**RELEASE IN** PART B6

**B6** 

Sullivan, Jacob J < SullivanJJ@state.gov> From: Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 2:44 AM To: Subject: Fw: Comments on 2014 Fyi From: Ruggiero, Frank J To: Sullivan, Jacob J Sent: Fri Nov 19 02:36:28 2010 Subject: Fw: Comments on 2014 Per Secretary's request on plane. From: Sedwill Mark To: Ruggiero, Frank J; mark.sedwil Cc: Holbrooke, Richard **Sent**: Fri Nov 19 02:34:18 2010 Subject: Re: Comments on 2014 Hi Frank Less to this than meets the eye. I just said that 2014 was a goal not a guarantee and that the Nato mission would continue thereafter, focused on training etc. But inevitably some of the more excitable journalists decided that I was predicting combat for years. Mark ---- Original Message -----From: Ruggiero, Frank J <ruggierofj@state.gov> To: MARK.SEDWILL Cc: HolbrookeR@state.gov < HolbrookeR@state.gov > Sent: Thu Nov 18 23:55:36 2010 Subject: Comments on 2014 Mark, I am travelling with Secretary Clinton and read a statement in the press attributed to you that characterized 2014 as an inflection point for transition to Afghan security forces lead, and that full transition could go beyond 2014. I had not heard that formulation before. I assume it was mis-reported, but wonder if you could clarify. Much appreciated

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

End of mail