purpose of this inquiry.

"I am acquainted with DONALD C. ALEXANDER only by reason of the fact that during divorce proceedings in 1958, he was called in as a tax consultant regarding the property settlement. I believe he was retained by the attorney for
my [ ] (phonetic) of Cincinnati, Ohio. I met
him at most, one time in the lawyer's office.
Mr. ALEXANDER has never been retained by me as
an accountant or an attorney. He has never
functioned as a financial adviser for me. With
respect to ALEXANDER's services for my wife's
attorney as a tax consultant on the one point
of the property settlements tax consequences,
I do not recall who paid him. I do recall that
as part of the settlement I agreed to pay her
attorney expenses."
"I am acquainted with AMBROSE LINDHORST and he has been my attorney in several civil cases as well as a social friend. In the main our relationship has been one of lawyer-client. I have never discussed with Mr. LINDHORST my current tax problem at least not in a specific detailed manner as I did with my Cleveland tax attorneys who are handling this matter. They are [redacted]. He LINDHORST only knows in general terms that I have a current tax problem. In the past in 1967 when my attorney worked out a time payment plan for the amount settled on with IRS, my then [redacted] gave me a second mortgage on her residence in Cincinnati. Mr. LINDHORST at that time was designated as trustee to hold this mortgage on behalf of myself and IRS pursuant to a trust agreement. It is a matter of record that I have discussed my tax problems with my attorneys.

"To my own personal knowledge I do not know if Mr. DONALD C. ALEXANDER is acquainted with AMBROSE LINDHORST. I have never seen them together and do not have any knowledge as to whether they are friends or business associates.

"I am not acquainted with RALPH EVENRUDE but have knowledge of his existence only from yatching magazines and other publications. I have never seen the Chanticleer in person, have never chartered it, or had it made available to me for any use. Specifically I have never invited anyone to take a cruise with me on the Chanticleer. In April of 1975, at no time did I extend an invitation to the following people to join me on a cruise: DONALD C. ALEXANDER, MARVIN WARNER. I am acquainted with the socially and have known them for 15-20 years. I have known [redacted] for quite some time as I have WARNER."
"I do not have an account at the Epicure Market and have never shopped there. I specifically have never called there or placed an order with them in contemplation of a cruise on the Chanticleer.

has handled my tax problems and neither he nor I have sought through political or social contacts to secure a settlement favorable to me of my tax problem.

"I have never discussed with Mr. LINDHORST the possibility of his obtaining a settlement of my current tax liability. I find it amusing to hear someone allege that LINDHORST could settle a $304,424 liability for $15,000. My retained tax attorneys are the only ones dealing with my tax liability and it was IRS who brought up the possibility of compromising my tax liability.

"I have read the above statement consisting of this and four other pages each of which I have initialed, and now sign it because it is true and correct.

"11/20/75 /s/ MARK H. KROLL

"Sworn to and subscribed before me on 11/20/75 at Miami Florida.

"/s/ Special Agent

"Witnessed by /s/ Special Agent, FBI Miami, Florida, 11/20/75"
Carriage House, 5401 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, was contacted at her office. She advised the official identities of the interviewing agents and the nature of the inquiry. Thereafter furnished the following information:

Her files disclose that MARVIN WARNER has leased apartment 144 at the Carriage House for the last several years. WARNER resides at Hiland Towers, 1071 Celestial Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.

WARNER rarely comes to Miami Beach and uses his apartment, but frequently lets his friends use the apartment. WARNER notifies the rental office when he or any of his friends use the apartment so maid service can be started. WARNER usually notifies the rental office by letter when his friends are going to use the apartment.

She was unable to locate any information in WARNER's file which would indicate maid service was started for the weekend of April 26, 1975. Apartment 144 was used by from May 2 - 9, 1975. She reviewed WARNER's authorization letters from 1970 to present and the name of DONALD ALEXANDER does not appear as a guest in Apartment 144. Washington, D. C. is WARNER's most frequent guest in this apartment.
He is a representative of Epicure Market and his job is to call on the various yachts in Miami and attempt to sell them supplies. He is familiar with the yacht "Chanticleer", which is out of the Fort-Pierce area. The yacht is owned by Mr. and Mrs. EVINRUDE. He used to sell to the "Chanticleer" when the captain was Captain ROBINSON, however, Captain ROBINSON died approximately ten years ago and since the new captain, whose name he does not know, took over the yacht, he has not sold them as much as a loaf of bread. This is not because of any hard feelings; it is just that the new captain supplies the yacht out of the Fort-Pierce area. He does not know who the supplies are purchased from. If someone came to the market or contacted him for supplies for a yacht, he would not sell to anyone unless they were the steward, the chef, or the captain. They are the only three people who are allowed to purchase supplies under the name of the yacht.

If someone came into the market personally, purchased supplies, and paid cash for them, it would not make any difference whether they were from a yacht or not. If the items were paid for in cash they could be delivered to a yacht even though the individual who made the purchase was not one of the three members of the yacht crew. Also, an individual could come into the market, fill a grocery basket, and check out at one of the check-out counters, and it would be the same as anyone going to a grocery store and buying their groceries. The only record of the sale would be the cash register tape and it would be impossible to identify...
who made the purchase.

He has not sold anything to the yacht "Chanticleer" or Mr. EVINRUDE, as he stated, in approximately the last ten years. He does not know a MARK H. KROLL and has not made any sales to him that he is aware of.
Epicure Market, 1656 Alton Road, Miami Beach, Florida, was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the interview. He provided the following information:

He handles the yacht supplies for the market and even though the yacht "Chanticleer" is familiar to him, he cannot remember filling an order for them within the past year. He is familiar with the name EVINRUDE as he is the owner of the yacht "Chanticleer", and he has no recollection of selling any supplies to Mr. EVINRUDE. The name KROLL is unfamiliar to him and he has no recollection of making any sales to a MARK H. KROLL.
Epicure Market,
1656 Alton Road, Miami Beach, Florida, telephone number
was advised of the identity of the interviewing
Agents and the nature of the interview. She provided
the following information:

The Epicure Market is a supermarket-delicatessen-
catering service, which sells to individuals as well as
organizations and yachts. She has heard of the yacht
"Chanticleer", which is based in the Fort Pierce, Florida,
area. She checked her records for the period April 18
to April 30, 1975 and was unable to find any purchase
order for supplies for the yacht "Chanticleer" or under
the name of EVINRUDE or KROLL. Neither of these three
have charge accounts with the Epicure Market and there
is no record of a cash sale to them. She was unable
to locate the sales record for the date April 26, 1975
but by checking her profit and loss statement, she advised
that April 26, 1975 was a normal Saturday and if any
sales were made to a yacht, it was a very nominal amount.
Usually, if a yacht buys supplies there will be a large
increase in the meat sales for that particular day.
For example, on April 18, 1975, the yacht "Hilandes"
purchased supplies from the market and the meat sales
jumped approximately $3,000 for that day.

If someone came into the store and took a
grocery cart, went around the store, picked up the items
they might want, and put them in the basket, they would
check out at a regular check-out counter and there would
be no record of the sale other than the cash register
tape and this would be impossible to identify as a sale
to an individual or a yacht. She advised that when
she located the sales tickets for April 26, 1975, she
would notify the Federal Bureau of Investigation, as
there was a sale to the "Chanticleer", EVINRUDE, or
KROLL.
Florida, after being placed under oath, and after
being advised of the nature and purpose of the interview,
furnished the following information:

He is employed as the [ ] at the Outrigger
Resort and Motel, which is located at 905 S. Indian
River Drive, Jensen Beach, Florida. This establishment is
owned and operated by FRANCES LANGFORD and her husband, RALPH
EVINRUDE. Mr. and Mrs. EVINRUDE were both out of the
U.S. on November 19, 1975, but were expected to return
during the weekend of November 22, 1975.

RALPH EVINRUDE owns a yacht which is called the
Chanticleer, a 112 foot vessel whose home berth is the
yacht basin at the Outrigger Resort. When this yacht is
at Jensen Beach, it is docked in berth 27 in the Outrigger
Yacht Basin.

advised that his log which he
maintains on a daily basis indicated that the Chanticleer
arrived in Jensen Beach, on April 22, 1975, and did not
leave until after the first of May. He stated the first
entry indicating that the berth normally occupied by the
Chanticleer, that is berth 27, was empty, was May 2, 1975,
and he is sure it did not leave more than one day prior
to that time.

stated that he had no recollection
of any preparations for a proposed voyage by the Chanticleer
on or about April 25, 1975. He said he would be likely to
remember any activity at that time since his own birthday
happens to be April 24, and any activities taking place
on or about that date would be easy for him to remember. He
stated that since he has no recollection of any preparations
for departure by the Chanticleer, its captain or crew,
or Mr. and Mrs. EVINRUDE, since his log reflects no such
activity or proposed activity, he is certain that he was
not informed of any such plans.

advised that to the best of his
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knowledge, the Chanticleer is never chartered by anyone. It is for the private use of Mr. EVINRUDE and his family and personal friends and is used exclusively for that purpose.
Mr. RALPH EVINRUDE, Jensen Beach, Florida, was interviewed and placed under oath by SA in the presence of SA W. JAMES FRANKLIN. He furnished the following information:

He advised that he is the sole owner of the ship Chanticleer and that she has never been chartered from him since he purchased it approximately 22 years ago.

He advised that the only trip that the ship made in April of 1975, was to Mexico, and he believed that they returned about the latter part of April, to Jensen Beach, Florida, and after a few days, the ship was taken to the Jones Boat Yard in Miami, Florida.

Mr. EVINRUDE permitted the of the Chanticleer, to provide the log book for the ship for review by SA.

Mr. EVINRUDE advised that he does not personally know Mr. DONALD ALEXANDER nor is he acquainted with any of the following:

AMBROSE LINDHORST
MARK H. KROLL
ALVIN BARKER
MARVIN WARNER

In addition, he advised that he has never been closely associated with anyone from the Cincinnati, Ohio area, and does not know any businessmen in Cincinnati, well enough that they could even request passage on his ship.

The following was obtained from interview:

Name: RALPH EVINRUDE
Residence: Jensen Beach, Florida
Business: Chairman of the Board,
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Outboard Marine Corporation

Business phone 287-0688
Residence phone 287-2183
was interviewed onboard the Chanticleer. was placed under oath by SA in the presence of SA W. JAMES FRANKLIN and furnished the following information:

advised that he has been the of the Chanticleer, which is owned by Mr. RALPH EVINRUDE of Jensen Beach, Florida, for approximately 7 years. He advised that he recalls their returning from Mexico in April of 1975, and furnished the log book for the ship, which in fact reflects they returned and were docked at the Langford Marina, on April 22, 1975, arriving from Mexico. The next notation in the log book reflects that the Chanticleer left at 8:10 a.m. on April 29, 1975, en route to Jones Boat Yard, Miami, Florida.

also advised that they arrived at Jones Boat Yard on April 30, 1975, and the boat was in dry dock at that location until June 12, 1975.

advised that the boat has never been chartered since he has been the and does not believe that it has ever been chartered by anyone since Mr. EVINRUDE owned it. He also advised that he is not familiar with anyone by the name of DONALD ALEXANDER, AMBROSE LINDHORST, MARK H. KROLL, ALVIN BARKER, MARVIN WARNER, or

advised that at the time the boat went to Mexico, the following were guests onboard the Chanticleer:

Mr. and Mrs. RALPH EVINRUDE. He stated that these people are personal friends of Mr. and Mrs. EVINRUDE and he has known them for some time.

He also advised that the Chanticleer is capable of leaving the Langford Marina, at Jensen Beach, Florida, at either high or low tide and in addition advised that he
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has no recollection of any prepared trip in April of 1975, when the trip was cancelled. He also stated that he is personally responsible for purchasing most of the ship's stores and supplies and the Chanticleer has never done business with the Epicurean Market.

The following was obtained from interview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of the private ship Chanticleer, owned by Mr. RALPH EVINRUDE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. Lauderdale, Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>287-0688</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"Chanticleer", was interviewed onboard the ship. He was placed under oath by SA in the presence of SA W. JAMES FRANKLIN and furnished the following information:

He advised that he has been the for the Chanticleer for 18 years and has worked for Mr. RALPH EVINRUDE for that period of time.

He stated that most of the visitors or guests that Mr. EVINRUDE has onboard the Chanticleer are known by him but stated that none of the following names are familiar to him:

DONALD C. ALEXANDER
MARK H. KROLL
ALVIN BARKER
MARVIN WARNER

He also advised that he was not familiar with any trip that the Chanticleer had anticipated making in April, as they only returned from Mexico the latter part of April, and went into dry dock a few days later.

The following was obtained from interview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Residence</th>
<th>Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aboard the</td>
<td>Miami, Florida</td>
<td>287-0688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ship Chanticleer, was interviewed at the Langford Marine onboard the Chanticleer. He was placed under oath by SA in the presence of SA W. JAMES FRANKLIN and furnished the following information:

He advised that he has been employed by Mr. RALPH EVINRUDE as on the ship Chanticleer for the past 21 years. He stated that he is not aware of any intended trip in April, the Chanticleer was going to take but was later cancelled.

He also advised that the names DONALD ALEXANDER, AMBROSE LINDHORST, MARK H. KROLL, ALVIN BARKER, MARVIN WARNER, or were not known to him.

He also stated that most of the guests that come onboard the Chanticleer are friends or relatives of Mr. and Mrs. EVINRUDE and have travelled with them on many occasions and he knows most of them by their first and last names. None of the above people have ever been aboard the Chanticleer to his knowledge.

In addition, he advised that since he has been of the Chanticleer, Mr. EVINRUDE has never chartered it to anyone.

The following was obtained from interview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Residence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business address</td>
<td>Langford Marina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business phone</td>
<td>Jensen Beach, Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>287-0688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ship Chanticleer, was interviewed onboard the ship, Jensen Beach, Florida. He was placed under oath by SA in the presence of SA W. JAMES FRANKLIN and furnished the following information:

advised that he has been onboard the Chanticleer for the past 18 years and has worked for Mr. RALPH EVINRUDE, who owns the ship for that period of time.

He advised that he does not recall any trip that was planned by the Chanticleer or her crew in April, which was not taken and advised that upon their return from Mexico, the latter part of April, they were in port for only a few days and went directly to dry dock in Miami, Florida.

also advised that he is not familiar with the names DONALD C. ALEXANDER, MARK H. KROLL, ALVIN BARKER, MARVIN WARNER, or...

also advised that in the past 18 years, Mr. EVINRUDE has invited many people onboard the Chanticleer for short cruises and some long cruises and during this period of time he has become acquainted with most of their guests and does not recall any of the aforementioned people ever being aboard the ship at any time. He stated that he was positive of this in view of the fact that Mr. EVINRUDE always introduces his guests to each member of the crew and after they have made one or more trips with the EVINRUDES, they become quite friendly and on a first name basis.

