RELEASE IN PART B6

From:	H < hrod 17@clintonemail.com>	
Sent:	Friday, October 23, 2009 6:03 AM	
To:	'millscd@state.gov'	
Subject:	Re: Guy was right!	
You should also get Bill and/or F	armer to call. Should we get any Congress Member to call?	If so, who?
Original Message		
From: Mills, Cheryl D < MillsCD@	state.gov>	
To: H		
Sent: Fri Oct 23 05:54:44 2009		•
Subject: Fw: Guy was right!		
Teeing up you call to preval for t	today - see b/I	·
	· 	
Francisco Character Donastic		
From: Stewart Paperin To: Mills, Cheryl D		
Sent: Fri Oct 23 05:41:56 2009		
Subject: FW: Guy was right!		
, ,		
	·	
FYI- this from our partner,		
Stewart		
		
From:	<u> </u>	
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 200	9 11·35 PM	
To: Stewart Paperin	,5 II.55 I W	
Subject: Guy was right!		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Stewart,		

I went for news and indeed Guy is right. The meeting occurred yesterday and the decision was made to revoke the government on October 29. I wonder what happened with the initial decision which was strictly concerning Minister Dorsainvil and which was for November 20th or 21st. The idea had been sold that Michele should not be touched as the President did not care to have a vacuum in these electoral times. Plus, Michele was finally regarded as ambitionless (not

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05761102 Date: 06/30/2015

В6

seeking presidential powers) which is not a bad thing under the circumstances. Apparently, she, not being amenable to sacrificing some of her Ministers...and again, she being the one to name their replacements, has brought about the decision to do away with her altogether. The trouble is that nobody seems willing to counter the President with whom the instructions have originated. It is a head to head match between the Prime Minister and the President. That is obviously not good. Nobody will stand against the President elect for the Prime Minister appointed.

I will have two meetings with separate parliamentarians tomorrow. On one end the ones voting to oust her on the other the week opposition. The frustrating thing is that none have allegiance to her, just as none have the individual power to stand against the vote for which consensus is being secured.

The UN and other international players (at least locally) after having cried victory, success and democracy are reluctant to openly and publically denounce the matter. After announcing a week or two ago that Haiti is the new place to invest, how can they say anything but whatever is done, reflects good governance.

Frankly, I did not see this one coming. We need to think.

In touch tomorrow for updates.

Υ