RELEASE IN PART B5,B6

From: Sent:	Sullivan, Jacob J <sullivanjj@state.gov> Saturday, May 8, 2010 10:38 AM</sullivanjj@state.gov>	i
To:	H Re: SEN Graham	i
Subject:	ke. Sen Granam	
I've just hit up again. If that fails - which it may - you'll have to appeal. One potential compromise is flipping and RCH, so RCH is in the bilat and is in the lunch. RCH could then take the ministers not in the lunch to lunch.		
But I'm pursuing the maximal po	sition.	
		!
Original Message From: H < HDR22@clintonemail.c	com>	
To: Sullivan, Jacob J Sent: Sat May 08 10:34:10 2010		i
Subject: Re: SEN Graham		
Both and RCH should be in I	both.	B5
Original Message From: Sullivan, Jacob J <sullivanj To: H</sullivanj 	J@state.gov>	1
Sent: Sat May 08 10:12:15 2010 Subject: Re: SEN Graham		
I haven't seen the draft commun	nique vet I will see it today	i :
is the guy that Eikenberry wouldn't allow to see Karzai - you and Leon and others had to fix that.		В6
	tht - pushed the issue. Told them it was in their interest as much as ours to include RCH. the lunch and RCH is in the lunch but not the bilat. I said, can't you add a chair in the ed.	B 5
Before you call	let me go back to them today.	
Who knew that who sits in which meeting would occupy so much of our time!		
Original Message From: H < HDR22@clintonemail.o To: Sullivan, Jacob J Sent: Sat May 08 06:51:28 2010 Subject: Re: SEN Graham	com>	
Have you seen draft communique yet? Who is the Karl refers to? What happened w request for RCH He called me about it and I agree he should be in there.		B6 B5

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05770230 Date: 02/19/2016

B6

Best,

Karl