RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From:	H <hrod17@clintonemail.com></hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2012 12:57 PM
То:	'monica.hanley
Subject:	Fw: a possible new approach to Iran
Pls print.	
From: Anne-Marie Sla	
Sent : Wednesday, Fe To : H	bruary 01, 2012 12:40 PM
Cc : Abedin, Huma < A < SullivanJJ@state.gov	bedinH@state.gov>; Cheryl Mills <millscd@state.gov>; Jacob J Sullivan (SullivanJJ@state.gov)</millscd@state.gov>
Subject: a possible n	
Jake and Sheba,	
	our conversation re Iran, I have just seen a report by a group of smart Princeton seniors under the
guidance of Frank vor	
	hat subject at Princeton for years) AND who was the Iranian nuclear
negotiator until a yea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	ion of how to lock the Iranians into actual negotiations. I reprint the (3 paragraph) Executive
	rt below: it's innovation is a PHASED grand agenda that would be agreed to at the outset, as well as
•	ons that is reversible and politically doable (at least relative to other options). I have sent the whole
	students themselves (with their advisers) are presenting the report to Bob Einhorn on Friday at
State, but i thought yo Best,	ou should just see the quick outline of their approach.
AM	

Executive Summary

A decade after its uranium enrichment efforts were publicly revealed, Iran's nuclear program inches closer to a breakout weapons capability. If a nuclear-armed Iran were to emerge, regional dynamics could make containment difficult. We judge, therefore, that the primary U.S. objective should be to prevent Iran from acquiring nuclear weapons by extending Iran's breakout time in the short term, while simultaneously reducing Iran's motivation to obtain nuclear weapons in the long term.

An evaluation of the different strategies that have been proposed for responding to Iran's nuclear challenge, including the United States' current dual-track policy, targeted military action, and regime change, suggests that none is able to simultaneously achieve the short and long-term objectives listed above. Direct bilateral negotiations offer the best chance of success, but only if new attempts can avoid past failures and address the broader motivations behind Iran's nuclear program.

We propose a notional framework that we call a Phased Grand Agenda (PGA), which, in addition to addressing the nuclear issue, would contend with the smallest set of related non-nuclear issues upon which a peaceful resolution of Iran's nuclear ambitions depends. Negotiations would proceed in a set of phases, with each stage building confidence to allow for deeper cooperation in the next. The most urgent actions, such as freezing Iran's enrichment of uranium to levels below 5%, would be taken first, while the most difficult steps would come last. To minimize the temptation for either side to end implementation of an agreement before completing the entire PGA, reversibility for the two sides would be matched in each phase.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05793736 Date: 11/30/2015

Anne-Marie Slaughter
Bert G. Kerstetter '66 University Professor of Politics and International Affairs
Princeton University
440 Robertson Hall
Princeton, NJ 08544

Assistant: Terry Murphy

Website: www.princeton.edu/~slaughtr

В6