RELEASE IN PART B5.B6

From:

Neera Tanden

Sent:

Tuesday, September 8, 2009 12:56 PM

To:

Subject:

Re: roller coaster

Under Baucus' proposal (which has never been put down in legislative language), the co-ops, as defined, are to be not-for-profit. Here are his criteria:

- 1. It must be organized as a nonprofit, member corporation under State law.
- 2. It must not be an existing organization that provides insurance as of July 16, 2009, and must not be an affiliate or successor of any such organization.
- 3. Its governing documents must incorporate ethics and conflict of interest standards protecting against insurance industry involvement and interference.
- 4. It must not be sponsored by a state, county, or local government, or any government instrumentality.
- 5. Substantially all of its activities must consist of the issuance of qualified health benefit plans in the individual and small group markets in each state in which it is licensed to issue such plans.
- 6. Governance of the organization must be subject to a majority vote of its members (i.e., beneficiaries).
- 7. As provided in regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS), it must operate with a strong consumer focus, including timeliness, responsiveness, and accountability to members.
- 8. Any profit must be used to lower premiums, improve benefits, or for other programs intended to improve the quality of health care delivered to members.

From: H < HDR22@clintonemail.com>

To: "ntanden

Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 12:46:10 PM

Subject: Re: roller coaster

But the "system" let the Blues go public. What's to prevent the co-ops from incorporating down the road? The return of non-profits would have to require no changes.

---- Original Message -----From: Neera Tanden

Sent: Tue Sep 08 12:40:19 2009 Subject: Re: roller coaster

The problem is that Baucus circulated his plan Saturday morning, and his plan has included coops literally for months. He started circulating paper that got to us Sunday. It's hard to move Baucus on anything new at this point, especailly now that we're back to the hands off approach. And really, people are worried about non-profit insurance companies being strong enough. After all, the old Blues became the current Blues - that has been a concern about coops too; that is why the left has argued for a stronger government role.

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05764711 Date: 01/29/2016

B6

But look our strategy just went 180 degrees in the course of 12 hours, so it could switch up again.
Francis Land Decoration and the second secon
From: H < HDR22@clintonemail.com> To: "ntanden()' < ntanden Sent: Tuesday, September 8, 2009 10:52:18 AM Subject: Re: roller coaster
Why don't they go w non-profit insurance companies instead of cooperatives like the Old Blues?
Original Message From: Neera Tanden To: H Sent: Tue Sep 08 10:30:53 2009 Subject: roller coaster
So after being prepared to put out very long press paper, with a fully drafted bill (though still needing work) waiting in the wings, the strategy has utterly shifted to going back to discussing health care at 30,000 feet tomorrow evening because Baucus is moving. He will announce tomorrow he is marking up next week. They are thinking their push has made him move so now it's counterproductive to lay out very specific details. The speech is being totally redrafted, and I think we may just end up putting out a one-pager.
The Baucus news is close hold; as is, of course, all of this.

B6

B5