The following was obtained from interview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Home address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jensen Beach, Florida</td>
<td>Miami 58-486</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Home telephone
Business address
Business telephone

Jensen Beach, Florida
Langford Marina
Jensen Beach, Florida
287-0688
Internal Security, Inspection Branch, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Cincinnati, Ohio, furnished the following information:

stated that on April 29, 1975, he was the acting in the Cincinnati Division of IRS. On this date at about noon time, received a telephone call from JAMES QUINN, Chief, Investigations Branch, Inspection, IRS, Washington, D. C. QUINN told that he wanted the Cincinnati Office of the IRS to surveil AMBROSE LINDHORST. A surveillance of LINDHORST was to be on Thursday, May 1, 1975, and Friday, May 2, 1975. QUINN informed that the surveillance should start early in the morning of May 1 and last until LINDHORST went to bed. On May 2 the surveillance was to be conducted from the early morning until the early afternoon. QUINN further requested that all manifests for all flights be obtained for flights from Miami, Florida, to Cincinnati, Ohio, and from Cincinnati, Ohio, to Miami, Florida, for the period May 1-4, 1975. QUINN told that no written report was necessary and that the results of the surveillance should be reported telephonically.

was also given three names to check on the manifests obtained from the airlines. believed that the names were AL BARKER, and MARVIN WARNER. stated that he received no specific instructions as to the reason for the surveillance of LINDHORST.

stated that on the next morning, April 30, 1975, informed IRS, of the phone call received from JAMES QUINN. then called QUINN and was given the same set of facts that had received the day before. The purpose of the surveillance was not revealed by QUINN. It was during this conversation that either or mentioned that the Commissioner of the IRS, DONALD ALEXANDER, would be in Cincinnati for a speaking engagement.

stated that he felt that when QUINN heard
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this, he was somewhat surprised that ALEXANDER was going to be in Cincinnati on May 1, 1975. stated, however, that QUINN did not mention that there was any tie-in between the surveillance of LINDHORST and the appearance of ALEXANDER in Cincinnati.

stated that the manifests for all flights from Miami, Florida, to Cincinnati, Ohio, were obtained from the airlines. These manifests were reviewed and it was determined that the names of MARVIN WARNER and AL BARKER were not on them. These manifests along with the surveillance records were sent to Inspection Branch of the IRS in Washington, D. C.

stated that approximately 7:00 A.M. on May 1, 1975, the surveillance of LINDHORST started. The surveillance was terminated on this date at about 10:00 P.M. On May 2, 1975, the surveillance again started at approximately 7:00 A.M. at LINDHORST's home in Cincinnati and was terminated at approximately 2:00 P.M. in the afternoon. The agents conducting the surveillance attempted to identify the people that LINDHORST had contact with during the period of the surveillance.

stated that the agents involved in this surveillance were puzzled as to the reason for it. It was assumed by and other agents conducting this surveillance that the surveillance of LINDHORST was for the purpose of determining if LINDHORST met with BARKER, WARNER, or . stated that he is relatively certain that LINDHORST did not meet with any of these three individuals, since the IRS identified most of the individuals that LINDHORST had contact with during the period of this surveillance. stated that efforts were not made to identify individuals that had contact with LINDHORST at his office during this period.

stated that after the surveillance and were contacted by an made inquiry as to the reason for the surveillance and requested any documentation that showed the results of the surveillance. stated that the only documentation that existed in the Cincinnati Office of the IRS was a
control card that showed that a surveillance was conducted on LINDHORST on May 1-2, 1975. The agents who conducted the surveillance reconstructed the events of that two-day period for the information of [redacted]. Notes pertaining to the reconstruction of the surveillance are in the possession of [redacted] and were used as a reference in this interview.

[redacted] stated that it was at the request of [redacted] that the IRS reviewed the Cincinnati Enquirer newspaper to determine why ALEXANDER was in Cincinnati. It was determined from the Enquirer that ALEXANDER was in Cincinnati on Law Day to give a speech at the University of Cincinnati.

[redacted] stated that he could furnish no further information on this matter.
MARVIN L. WARNER was interviewed at his residence, 1071 Celestial Street, Cincinnati, Ohio, and furnished the following sworn signed statement:

"November 24, 1975
Cincinnati, Ohio

"I, Marvin L. Warner, being duly sworn hereby make the following sworn free and voluntary statement to ___________ who has identified himself to me as a Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).

"I do not know anything about a boat trip from Miami, Florida to the Bahamas on the weekend of April 25, 26 and 27 with Mark Kroll, Ambrose Lindhorst, Al Barker, or Donald Alexander. A trip was never planned or contemplated by me on those dates or any other date. The first I became aware or heard of such a trip was when the FBI contacted me on November 24, 1975.

"I know of no attempt by Mark Kroll or anyone else to have his taxes "taken care of" by Donald Alexander. I know nothing about any allegations involving Mr. Alexander and Mr. Kroll or anyone else.

"I have read this statement and it is true and correct.

"Marvin Warner

"Sworn to and subscribed before me on 11/24/75 at Cincinnati, Ohio
Special Agent of the FBI, Cincinnati, Ohio 11/24/75"
WARNER stated that he knows MARK KROLL very casually. WARNER stated that a year or two ago he saw KROLL in Miami, Florida. WARNER stated that KROLL was residing at the Carriage House, where WARNER also has an apartment. WARNER stated that a year or two ago, WARNER cannot recall any more exactly the date, he was waiting for a car when he saw KROLL. WARNER was surprised at how much KROLL had aged. WARNER spoke to KROLL for a few minutes and went on his way.

WARNER stated that he is aware from newspaper clippings that KROLL was convicted for a stock swindle and sentenced to ten years in prison. WARNER on several occasions when KROLL was residing in Cincinnati, met him at social events. This however, was the extent of their relationship.

WARNER stated that he knows of DONALD ALEXANDER, who represented [blurred] when [blurred] and WARNER broke up their partnership several years ago. WARNER also met ALEXANDER at social functions held in Cincinnati prior to the time that ALEXANDER became Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service. WARNER has not met or talked to ALEXANDER since he became Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service.

WARNER stated that he was in partnership with [blurred] but that this partnership was dissolved years ago. WARNER stated that his relationship with is "strained" from the dissolution of the partnership. WARNER stated that his relationship is such with [blurred] that he would not be going on any type of fishing trip with him.

WARNER stated that he knows AMBROSE LINDHORST. WARNER, however, never planned a trip to Florida with LINDHORST, BARKER, KROLL or ALEXANDER for the weekend of April 25-27, 1975. WARNER stated that the allegation that he was to be part of a party going to the Bahamas on this weekend is completely false.

WARNER checked his calendar and stated that on April 26, 1975, he was attending a wedding in Cincinnati, Ohio. The following day, Sunday, April 27, 1975, he was in Lexington, Kentucky, attending horse races.
Internal Revenue Service, Room 8514, U. S. Federal Building, after being advised of the official identity of the interviewing Agent and the purpose of the interview, provided the following information:

advised that he was contacted by and told to conduct a surveillance on AMBROSE LINDHORST for a period of two days on May 1 and 2, 1975. advised that he was not told of the exact purpose of the surveillance other than to report anyone that LINDHORST met with on those two days. advised that he was told of the possibility of LINDHORST meeting three individuals coming from the southeastern section of the United States, but further stated DONALD ALEXANDER was not mentioned specifically to him.

advised that the surveillance began on May 1, 1975, with inspectors of the IRS surveilling LINDHORST from the time he departed his residence until the time he returned to bed that night. advised that this procedure was also followed on May 2, 1975. He stated that at no time during the surveillance did they lose LINDHORST.

advised that his original instructions from were to forward all surveillance logs to and to maintain nothing in the field office.

advised that the coordinator of the surveillance was

Interviewed on 11/18/75 at Cincinnati, Ohio File # 58-259

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to you agency, it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Internal Revenue Service, Federal Building, advised that he caused a search of his office for any reports pertaining to DONALD ALEXANDER and AMBROSE LINDHORST. This review failed to disclose any investigative reports pertaining to these two individuals.
Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Federal Building, after being advised of the official identity of the interviewing Agent and the purpose of the interview, provided the following information:

Advised that he would have a review conducted to determine if there were any investigative reports maintained at the Cincinnati Office of the IRS regarding either DONALD ALEXANDER or AMBROSE LINDHORST. Advised that to the best of his recollection, no investigative reports are available, but that he would verify this and recontact the Cincinnati Office of the FBI.

Advised that Room 8514, the room of the IRS, would be able to provide a list of inspectors conducting a physical surveillance on May 1 and 2, 1975, regarding this matter.
TO: SAC, WFO (58-1540)  
FROM: SAC, CINCINNATI (58-259) (P)  
SUBJECT: DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, Aka., Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service, BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST (00:WFO)  

Re WFO teletype to Bureau, 12/4/75.  
Enclosed for WFO are two copies each of the following FD-302s of and MARVIN L. WARNER.  

LEADS:  
CINCINNATI  
AT CINCINNATI, OHIO  
Upon receipt of authorization from WFO, will interview AMBROSE LINDHORST.  
2-WFO (Enc. 10)  
2-Cincinnati  
JLR:lc b  
(4)
One area of significance in this investigation is a possible boat trip from Jensen's Beach, Florida, which the subject allegedly planned to make with several other individuals on 4/26/75. To determine if the subject had airline reservations from the Washington D.C. area to Miami, Florida, which were subsequently canceled, the writer contacted numerous airlines to determine what airlines fly from the Washington, D.C., area to Miami and what was necessary to determine if these airlines have a record of canceled reservations. The results of these checks are as follows:

FROM BALTIMORE - WASHINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TO MIAMI, FLORIDA

National Airlines - makes daily flights to Miami with a stop off at Washington National Airport.

Eastern Airlines - makes daily non-stop flights to Miami.

FROM WASHINGTON NATIONAL AIRPORT TO MIAMI, FLORIDA

National Airlines - makes daily non-stop flights to Miami.

Eastern Airlines - makes daily non-stop flights to Miami.

FROM DULLES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TO MIAMI, FLORIDA

Southern Airlines - has one flight daily. Leaves at 11:30 a.m. Makes five stops in route and arrives in Miami at 5:38 p.m.

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
Braniff International - makes non-daily flights to Miami, however, the passenger would have to be continuing on to South America to travel to Miami on a Braniff flight.

In addition to the above carriers, Delta Airlines would be a remote possibility inasmuch as Delta has flights from the Washington, D.C. area to Atlanta, Georgia. After changing planes in Atlanta, a passenger can continue on to Miami, Florida, aboard a Delta plane.

Concerning records for canceled ticket reservations the following was determined for the various airlines:

1. **Eastern Airlines**

   Contact: Eastern Airlines Corporation, Central Files, Miami International Airport, Miami, Florida, 33148.

2. **National Airlines**

   Contact: National Airlines, Box 592055AMF, Miami, Florida, 33159. (Telephone number 305-874-3160).

3. **Delta Airlines**

   It is noted that Delta does not have a direct flight to Miami. Their flight from this area would terminate in Atlanta and after a change of planes you could continue on to Miami. If it is necessary to check Delta Airlines for canceled ticket records, call any supervisor at 920-5500 and they will take the information and send the proper forms to their computer center.

4. **Southern Airlines**

   Contact the Southern Airlines data center in the Scott Hudgins Building, Atlanta airport.

5. **Braniff International**

   As indicated above, Braniff International does have flights from Dulles International to Miami but the passenger would have to be continuing on a Braniff plane to South America in order to board a Braniff airplane landing in Miami. In view of the above, no attempt was made to determine the procedure for determining information concerning canceled ticket reservations.
In summary, it would appear that either Eastern Airlines or National Airlines would be the most logical choice for a person flying from the Washington area to Miami. Southern Airlines would appear to be a poor choice in that it makes five stops in route and is a six hour trip.
At the request of Deputy Secretary Gardner of Treasury, the Justice Department has been asked to expand the current investigation of Mr. Alexander to include allegations of improper conduct, either to protect close associates or for any other reason, in connection with "Project Haven." Your assistance in this expanded investigation is requested.

As a point of departure, we suggest that

the Bureau make contact with

[Blank]

telephone

[Blank]

has previously been contacted by Departmental attorney [Blank] and will turn over to the Bureau copies of IRS inspection reports relating to "Project Haven."

Coordination of this investigation, at least insofar as it pertains to allegations of improper conduct by Mr. Alexander, is being handled within the General Crimes Section of the Criminal Division. Your contact point within that Section is attorney [Blank], telephone [Blank].
To: SAC, WFO (58-1540)

From: Director, FBI (58-9248)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka COMMISSIONER, IRS
BRIbery; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Enclosed for WFO is one copy of a letter dated 12/3/75 from the Deputy Attorney General in which the Department, at the request of the Deputy Secretary of the Treasury, has requested our current investigation to include allegations of improper conduct of Alexander to protect close associates in connection with "Project Haven." The Departmental Attorney handling this matter has advised that contact should be made with [internal Revenue Service (IRS)] [IRS Inspector General], who will make available IRS inspection reports relating to "Project Haven."

It is noted our investigation will be limited to allegations of improper conduct on the part of Mr. Alexander.

A review of Bureau indices, as requested by WFO, did not locate anything pertinent to our current investigation concerning Ambrose Lindhorst, or Mark H. Kroll. It is noted that one Marvin L. Warner, operating as Construction Company, were the subjects of a fraud against the Government investigation conducted in 1952 and 1953. This investigation was based on allegations that the Construction Company furnished false construction estimate figures to the Federal Housing Administration.

Enclosure
Airtel to SAC, WFO
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka

Administration (FHA). The United States Attorney (USA), St. Louis, Missouri, declined prosecution in April, 1953.

Warner and [ ____ ] were also the subjects of an FHA investigation in 1954 alleging false statements had been furnished to FHA to the effect that the land on which a housing development was being constructed by Warner and [ ____ ] was free and clear of encumbrances, when in fact, this land was the subject of a previous mortgage. The USA, St. Louis, Missouri, advised that prosecution was not warranted in that case.

It is noted that [ ____ ] was the subject of a Discrimination in Housing investigation in 1972 in which civil action was taken by the Department. Warner was also the subject of a special inquiry of which WFO is already aware.
On November 25, 1975, WILLIAM E. WILLIAMS, Deputy Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service was interviewed in his office. Prior to interview WILLIAMS was advised of the nature of the inquiry and orally advised of his rights as contained on an "Interrogation: Advice of Rights" form by Special Agent.

The information provided by WILLIAMS was transcribed, typed into a signed statement and delivered to WILLIAMS on November 28, 1975.

On December 8, 1975, WILLIAMS signed the following statement in the presence of Special Agent.

"Washington, D.C.

"I, WILLIAM E. WILLIAMS, make the following voluntary statement to ___________ and ___________, who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Special Agent ______ has advised me of my rights and I have read and signed an "Interrogation: Advice of Rights Form".

"I am currently serving as the Deputy Commissioner of the Internal Revenue Service and have held that position since May 1, 1974.

"After conferring with my secretary and examining my appointment calendar, I have determined that on the morning of April 10, 1975, __________, the ___________for the Internal Revenue Service, telephoned and requested to see me about an important matter. Upon his arrival in my office, __________ handed me an enveloped which contained a two-page handwritten memorandum prepared by a special agent of the Internal Revenue Service assigned to the South Florida area. The memorandum contained information from an unnamed informant and __________ however, it did not to the best of my recollection. I can't recall specifically what information in the memo caused me to conclude that the ___________ at __________, __________.
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"My initial reaction, after reading the memorandum, was that there was insufficient evidence present to begin a full-scale investigation into the matter. I anticipated that a full-scale investigation would make a number of people aware of these rather vague allegations and could do a great deal of harm to the Internal Revenue Service and the Commissioner. My reaction was that there should be more specific information available as to possible misconduct in administering the tax laws before starting a full-scale investigation. It is my recollection that the memo did not contain any allegations covering any aspects of tax administration.

and I were also very concerned as to why the information contained in the memorandum was kept in our Florida office for approximately four months before being brought to the Inspection Division's attention. It is a procedure, which I believe to be well known, in the Internal Revenue Service that any employee who receives allegations of misconduct concerning any employee must bring those allegations directly to the attention of the Inspection Division.

"After considerable discussion, recommended and I concurred that we should conduct a preliminary investigation by making discreet inquiry of the special agent, his immediate supervisor, and any other persons having knowledge of this matter. Our investigation should also review any additional information regarding this matter, as well as attempting to determine more about the informant's credibility except that. Any additional information developed could possibly be helpful in determining the future course of this matter."
"Before making a final decision, I felt the need for additional guidance. I telephoned BURKE WILLSEY, the Assistant to the Commissioner, and asked him to join me. WILLSEY is an attorney and I have found his judgment to be good and considered him to be a trustworthy individual. WILLSEY, prior to becoming an employee of the Internal Revenue Service, was employed as an attorney at the Department of the Treasury in the Tax Policy Division. WILLSEY had a career appointment in IRS and probably had 7 or 8 years of Federal service. To the best of my knowledge, WILLSEY and Commissioner ALEXANDER knew each other prior to their appointments in IRS. The Commissioner has previously served as a consultant to the Secretary of the Treasury and has been very active in activities of the Tax Section of the American Bar Association. I assume they probably met as a result of these activities.

"It would be difficult to answer the question of who had the closest working relationship with the Commissioner since several officials had daily contact and it would probably depend upon the particular program or problem at the moment. I would see the Commissioner every day, when we were both in the office, and the time could vary from 15 minutes to 1 hour or several hours in various meetings. The same would be true with the Assistant to the Commissioner and Public Affairs Officer. The Commissioner is involved in all programs and would also have considerable contact with the Assistant Commissioners and Chief Counsel. I would guess that the Deputy Commissioner, Assistant to the Commissioner and Public Affairs Officer, and not necessarily in that order, would have the most contact with the Commissioner.

