From:	Н	RELEASE IN PART B5,B6
Sent:	11/23/2012 5:23:37 PM +00:00	,
То:	Oscar Flores	NEAR
Subject:	Fw: Follow up - PLEASE READ - CONFIDENTIAL	DUPLICATE
Pls print.		
Sent : Tuesday, I To : H	ryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov] November 20, 2012 12:39 PM Eastern Standard Time Illow up - PLEASE READ - CONFIDENTIAL	
Start from the b	ottom	
cdm		
From: Goldberg, Sent: Tuesday, I To: Mills, Cheryl	November 20, 2012 11:09 AM	

Cc: Smith, Jeannemarie E

Subject: RE: Follow up - PLEASE READ - CONFIDENTIAL

Cheryl – A few comments on Raj's proposal to combine global health under a single agency.
Pipeline info:
the PEPFAR pipeline is big. Most foreign assistance programs carry pipelines and large assistance
programs like PEPFAR will have large pipelines. As of June 30, 2012, the latest data we have, PEPFAR was carrying a pipeline of
undisbursed funds (funding that is available but has not gone out the door yet) of \$6.7 billion. Of this amount, <u>USAID-managed</u>
PEPFAR programs represent 62 percent of the overall pipeline. HHS is second comprising 27 percent of the pipeline. Why is the
pipeline so big? Remember that PEPFAR receives over \$5 billion per year in appropriations annually and there are delays in moving
money to the field and then to implementers. Appropriations bills are always late and once an appropriations bill is passed OGAC
runs a thorough and lengthy process to determine how to allocate these funds. It is natural that OGAC will carry over substantial
funding from one fiscal year to the next. Even USAID's own guidelines suggest that programs have 9-12 months of carryover to deal
with such delays

B5

I tried to be as direct as possible. I hope this helps and I am happy to discuss further.

From: Mills, Cheryl D Sent: Tuesday, November 20, 2012 8:33 AM Fo: Smith, Jeannemarie E; 'Lorrie McHugh-Wytkind'; Goldberg, Robert H Cc: Goosby, Eric Subject: FW: Follow up - PLEASE READ - CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL – note limited distribution.
SEE BELOW:
A few observations:
I. I would not be surprised if Raj already has shared a draft of this concept with others in the Executive Branch;
2. Gayle may already find the approach compelling but concerned about the accuracy of the data (which is the basis for considering the approach seriously);
3. We need to determine our collective thoughts. I will set a time to discuss.

cdm	
Original Message From: Smith, Gayle [mailto: Sent: Tuesday, November 20, 2012 7:55 AM To: Mills, Cheryl D Subject: RE: Follow up	B
	B5

Thanks again	
Original Message	
From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]	
Sent: Tuesday, November 20, 2012 7:27 AM	
To: Smith, Gayle	
Subject: RE: Follow up	
Gayle	

	B
cdm	
Original Message	
From: Smith, Gayle [mailto:	B6
Sent: Tuesday, November 20, 2012 7:08 AM	
To: Mills, Cheryl D	
Subject: Follow up	
	B

Message Headers:	From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com> To: Oscar Flores < Date: Fri, 23 Nov 2012 12:23:37 -0500 Subject: Fw: Follow up - PLEASE READ - CONFIDENTIAL</hdr22@clintonemail.com>	B6
PR_RIM_MSG_ON_DEVICE_3_6: PR_RIM_DELETED_BY_DEVICE: PR_RIM_MSG_REF_ID: PR_RIM_MSG_STATUS: PR_RIM_MSG_FOLDER_ID: PR_RIM_PAGER_TX_FLAG: PR_RIM_INTERNET_MESSAGE_ID:	true true -784263020 1 -3 true	