

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] on behalf of Cheryl Mills
Sent: Saturday, August 11, 2012 9:20 AM
To: Jake Sullivan
Subject: Fwd: WSJ Op-Ed: Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy

B6

Remind me to discuss with you.

cdm

Begin forwarded message:

From: williamsbarrett [redacted]
Date: August 11, 2012 12:56:05 PM GMT+03:00
To: "Jim Kennedy" [redacted], "Cheryl Mills" [redacted]
Cc: "Roy Spence" [redacted], "Judy Trabulsi" [redacted],
"Capricia Marshall-Gmail" [redacted], "Huma Abedin"
<Huma@clintonemail.com>, "Minyon Moore" [redacted]
Subject: Re: WSJ Op-Ed: Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy
Reply-To: williamsbarrett [redacted]

Think accomplishment list is a must. Would like to have it worked into a narrative which also anticipates how she will be challenge--framed in Roy's stateswoman frame. Whoever has to, will answer attack by attack whenever it seems smart to do so but think it important to have a narrative/story, with repeatable phrases and key words so a story gets stuck into the heads of people. The basis of this would be a pretty exhaustive Q and A which we just keep adding Qs and As. This is a reference that will be invaluable to her and her surrogates. I think something like this might be process already. Caitlin might work on this.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: Jim Kennedy <[redacted]>
Date: Sat, 11 Aug 2012 05:34:25 -0400
To: Cheryl Mills<[redacted]>
Cc: <williamsbarrett [redacted]>; Roy Spence<[redacted]>; Judy
Trabulsi [redacted]>; Capricia Marshall-Gmail [redacted]
Huma Abedin<Huma@clintonemail.com>; Minyon Moore<[redacted]>
Subject: Re: WSJ Op-Ed: Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy

I used to like Ajami back in the early 90's when he was a frequent CBS analyst. But he's lost all sense of perspective and nuance. To some extent he undermines his argument by the unrelenting negativity and meanness (even to the point of suggesting historians might not even pay attention to HRC - unbelievable!). Agree that, while a specific response likely unnecessary, there will be a need to mount a vigorous defense of the record by allies, in the form of op-eds, speeches, and availability to comment as others write perspective pieces.

It would be good to have (perhaps it already exists) both a short and long "accomplishments" list that friends and others can have to bolster support. While there is most definitely a generalized sense that she's done a great job, I think for many it's a thin and relatively uninformed

understanding that would benefit from talking points that provide specific examples (of which I'm sure there are many).

On Sat, Aug 11, 2012 at 3:46 AM, Cheryl Mills [redacted] wrote:
good point, but just so we are all clear - this is the essence of what will be the right's attack and there are issues in it that the left will agree with

B6

On Sat, Aug 11, 2012 at 9:45 AM, <williamsbarrett@[redacted]> wrote:
Pushed send accidentally. To finish.
The world is being transformed, in part, by the leaders and people in new democracies - people who saw what we had and wanted it.
Most importantly he has a book to sell. Think Ted should read the book and prepare a response to XXX. Just for our use, not that this guy should get a response but so we start our As to the incoming Qs.
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: williamsbarrett@[redacted]
Date: Sat, 11 Aug 2012 06:33:36 +0000
To: Roy Spence<[redacted]>; Cheryl Mills<[redacted]>
ReplyTo: williamsbarrett@[redacted]
Cc: Judy Trabulsi<[redacted]>; Jim Kennedy<[redacted]>;
Capricia Marshall-Gmail<[redacted]>; Huma
Abedin<Huma@clintonemail.com>; Minyon Moore<[redacted]>
Subject: Re: WSJ Op-Ed: Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy

Also this person only has one notion of what American power looks like. He wishes the world would stay still so his conception of American would be frozen in time. Many of us do but the world is being transformed by the hopes and And last nut not
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: Roy Spence [redacted]
Date: Fri, 10 Aug 2012 22:38:10 -0500
To: Cheryl Mills<[redacted]>
Cc: Judy Trabulsi<[redacted]>; Jim Kennedy<[redacted]>;
Maggie Williams<[redacted]>; Capricia Marshall-
Gmail<[redacted]>; Huma Abedin-Personal<Huma@clintonemail.com>;
Minyon Moore<[redacted]>
Subject: Re: WSJ Op-Ed: Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy

Always remember. The intellectual elite hated both bill and Hillary. They are less relevant than ever. The heartland meaning the 70 plus percent of those who vote. Hate the elite on both sides. We must care more about those who are struggling than those who are writing about it. Level 5 leaders care more about those who are trying to make it than those who have a pov who have never been in arena we must not Care what the elite care about. Ever again ever again. Love
Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 10, 2012, at 9:48 PM, "Cheryl Mills" <cheryl.mills@[redacted]> wrote:

Harsh read and the beginning of the legacy attack.

cdm

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Mills, Cheryl D" <MillsCD@state.gov>
Date: August 11, 2012 5:09:58 AM GMT+03:00
To: <cheryl.mills>
Subject: Fw: **WSJ Op-Ed: Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy**

B6

From: Nuland, Victoria J
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2012 09:47 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Reines, Philippe I; Mills, Cheryl D; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Fw: WSJ Op-Ed: Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy

From: PA Clips [<mailto:paclips@state.gov>]
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2012 07:00 PM
To: PA-Monitoring-Group-DL
Subject: WSJ Op-Ed: Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy

Updated August 10, 2012, 6:51 p.m. ET

Hillary and the Hollowness of 'People-to-People' Diplomacy

Nearly a million miles in the air. And U.S. power is diminished.

By FOUAD AJAMI

The sight of Hillary Clinton cutting a rug on the dance floor this week in South Africa gives away the moral obtuseness of America's chief diplomat. That image will tell the people of the besieged Syrian city of Aleppo, under attack by a merciless regime, all they need to know about the heartlessness of U.S. foreign policy.

True authority over foreign affairs has been vested in the White House, and for that matter, in the Obama campaign apparatus. All the great decisions on foreign policy—Iraq and Afghanistan, the struggle raging in Syria, the challenge posed by the Iranian regime—have been subjugated to the needs of the campaign. All that is left for Mrs. Clinton is the pomp and ceremony and hectic travel schedule.

Much has been made of her time in the air. She is now officially the most traveled secretary of state in American history. She has logged, by one recent count, 843,458 miles and visited 102 countries. (This was before her recent African swing; doubtless her handlers will revise the figures.) In one dispatch, it was breakfast in Vietnam, lunch in Laos, dinner in Cambodia. Officially, she's always the life of the party.

This is foreign policy trivialized. If Harry Truman's secretary of state, Dean Acheson, was "present at the creation" of the post-World War II order of states, historians who bother with Mrs. Clinton will judge her as marking time, a witness to the erosion of U.S. authority in the international order.

After settling into her post in early 2009, she made it clear that the "freedom agenda" of the prior administration would be sacrificed. "Ideology is so yesterday," she bluntly proclaimed in April of that year. This is what her boss had intended all along. The herald of change in international affairs, the man who had hooked crowds in Paris and Berlin and Cairo, was, at heart, a trimmer, timid about America's possibilities beyond its shores.

Preside