"WILLSEY read the memorandum and expressed some of the same concerns as I had been discussing such as the lack of specific allegations and the credibility of the informant. After additional discussion it was generally agreed that Inspection would conduct a preliminary investigation along the lines of our previous discussion in an attempt to determine if there were any allegations material to the Commissioner's responsibilities and which would require a full-scale investigation. I instructed to assign his best investigators to the case and to use Washington, D.C., personnel rather than the local inspection personnel in
South Florida. As in the case of [redacted] and myself, WILLSEY indicated no knowledge of any of the persons mentioned in the memorandum. WILLSEY has a good knowledge of lawyers throughout the country and we thought that he might have some knowledge which could be helpful.

"Both [redacted] and myself made it clear that in no way should the contents of the memorandum be discussed with the Commissioner. There was a discussion as to whether it might be helpful to casually mention the names contained in the memo to the Commissioner to see if he had any knowledge of them which might shed some light on the rather indefinite and non-specific information received from the informant. The point should be made that it is not an unusual practice for members of the Commissioner's staff to check names of individuals or businesses who may have tax matters presently before the Internal Revenue Service and determine if any conflict of interest might be present as a result of the Commissioner's prior legal practice before coming to IRS. In fact, the Commissioner has issued instructions in an effort to avoid any conflict of interest problems. With the passing of time I cannot recall specifically any conclusion reached on this question but the discussion indicated that if it were done it should be in a manner whereby no mention would be made of the upcoming investigation or the informant's communication. It is my understanding that WILLSEY casually mentioned the names in the presence of the Commissioner and I do not recall the specific time when I learned of this action.

"We discussed the procedures for the investigation and the fact that the matter should probably be brought to the attention of the Deputy Secretary. It was generally agreed that if the preliminary investigation developed information requiring further inquiry or if additional significant information was received then the Deputy Secretary would be advised.

"Approximately a week to ten days after the meeting with [redacted] and WILLSEY, I received a call from Deputy Secretary of the Treasury STEPHEN GARDNER and immediately went to his office, where I met with GARDNER and the [redacted] of the Department of the Treasury, [redacted]."
GARDNER told me he had come to him recently and told him of the allegations in the original memorandum plus additional information which caused [ ] to feel that a full-scale investigation should be instituted regarding the Commissioner's activities. GARDNER seemed to question in his own mind as to whether the allegations warranted such an investigation but, based on [ ] recommendation and discussion with [ ] it was felt that this was the proper course of action. It was my impression that GARDNER had discussed the matter with the Secretary. GARDNER also indicated that he had notified Mr. LEVI, the Attorney General, regarding the investigation. He also mentioned the possibility that the Commissioner should be advised generally of the investigation but was uncertain. If so, it should be done by either the Secretary or himself. No decision was reached.

"I departed the meeting and upon returning to IRS contacted [ ] and WILSEY and discussed my meeting with GARDNER and [ ] and the possibility that GARDNER or the Secretary may advise the Commissioner of the investigation being instituted. We felt that the Commissioner should not be notified of the allegations or the investigation and we met with GARDNER and [ ] later in the day and expressed our concern. GARDNER stated that he appreciated our concern and would give the matter further attention. It is my recollection that GARDNER stated that if it was decided to advise the Commissioner he would certainly let us know. I heard nothing further from GARDNER on this subject. It was decided that [ ] would work directly with GARDNER during the investigation.

"I do not recall any further contact on this matter until June or July, 1975, when [ ] advised me that he sent a report of his investigation directly to GARDNER and GARDNER had sent the report to the Attorney General. [ ] also advised that the report came back from the Justice Department, indicating that they had found no evidence which warranted further investigation and that the matter could be closed.

"Probably in August of 1975, [ ] advised me that the Vanik Subcommittee of the House Ways and Means Committee was going to look into the allegations and review Inspection's report. I am not sure but to the best of my knowledge the
mattered was to be coordinated by either Mr. GARDNER or [Redacted] and either [Redacted] or WILLSEY would be present for the various meetings with staff members of the Ways and Means Committee and the Vanik Subcommittee. During the week of September 22, 1975, [Redacted] discussed with me a copy of a letter from Chairman ULLMAN to Secretary SIMON. This letter raised some questions about the adequacy of Inspection's investigation and suggested that the matter should probably be referred back to the Department of Justice for further consideration. I suggested that he should coordinate the matter with GARDNER or [Redacted]. I learned that an attorney, in the Justice Department, was designated as the coordinator of this review and [Redacted] would be in consultation with him. I had little or no further contacts concerning this matter.

"It is my understanding that Commissioner ALEXANDER was officially advised of the investigation by Secretary SIMON on September 25, 1975. Sometime during the week of September 29, 1975, the Commissioner made a brief comment to me concerning his meeting with the Secretary. I told the Commissioner that I was pleased that the matter had been discussed with him and that a favorable result had been reached. In my judgment, the Commissioner showed little or no emotion and gave no indication of wanting to discuss the matter further.

"I have determined that our manual of procedures contains no information as to the procedures to be followed when allegations may be received concerning the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. The information in question came to the Inspection officials and [Redacted] brought it to my attention on April 10, 1975. This action resulted in a thorough discussion between MESSRS. [Redacted] and WILLSEY and myself. This discussion resulted in a decision to begin a preliminary investigation in an attempt to obtain any further available information as to the allegations and the credibility of the informant. Our judgment was that it was premature to bring this preliminary information to the attention of the Deputy Secretary. Upon receipt of additional information, the matter was brought to Deputy Secretary GARDNER's attention with a recommendation for a full-scale investigation. This investigation was conducted under the general direction
of with Deputy Secretary GARDNER being kept informed. The Deputy Secretary was fully informed of all of the significant features of the investigation by and GARDNER also notified the Attorney General. The investigation report, prepared by the Inspection Service, was forwarded to the Deputy Secretary in late June, 1975, and promptly sent to the Attorney General for review. The report was subsequently returned and the investigation was determined to be satisfactory. I would conclude, that based on the information available, IRS and Treasury officials used sound judgment in handling the case in the absence of specific manual guidelines. I had no significant involvement with this matter after the meeting with Mr. GARDNER in April, 1975, until the discussion of Chairman ULLMAN's letter of September 19, 1975.

"I have read the above statement consisting of this page and 8 additional pages and now sign it because it is true.

"/s/ WILLIAM E. WILLIAMS 12/8/75"

"Witness: SA, FBI, WDC, 12/8/75."
Division, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Washington, D. C., was contacted concerning allegations of possible wrongdoing on the part of Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER after being advised of the identity of the interviewing Agents and the nature of the inquiry and of the fact that possibly his actions in the conducting of the original IRS investigation concerning these alleged wrongdoings on the part of Commissioner ALEXANDER could possibly constitute an Obstruction of Justice on part. Thereafter was advised of his Constitutional Rights by Special Agent (SA) RICHARD W. HOUSTON by reading him a form entitled "Interrogation; Advice of Rights." was then provided with a form which he stated he read, understood and signed. Thereafter was interviewed concerning his actions regarding the investigation of the Commissioner and his knowledge of the allegations against the Commissioner. The details of that interview were put in the form of a signed statement which was given to for his review and he made necessary corrections which he stated he felt added clarity and detail to the statement and he signed the new statement on December 9, 1975, in the presence of SA HOUSTON. The following is the signed statement:

"Washington, D. C.

I, , make the following voluntary sworn statement to RICHARD W. HOUSTON and , who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Special Agent HOUSTON has warned me of my rights and I have waived them as shown on an executed Warning and Waiver form.

"I am currently serving as , Internal Revenue Service, Washington, D. C. and in that position I oversaw an internal Internal Revenue Service 

11/17/75, 11/18/75

12/9/75

Washington, D. C.
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investigation regarding allegations made against DONALD C. ALEXANDER, Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service, Washington, D. C., by an Internal Revenue Service informant in the Miami area.

"After reviewing the draft statement of a memorandum I made on November 18, 1975 to Mr. HOUSTON and Mr. [blank], I found that some statements are not complete. I also have had an opportunity to refresh my memory and review documents and dates available to me. I want to make this statement as complete and accurate as possible; however, changes made here follow the same format as the draft statement prepared by Mr. HOUSTON and Mr. [blank].

"During March of 1975, some of the investigators from the Inspection Service were in the Miami, Florida, area doing an internal investigation of an Internal Revenue Service project entitled "Operation Leprechaun". This internal investigation was being coordinated by [name] and was being supervised by members of his staff. I was advised by [name] that on March 19, 1975, [name], Miami Post of Duty, advised inspector [name] that he had a document in his possession. [name] took a pink envelope from a safe to review and may have allowed me to read the contents according to a note on the pink envelope which I saw at a later date. [name] advised me to only let [name] view the contents.

"According to the note on the envelope, on March 21, 1975, [name], read the contents of the pink envelope, and it again was sealed and put back in the safe. On March 24, 25 or 26, 1975, I cannot remember which and have no records to document this, I received a call from [name] who briefly advised me of the contents of the envelope over the telephone.
"On April 8, 1975, I was returning to Washington, D. C. from Miami, and asked him to hand deliver the pink envelope and its contents to me in Washington, D. C., which I did on April 9, 1975. I read the contents on April 9, 1975. When I opened the envelope it contained two stapled sheets of lined note paper 8 x 18 1/2 with handwritten notes. The top of first sheet was headed with the code name of a confidential informant.

"I took no action on April 9, 1975, but on April 10, 1975, I decided to discuss this matter with WILLIAM WILLIAMS, Deputy Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service, Washington, D. C. On April 10, 1975 I met with Mr. WILLIAMS in his office in Washington, D. C., and showed him the contents of the pink envelope. Mr. WILLIAMS felt that we should call BURKE WILLSEY, Commissioner ALEXANDER's assistant and discuss the matter with him. I told Mr. WILLIAMS that I did not feel it necessary to contact Mr. WILLSEY at this point, because I felt as few people as possible should know of the contents. Mr. WILLIAMS decided to ask Mr. WILLSEY to come in and he did come in and viewed the contents of the pink envelope.

"At the April 10, 1975 meeting with Mr. WILLIAMS, the Deputy Commissioner, and Mr. WILLSEY, assistant to the Commissioner, I do not recall how it was decided that Mr. WILLSEY would mention the names in the informant's document to the Commissioner. I believe the names were unknown to us and their identity would be helpful in an investigation. All three of us agreed that the Commissioner should not be told of any details in the handwritten memorandum or be informed of any investigation undertaken. However, I do not recall agreeing that this matter be discussed with the Commissioner. At that meeting I informed Mr. WILLIAMS that an investigation would be made by Inspection Service and that Treasury Department would be informed and he agreed."
"I wish to point out that there was very limited information available to us. At this point in time all we had was informant information that indicated that Commissioner ALEXANDER might know or be acquainted with named individuals in some manner. All we had was this allegation and names of individuals which did not mean anything to us.

"On April 10, 1975, BURKE WILLSEY returned to my office with some notes he made about Commissioner ALEXANDER's comments to him regarding the individuals mentioned in the information in the pink envelope.

"It was discussed at the April 10, 1975 meeting with Mr. WILLIAMS that Internal Revenue Inspection Service would start an investigation into this matter without informing ALEXANDER. This decision was my decision and agreed to by Mr. WILLIAMS. Shortly after the April 10 meeting, I discussed the informant's document with members of my staff and it was decided to card an investigation and make initial probes in the Miami area. Mr. JAMES QUINN of my staff was to direct the investigation.

"According to two memorandums dated April 14, 1975 and received by me at an unknown later date, Internal Revenue Service Agents in Miami received information from the informant...

"As indicated below, on April 18, 1975, Internal Revenue Service Agents, in Miami, received information... This information was again provided by the same informant."
"I do not recall the exact date the informant's information of [_____] was received by me. It was just before April 21, 1975, the date I first informed Deputy Secretary GARDNER of this matter.

"On April 21, 1975 I informed Deputy Secretary GARDNER of the matter. At this discussion he was told about the informant's information I received on April 9th and the informant's information of [_____] that I had just received. He was also told of my meeting with Mr. WILLIAMS and Mr. WILLSEY and of Mr. WILLSEY's notes of the Commissioner's response to the names of the informant's debriefing memorandum. He agreed that the investigation should be conducted. He also agreed that the Commissioner should not be informed of the investigation. He said he wanted to talk the matter over with [_____] Treasury and Secretary Treasury SIMON.

"On April 22, 1975, I received a call from Mr. WILLIAMS to come to his office. I believe Mr. WILLSEY was in his office when I arrived. Mr. WILLIAMS had received a call from Mr. GARDNER, Deputy Secretary, concerning my visit the day before. There was some concern at Treasury about whether the Commissioner should be informed of the investigation. Because I believed the Commissioner should not be informed, a meeting was arranged with Mr. GARDNER and the three of us (WILLIAMS, WILLSEY and [_____] on the afternoon of April 22, 1975.

"About the same items were discussed at this meeting as at the meeting I had with Mr. GARDNER on April 21st. It was agreed that the Commissioner would not be informed of the investigation. I also believe that it was at this meeting or in a discussion with Mr. WILLIAMS earlier that day that I was informed the matter had been discussed with Judge TYLER at the Justice Department."
"I was first advised of the [redacted] by Mr. QUINN on April 24 or April 25, 1975. To the best of my knowledge, no one except Inspection personnel and Special Agents involved in the investigation or receiving the information from the informant knew of [redacted] On April 24, 1975 or April 25, 1975, JAMES QUINN called [redacted] and I authorized the Inspection Service surveillance of [redacted]. I did not discuss this trip with anyone outside Inspection Service.

"According to the individuals who conducted the surveillance in Cincinnati there was no contact between LINDHORST and ALEXANDER. I can offer no explanation as to why the surveillance logs were shredded except the reasons given to me by Mr. QUINN - an inexperienced Inspector reviewing the file did not believe it was necessary to keep the logs.

"Mr. ALEXANDER, as well as others, were never interviewed because that would not have been a proper investigative technique at that point of time. The informant [redacted], because shortly thereafter this matter hit the newspapers.

"I was never pressured to alter the scope or content of the investigation conducted by the Inspection by anyone in any manner. To the best of my knowledge I have reported all information received by me and Internal Security Investigators during this investigation to the proper individuals in the Treasury Department, whether the information was in a negative or positive vein concerning Commissioner ALEXANDER.
"When all current leads in the investigation were investigated a report was written and sent to Mr. GARDNER, Deputy Secretary of Treasury. Treasury Department sent the report to Justice Department. Several weeks later the report was returned to my office with notes from Treasury Department and Justice Department officials agreeing that the investigation and report was complete. It was also understood that the Commissioner would not be informed of the investigation and Inspection would wait for any further developments or information that may be received.

"I have read the above statement consisting of this page and six others and now sign it because it is true.

"/s/ 12-9-75

"Sworn to an subscribed before me on 12/9/75
"SA RICHARD W. HOUSTON, FBI, WDC, 12/9/75."
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Revenue Service
BRIbery; CONFLICT OF INTEREST
(00;WFO)

A review of an memorandum concerning contacts with the informant revealed that the informant had been an [ ] and in the past had furnished information that had been 99 percent accurate. The review also revealed that [ ].

It could not be determined from a review of the informant information whether [ ]. Investigation conducted by WFO reveals this memorandum which contained the information could have come from two sources:

2- Atlanta (Enc. 2)
1- WFO

RWJ: so (3)
WFO 58-1540

1)  Intelligence Division, Miami Post of Duty or,

2)  Atlanta, Georgia.

Enclosed for Atlanta is one copy of a memorandum from
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No leads are being set out for Miami, Cincinnati, Atlanta, Jacksonville as all leads for these offices are being handled via teletype.

Information copies are being furnished to these offices so they may be kept current as to the status of the investigation.

One lead is being set forth for Los Angeles via this report due to the fact that Los Angeles has not received any communications previously regarding this matter. The interview in Los Angeles is a crucial one therefore Los Angeles would need supplementary information contained in this report in order to conduct it properly. Any other leads after this date for LA will be handled via teletype.

For the information of LA the two basic allegations being investigated concerning subject are as follows:

1) RICHARD GERSTEIN, Dade County Prosecutor, Miami, Florida sent an individual to Cincinnati to meet with a Cincinnati Attorney AMBROSE LINDHORST to provide certain information to LINDHORST for transmittal by LINDHORST to the subject. Individual sent by GERSTEIN was allegedly accompanied by a Miami underworld figure. Meeting supposedly took place during March, 1975, and was supposedly set up by MARK H. KROLL a convicted insurance swindler formerly from Cincinnati now living in the Miami area.

