

RELEASE IN PART  
B5,B6

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 8, 2010 4:17 PM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** Re: QDDR Breakfast

It would appear - we should still decide if that is what we should do . . .

----- Original Message -----

**From:** H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>  
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**Sent:** Wed Dec 08 16:16:05 2010  
**Subject:** Re: QDDR Breakfast

Can we roll out next week?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>  
**To:** H  
**Sent:** Wed Dec 08 16:15:16 2010  
**Subject:** Re: QDDR Breakfast

Good - and we got the document to them

----- Original Message -----

**From:** H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>  
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**Sent:** Wed Dec 08 16:13:36 2010  
**Subject:** Re: QDDR Breakfast

And how did that go???

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>  
**To:** H  
**Sent:** Wed Dec 08 15:03:00 2010  
**Subject:** Re: QDDR Breakfast

B/c we are on phone w/ them getting their edits!

----- Original Message -----

**From:** H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>  
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**Sent:** Wed Dec 08 15:01:38 2010  
**Subject:** Re: QDDR Breakfast

Agreed.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>

B5

To: H  
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>  
Sent: Wed Dec 08 14:32:43 2010  
Subject: Re: QDDR Breakfast

[Redacted]

B5

----- Original Message -----

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>  
To: Mills, Cheryl D  
Sent: Wed Dec 08 14:26:12 2010  
Subject: Re: QDDR Breakfast

What do you think? I can go either way but we need to decide.

----- Original Message -----

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>  
To: H  
Sent: Wed Dec 08 14:09:29 2010  
Subject: Fw: QDDR Breakfast

Fyi

---

From: Hyde, Dana  
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J; Slaughter, Anne-Marie; Chollet, Derek H; Verma, Richard R  
Sent: Wed Dec 08 14:08:19 2010  
Subject: FW: QDDR Breakfast

I spoke to Liz this morning and explained where we are in terms of the interagency and green lighting invitations (i.e., very close, but not there yet). She said that at this point we will probably not be able to attract the number and level of corporate leaders we originally envisioned for next Tuesday. Liz certainly could pull together something with USGLC board members and some military leadership, but if she is to only get one bite of the apple with HRC her recommendation is to "do it right" and move this to January (either the week of the 10th or 24th). The idea in January would be to include members of congress and frame the event as the QDDR making the case for State/USAID's mission and resources in the new environment. We could take the event in a number of directions (Liz would LOVE to get Gates together with Clinton) but the idea to launch the QDDR in January with USGLC as the blueprint for supporting international affairs and the 150 account.

Thoughts? -D

From: Liz Schrayner [Redacted]  
Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2010 11:17 AM  
To: Hyde, Dana

B6

Subject:

I am sure you are all scrambling to release this next week – I assume we are off for next Tuesday -- Please confirm

Liz Schraye | President



B6

Schraye & Associates, Inc.

1129 20th Street NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20036

[www.schraye.com](http://www.schraye.com)