RELEASE IN PART B1,1.4(D),B5,B6

From:	
Sent:	Jake Sullivan Saturday, May 2, 2009 2:28 PM
To:	H
Subject:	Re: Followup
	1
	В
readout. About 75% of co-chairing, and Jack L	with Holbrooke and Petraeus but I'm getting regular updates and there will be a full the people who do Af/Pak policy in government are there, with Petraeus and Holbrooke ew and Michele Flournoy helping run it. There are apparently 6 three-stars (including cessor) and everyone from Barney Rubin to Sarah Chayes.
Paul Jones gave our cives aid there remains some	ilian surge presentation and it went very well helped set the agenda in our favor. That e "creative tension" between Holbrooke/Lew on the one hand and Flournoy/Petraeus on
the other on whether the pressing on how many	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan.
the other on whether the pressing on how many a collegial, positive atmo	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm
the other on whether the pressing on how many a collegial, positive atmosphere atmospher	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm or its role. Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 02/29/2016 ~ Class; CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason; 1.4(D
the other on whether the pressing on how many a collegial, positive atmo Apparently, the meeting grasp of the enterprise of	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm or its role. Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 02/29/2016 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D
the other on whether the pressing on how many a collegial, positive atmosphere atmospher	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm or its role. Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 02/29/2016 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D
the other on whether the pressing on how many a collegial, positive atmo Apparently, the meeting grasp of the enterprise of	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm or its role. Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 02/29/2016 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D
the other on whether the pressing on how many a collegial, positive atmo Apparently, the meeting grasp of the enterprise of	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm or its role. Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 02/29/2016 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D
the other on whether the pressing on how many collegial, positive atmo	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm or its role. Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 02/29/2016 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D
the other on whether the pressing on how many a collegial, positive atmo Apparently, the meeting grasp of the enterprise of	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm or its role. Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 02/29/2016 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D
the other on whether the pressing on how many a collegial, positive atmosphere atmospher	e State Department will actually be able to meet the goals. The Pentagon folks keep assets they might have to deploy and when. The tension is playing out, though, in sphere and we're pushing back hard with the specifics of our plan. g hit a snag on strategic communications the Pentagon does not seem to have a firm or its role. Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 02/29/2016 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D

On Sat, May 2, 2009 at 1:37 PM, H < <u>HDR22@clintonemail.com</u>> wrote: Are you at the planning meeting w Holbrooke and Patraeus?

Also, have you seen the readout from my Karzai call? Has Holbrooke? What's the feedback?