2) MARK H. KROLL supposedly arranged a fishing trip on the Yacht Chanticleer owned by RALPH EVINRUDE for subject, MARVIN WARNER, and for weekend of April 26, 1975. Los Angeles will note when the enclosure (IRS report) is read that IRS Inspection has already conducted an investigation into this matter which was severely criticized by Congressional committees. Discussions have been held with Departmental Attorney handling this matter and it was decided that interview should be conducted under oath after advising individual of his rights.
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LEAD:

LOS ANGELES

AT LOS ANGELES. Will interview BURKE WILLSEY, former Assistant to subject, now an attorney with Musik, Peeler, and Garrett, Attorney's at Law, 1 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.
BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST

On 11/17/75, the FBI received disclosure authority from the IRS, which enabled anyone in the FBI or Department of Justice to have access to confidential taxpayer information who would need this information in the course of investigating this matter. Investigation conducted in the Miami area concerning the yacht trip has been negative to date. Investigation has included interviews of the individuals who supposedly were to be on the yacht trip, the owner of the yacht, the crew of the yacht and individuals who supposedly catered the trip. Attempts by the FBI to contact the informant have been negative. On 12/8/75, discussions were held with Departmental Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, concerning the problems the FBI had encountered in trying to interview the informant. It was decided that the best approach to the informant being interviewed would be
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DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

Investigation was instituted by the Washington Field Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, after receipt of a letter from the Department of Justice, Criminal Division, stating that they wished an investigation conducted into allegations of improper conduct and possible criminal violations on the part of the subject.
On November 17, 1975, a meeting was held with Departmental Attorney and appropriate officials of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and Special Agent RICHARD W. HOUSTON, Washington Field Office, of the FBI. Officials in the IRS provided a copy of a letter to Subcommittee on Oversight, House, Ways and Means Committee, Washington, D.C. dated November 14, 1975. The text of the letter advised that IRS was of the opinion and had advised the proper officials in IRS that Department of Justice attorneys and FBI Agents assisting them are entitled to access to tax return information in connection with the current review by the Department of certain allegations against the commissioner of IRS.
Washington Field Office (WFO) files reflect DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER was born on May 22, 1921, at Pine Bluff, Arkansas, is married to the former MARGARET LOUISE SAVAGE and has two children. Mr. ALEXANDER attended Yale University from 1938 to 1942, receiving a B.A. degree and Harvard Law School from 1946 to 1948 receiving a LL.B. From July, 1948 to July, 1954 he was associated with the law firm of Covington and Burling, Washington, D.C. and from July, 1954 to April 1966 was a member of the Cincinnati, Ohio law firm of Taft, Stettinius and Hollister. Mr. ALEXANDER was a partner of the Cincinnati, Ohio law firm of Dinsmore, Shohl, Cortes and Deupree from April, 1966 to May, 1973 when he became Commissioner of the IRS. In addition, Mr. ALEXANDER was an advisor to RANDOLPH THROWER, Commissioner of IRS from 1969 to 1970 and served as a consultant to the Treasury Department from 1970 to 1971.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION DEVELOPED BY THE FBI THROUGH INTERVIEW OBSERVATION AND REVIEW OF EXISTING FILES

Name: MARK HAROLD KROLL
Residence: Carriage House, 5401 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida

May 15, 1968, KROLL sentenced by Cook County Circuit Court Judge to one to three years for conspiracy to commit grand theft. Conviction result of KROLL's association with Oxford General Mutual Casualty Insurance Company.

1967 Residence: 9675 Cunningham Road, Cincinnati, Ohio

On 2/17/66 KROLL arrested for Conspiracy-Fraud in Sale of Securities and Mail Fraud. On March 7, 1967 sentenced to five years on count one and five years on count two to run consecutively and fined $40,000.

On 12/16/74 KROLL paroled from U.S. Penitentiary, Atlanta, Georgia.

Date of Birth: 9/8/24
Place of Birth: Chicago, Illinois
Occupation: Insurance Executive
Employments:

aka

The following individuals indicted and convicted with KROLL in 1967 as a result of SEC investigation into activities of individuals with subsidiaries of American Bonded Mortgage Company, Inc.

KROLL once known as "boy wonder of the insurance world".

At 22 held control of Wilmark Insurance in Cincinnati in 1946.
Father: JACK KROLL, former head of Committee on Political Education for C.I.O.
BACKGROUND INFORMATION DEVELOPED BY THE FBI THROUGH INTERVIEW OBSERVATION AND REVIEW OF EXISTING FILES

_________________________ Age 52, Employment: ____________________________ b6

_________________________ Inc., 690 Northland Road, Cincinnati, Ohio. telephone: 513-851-6000. b7c

Residence: ________________ Cincinnati, Ohio, telephone: ________________

Former Employment: ________________ Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio, construction firm which built rental housing in Cincinnati, Indianapolis, St. Louis, Birmingham and ________________ 1954.

LEON WARNER, ___________________________ a private non-profit organization "to establish and contribute concretely to the education of a more alert, conscientious and progressive citizenry".

Date of Birth: ___________________________ b6

Place of Birth: ___________________________ b7c

Wife: ___________________________

Residence: Miami Beach, Florida
BACKGROUND INFORMATION DEVELOPED BY THE FBI THROUGH INTERVIEW OBSERVATION AND REVIEW OF EXISTING FILES

Name: MARVIN LEON WARNER
Residence: 5401 Collins Avenue, Apt. 144, Miami Beach, Florida; telephone 864-6690
Date of Birth: 6/8/19
Former Employment: President, Marvin Warner Co., Wabash Consolidated Corp., Cincinnati, Ohio, Partner of
Residence: 1071 Celestial Street, Highland Towers, Cincinnati, Ohio, telephone 513-381-6010
Residence: 3577 Bradbury Road Cincinnati, Ohio telephone 513-752-3735
Race: White
Sex: Male
Place of Birth: Birmingham, Alabama
Height: 5'10"
Weight: 175
Employment: Chairman, Home State Savings Association, Cincinnati, Ohio
Office: 601 Main Street, Cincinnati, Ohio, telephone 513-721-3400
Marital Status: Divorced, 1967, Mr. WARNER's attorney in divorce suit was AMBROSE H. LINDHORST.
Ship Chanticleer, was interviewed onboard the Chanticleer. He was placed under oath by SA JAMES FRANKLIN and furnished the following information:

advised that he has been the Steward onboard the ship Chanticleer for approximately one year and he is not familiar with any of the following people being guests onboard the Chanticleer or ever having been invited aboard the ship.

DONALD ALEXANDER, MARK H. KROLL, ALVIN BARKER, MARVIN WARNER,

also advised that he was familiar with guests that were onboard the Chanticleer during their trip to Mexico which ended the latter part of April, and none of them were any of the aforementioned names. He also stated that his position as Steward is ordering supplies along with the Captain for any impending voyage and stated that he is unaware of any anticipated voyage the ship was taking in April, as to the best of his recollection upon their return from Mexico, they were going to stay in port for a few days and then the ship was being taken to the dry dock in Miami, Florida.

The following was obtained from interview:

Name
Home address
Miami, Florida
Home telephone
Business address Langford Marina
Jensen Beach, Florida
Business telephone 287-0688

Interviewed on 11/25/75 at Jensen Beach, Florida File #Miami 58-486

SAs W. JAMES FRANKLIN WHG:pan Date dictated 12/1/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
Internal Revenue Service (IRS), provided with the following documents on December 10, 1975:

1. Copies of transcripts from two television newscasts carried on station WTOP on September 26 and 27, 1975.

2. Copy of a news release from Secretary SIMON dated September 26, 1975, on the same subject as the above transcripts.

3. Background information regarding Operation Tradewinds/Project Haven.


Interviewed on 12/10/75 at Washington, D. C.

File # WFO 58-1540 - 8C

by SA

Date dictated 12/11/75
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TO: SAC, WFO (58-1540) (P)
FROM: SA PERRY SPEEVACK

DATE: 12/11/75

SUBJECT: DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, IRS, WASHINGTON, D.C.
BRIbery - CONFLICT OF INTEREST (OO: WFO)

Re my memo, 11/20/75.

Anonymous caller indicated in referenced memo furnished the following telephone numbers for individuals caller indicated, in his opinion, would be in a position to aid captioned investigation:

IRS, Room 2023, telephone extension 6/60

LEVOY G. VENABLE, IRS, Room 7563, telephone extension 4210

(formerly, the Southeast Region included Miami, Florida.)
AIRTEL

TO: SAC, LOS ANGELES

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka
Donald C. Alexander,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service
BRIbery; COI
(00:WFO)

Re Los Angeles LHM dated 11/20/75 entitled "(FIRST
NAME UNKNOWN)
AGENT, INTERNAL REVENUE
SERVICE, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, ALLEGED MISCONDUCT OF
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE EMPLOYEES", and WFO report of SA
RICHARD W. HOUSTON dated 12/10/75.

It is noted by WFO in the referenced LHM that
allegations are set forth by similar to allegations
that WFO is investigating regarding the subject. Los
Angeles will hold in abeyance the interview of BURKE WILLSEY
as set forth in the referenced report.

LEAD

LOS ANGELES

AT WOODLAND HILLS, CALIFORNIA. WILL immediately
contact Certified Public Accountant, Woodland Hills, California, and interview him
in depth concerning any specific knowledge he has of WILLSEY
contacting the subject and arranging for any favors through
the subject. Upon completion of the interview, Los Angeles
will take no further action, will report results via teletype
and will await further instructions from WFO as to how to
proceed.

2- Los Angeles
1- WFO
RVH: so
(3)
was interviewed at his residence, Cincinnati, Ohio. was advised of the identity of the interviewing Agent and the nature of the interview. was then placed under oath and furnished the following signed, sworn statement:

"12/6/75
Cincinnati, Ohio

"I, make the following sworn statement to who has identified himself to me as a Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. I make this statement voluntarily to Special Agent

"I am 46 years old and reside at Cincinnati, Ohio. I am married and have 5 children.

"I do not know anything about a trip from Florida to the Bahamas during the month of April, 1975 or any other month with Mark Kroll and other individuals. I never planned or contemplated any such trip and have no knowledge of such a trip.

"I have not seen Mark Kroll since the early part of 1971. I have not been in contact with him since that date and I do not represent him in any capacity. I know nothing of Mark Kroll's efforts to "fix" or settle his tax liability with the Internal Revenue Service.

"I have read this statement and it is true and correct.

\(\text{S/}\)

12/6/75

"Sworn to and subscribed before me

Interviewed on 12/6/75 of Cincinnati, Ohio by SA

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions. It is not to be distributed outside your agency and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
In addition to the above, furnished the following information:

stated that he and MARK KROLL and others were convicted for security violations. stated that he received six months to serve in prison on his conviction, but this sentence was suspended. last saw MARK KROLL in the early part of 1971 in Miami, Florida. and KROLL were appearing in court in connection with their sentencing on the security violations. has not seen KROLL or communicated with KROLL since this time.

stated he was last in Florida in September, 1975. stated that he is the for the Cincinnati, Ohio, and was making a business trip to Melbourne, Florida to look at a housing development that is developing. stated he had no contact with KROLL during this trip.

stated that he has worked for since 1972. From 1963 through 1972, was a for MARVIN WARNER.

stated that in the mid-1950's he met DONALD ALEXANDER. At this particular time, was in public accounting. The meeting that had with DONALD ALEXANDER pertained to the taxes of a client who ALEXANDER and were representing. has not had any other contacts with ALEXANDER.
TO: SAC, WFO (58-1540)
FROM: SAC, CINCINNATI (58-259) (P)
SUBJECT: DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, Aka.,
Commissioner,
Internal Revenue Service
BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST
OO: WFO
Re WFO tel to Bureau, 12/4/75.
Enclosed for WFO are two copies of an FD-302
showing interview with ____________________

2-WF0: (Enc. 2) O wn
2-Cincinnati
JLR/msd
(4)
NR024 MM CODE

SENT 12:57AM DECEMBER 12, 1975 MRM NITFL DECEMBER 11, 1975 SAK
TO DIRECTOR (58-9248)
WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)
FROM MIAMI (58-486) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, IRS; BRIBERY; COI.
RE MIAMI TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED DECEMBER 4, 1975, AND
WASHINGTON FIELD TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED DECEMBER 3, 1975.

ON DECEMBER 10, 1975, SA [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ }
OFFERED TO QUESTION HIS SOURCE FURTHER IF THIS SHOULD BE DESIRED. HE WAS NOT SO REQUESTED AT THIS TIME.

MAJORITY OF INFORMATION SUBPOENAE FROM SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY RECEIVED DECEMBER 11, 1975.

FD-302'S WILL BE FORWARDED TO WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE.

END

FBI WFO AEP CLR
DATE: DECEMBER 12, 1975

Transmit the following in CODE

Type in plaintext or code

Via TELETYPEx NITEL

(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9248) AND
ATLANTA (58-352) CINCINNATI (58-259) D-G-3
JACKSONVILLE (58-123) LOS ANGELES 584-MY
MIAMI (58-486)

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS); BRIBERY; CONFLICT
OF INTEREST; OO: WFO.

INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY MIAMI:

ON DECEMBER 10, 1975, SPECIAL AGENT
ASSIGNED TO UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ORGANIZED CRIME STRIKE FORCE,
MIAMI, ADVISED HE HAS TWO SOURCES

DID LEARN ABOUT THIS ALLEGED TRIP FROM SPECIAL AGENT

L. Tickler

RWH: so
(2)
OFFERED TO QUESTION HIS SOURCE FURTHER IF THIS SHOULDBE DESIRED HE WAS NOT REQUESTED TO DO SO AT THAT TIME.

MAJORITY OF INFORMATION SUBPOENED FROM SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY RECEIVED DECEMBER 11, 1975.

ON DECEMBER 11, 1975, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH DEPARTMENT ATTORNEY, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WOC), CONCERNING PROJECT HAVEN. IT WAS DECIDED THAT IN ORDER TO PROPERLY EXPLORE ALL ALLEGATIONS THAT CONSIDERABLE INVESTIGATION WOULD HAVE TO BE CONDUCTED IN ALL AREAS.

ON DECEMBER 10, 1975, WFO RECEIVED, VIA AIRTEL FROM THE BUREAU A REQUEST FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE STATING THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAS REQUESTED CURRENT FBI INVESTIGATION REGARDING SUBJECT TO INCLUDED ALLEGATIONS OF IMPROPER CONDUCT ON THE PART OF THE SUBJECT TO PROTECT CLOSE ASSOCIATES IN CONNECTION WITH PROJECT HAVEN.

ON DECEMBER 11, 1975, WFO RECEIVED, VIA AIRTEL FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE STATING THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAS REQUESTED CURRENT FBI INVESTIGATION REGARDING SUBJECT TO INCLUDED ALLEGATIONS OF IMPROPER CONDUCT ON THE PART OF THE SUBJECT TO PROTECT CLOSE ASSOCIATES IN CONNECTION WITH PROJECT HAVEN.

Approved: ____________________________
Special Agent in Charge

Sent: __________ M Per ____ __,____ ________
PAGE THREE WFO 58-1540.

WFO CONTemplates contacting IRS, concerning the investigation conducted to date by IRS relating to Project Haven on December 15, 1975. Thereafter WFO will be furnishing appropriate offices with leads via teletype.

On December 11, 1975, IRS, Jacksonville District, Jacksonville, Florida, concerning his district's handling of the Richard Gerstein investigation and the allegations that his district had been investigated. Advised that his office had been investigating Richard Gerstein for a number of years, the last case having been started in August, 1973. Advised that the investigation is still ongoing centering on three areas. One is that Gerstein lost $40,000 gambling in Las Vegas and that the losses were picked up by a Miami area liquor distributor. The second area, advised, is that GerstEin and other individuals in the Miami area set up a dummy corporation in order to make illegal political contributions. The third area is that Gerstein authorized
PAGE FOUR WFO 58-1540.

ILLEGAL WIRETAPS AGAINST AN INDIVIDUAL IN THE MIAMI AREA.

ADvised that he had told in Miami, to wrap the Gerstein investigation up by May, 1975, as he felt IRS in Miami was spending much too much time and manpower in trying to get Gerstein.

ADvised that since he issued this order the last two allegations have surfaced and the investigation is still going on. ADvised that he has had no pressure from WDC or the subject to stop this investigation.

ADvised that is a former New York City police officer and a very solid agent and that he does not know why FEELS Alexander affected this case by his comments.

STATED that in his opinion the morale in Miami among intelligence agents of IRS is very low and this is due to several unrelated incidents which are:

1) That premium pay was cut out by Deputy Director Williams in the fall of 1974 and has only now been reinstated on a very selective basis; 2) During January, 1975, and he believes the date to be January 22, 1975, they received
PAGE FIVE WFO 58-1540.

