Both items now fixed Original Message From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com> Date: Sun, 30 Oct 2011 22:08:36 To: 'jake.sullivan</hdr22@clintonemail.com>	From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	PIR <pre>PIR <pre>PIR <pre>PIR <pre>Preines</pre> Sunday, October 30, 201 Evergreen; Jake Sullivan CDM; Huma Abedin Re: WaPo</pre></pre></pre>	on behalf of PIR 1 10:09 PM	RELEASE IN PART B5,B6
From: H_KHDR22@clintonemail.com> Date: Sun, 30 Oct 2011 22:08:36 To: 'jake.sullivan	Both items now f	ixed		
From: Jake Sullivan [mailto:jake.]  Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 09:34 FM  To: preines	From: H <hdr22@c. Date: Sun, 30 Oc To: 'jake.sulliva 'preines Cc: 'cheryl.mill:</hdr22@c. 	lintonemail.com> t 2011 22:08:36 an	>; Huma Abed	Edin <huma@clintonemail.com></huma@clintonemail.com>
From: Jake Sullivan [mailto:jake] Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2011 09:34 FM To: preined	I'm sure I spoke	w HBJ just not for 90 minut	es.	
I don't know where he got the 90-minute call with HBJ from. PIR, you might ask him.  On 10/30/11, PIR <pre>preines</pre> > Jake and I will review, and flag for Joby >Original Message > From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com> &gt; Date: Sun, 30 Oct 2011 21:01:35 &gt; To: 'preines</hdr22@clintonemail.com>	From: Jake Sulli Sent: Sunday, Oc To: preines Cc: H; CDM <cher< td=""><td>van [mailto:jake. tober 30, 2011 09:34 PM <pre></pre></td><td>pedin</td><td>E</td></cher<>	van [mailto:jake. tober 30, 2011 09:34 PM <pre></pre>	pedin	E
might ask him.  On 10/30/11, PIR <pre>preines</pre>	It says Juppe in	the piece now, so it must	nave been fixed.	
<pre>&gt; Jake and I will review, and flag for Joby &gt;Original Message &gt; From: H <hdr22@clintonemail.com> &gt; Date: Sun, 30 Oct 2011 21:01:35 &gt; To: 'preines</hdr22@clintonemail.com></pre>		re he got the 90-minute cal	l with HBJ from. PI	TR, you
> From: H < HDR22@clintonemail.com> > Date: Sun, 30 Oct 2011 21:01:35 > To: 'preines	> Jake and I wil			E
<pre>&gt; 'jake.sullivan</pre>	<pre>&gt; From: H <hdr22> Date: Sun, 30 &gt; To: 'preines</hdr22></pre>	Gclintonemail.com> Oct 2011 21:01:35	]	E
> not Sarkozy. Did I talk for 90 minutes w HBJ? Can you review for other > issues? > Original Message > From: PIR [mailto:	> 'jake.sullivan > Abedin <huma@cli< td=""><td><pre></pre></td><td></td><td></td></huma@cli<>	<pre></pre>		
> Original Message > From: PIR [mailto:	> not Sark <u>ozy. D</u>	3.3	_	2 2
> From: PIR [mailto:	>			
> To: H > Cc: CDM <cheryl.mills ;="" <jake.sullivan<="" jake="" sullivan="" td=""><td><pre>&gt; From: PIR [mai &gt; Sent: Sunday, &gt; To: H</pre></td><td>lto: October 30, 2011 08:27 PM</td><td>llivan <jake.sulliva< td=""><td>n E</td></jake.sulliva<></td></cheryl.mills>	<pre>&gt; From: PIR [mai &gt; Sent: Sunday, &gt; To: H</pre>	lto: October 30, 2011 08:27 PM	llivan <jake.sulliva< td=""><td>n E</td></jake.sulliva<>	n E

