RELEASE IN PART B6

B6

From:	II devel 170 distance and in an
Sent:	H <hrod 17@clintonemail.com=""></hrod>
To:	Thursday, January 24, 2013 10:54 AM 'jake.sullivan
Subject:	Re: Testimony
<i>subject.</i>	Ne. Testimony
BINGO!!	
Original Message	
From: Jake Sullivan [mailto:	
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2013	10:02 AM Eastern Standard Time
To: preines	
Cc: H; CDM <	
Subject: Re: Testimony	
My problem with Mark's analysis namely, that being yourself is rist	is that it repeats the same flawed assumption that underpinned his advice in 2008; ky.
On 1/24/13, PIR <	· wrote:
	never had a problem with Mark or held
> him as accountable as other pe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
>	
> Give	
> Me	
> A	
> Break .	
>	
You did not look rattled. You lo	poked real. There's a difference. A
> big one.	
>	
>	·
>	
> Opinion I NA	
>Original Message	
> From: Evergreen	
> To: CDM	
> To: Jake Sullivan > To: PIR	
> Subject: Fw: Testimony > Sent: Jan 24, 2013 7:10 AM	
> Settl. Jan 24, 2015 7:10 AW	
> Ok, so here's first discordant no	otejust to keen it real
> Ox, so here's first discordant his	in Just to keep it real.
> Original Message	•
> From: Mark J. Penn [mailto	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2013 09:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
> To: H	2020 05:02 : III Editorii ottanduru riirie
> Subject: Testimony	

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05798263 Date: 11/30/2015

> The Republicans but not the American people have been obsessed with > Libya and trying to pin the acts of terrorists on you. They have been > playing this non stop on their cable tv. > > But I don't think the emotion in the hearing works to your advantage > -- looks more like they rattled you on something no one outside the > crazy right blamed you for anyway. > I think you either let it lie and say I think I've said everything > that needs to be said on this or if asked why so emotional you might > explain that you were just frustrated with the apparent high level of > partisanship on this issue -- we should be pulling together here and > not losing our focus on the fight against terrorism which has always > been a bipartisan issue and focusing on the big questions that > confront us. (This answer would drive the republicans crazy but I'd > rather they talk about how you accused them of being partisan which > everyone knows is true)