A COMMUNICATION FROM WDC TELLING THEM TO HALT INFORMATION GATHERING FROM INFORMANTS AND THIS RECEIVED CONSIDERABLE COVERAGE IN THE PRESS. ADVISED THAT GUIDELINES WERE NOT FURNISHED ON HOW TO GATHER INFORMATION UNTIL JUNE, 1975, THAT SOME INTERIM GUIDELINES WERE FURNISHED DURING FEBRUARY, 1975, BUT THAT THEY DEALT WITH WHAT COULD NOT BE DONE AND DID NOT SET ANY HARD AND FAST RULES THAT COULD BE APPLIED AS TO INFORMATION GATHERING. ADVISED THAT THIS CAUSED CONSIDERABLE PROBLEMS AMONG INTELLIGENCE AGENTS BECAUSE INFORMANTS TENDED TO BACK AWAY FROM THEM FOR FEAR THEIR IDENTITIES WOULD BE GIVEN OUT UNDER THE NEW PRIVACY AND FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACTS AND DUE TO THE FACT THAT THEY FEARED THEY WOULD NOT BE PAID BECAUSE OF STATEMENTS MADE TO THE PRESS BY THE SUBJECT AND OTHER IRS OFFICIALS. STATED THAT OBVIOUSLY THESE FACTORS AND THE PROBLEMS THE IRS INTELLIGENCE AGENTS HAD IN DOING THEIR JOB CAUSED A VERY DEEP RESENMENT TOWARD WASHINGTON. ADVISED THAT AFTER MADE HER REVELATIONS TO THE PRESS, THE SUBJECT MADE SEVERAL COMMENTS
PAGE SIX WFO 58-1540.

CONCERNING AGENTS' CONDUCT IN MIAMI THAT WAS VERY CRITICAL.

[ ] ADVISED THAT HE COULD SEE NO REASON FOR THE

SUBJECT'S COMMENTS FOR AS FAR HAS HE KNEW ALEXANDER WAS

NOT AWARE OF THE LEPRECHAUN MATTER AND HAD DONE NOTHING

TO INFORM HIMSELF OF THE TRUE FACTS BEFORE MAKING THESE

COMMENTS. [ ] ADVISED THAT THIS HAD A DEMORALIZING

EFFECT ON THE AGENTS IN MIAMI BUT STATED HE COULD NOT SEE

THIS AS HAVING A VERY HIGH IMPACT ON ANY ONE CASE IN

PARTICULAR.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF RECEIVING OFFICES, IT WAS

BROUGHT TO WFO'S ATTENTION DURING THE WEEK THAT ON

NOVEMBER 10, 1975, THE LOS ANGELES OFFICE RECEIVED

INFORMATION FROM A CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT IN THE

LOS ANGELES AREA, ADVISING THAT BURKE WILLSEY, FORMER

PERSONAL ASSISTANT TO THE SUBJECT AND NOW IN CALIFORNIA

PRACTICING LAW, WAS POSSIBLY PEDDLING FAVORS FROM THE

SUBJECT AND AdvisING PEOPLE THAT HE HAD INFLUENCE AT IRS

THAT WOULD ENABLE HIM TO FIX THEIR TAX PROBLEMS. LOS

ANGELES IS CURRENTLY INVESTIGATING THESE ALLEGATIONS.
PAGE SEVEN WFO 58-1540.

ON DECEMBER 11, 1975, DAVE GARDNER, DEPUTY SECRETARY OF TREASURY, WAS INTERVIEWED AND HE ADVISED THAT COMMISSIONER ALEXANDER HAD STOPPED THE PROJECT HAVEN INVESTIGATION DUE TO THE FACT THAT HE FELT THE METHOD OF OBTAINING THE EVIDENCE HAD TAINTED IT. GARDNER ADVISED THAT HE HAD HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH ALEXANDER PERSONALLY REGARDING HIS STOPPING PROJECT HAVEN.

ON DECEMBER 11, 1975, DISCUSSIONS WERE HELD AT FBI HEADQUARTERS CONCERNING A CHECK OF AIRLINE RECORDS REGARDING FLIGHTS TO CINCINNATI FROM MIAMI THAT COULD HAVE POSSIBLY BEEN UTILIZED BY THE GERSTEIN EMISSARY AND ALSO DISCUSSED WAS CONTACTING ASSIGNED TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ORGANIZED CRIME STRIKE FORCE, MIAMI, AND HAVING HIM QUESTION HIS SOURCE AS TO

THE BUREAU ADVISED AND MIAMI WILL IMMEDIATELY CONTACT AND ASK HIM TO CONTACT HIS SOURCE IN ORDER TO DETERMINE MIAMI WILL ALSO
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DETERMINE THE DATE THAT THE _______________ EXPOSE APPEARED IN THE MIAMI PAPERS. MIAMI WILL INITIATE AN INVESTIGATION TO DETERMINE WHAT AIRLINES AND FLIGHTS COULD HAVE BEEN USED BY THE EMISSARY AND _______________ TO MAKE THE CINCINNATI TRIP. THEREAFTER MIAMI, OR THE APPROPRIATE OFFICE THAT MIAMI DETERMINES THE RECORDS TO BE AT, WILL INITIATE A SEARCH OF ALL TICKETS AND RESERVATIONS OR FLIGHT MANIFESTS BETWEEN THE DATES OF MARCH 1, 1975, AND THE DATE MIAMI DETERMINES THE _______________ EXPOSE APPEARED IN THE MIAMI PAPERS.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUING AT WFO.

END.
STEPHEN S. GARDNER, Deputy Secretary of the Treasury, Main Treasury Building, 15th and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 3326, telephone 964-2801; residence: [__________], was advised of the nature of the inquiry and thereafter provided the following information:

GARDNER was Chairman of the Board of the Girard Bank in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, prior to becoming the Deputy Secretary of the Treasury on August 4, 1974.

In April, 1975, of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and BILL WILLIAMS the Deputy Commissioner of the IRS met with GARDNER in his office and related to GARDNER the allegations made against DONALD ALEXANDER, Commissioner of the IRS. GARDNER could not recall any of the names mentioned in the allegations, however the allegations alleged that ALEXANDER was dealing with known criminal characters, that ALEXANDER was to attend a yacht trip whose purpose was to meet with unknown underworld figures, and that ALEXANDER had associated with individuals who had underworld connections. [__________] advised GARDNER that the allegations were first brought to the attention of the IRS in December, 1974, however no action was taken for lack of substantiation. [__________] advised that further developments caused him to present the allegations to GARDNER. GARDNER instructed [__________] and WILLIAMS to proceed with an investigation of the allegations and GARDNER immediately advised Attorney General LEVI and Secretary SIMON of the allegations against ALEXANDER.

GARDNER maintained contact with [__________] and eventually received a final report which failed to verify the allegations and [__________] recommended no action and the matter be closed. GARDNER asked [__________] of the Treasury Department to go over the report thoroughly and advise GARDNER of his recommendations. On receiving [__________] recommendations GARDNER recommended to Secretary SIMON that the allegations were unfounded and that no further investigation should be conducted to which Secretary

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
SIMON agreed. GARDNER then notified Assistant Attorney General TYLER who suggested GARDNER forward a copy of the IRS report to him. GARDNER subsequently received a memo from TYLER recommending no further action regarding the allegations.

GARDNER emphasized that in his meeting with and WILLIAMS he agreed that ALEXANDER should not be made aware of the allegations made against him, however sometime later GARDNER learned from BURKE WILLSEY, Assistant to Commissioner ALEXANDER, that WILLSEY dropped the names mentioned in the allegations to the Commissioner prior to the April 19, 1975, meeting with and WILLIAMS. WILLSEY told GARDNER that he stated to ALEXANDER, "I don't want you to ask me why but do you know these people?"

GARDNER believed that the allegations matter was closed until members of the Vanik Committee of the House Ways and Means Committee contacted and requested to review the file concerning the allegations. met with those individuals from the Vanik Committee at the direction of GARDNER and cooperated 100 per cent.

On September 22, 1975, Secretary SIMON received the ULLMAN letter and and GARDNER immediately went to Chairman ULLMAN and pledged their full cooperation in investigating the allegations and asked ULLMAN's cooperation to see that the IRS and its Commissioner were not hurt by irresponsible leaks to the news media.

On September 25, 1975, press queries were made to Secretary SIMON's office regarding the allegations against ALEXANDER. SIMON immediately contacted ALEXANDER and along with GARDNER advised ALEXANDER of the allegations and subsequent IRS investigation conducted regarding those allegations. ALEXANDER was irritated that Secretary SIMON had called him out of hearings before a congressional committee, however when told of the reason for the meeting ALEXANDER was very quiet and stated that he would fight any and all such allegations made against him.

GARDNER did not have any knowledge of AMBROSE LIND-HORST, MARK KROLL, RICHARD GERSTEIN, MARVIN WARNER, or
GARDNER expressed nothing but confidence, trust, and praise for ALEXANDER and BURKE WILLSEY.

GARDNER was questioned concerning his knowledge of Commissioner ALEXANDER's role in calling a halt to the "Project Haven" investigation. ALEXANDER told GARDNER that he had discovered that some evidence used in "Haven" was obtained illegally and that ALEXANDER was calling a halt to all investigation in that matter until he found out if the evidence would be admissible in a court of law. ALEXANDER emphasized to GARDNER that the IRS was going to operate within the law and that they were not going to do anything illegal. GARDNER agreed with ALEXANDER's decision, however ALEXANDER stopped the investigation without seeking GARDNER's opinion. GARDNER advised that the "Haven" investigation has been reinstituted except in those cases where the evidence was tainted by the informant.
Internal Revenue Service, provided Special Agent with a copy of the transcript of the hearings held on October 6, 1975, before the House Subcommittee on Commerce, Consumer and Monetary Affairs of the Committee on Government Operations.
TO SACS MIAMI (58-486)

JACKSONVILLE (58-123)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS; ET AL. BRIBERY;
CONFLICT OF INTEREST; CO: WFO.

RE WFO TELETYPDE DECEMBER 12, 1975.

FOR THE INFORMATION OF MIAMI AND JACKSONVILLE, PARAGRAPH 1 ON PAGE 4 OF RETEL MAKES REFERENCE TO ILLEGAL WIRETAP ALLEGATIONS OF AN INDIVIDUAL IN THE MIAMI AREA AUTHORIZED BY RICHARD E. GERSTEIN, DADE COUNTY STATES ATTORNEY, WHICH IS APPARENTLY BEING INVESTIGATED BY THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS) PER DISTRICT DIRECTOR, IRS, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA.

AS YOU ARE AWARE, INTERCEPTION OF COMMUNICATIONS VIOLATIONS ARE WITHIN THE PRIMARY JURISDICTION OF THE FBI. JACKSONVILLE SHOULD AFFORD MIAMI APPROPRIATE BACKGROUND INFORMATION CONCERNING THE ABOVE AND MIAMI SHOULD PROMPTLY OPEN A SEPARATE IOC INVESTIGATION, PRESENT TO THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY AND PROMPTLY ADVISE THE BUREAU OF THIS MATTER.

(1) WFO (58-1540) (By mail)
Date: DECEMBER 16, 1975

TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING IN

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPewriter URGENT

(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9248) AND
ATLANTA (58-352) CINCINNATI (58-259)
CHICAGO JACKSONVILLE (58-123)
LOS ANGELES MIAMI

FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; CONFLICT
OF INTEREST, CO: WFO.

WFO HAS RECEIVED A COMMUNICATION FROM THE BUREAU ADVISING
THAT UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAS REQUESTED THE
INVESTIGATION OF SUBJECT BE EXPANDED TO INCLUDE SUBJECT'S
ACTION IN REGARD TO HIS STOPPING OF AN INTERNAL REVENUE
SERVICE (IRS) INVESTIGATION ENTITLED "PROJECT HAVEN".

PROJECT HAVEN IS AN IRS INVESTIGATION TO DETERMINE
IF AMERICAN CITIZENS WERE HIDING UNREPORTED INCOME IN
BAHAMA BANK ACCOUNTS. DURING THE COURSE OF THIS
INVESTIGATION INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED BY IRS THROUGH AN
INFORMANT WHICH INDICATED THAT

1- Tickler

RWH: so
(2)
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IRS INQUIRY SHOWED THAT CASTLE TRUST WAS BEING CONTROLLED BY UNITED STATES CITIZENS AND WAS UTILIZED BY THEM TO ENABLE UNITED STATES TAXPAYERS TO DEPOSIT OR INVEST THEIR FUNDS IN THE BANK WITHOUT THEIR MONEY EVER LEAVING THE UNITED STATES.

THE INFORMANT

ALSO FOUND IN THE DOCUMENTS ON
PAGE THREE WFO 58-1540.

IRS INVESTIGATION TO DATE HAS DISCLOSED NUMEROUS MULTIMILLION DOLLAR TRANSACTIONS AND TAX EVASION SCHEMES OPERATED THROUGH CASTLE TRUST. TO DATE INVESTIGATION OF HAVEN INDICATES THAT IRS HAS DISCOVERED $29,990900 IN TAX DEFICIENCIES.

DURING AUGUST, 1975, THIS INVESTIGATION WAS HALTED BY IRS IN WASHINGTON, D. C., SUPPOSEDLY TO RE-EVALUATE THE METHOD THAT THE ORIGINAL EVIDENCE WAS OBTAINED TO DETERMINE IF IT WAS TAINTED. THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAS REQUESTED THAT ALL POSSIBLE AVENUES OF INVESTIGATION BE EXPLORED TO DETERMINE IF THE SUBJECT IN ANY MANNER WHATSOEVER WAS CONNECTED WITH THE FIRMS OR INDIVIDUALS MENTIONED IN PROJECT HAVEN.

FOR INFORMATION OF CHICAGO, BUREAU HAS ADVISED THAT ALL INVESTIGATION IN THIS MATTER IS TO RECEIVE TOP PRIORITY ATTENTION. ALL LEADS WILL BE FURNISHED VIA TELETYPE AND CHICAGO WILL FURNISH WFO WITH A NITEL SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED DURING WEEK ON EACH THURSDAY EVENING AS WFO PREPARES A COMPLETE SUMMARY FOR THE BUREAU EACH FRIDAY AS TO CURRENT STATUS OF INVESTIGATION. WFO PREPARES ALL REPORTS ON THIS...
PAGE FOUR WFO 58-1540.

MATTER, THEREFORE, 302’S SHOULD BE FURNISHED TO WFO PROMPTLY UPON COMPLETION OF INTERVIEWS.

WFO WILL FURNISH TO CHICAGO VIA SEPARATE COMMUNICATION APPROPRIATE BACKGROUND INFORMATION IN ORDER TO BRING CHICAGO UP TO DATE.

CINCINNATI WILL DETERMINE IF AND MARVIN WARNER HAVE ACCESS TO A PRIVATE JET FOR CORPORATE OR LEISURE TRAVEL, EITHER VIA RENTAL OR OWNERSHIP.

CINCINNATI WILL DETERMINE NAMES OF PARTNERS AND ASSOCIATES OF THE LAW FIRM DINSMORE, SHOHL, COATES, AND DEUPREE, 2100 FOUNTAIN SQUARE PLAZA, 511 WALNUT STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO. A LEAD WILL BE FORTHCOMING SHORTLY TO COMMENCE INTERVIEW OF ALL INDIVIDUALS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LAW FIRM IN WHATEVER CAPACITY.

CHICAGO WILL PERFORM THE SAME LEAD AS CINCINNATI REGARDING LEVENFELD, KANTER, BASKES, AND LIPPITZ, 10 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET, SUITE 838, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.

WFO WILL BE CONDUCTING INTERVIEWS DURING WEEK OF DECEMBER 15-19, 1975, IN ORDER TO GAIN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SO INTERVIEWS CAN BE COMMENCED. A REVIEW OF AN
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IRS REPORT BY WFO SHOWED THAT SUBJECT'S CINCINNATI LAW FIRM HAS AT LEAST 53 PARTNERS AND ASSOCIATES. WFO AGAIN WISHED TO POINT OUT THAT IRS REPORTS IN THIS MATTER WERE SEVERELY CRITICIZED BY CONGRESSIONAL SUBCOMMITTEES, THEREFORE, CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO ASSIGNMENT OF EXPERIENCED AGENTS TO THIS MATTER AND ALL INTERVIEWS SHOULD BE EXACT, PROBING AND COMPLETE. END.
NR086 CE PLAIN
5137 PM NTEL DECEMBER 17, 1975 JDC
TO: MIAMI (58-486)
     WFO (58-1548)
FROM: CHARLOTTE (58-231)(P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA. COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE
SERVICE; BIBERY, COIL. 00; WFO.

