RELEASE IN FULL

From:

H < hrod17@clintonemail.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 26, 2009 7:38 AM

To:

'sullivanjj@state.gov'; 'jonespw2@state.gov'

Subject:

Re: AfPak papers

Thanks so much. I don't know what the NSC has or will read so we need to think of this submission as representing our views for historical purposes.

---- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
To: H; Jones, Paul W <JonesPW2@state.gov>

Sent: Mon Oct 26 07:33:09 2009

Subject: Re: AfPak papers

We are meeting this morning to do a final scrub of the package, and we'll address this.

---- Original Message -----

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Jones, Paul W; Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Mon Oct 26 07:25:17 2009

Subject: AfPak papers

There's a lot of good work in these papers and I thank everyone who helped. I have the following questions:

Why is there overlap btw what we sent over to the NSC already and what we have compiled into the larger presentation? By my review, Tabs 1, 3, and 6 are in both packages. If we want to include these in both, we need an annotated index that lists all the papers not just the main tabs.