RELEASE IN PART

From:	H <hrod17@clintonema< th=""><th>ail com</th><th></th><th>,</th></hrod17@clintonema<>	ail com		,
Sent:	Tuesday, April 28, 2009	3.40 AIVI		
To:	'millscd@state.gov'			
Subject:	Re: 2-pager		•	
l agree. Can you talki have a ma	tter of some urgency.			
Original Message				
From: Mills, Cheryl D < MillsCD@s	tate.gov>			
To: H				
Sent: Tue Apr 28 05:28:02 2009				
Subject: Fw: 2-pager				·
See comments on AMS documen	t I left on you chair today	<b>y</b> .		
Jim and tom F comments in emai	l below.			
Cdm		•		
	<del></del>	•		
From: Jim Kennedy			•	
To: Tom Freedman			•	
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D				
Sent: Mon Apr 27 21:38:56 2009				
•		•		
Subject: Re: 2-pager				·
i like virtually everything in the tv	vo nagor, and I do agree	with Tom on the nation	of alcusting the "not	ional interest!
and security elements of this, both				
that both gives it the widest reac			a, and also to help h	icsii it out iii u way
some ways to consider doing that interest/security reasoning at wo creation of a network of principle	rk - something like "Ame	erica has the opportunity	and the responsibili	ty to lead in the
capacity of people and nations to				
(i inserted "network" of principle partnerships as well as to the infl same vein, i don't know whether partnerships" or "practical and prinserted).	uence of technology on there might be an advar	building themnot a "m ntage in alluding to a net	ust have" but just a t work of "pragmatic a	houghtin the nd principled
On Mon, Apr 27, 2009 at 3:05 PM	I, Tom Freedman <		wrote:	

В6

B6

I like the substance and I might consider if there are ways to communicate, or more strongly affirm, some elements that would be important for audiences to hear, including that this is an approach of leadership, self-interest, and values. The values I mean are things like security, stability and building opportunity. Those pieces are in here, but the notion should be clear that we are not ceding leadership, we are leading by building alliances and partnerships. And we will always stand for what is right and the right to defend ourselves and our allies, but we are fighting as we always have for shared values. Make sense? Tom

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 1:37 PM To: Jim Kennedy; Tom Freedman

Subject: FW: 2-pager

See attached

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie

Sent: Monday, April 27, 2009 12:14 PM To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J

Subject: 2-pager

I tried out "principled partnership" on her this morning and she likes it – I really think we're almost there. Pse let me know whether I can distribute this more widely for tomorrow's mtg.