RE MIAMI TELETYPE TO BUREAU, ET AL, DECEMBER 17, 1975.

ON DECEMBER 17, 1975, [REVENUE AND ACCOUNTING, PIEDMONT AIRLINES, WINSTON-SALEM, N. C., ADVISED THEIR COMPANY HAS NO FLIGHT 27; DOES NOT SERVICE MIAMI NOR PROVIDE CONTINUOUS SERVICE THROUGH ATLANTA TO CINCINNATI; STATES CINCINNATI IS SERVED BY TRI-CITIES AIRPORT, TENNESSEE.

FD 302 WILL FOLLOW.

END.

WVC WF FBI CLR

51-640-100
NR005 JK PLAIN
12:57AM 12-17-75 FOR PM NITEL 12-16-75

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9248)
   SAC, MIAMI (58-486)
   SAC, WFO (58-1540)

FROM: SAC, JACKSONVILLE (58-123) (RUC) IP

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA; ET AL. BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST. 00: WFO.

RE BUREAU NITEL, DECEMBER 15, 1975.

JACKSONVILLE FILES CONTAIN NO REFERENCES TO ILLEGAL WIRE TAP OF AN INDIVIDUAL IN THE MIAMI AREA AUTHORIZED BY RICHARD E. GERSTEIN, DADE COUNTY STATE’S ATTORNEY.

NO ACTION WILL BE TAKEN BY JACKSONVILLE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED BY MIAMI.

END

JWD FBI WFO CLR
NR004 WA CODE 310AM MEB
1:30AM NITEL DECEMBER 17, 1975 JLB

TO DIRECTOR (58-9248)
   ATLANTA (58-352)
   ALEXANDRIA
   CHARLOTTE
   CHICAGO (ALL OFFICES VIA WASHINGTON)
   MINNEAPOLIS
   WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)

FROM MIAMI (58-486)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE
SERVICE; BRIBERY, COI. 00 WASHINGTON FIELD.

RE WASHINGTON FIELD TELETYPETO BUREAU DATED DECEMBER 12,
1975, OF WHICH ATLANTA RECEIVED A COPY.

BEGINNING APPROXIMATELY MARCH 14, 1975, ADVERSE PUBLICITY
APPEARED IN NEWS MEDIA CONCERNING AN IRS INTELLIGENCE
INVESTIGATION CALLED OPERATION LEPRECHAUN, WHICH ALLEGEDLY
DELVED INTO SEX LIVES AND DRINKING HABITS OF A NUMBER OF PUBLIC
OFFICIALS IN MIAMI AREA. THE PRESS QUOTED IRS COMMISSIONER
ALEXANDER AS REFERRING TO THE OPERATION IN CRITICAL AND
DEROGATORY TERMS. THE REVELATIONS CONCERNING OPERATION LEPRECHAUN
WERE GIVEN TO THE PRESS BY ONE

[Redacted]
PRESS REPRESENTATIVES WERE REPORTEDLY DIRECTED BY DADE COUNTY STATE ATTORNEY RICHARD E. GERSTEIN OR HIS CHIEF INVESTIGATOR, MARTIN DARDIS. GERSTEIN WAS ONE OF THE TARGETS OF OPERATION LEPRECHAUN, ACCORDING TO AS QUOTED IN THE PRESS.

ON , AN SOURCE ADVISED THAT,

THE SOURCE SUBSEQUENTLY ADVISED
RETEL INSTRUCTED THAT MIAMI REQUEST SA

TO QUESTION A SOURCE OF HIS IN AN ATTEMPT TO LEARN IT BEING NOTED THAT SA HAD PREVIOUSLY STATED HIS SOURCE HIM BUT HE OFFERED TO QUESTION HIM, IF THIS WAS DESIRED. SA ON DECEMBER 16, 1975 ADVISED HE WOULD CONTACT HIS SOURCE AND ADVISE THE RESULT.

RETEL INSTRUCTED THAT MIAMI DETERMINE WHAT AIRLINES AND FLIGHTS COULD HAVE BEEN USED TO MAKE THE CINCINNATI TRIP.

THEREAFTER, MIAMI, OR THE APPROPRIATE OFFICE COVERING AIRLINE RECORDS, IS TO INITIATE A SEARCH OF ALL TICKETS AND RESERVATIONS OR FLIGHT MANIFESTS BETWEEN THE DATES OF MARCH 1, 1975 AND THE DATE THE EXPOSE APPEARED IN MIAMI PAPERS.

OFFICIAL AIRLINE GUIDE FOR MARCH 1, 1975 REVEALS FOLLOWING FLIGHTS DAILY.

DELTA AIRLINES

TO CINCINNATI FROM MIAMI - DIRECT: FLIGHTS 540, 736 AND 794.
TO CINCINNATI FROM MIAMI - ATLANTA CONNECTION: FLIGHTS 990, 1032, 540, 1122, 856, 1056, 998.

TO CINCINNATI FROM FORT LAUDERDALE - DIRECT: FLIGHTS 896, 336, 842.

TO CINCINNATI FROM FORT LAUDERDALE - ATLANTA CONNECTION; FLIGHTS 850, 923, 833, 890.

TO MIAMI FROM CINCINNATI - DIRECT: FLIGHTS 553 AND 633.

TO FORT LAUDERDALE FROM CINCINNATI - DIRECT: FLIGHT 543.

TO MIAMI OR FORT LAUDERDALE FROM CINCINNATI - ATLANTA CONNECTION: FLIGHTS 293, 343, 543, 43L, 737, 445, 799.

TO MIAMI OR FORT LAUDERDALE FROM CINCINNATI - ORLANDO OR TAMPA CONNECTION: FLIGHT 393.

EASTERN AIRLINES

TO CINCINNATI FROM MIAMI - ATLANTA OR LOUISVILLE CONNECTION: FLIGHTS 368, 232, 444.

TO CINCINNATI FROM FORT LAUDERDALE - ATLANTA CONNECTION: FLIGHTS 284, 362, 452.

UNITED AIRLINES

TO CINCINNATI FROM MIAMI - ATLANTA CONNECTION: FLIGHT 836
NORTHWEST ORIENT AIRLINES

TO CINCINNATI FROM MIAMI - ATLANTA CONNECTION: FLIGHT 27.

PIEDMONT AIRLINES

TO MIAMI FROM CINCINNATI - LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY CONNECTION: FLIGHT 204.

ALLEGHENY AIRLINES

TO MIAMI FROM CINCINNATI - LOUISVILLE CONNECTION: FLIGHT 511.

RECEIVING OFFICES WILL EXAMINE RECORDS OF RESPECTIVE AIRLINES AS INSTRUCTED BY 00, FOR PERIOD MARCH ONE THROUGH FIFTEEN, 1975, FOR TICKETS IN ANY OF THE FOLLOWING NAMES, UACB OR 00:

RICHARD E. GERSTEIN, MARTIN DARDIS, (FORMER

ATLANTA WILL EXAMINE RECORDS OF DELTA AIRLINES.

CHICAGO WILL EXAMINE RECORDS OF UNITED AIRLINES.

CHARLOTTE WILL EXAMINE RECORDS OF PIEDMONT AVIATION, INC., AT WINSTON-SALEM, N.C.

MINNEAPOLIS WILL EXAMINE RECORDS OF NORTHWEST ORIENT AIRLINES, ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA.
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ALEXANDRIA WILL EXAMINE RECORDS OF ALLEGHENY AIRLINES, INC., WASHINGTON NATIONAL AIRPORT, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA.

MIAMI IS EXAMINING RECORDS OF EASTERN AIRLINES.

BUREAU HAS ADVISED THAT ALL INVESTIGATION IN THIS MATTER IS TO RECEIVE TOP PRIORITY ATTENTION. ALL LEADS WILL BE FURNISHED VIA TELETYPE AND A NITEL SUMMARY IS TO BE FURNISHED TO WASHINGTON FIELD EACH THURSDAY BY AUXILIARY OFFICES FOR INCLUSION IN WASHINGTON FIELD WEEKLY FRIDAY SUMMARY TO BUREAU. WASHINGTON FIELD Prepares all reports on this matter, therefore, 302's should be furnished to WFO promptly upon completion of above investigation.

END

FOR ANY QUESTION OR CORRECTION PLS CONTACT THE MIAMI OFFICE.

END
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST, 00: WFO.

RE WFO TELETYPES, DECEMBER 16 AND 17, 1975.

INVESTIGATION AT CHICAGO DETERMINED FOLLOWING MEMBERS AND ASSOCIATES OF LEVENFELD, KANTER, BASKES, AND LIPPITZ:

BURTON KANTER, CHARLES A. LIPPITZ,

RICHARD K. JANGER,

DONALD A. GLASSBERG.

THE FIRM ALSO HAS OFFICES AT 220 JACKSON, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, AND 110 EAST 59TH, 37TH FLOOR, NEW YORK CITY, AND ARE ADVERTISED AS SPECIALISTS.

DEC 18 6 43:13 '75

[Signature]
IN FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL TAX LAW AND ESTATE PLANNING.

CHICAGO INDICES INDICATE KANTER WAS IDENTIFIED AS INDIVIDUAL CONTACTED ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS BY MORRIS KLEINMAN, SUBJECT BUFILE 92-5345, GAMBLING FIGURE FROM MIAMI, IN 1965-1968.

INFORMANT INFORMATION

RECORDS OF UNITED AIRLINES FOR FLIGHT 836 FOR MARCH 2, 1975, REVIEWED WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS. RECORDS FOR MARCH 1, 1975, IRRETRIEVABLE DUE TO COMPUTER ERROR.

END.
5:58 PM NITEL DECEMBER 18, 1975 HLF

TO: WFO (58-1540)

FROM: ATLANTA (58-352)

DONALD CRISCHTON ALEXANDER, AKA COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY. COI. 00: WFO.

RE WFO NITEL TO ATLANTA, DECEMBER 17, 1975.

THIS IS TO SUMMARIZE INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED IN THE ATLANTA DIVISION DURING THE WEEK ENDED DECEMBER 18, 1975.

INTERVIEW OF IRS CONDUCTED ON DECEMBER 15, 1975 AND RESULTS WERE REPORTED BY FD-302 ENCLOSED WITH AIRTEL TO WFO SAME DATE. RESULTS OF INTERVIEW ALSO DISCUSSED TELEPHONICALLY WITH WFO CASE AGENT.

SEARCH OF DELTA AIRLINES FLIGHT RECORDS FOR THE FIVE NAMES LISTED ON PAGE FIVE OF REFERENCED NITEL FOR POSSIBLE TRAVEL BETWEEN MIAMI, FLORIDA AND CINCINNATI, OHIO FROM MARCH 1, THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975 WAS NEGATIVE.

END.

PLES HOLD
NR 007 MP PLAIN
9:48 PM NITEL DECEMBER 18, 1975 MRH
TO WFO (58-1540)
FROM MINNEAPOLIS (58-220)
DONALD CRITCHON ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY, COI; O0: WFO.
RE MIAMI TELETYPING TO BUREAU AND ALL OFFICES DECEMBER
17, 1975.

PASSENGER MANIFEST FOR NORTHWEST AIRLINES NOT AVAILABLE,
HOWEVER, ACTUAL TICKETS ARE AVAILABLE FOR PERIOD MARCH 1
THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975. NORTHWEST AIRLINES IN PROCESS OF
OBTAINING ALL TICKETS FOR FBI REVIEW.
END

WWC WF FBI CLR
NR 013 MM CODE
11:00 PM NITEL DECEMBER 18, 1975. MGT
TO DIRECTOR (58-9248)
WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)
FROM MIAMI (58-486) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA.; COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY, COI.; 00: WASHINGTON FIELD
RE MIAMI TELETYPETO BUREAUDOCEMBER 11, 1975,
AND WASHINGTONFIELDTELETYPETO BUREAUDOCEMBER 12,
1975.

CONTACTED HIS SOURCE

TICKETS OF ALL EASTERN AIRLINES FLIGHTS MAKING
CONNECTIONS FOR CINCINNATI DURING PERIOD MARCH 1 THROUGH
15, 1975, EXAMINED FOR NAMES OF RICHARD GERSTEIN, MARTIN
DARDIS, AND WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS.

FBI-WASH. F.0.

SIG.
NUMBERS IN MIAMI TERRITORY CALLED FROM SUBJECT’S TELEPHONE WERE DETERMINED TO BE REGISTERED TO CLAUDE DOUTHIT, JR., AND WEST PALM BEACH AND VERO BEACH, FLORIDA, AND TO JUPITER ISLAND, FLORIDA. NO INFORMATION MIAMI FILES RE THESE INDIVIDUALS.

SUBPOENAED CALLS FROM MIAMI TELEPHONES OF MARK KROLL, ALVIN BARKER, MARVIN WARNER, AND RICHARD E. GERSTEIN EXAMINED, AND CALLS TO CINCINNATI AND WASHINGTON, D.C. AREA NOTED. LEADS TO IDENTIFY SUBSCRIBERS BEING IN THOSE AREAS SENT BY AIRTEL.

END
NR 011 MM PLAIN

11:05 PM NITEL DECEMBER 18, 1975 MGT
TO CHARLOTTE (58-231)
WASHINGTON FIELD (58-1540)
FROM MIAMI (58-486)

DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA., COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY, COI. 00: WASHINGTON FIELD.

RE CHARLOTTE TEL TO MIAMI DATED DECEMBER 17, 1975,
AND MIAMI TEL TO THE BUREAU DATED DECEMBER 17, 1975.

RE MIAMI TEL REQUESTED REVIEW OF TICKETS FOR PIEDMONT
FLIGHT 204 FROM CINCINNATI TO LOUISVILLE, WITH CONNECTION TO
MIAMI.

CHARLOTTE AT WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA, WILL
REVIEW TICKETS PIEDMONT FLIGHT 204 FOR PERIOD MARCH 1 THROUGH
15, 1975.

END

WWC WF FBI FOR TWO CLR
Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Room 4523, telephone 964-6645, was made aware of the nature of the inquiry and thereafter provided the following information:

Prior to becoming in April, 1975, he was the in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Approximately two weeks after arriving in Washington and assuming his present position, briefed on the "briefcase" incident. predecessor, who is now in the Litigation Division of the IRS in Washington, D.C. (WDC), received the initial "briefcase" incident report from which was in the form of a rough draft report submitted in February, 1974.

did not hear anything further concerning the incident until he received a request from MEADE WHITAKER in early July, 1975, to review a rough draft report submitted by the Inspection Division concerning project "Haven" and the "briefcase" incident. speculated that the inspection report was a result of inspections' investigation of the project "LEPRECHAUN" and other intelligence projects in the South Florida area.

received the request from WHITAKER on July 14, 1975. assigned two attorneys, and to prepare a reply to the Inspection report. The reply was forwarded to WHITAKER on July 24, 1975. The reply requested inspection to clarify and elaborate on the "briefcase" incident inasmuch as the circumstances surrounding the "briefcase" incident were not known.

During the week of October 6, 1975, attended a pre-testimony meeting in the Commissioner's conference room where the District Directors and seven Regional Directors were present. Discussion pertained to determining the facts surrounding project "Haven" investigation. At that pre-testimony meeting,
the August 13, 1975, meeting was re-constructed by those present at that meeting. [_____] advised he did not have any input into that August 13 meeting, however, he agreed with [_____] decision to postpone the August 19, 1975, meeting to set forth leads in project "Haven". [_____] advised that he did not attend the August 13, 1975 meeting until just before it was breaking up and advised that no decision was made in his presence regarding postponing project "Haven".
Internal revenue service (IRS), was made aware of the nature of the inquiry and thereafter provided the following information:

Prior to becoming ____________________________ in March, 1975, ____________________________ was the assistant director of the internal audit division for two years.

___________________________ recalled attending an August meeting in the ____________________________ Director of Compliance Office.

___________________________ was filling in for the vacationing ____________________________

Also present at that meeting was TOM CLANCY and ____________________________ The meeting concerned discussion of a draft report received from the inspection people in Atlanta, Georgia, relative to the "Briefcase" incident. It was decided at that meeting that the scheduled meeting to set forth leads in project "Haven" would be postponed until inspection could obtain more information on how IRS agents received the information from the briefcase.

