RELEASE IN PART B5

From: Sent: To: Subject:	H <hrod17@clintonemail.com> Tuesday, December 29, 2009 12:07 PM 'lewjj@state.gov' Re: Budget update</hrod17@clintonemail.com>		
Three reactions: You are a miracle come work w us?	e worker. We're a good team. And, we w	ill surely miss Rob	Nabors. Any chance he'd
Original Message From: Lew, Jacob J <lewjj@state To: H Cc: Mills, Cheryl D <millscd@stat< th=""><th>.gov> e.gov>; Abedin, Huma <abedinh@state.į< th=""><th>gov>; Sullivan, Jac</th><th>ob J <sullivanjj@state.gov></sullivanjj@state.gov></th></abedinh@state.į<></th></millscd@stat<></lewjj@state 	.gov> e.gov>; Abedin, Huma <abedinh@state.į< th=""><th>gov>; Sullivan, Jac</th><th>ob J <sullivanjj@state.gov></sullivanjj@state.gov></th></abedinh@state.į<>	gov>; Sullivan, Jac	ob J <sullivanjj@state.gov></sullivanjj@state.gov>
Sent: Tue Dec 29 11:24:11 2009 Subject: Budget update			
We are very close to settled with	OMB.	• •	
			B5
providing an additional that you discussed with the in Treasury). The on greater than Defense – which is n	fee generated revenue, we have an addit directly to Treasury for the multilaters President, the budget will reflect e condition on the top line is that the Statow 3 percent, which is why part of the most through the details of the numbers, this et out of alignment.	al food initiative. of new resource: te/USAID percent ultilateral food as	in State and age increase cannot be sistance funding is going
MCC (OMB made this part of the and in new money wwithin the State/USAID levels. Givestore the proposal to increase in the originally proposed levels, with	re restorations to initiatives that we discuss agreement). In food security, with the confill add to the multilateral initiobal engagement will be funded at state and USAID staffing. While the state carryover balances because prior year ecruitment. It should feel like we are on	mbination of itiative; we will fu of new mount of increases will be appropriations we	from State/USAID nd the nutrition component ney and we will be able to e funded at 50 percent of ere delayed there will be a
ops funding which means our abs	fee proposal may not be accepted, we no olute risk vs. current levels is only operations will come to State and the permeter ops funding.	. We also ge	of cushion in new State et the upside that any revenues, if enacted, will

I talked to Karl yesterday about Afghanistan funding and I think he appreciates that we have made big progress, but he is still thinking about weighing in on the need for full funding. I told him that we expect funding levels that will permit us

to increase the monthly program level from roughly stable planning for the next 18 months. I told him that the proposed settlement compelling case and he is weighing whether the principle of full funding of the fie that he wants to be firm on. He understands that we went to the mat on this and arguments we faced.	eld defined requirements is an issue
I think Richard will be disappointed that the Pakistan funding level is at Kerry-Lug	ar-Berman levels and not higher.
Overall In a year when domestic agencies are being pressed very hard this should and USAID. I started laying a foundation at the staff meeting this morning so our budget documents with their staffs.	
I hope this is the final budget note for the year. Best to you and your family for a	happy and healthy new year.

Jack