RELEASE IN PART B5

B5

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

H <hrod17@clintonemail.com> Monday, April 5, 2010 3:19 PM 'sullivanjj@state.gov' Re: S-Ashton Update

Are you still in basketball-crazed Indianoplace?

Anything to report? I'm on the way

----- Original Message -----From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> To: H Sent: Mon Apr 05 14:56:55 2010 Subject: RE: S-Ashton Update

I think we're fine on this.

-----Original Message-----From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com] Sent: Saturday, April 03, 2010 5:14 PM To: Sullivan, Jacob J Subject: Re: S-Ashton Update

I did not mention this because not sure how I felt about it, but if all agree it would help, I will call her.

----- Original Message -----From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov> To: H Sent: Tue Mar 30 12:53:19 2010 Subject: Fw: S-Ashton Update

Fyi

From: Mull, Stephen D To: Sullivan, Jacob J Cc: Montgomery, Molly C; Baxter, Shawn; Lang, Alan; Waller, Robert P; P-NEA Duty; Waser, Tamir G Sent: Tue Mar 30 12:45:10 2010 Subject: S-Ashton Update

Hi Jake,

You'll see that the Secretary's points for Ashton mention the idea of our sending an inter-agency expert team to Brussels for the next few months to improve US-EU coordination on Iran sanctions prep. EU officials had been receptive, but

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05774724 Date: 09/30/2015

now the bureaucrats are saying this would be "counter-productive", and instead prefer more regular videoconferences. Which is probably OK. Anyway, I just wanted you to know the background, in case it comes up in the Ashton meeting.

Steve