___________________________ attended a meeting the next day in the Commissioners adjoining conference room in which the following individuals attended: Commissioner ALEXANDER MEADE WHITAKER, BILL WILLIAMS, ____________________________, DON CLANCY, ____________________________, Director of Internal Security of the Inspection Division. The same questions which were discussed at the previous meeting the day before were discussed among those present. Discussion was held as to if the postponement of the August 19 meeting would be a policy decision or legal decision. It was resolved that it was a policy decision and it was decided to examine each case being investigated by IRS and to determine which case was involved in the "briefcase" incident. ____________________________ believed that Compliance was instructed to look into the matter. At the meeting ____________________________ made a statement about postponing the August 19 meeting, however, ____________________________ emphasized that the main thrust of the meeting was to discuss the facts known prior to the "briefcase" incident. The Commissioner was extremely upset over possible misconduct by IRS agents and emphasized that "you don't violate the law to enforce the law".  

Interviewed on 12/19/75 at Washington, D.C. ____________________________ File #: WFO 58-1540-09

by ____________________________ Date dictated 12/19/75

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
recalled that when LEPRECHAUN broke in the news media, he asked the Assistant Regional Commissioner for Inspection in Atlanta, Georgia, DON FARLEY, if there were any other problems within his region similar to LEPRECHAUN. FARLEY mentioned Tradewinds and that there was a possible problem with obtaining information from the Bahamas after the Bahamian government passed the banking laws. As a direct result of this discussion with FARLEY, which was held in May or June, 1975, investigation was initiated resulting in the July 3, 1975 draft report which included the "briefcase" incident.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (58-9248)  
FROM: SAC, WFO (58-1540)(P)  
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, ALSO KNOWN AS DONALD C. ALEXANDER, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS), BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST; 00:WFO.

INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED AT ATLANTA:

SEARCH OF DELTA AIRLINES FLIGHT RECORDS FOR THE FIVE NAMES LISTED ON PAGE FIVE OF WFO NITEL TO ATLANTA DATED DECEMBER 17, 1975, FOR POSSIBLE TRAVEL BETWEEN MIAMI, FLORIDA, AND CINCINNATI, OHIO, FROM MARCH 1, THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975, WAS NEGATIVE.

INVESTIGATION AT CHARLOTTE:

ON DECEMBER 17, 1975, REVENUE AND ACCOUNTING, PIEDMONT AIRLINES, WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA, ADVISED THEIR COMPANY HAS NO FLIGHT 27; DOES NOT SERVICE MIAMI NOR PROVIDE CONTINUOUS SERVICE THROUGH ATLANTA TO CINCINNATI; STATES CINCINNATI IS SERVED BY TRI-CITIES AIRPORT, TENNESSEE.
INVESTIGATION AT CHICAGO:

INVESTIGATION AT CHICAGO DETERMINED FOLLOWING MEMBERS AND ASSOCIATES OF LEVENFELD, KANTER, BASKES, AND LIPPITZ:

BURTON KANTER, CHARLES A. LIPPITZ, RICHARD K. JANGER, DONALD A. GLASSBERG, ASSOCIATES:

THE FIRM ALSO HAS OFFICES AT 220 JACKSON, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, AND 110 EAST 59TH, 37TH FLOOR, NEW YORK CITY, AND ARE ADVERTISED AS SPECIALISTS IN FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL TAX LAW AND ESTATE PLANNING.

CHICAGO INDICES INDICATE KANTER WAS IDENTIFIED AS INDIVIDUAL CONTACTED ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS BY MORRIS KLEINMAN. SUBJECT BUREAU FILE 92-9345. GAMBLING FIGURE FROM MIAMI, IN 1965-1968. INFORMANT INFORMATION
PAGE THREE WFO 58-1540.

RECORD OF UNITED AIRLINES FOR FLIGHT 836 FOR MARCH 2, 1975 THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975, REVIEWED WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS. RECORDS FOR MARCH 1, 1975, IRRETRIEVABLE DUE TO COMPUTER ERROR.

INVESTIGATION AT MIAMI:

SPECIAL AGENT (SA) CONTACTED HIS SOURCE

TICKETS OF ALL EASTERN AIRLINES FLIGHTS MAKING CONNECTIONS FOR CINCINNATI DURING PERIOD MARCH 1 THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975, EXAMINED FOR NAMES OF RICHARD GERSTEIN, MARTIN DARDIS, WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS.

NUMBERS IN MIAMI TERRITORY CALLED FROM SUBJECT'S TELEPHONE WERE DETERMINED TO BE REGISTERED TO CLAUDE DOUTHIT, JR., AND WEST PALM BEACH AND VERO BEACH, FLORIDA, AND TO JUPITER
PAGE FOUR WFO 58-1540

ISLAND, FLORIDA. NO INFORMATION MIAMI FILES REGARDING THESE INDIVIDUALS.

SUBPOENAAED CALLS FROM MIAMI TELEPHONES OF MARK KROLL, ALVIN BARKER, MARVIN WARNER, AND RICHARD E. GERSTEIN EXAMINED, AND CALLS TO CINCINNATI AND WASHINGTON, D.C. (WDC), AREA NOTED. LEAD TO IDENTIFY SUBSCRIBERS BEING IN THOSE AREAS SENT BY AIRTEL.

INVESTIGATION AT MINNEAPOLIS:

PASSENGER MANIFEST FOR NORTHWEST AIRLINES NOT AVAILABLE, HOWEVER, ACTUAL TICKETS ARE AVAILABLE FOR PERIOD MARCH 1, THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975. NORTHWEST AIRLINES IN PROCESS OF OBTAINING ALL TICKETS FOR FBI REVIEW.

INVESTIGATION AT WFO:

IN PREPARATION FOR AN INTERVIEW OF REGIONAL COMMISSIONER, A REVIEW OF THE TESTIMONY GIVEN BEFORE THE ROSENTHAL COMMITTEE ON OCTOBER 6, 1975, WAS CONDUCTED BY WFO AGENT. IT WAS NOTED IN THAT TESTIMONY THAT MR. AND DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS OF THE IRS HAD TESTIFIED THAT HAD MADE THE DECISION TO STOP FURTHER DISSEMINATION OF PROJECT HAVEN MATERIAL TO

Approved: ___________________ Sent _______ M Per _______
PAGE FIVE WFO 58-1540.
FIELD OFFICES OF IRS BY HIMSELF WITHOUT CONFERRING WITH EITHER THE COMMISSIONER OR THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF IRS ON THIS DECISION.

ON DECEMBER 17, 1975, MID-WEST REGION, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS POST OF DUTY, WAS INTERVIEWED BY WFO AGENTS. ADVISED THAT DURING AUGUST, 1975, HE CAME TO WDC AND REPLACED THE WHILE WAS ON VACATION. ADVISED THAT ON AUGUST 13, 1975, TWO DAYS AFTER HE CAME TO WDC, HE WAS APPROACHED BY TOM CLANCY, DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE, IRS, AND CONCERNING POSSIBLE TAINTED EVIDENCE REGARDING PROJECT HAVEN. STATED AFTER BEING BRIEFED BY THE TWO INDIVIDUALS, AND SOME OF HIS ASSISTANTS WERE CALLED IN AND A MAN FROM LEGAL COUNSEL IN WHICH A FURTHER DISCUSSION OF THIS MATTER WAS CONDUCTED. ADVISED TOLD HIM THAT INTELLIGENCE WAS ATTEMPTING TO INTERVIEW THE AGENT IN JACKSONVILLE WHO HAD OBTAINED THE ORIGINAL HAVEN INFORMATION.
AND THAT THEY EXPECTED TO KNOW THE FULL STORY IN APPROXIMATELY TWO WEEKS. ADVISED THAT AFTER TAKING ALL THE INFORMATION INTO CONSIDERATION, HE DECIDED TO POSTPONED A NEW YORK MEETING SCHEDULED FOR AUGUST 19, 1975, TO DISSEMINATE FURTHER INFORMATION TO THE FIELD OFFICES ON PROJECT HAVEN UNTIL INTELLIGENCE COULD COMPLETE ITS INVESTIGATION. ADVISED IT WAS HIS RECOLLECTION THAT HE CALLED NEW YORK THAT NIGHT OR EARLY THE NEXT MORNING.

ADvised that he briefed the commissioner on his decision two or three days later in the presence of several individuals and the commissioner concurred with his decision to halt dissemination at this time.

WFO, in reviewing commissioner Alexander's appointment log for August 14, 1975, noted a 1:00 p.m. meeting in the commissioner's office attended by the commissioner, the deputy commissioner, and several other individuals who had also attended the August 13, 1975, meeting.
WFO inquired the IRS to try to determine when Mr. [redacted] made his phone call to New York to stop the New York meeting and they were advised by [redacted] that this phone call was placed to [redacted] in New York at 2:30 P.M., August 14, 1975. Thereupon, a further review was conducted by WFO of the Commissioner's appointment log and it showed that the Commissioner departed on a ten-day vacation at 11:30 A.M. on August 15, 1975, and the only other meeting with [redacted] was for two minutes on August 15, 1975, in the Commissioner's office by themselves.

WFO recontacted [redacted] telephonically and questioned him as to what the text of the 1:00 P.M. meeting of August 14, 1975, was and advised that WFO felt [redacted] had briefed the Commissioner at this meeting and had then called New York. [redacted] advised after reviewing the memorandum and supporting material that this was undoubtedly correct and that he technically had supplied false information to the Rosenthal Committee.
BUT THAT HE HAD DONE IT UNINTENTIONALLY AND DUE TO THE FACT THAT HE HAD NOT BEEN PREPARED TO TESTIFY THAT DAY AND WAS TESTIFYING SOLELY FROM HIS MEMORY.

ON DECEMBER 18, 1975, [ ] WAS INTERVIEWED CONCERNING THE AUGUST 14, 1975 MEETING AND HE ADVISED THAT HIS RECOLLECTION OF THAT MEETING IS THAT IT WAS CALLED SOLELY TO DISCUSS THE STOPPING OF DISSEMINATION OF FURTHER INFORMATION ON PROJECT HAVEN WITH THE COMMISSIONER AND DEPUTY COMMISSIONER AND THAT NOTHING ELSE WAS DISCUSSED IN THAT MEETING.

ON DECEMBER 18, 1975, MEAD WHITAKER, CHIEF COUNSEL, WAS INTERVIEWED AND HE ADVISED HE HAD NO RECOLLECTION OF A MEETING ON AUGUST 14, 1975, IN THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

ON DECEMBER 17, 1975, [ ] DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, WDC, WAS APPRISED OF THE FACT AND HE ADVISED THAT THIS
WFO WILL FORWARD TO THE BUREAU AN LHM CONCERNING FACTS RELATED TO THE IMPLICATIONS OF PERJURY ON THE PART OF DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS AND REGIONAL COMMISSIONER [REDACTED] EXPEDITIOUSLY.

INVESTIGATION CONTINUING.

END.
TO: MIAMI (58-486)
WFO (58-1540)
FROM: CHARLOTTE (58-231) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA; COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY; COI. 00: WFO.

RE MIAMI TELETYPE DATED DECEMBER 18, 1975.

ON DECEMBER 19, 1975, RECORDS OF PIEDMONT AIRLINES REVENUE AND ACCOUNTING, WINSTON-SALEM, N. C., REVIEWED FOR PERIOD OF MARCH 1 THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975, FOR FLIGHT NUMBER 204, AND DISCLOSED NO BOARDINGS OR TICKETS IN NAMES OF INDIVIDUALS IDENTIFIED PRIOR MIAMI TELETYPE.

FD-302 WILL FOLLOW.

END.

HOLD FOR ONE

WWC WF FBI FOR TWO PLS HOLD
NR 026 LA PLAIN
1011 PM NITEL 12-19-75 GLD
TO WFO (58-1540)
FROM LOS ANGELES (58-1147) (P)
DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, AKA. COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE
SERVICE. BRIBERY; CONFLICT OF INTEREST. OO: WFO.
RE WFO AIRTHEL TO LOS ANGELES, DECEMBER 11, 1975; AND WFO
TELETYPETO BUREAU, DECEMBER 12, 1975.
WOODLAND HILLS, CALIFORNIA, INTERVIEWED
DECEMBER 19, 1975. STATED HE OPERATES A TAX PLANNING AND
MANAGEMENT SERVICE WITH APPROXIMATELY 150 TAX CLIENTS. 40 TO 50
ARE MEDICAL DOCTORS WITH INCOME BRACKET APPROXIMATELY $100,000.
HAS BEEN ENGAGED IN TAX PRACTICE 23 YEARS WITH VERY FEW AUDITS
UNTIL APPROXIMATELY 14 MONTHS AGO. IN 1973, HAD 4 AUDITS OF
CLIENTS AND IN 1974 9 AUDITS. TAX REVIEWS GENERALLY HAVE
RESULTED IN NO TAX CHANGE. COMPLAINED OF CONDITIONS IN
WAITING ROOM OF LOS ANGELES AUDIT OFFICE AND ARBITRARY MATTER OF
SOME PERSONNEL IN THAT OFFICE. STATED HE DOES NOT PERSON-
ALLY KNOW DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER EXCEPT AS REPORTED HEAD OF
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, AND BURKE WILLSEY AND HAD NEVER HEARD
OF WILLSEY UNTIL HE READ ARTICLE IN "LOS ANGELES TIMES",
NOVEMBER 9, 1975, DESCRIBING HIM AS ALEXANDER'S FORMER PERSONAL
ASSISTANT. [ ] HAD NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF ANY WRONGDOING ON THE PART OF EITHER ALEXANDER OR WILLSEY AND HIS CLIENTS HAVE NOT DISCUSSED ANY SUCH CONDUCT WITH HIM. [ ] STATED THE COMMENT THAT WILLSEY WAS POSSIBLY PEDDLING FAVORS FROM ALEXANDER WAS A HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION BASED ON HIS PROBLEMS WITH IRS LOCALLY. THE LETTERHEAD MEMORANDUM DATED NOVEMBER 20, 1975, CONTAINING HIS COMMENTS STATED IT WAS HIS CONJECTURE THAT WELLSEY WAS IN A POSITION TO PEDDLE FAVORS AND USE HIS INFLUENCE TO SQUEEZE OUT SMALL TAX PRACTITIONERS.

WFO. WILL ADVISE IF ANY FURTHER ACTION IN THIS MATTER DESIRED AT LOS ANGELES.

END
DONALD CHRICHTON, ALEXANDER, AKA, COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; BRIBERY, COI; 00: WFO.

RE MIAMI TEL TO BUREAU, ET AL, DECEMBER 17, 1975.

ON DECEMBER 22, 1975, ALL NORTHWEST ORIENT AIRLINE TICKETS WERE REVIEWED BY SA [REDACTED] FOR NORTHWEST FLIGHT 27, MIAMI, FLORIDA, TO ATLANTA, GEORGIA, MARCH 1, 1975, THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975. THIS CHECK DID NOT INDICATE THAT ANY OF THE INDIVIDUALS MENTIONED IN REFERENCED TEL TRAVELED VIA NORTHWEST AIRLINES FROM MIAMI TO ATLANTA FOR THE PERTINENT PERIOD.

NAMES OF PASSENGERS TRAVELING FROM MIAMI TO ATLANTA DURING THE PERIOD MARCH 1, 1975, THROUGH MARCH 15, 1975, HAVE BEEN NOTED AT MINNEAPOLIS AND SHOULD ANY ADDITIONAL NAMES ARISE DURING THIS INVESTIGATION, THEY CAN BE CHECKED AGAINST MINNEAPOLIS LIST. THIS LIST OF NAMES NOT BEING SET FORTH FOR THE SAKE OF BREVITY.

END

ALM FBI FO WFO TU CLR
REPORTING OFFICE | OFFICE OF ORIGIN | DATE | INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD
---|---|---|---
WASHINGTON FIELD | WASHINGTON FIELD | 12/23/75 | 12/17/75 - 12/19/75

TITLE OF CASE: DONALD CRICHTON ALEXANDER, aka DONALD C. ALEXANDER, Commissioner, Internal Revenue Service.

REPORT MADE BY: RICHARD W. HOUSTON

CHARACTER OF CASE: COI; BRIBERY

REFERENCE: WFO report dated 12/10/75.

