RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov> Saturday, December 12, 2009 4:41 AM H Fw: IMP: USAID/HRC Af-Pak Testimony

Fyi b/l - speechwriters will address

From: Rodriguez, Miguel E To: Klevorick, Caitlin B; Mills, Cheryl D Sent: Fri Dec 11 19:15:06 2009 Subject: Re: IMP: USAID/HRC Af-Pak Testimony

I know I'm stating the obvious, but it's a temperamental and sensitive crowd. Of course, they don't latch on to all the great things she said about USAID last week at her hearings. They just harp on the comments taken out of context.

l agree with Caitlin -- if we can get a line or two in her testimony next week, maybe it can help soothe them. And of course, a couple of lines in her Raj confirmation remarks.

Thanks.

From: Klevorick, Caitlin B To: Mills, Cheryl D Cc: Rodriguez, Miguel E Sent: Fri Dec 11 16:23:55 2009 Subject: IMP: USAID/HRC Af-Pak Testimony

Something came up today I wanted to flag for you.

At one of the meetings today on Raj and USAID, it came up that HRC's remarks about failures in Afghanistan have been heard by USAID folks in the field and in DC as a hard hit. Apparently remarks she made last week echoed her saying that Afghanistan had been a "heartbreaking" failure (March) and that after reviewing USAID work "we didn't particularly come away impressed" (last week) and triggered a lot of emotion at USAID. The statements were part of larger remarks and their meanings tweak by the papers, but the tweaked bites stuck. USAID folk were asking if she could signal her support and gratitude to the civilians in Afghanistan (and Pakistan) in whatever remarks she makes around Raj's confirmation it would go a very long way. I understand she is testifying again this coming week and wondered if maybe the sentiment could be woven into the testimony since the usaid folk in the room emphasized the upset about previous remarks. Both bites are below.

Thanks

From 12/3/09:

In hearings before the Senate Armed Services Committee, Clinton said that there has been a 10-month investigation of what USAID was doing on the ground in Afghanistan, and that "we didn't particularly come away impressed." Many of the 300 civilians there were on six-month rotations and did not have well-defined missions, and many spent time out of the country, she said. More important, Clinton added, "most of our civilian aid going into Afghanistan had been contracted out without adequate oversight or accountability." [Washington Post, 12/3/09]

What she said:

What we have done in the last 10 months is, number one, to investigate very thoroughly what was on the ground in Afghanistan. And we didn't particularly come away impressed. As I said in my testimony, there were a little over 300 civilians. Many of them were on six-month rotations. You looked at their in-country time, a lot of them spent time out of the country. They did not have well-defined missions. Most of our civilian aid going into Afghanistan had been contracted out without adequate oversight or accountability. [HRC, 12/3/09]

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05766062 Date: 10/30/2015

From 3/31/09:

THE HAGUE, Netherlands — Billions of dollars spent on humanitarian aid to Afghanistan during the past seven years have led to a "heartbreaking" failure to produce results, Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton said Monday, promising that the new strategy President Obama announced last week will turn that around.

"Those of you that have been on the ground in Afghanistan, you've seen with your own eyes that a lot of these aid programs don't work," Clinton told reporters on her plane en route to a conference here on Afghanistan. "That is one of the reasons why we have sought a lot of advice on how to improve them." [USA Today, 3/31/09]

B6

Caitlin Klevorick Office of the Counselor Department of State KlevorickCB@state.gov 202.647.6115