

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Jake Sullivan [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013 10:02 AM
To: preines [redacted]
Cc: H; CDM
Subject: Re: Testimony

B6

My problem with Mark's analysis is that it repeats the same flawed assumption that underpinned his advice in 2008; namely, that being yourself is risky.

On 1/24/13, PIR [redacted] wrote:

> I say this as someone who has never had a problem with Mark or held
> him as accountable as other people do - but...

>

> Give

> Me

> A

> Break

>

> You did not look rattled. You looked real. There's a difference. A

> big one.

>

>

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Evergreen

> To: CDM

> To: Jake Sullivan

> To: PIR

> Subject: Fw: Testimony

> Sent: Jan 24, 2013 7:10 AM

>

> Ok, so here's first discordant note--just to keep it real.

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: Mark J. Penn [redacted]

> Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 09:01 PM Eastern Standard Time

> To: H

> Subject: Testimony

>

> The Republicans but not the American people have been obsessed with

> Libya and trying to pin the acts of terrorists on you. They have been

> playing this non stop on their cable tv.

>

> But I don't think the emotion in the hearing works to your advantage

> -- looks more like they rattled you on something no one outside the

> crazy right blamed you for anyway.

>
> I think you either let it lie and say I think I've said everything
> that needs to be said on this or if asked why so emotional you might
> explain that you were just frustrated with the apparent high level of
> partisanship on this issue -- we should be pulling together here and
> not losing our focus on the fight against terrorism which has always
> been a bipartisan issue and focusing on the big questions that
> confront us. (This answer would drive the republicans crazy but I'd
> rather they talk about how you accused them of being partisan which
> everyone knows is true)

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>