ACCOMPONISHMENTS CLAIMED:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONVICTION</th>
<th>PRETRIAL DIVERSION</th>
<th>FUGITIV</th>
<th>FINES</th>
<th>SAVINGS</th>
<th>RECOVERIES</th>
<th>ACQUITTALS</th>
<th>CASE HAS BEEN:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

APPROVED: [Signature]

COPY MADE:

Bureau (58-9248)(Enc. 3)

WFO (58-1540)

Dissemination Record of Attached Report:

Agency
Request Recd.
Date Fwd.
How Fwd.
By

FILE STRIPPED: [Signature]
Enclosed for the Bureau is one copy of Rosenthal Subcommittee Hearings conducted on 10/6/75 regarding oversight of IRS Intelligence Operations. Also enclosed for the Bureau is one copy of a Memorandum of Contact dated 8/14/75 written by regarding a call from postponing 8/19/75 meeting and one copy of IRS report on Project Haven investigation dated 12/17/75.

LEAD

WASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D. C. Investigation continuing.
CONFIDENTIAL
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Synopsis: On 12/17/75, Midwest Region, Chicago, Illinois Post of Duty, IRS, during interview admitted that he probably advised subject and Deputy Commissioner WILLIAMS on 8/14/75 before calling New York to stop dissemination to the field of any new Project Haven cases. Advised that ongoing Project Haven cases were not halted just no new ones initiated. Advised he did not knowingly commit perjury before the Rosenthal Subcommittee as he was called to testify unexpectedly and he remembered incidents differently than what apparently the true facts were. On 12/18/75, IRS, advised he recalls the 8/14/75 meeting and that it was called to discuss decision to halt initiation of new Project Haven cases with Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner. Details of other pertinent interviews set forth.
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ENCLOSURES TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Enclosed for the Department of Justice is one copy of Rosenthal Subcommittee Hearings conducted on October 6, 1975, regarding oversight of IRS Intelligence Operations. Also enclosed for the Department is one copy of a Memorandum of Contact dated August 14, 1975, written by [redacted] regarding a call from [redacted] postponing the August 19, 1975 meeting and one copy of IRS report on Project Haven investigation dated December 17, 1975.

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D.C.
On December 17, 1975, the Departmental Attorney, Washington, D. C., was contacted and advised of the facts concerning this matter.
A review of Commissioner ALEXANDER's telephone logs and appointment calendar for the dates of August 13, 14, and 15, 1975, by Special Agent RICHARD W. HOUSTON revealed the following:

August 13, 1975 - Commissioner ALEXANDER departed National Airport for Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, at 7:10 a.m. and arrived back at National Airport at 8:50 p.m.

August 14, 1975 - Commissioner's calendar revealed that a meeting was held in the Commissioner's office with the following people present:

Commissioner ALEXANDER
Deputy Commissioner WILLIAMS
MEAD WHITAKER, General Counsel

assistants

August 15, 1975 - Commissioner's appointment calendar shows a two minute meeting with _______ between 9:25 and 9:27 a.m. Log shows _______ to be the only individual in the Commissioner's office. Commissioner's log shows 11:30 a.m. departure from National Airport, ultimate destination Nantucket, Massachusetts, for ten day vacation.
WILLIAM C. RANKIN, JR., 3904 Ilford Road, Silver Spring, Maryland, Deputy Assistant Commissioner Inspection, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), furnished the following information after being placed under oath:

RANKIN advised that IRS, and have all talked to him during the past couple of days and told him they recall that he attended the 1:00 p.m. meeting on August 14, 1975, in IRS Commissioner DONALD C. ALEXANDER's office. RANKIN feels fairly certain that he was at that meeting because has told him that they sat beside each other during the meeting. RANKIN, however, has absolutely no recall of that specific meeting. He has tried very hard to recall the meeting and was awake until 3:00 a.m. this morning trying to recall the meeting without success.

RANKIN recalls being briefed one, two, three days prior to the August 14, 1975, meeting by either or . The briefing was to the effect that a decision had been made to hold up the distribution of some two hundred cases, which were a part of project HAVEN, to the various IRS regions.

RANKIN recalls that sometime around August 14, 1975, saw him and told him there were some cases which were either ready to go to the Justice Department for prosecution or awaiting a decision as to whether to be sent to the Justice Department. wanted to determine if these cases were spin offs from the "briefcase" incident. RANKIN recalls that gave him several names which he had checked against the information obtained through the "briefcase" incident and the results were furnished to in a memo.

Interviewed on 12/19/75 at Washington, D.C. File # MFO 58-1540
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RANKIN recalls that the decision to postpone the dissemination of the approximately two hundred cases was to his thinking not a decisive decision to kill project HAVEN. To his knowledge the postponement of the distribution of the cases was done pending the completion of the investigation by the Inspection Division into how the "briefcase" documents had been obtained. A determination had to be made as to the legality of how these documents were obtained.

RANKIN cannot recall anyone saying that project HAVEN should be killed.
On December 18, 1975, Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Washington, D. C. (WDC), made available to Special Agent RICHARD W. HOUSTON two copies of the Special Inquiry Investigation Report dated December 17, 1975, involving DONALD C. ALEXANDER and Castle Bank and Trust Limited.
Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Washington, D.C., was contacted by Special Agent (SA) RICHARD W. HOUSTON regarding his recollections of the meetings surrounding the decision made in August, 1975, to stop dissemination of further cases to the field regarding Project Haven. He was advised of the identity of the Agent and the nature of the inquiry. He thereafter provided the following information:

advised that his recollection of Haven was that during 1973, information came to the attention of the national office as to how the original Haven matter was obtained. He stated at that time the legal counsel as well as Justice was advised of the problem and it was their opinion that some people's rights may have been violated but not the rights of the taxpayers that were contained in the information. advised that during 1974, inspection was made aware of the problem and was again put to the legal counsel as well as Justice with the same opinion. advised that during 1975, with all the problems that they had regarding information gathering, they decided to look further into the project in the Miami area to see if there were other problems.

advised that in that regard inspection carded an investigation and that his men were directed to do an audit of the funds involved with Tradewinds and Haven as well as the procedural aspects in regards to Tradewinds and Haven. advised that on July 2, 1975, the first report on Tradewinds and Haven came into the national office. stated he took this information regarding Haven to MEAD WHITAKER, Legal Counsel for IRS, and asked, "Is there any violation of Bahamian banking laws and are there any violations of American laws here". advised that WHITAKER stated that after reviewing the material he did not feel that there was enough information as to how the original evidence was obtained to make a decision, therefore, an internal security investigation was opened by his division into the actual obtaining of evidence.
stated that he kept the Commissioner informed on the problems relating to Haven and Tradewinds. Advised he does not recall knowing of any meeting between the Commissioner and during July, 1975, concerning the dissemination of further information to the field on Project Haven. Feels that he was probably aware of that meeting but stated he did not feel that it would have affected his decision in any way.

Advised that on the 7th or 8th of August, 1975, his men in Jacksonville had their first interview with Agent JAFFE. Advised that JAFFE would talk in general about the informant but would give no specifics regarding it and due to the fact his men had advised JAFFE of his rights, JAFFE requested an attorney. Stated that an attorney was arranged for JAFFE and a meeting was set for August 18, 1975, in which he felt JAFFE would tell the whole story. Advised that on August 18, 1975, JAFFE did not tell the whole story and has refused to tell him what the true facts were to this day.

Did advise that JAFFE stated that his boss, TROY REGISTER, was aware of the matter and was also aware of what he was going to do before he did it. Stated that on August 13, 1975, it was his recollection that TOM CLANCY, head of Intelligence, and went into office and advised him of the problem with the evidence and also provided him with the background information to that date. Stated he could not be certain as to exactly what information was provided to as this was probably speculation on his part, coming from the conversation that was held after he and his assistants got to the meeting. Stated that he advised in that meeting that he felt his men would have their investigation over with in at least two or three weeks. Advised that while he was there no one pointed out to that the Commissioner and had met on this same problem during July, 1975, and had decided to go ahead with the dissemination. Advised that when he left the meeting on the 13th he felt had made up his mind to delay the dissemination.

Stated his recollection of the meeting on August 14, 1975 was that it was attended by himself, MEAD WHITAKER, BILL WILLIAMS, and possibly Commissioner Alexander and possibly LEON WIGRIZER.
is that the first thing on the agenda and the reason for
the meeting was called was to discuss the decision
to cut off dissemination of further material on HAVEN.

stated that he remembers that there was a brief
discussion of the matter and that all the information was
laid out for the Commissioner and that the Commissioner
and BILL WILLIAMS concurred in this decision. advised
that then there was a discussion concerning all the
information that was in the briefcase, whether or not it
was tainted and if it was what were the ramifications of
this. advised that he cannot remember anything
else being discussed at this meeting.

advised that when testified
before the Rosenthal Committee he had no idea he was
going to testify and that he had not prepared for it and
this might possibly have been his reason for confusion.
On October 20, 1975, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, advised that the telephone records of MARK H. KROLL, 5401 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, would be preserved pending the arrival of a subpoena from Washington, D. C.

A review of telephone and city directories revealed the following listings:

Mister B Greeting Card Co., 3500 N. W. 52nd Street, Miami, Florida, ALVIN BARKER, President, has telephone 633-1556.

ALVIN V. BARKER, North Miami, Florida, has telephone

Florida, has telephone Miami Beach, b6 b7c

MARVIN WARNER (retired), 5401 Collins Avenue, Apartment 144, Miami Beach, Florida, has telephone 864-6650.

- no listing found.

RICHARD E. GERSTEIN, 11 Island Avenue (BI) Apt. 411, Miami Beach, Florida.

On November 24, 1975, a subpoena was served on Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company to provide long distance telephone information concerning the above individuals.
On December 8, 1975, discussions were held by the Departmental Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, concerning the problems the FBI had encountered in trying to interview the informant.
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UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Los Angeles, California
November 20, 1975

[Redacted]

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
ALLEGED MISCONDUCT OF
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE EMPLOYEES

Certified Public Accountant,
Woodland Hills, California, telephone number [redacted], telephonically recontacted the Los Angeles Office of the FBI on November 10, 1975.

[Redacted] stated that he had read an article appearing on the first page of the "Los Angeles Times", dated November 9, 1975, entitled, "FBI Investigating Allegations Made Against IRS Chief". [Redacted] stated he previously furnished information on October 14, 1975, regarding possible misconduct of Internal Revenue Service employees in Los Angeles and this information was submitted under above caption on October 21, 1975. [Redacted] stated that when he read the article in the "Los Angeles Times", his whole problem locally seemed to fall into prospective. He stated that the article in the "Los Angeles Times" sets forth information that Burke Willsey, Attorney and former Personal Assistant until September 15, 1975, to Mr. Donald C. Alexander, Internal Revenue Service Commissioner, has a private law practice in Los Angeles.

[Redacted] stated his conjecture is that Willsey is possibly in a position to peddle favors and use his influence to
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try to squeeze out small tax practitioners. 

advised further that the article in the paper refers to wealthy Americans using secret Caribbean bank accounts.

stated that a good portion of his clientele are wealthy doctors and that have asked him about avoiding taxes by placing their money in such bank accounts. He stated they apparently have heard of this practice from other doctors. stated that his recommendation to all of his clients is that they cannot avoid paying taxes, but they can improve their financial situation by taking advantage of legal tax shelters.

stated that word is out that if wealthy taxpayers do not use the services of a person of Willsey's stature, that they will have to pay enormous taxes.

suggested that one of his clients, is in such a quandry regarding his current tax position because of questionable policies used by the Internal Revenue Service, that he would recommend to that he be a test case to contact Mr. Willsey in an effort to determine if Willsey has an "in" with the local Internal Revenue Service Office and is possibly peddling favors.

stated that because of the "Los Angeles Times" article, he felt he should bring this to the attention of the FBI as he felt it might assist in the current investigation of the Internal Revenue Service. requested that this information be forwarded to the FBI in Washington, D.C.
FBI Investigating Allegations Made Against IRS Chief

BY GAYLORD SHAW and ROBERT L. JACKSON
Times Staff Writers

WASHINGTON—The FBI is conducting a preliminary investigation of allegations involving Internal Revenue Service Commissioner Donald C. Alexander, who already is the focus of inquiries by two congressional panels.

An FBI spokesman said Saturday that the investigation had been undertaken at the request of the Justice Department's criminal division. He would not discuss its scope, but other sources said Treasury Secretary William E. Simon had referred the matter to the Justice Department.

Alexander has repeatedly denied any wrongdoing in his job as the nation's chief tax collector, although he has been accused of frustrating enforcement of criminal tax laws. The accusations have been made by some present and former IRS agents who are upset about his curtailment of the agency's intelligence-division operations. The intelligence division investigates criminal tax violations.

The FBI is seeking to determine if there are any federal violations involving Alexander. A Justice Department official described the inquiry as "a preliminary matter."

"It's under study," he said, "We are aware of the allegations, as is the bureau (FBI)."

Simon has also ordered a separate Treasury Department investigation of published reports that Alexander and other high-ranking IRS officials blocked an audit of tax returns of Sen. Joseph M. Montoya (D-N.M.), chairman of a subcommittee that handles the agency's appropriations.

In addition, a House government operations subcommittee headed by Rep. Benjamin S. Rosenthal (D-N.Y.) and an oversight subcommittee of the House Ways and Means
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Both Alexander and the Cincinnati law firm have vigorously denied any connection with the bank or any Caribbean transactions or accounts.

When asked in an interview how the firm's name and telephone number had gotten into the records, Alexander replied: "I have no idea. It might have been planted there."

He refused to elaborate.

Alexander said he had not been aware that the telephone number was in the files of Castle Bank & Trust Co. until after the project's suspension last August.

The project's suspension was ordered by Edward Trainor, Chicago regional commissioner, while Trainor was serving temporarily as acting assistant commissioner for compliance in the national headquarters.

Trainor was filling in for vacationing Singleton Wolfe. On July 16, shortly before he went on vacation, Wolfe had written a memo ordering that Project Haven should continue because of "the significant investment made in the project to date and the tax potential involved."

Trainor did not attempt to contact Wolfe before he issued the countermanding order. However, congressional testimony shows that he received "advice and assistance" from other officials in the national office.

When asked in the interview whether he had told Trainor to suspend the project, Alexander said, "I absolutely did not."

He acknowledged in response to other questions that he had been told in 1974 that a former law partner might be involved in a tax investigation.

When asked what he had done when he heard this, Alexander said: "Nothing, I (later) heard it was cleared up."

In a March, 1974, memorandum, Project Haven's managers had reported to the national office that the telephone number of Alexander's former firm had been found in Castle Bank & Trust Co. files, as had been a name similar to that of a former law partner of Alexander. However, Alexander said he had not known of those alleged links to Project Haven until after the project's suspension last August.

Burke Wilsey, Alexander's personal assistant until Sept. 15, said in an interview that Alexander had a policy against becoming involved in any tax case linked to his former law firm or its clients or to his son's law firm.

Wilsey, now a Los Angeles attorney, supported Alexander's statements. He said he had told Alexander in the summer of 1974 that a certain former law partner might be involved in a tax investigation, but Wilsey said he had not known that it was the Caribbean investigation.

Wilsey said that he and the IRS deputy commissioner had decided that they should "suggest to the commissioner that, until he was advised otherwise, he should not have any social or professional contact with that partner."

When asked how Alexander had responded, Wilsey said, "He just looked at me and said, I think I understand what you're telling me."

Six weeks later, Wilsey said, he told the commissioner that "it was a case of mistaken identity... He (Alexander) was extraordinarily relieved."

Wilsey said he then began asking "how the hell such a mistake could happen," and the response from those he asked was, "Well, apparently there is a telephone number—the commissioner's former law office's telephone number."

"So I knew there was a connection," Wilsey added. He said he had not told Alexander of the link, however.

Alexander and other high IRS officials told a hearing of Rozenthal's subcommittee last month that Project Haven had been suspended in August soon after an internal IRS investigation disclosed how a list of 300 Bahamian bank clients had been obtained in January, 1973.

They said there were legal questions involved because an informant had photographed the contents of a Bahamian banker's briefcase while the banker was with a woman arranged for by the informant.

IRS documents show, however, that high-level IRS and Justice Department officials had known for more than two years precisely how the information had been obtained—and had decided that the evidence could be used in tax investigations.

Wilsey told a reporter he had learned of the briefcase incident in the spring of 1974 but that he had not immediately told Alexander or sought to halt investigations based on the briefcase evidence. "It was in normal channels and progressing," he said.

The commissioner said in an interview that he had first been told of the briefcase incident about fast July. Since then, he said, he has reviewed the names on the list, which reportedly include organized crime figures and prominent businessmen.