**B**5

```
> Subject: WaPo
> Below is the front page of tomorrow's Washington Post.
> Clinton's key role in Libya conflict
> Bv Joby Warrick
> Washington Post
> Sunday, Oct 30, 2011
> TRIPOLI, Libya - At 5:45 p.m. on March 19, three hours before the official
> start of the air campaign over Libya, four French Rafale jet fighters
> streaked across the Mediterranean coastline to attack a column of tanks > heading toward the rebel city of Benghazi. The jets quickly obliterated
> their targets-and in doing so nearly upended the international alliance
> coming to Benghazi's rescue.
> France's head start on the air war infuriated Italy's prime minister, who
> accused Paris of upstaging NATO. Silvio Berlusconi warned darkly of cutting
> access to Italian air bases vital to the alliance's warplanes.
> "It nearly broke up the coalition," said a European diplomat who had a
> front-row seat to the events and who spoke on the condition of anonymity to
> discuss sensitive matters between allies. Yet, the rift was quickly patched,
> thanks to a frenzied but largely unseen lobbying effort that kept the
> coalition from unraveling in its opening hours.
> "That," the diplomat said, "was Hillary."
> Seven months later, with longtime American nemesis Moammar Gaddafi dead and
> Libya's onetime rebels now in charge, the coalition air campaign has emerged
> as a foreign policy success for the Obama administration and its most famous
> Cabinet member, Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton.
> Some Republicans derided the effort as "leading from behind" while many
> others questioned why President Obama was entangling the nation in another
> overseas military campaign that had little strategic urgency and scant
> public support. But with NATO operations likely ending this week, U.S.
> officials and key allies are offering a detailed new defense of the approach
> and Clinton's pivotal role - both within a divided Cabinet and a fragile,
> assembled-on-the-fly international alliance.
> What emerges from these accounts is a picture of Clinton using her mixture
> of political pragmatism and tenacity to referee spats among NATO partners,
> secure crucial backing from Arab countries and tutor rebels on the fine
> points of message-management.
> Clinton, in an interview, acknowledged "periods of anguish and buyer's
> remorse" during the seven months of the campaign. But she said, "We set into
> motion a policy that was on the right side of history, on the right side of
> our values, on the right side of our strategic interests in the region."
> From skeptic to advocate
> During the initial weeks of unrest in Libya, Clinton was among the White
> House officials clinging to fading hopes that Gaddafi might fall without any
> help from the West.
> From the first armed resistance on Feb. 18 until March 9, the disorganized
> opposition movement appeared to be on a roll, taking control of Libyan
```

2

> cities from Benghazi to Brega and Misurata on the Mediterranean coast. But > in a single, bloody week, Gaddafi loyalists turned rebel gains into a rout, > crushing resistance in towns across Libya before marshaling forces for a > final drive against Benghazi, the last opposition stronghold. > With Gaddafi threatening to slaughter Benghazi's population "like rats," the > rebel leaders pleaded for Western intervention, including a no-fly zone. The > appeal garnered support in Europe, particularly among French and British > officials who began working on the text of a U.N. Security Council > resolution that would authorize the use of military against the Libyan > autocrat. > But the idea of a no-fly zone drew skepticism from within the Obama White > House. Some officials, most notably then-Defense Secretary Robert M. Gates, > opposed military intervention. And Clinton, during two trips to Europe in > early March, made clear that Washington was not eager to lead a politically > risky military campaign against yet another Muslim country. > She was loath to see Gaddafi trouncing aspiring democrats in his country and > menacing fledgling governments in neighboring Egypt and Tunisia. But Clinton > told aides, who later described the administration's inner workings on the > condition of anonymity, that the hard reality was that a no-fly zone, by > itself, might make things worse. > "We were opposed to doing something symbolic - that was the worst of both > worlds," said one of the aides. "We would have crossed the threshold [of > intervention] without accomplishing anything." > Clinton had drawn up a list of conditions that included a formal request by > Arab states for intervention. On March 12, the 22-nation Arab League did > exactly that, voting to ask for U.N. approval of a military no-fly zone over > The next day, on March 13, Clinton traveled to Paris for a meeting with > foreign ministers from the Group of 8 countries. In the marbled conference > rooms of Paris's Westin Hotel, she sat down for the first time with Mahmoud > Jibril, the interim leader of Libya's fledgling Transitional National > Council. She also met privately with Gulf diplomats to gauge Arab > willingness to send warplanes to enforce a possible no-fly zone. And she > huddled with Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov, whose country's veto > potentially could block any intervention effort at the United Nations. > "When she went to Paris, there were no instructions from the White House on > whether to support strong action in Libya," said a senior State Department > official. Yet, within three days, the official said, Clinton began to see a > way forward. > "This was an opportunity for the United States to respond to an Arab request > for help," the official said. "It would increase U.S. standing in the Arab > world, and it would send an important signal for the Arab Spring movement." > By March 15, when Clinton spoke with President Obama by phone to brief him > on the meetings, she had become a "strong advocate" for U.S. intervention, > one administration official said. The president, who had been weighing > arguments from a sharply divided Cabinet for several days, sided with his > secretary of state. > Clinton was halfway across the Atlantic on March 17 when a resolution went > before the U.N. Security Council authorizing a Libyan intervention with "all > necessary means" - U.N. code for military force. From the plane, Clinton > worked the phones while the administration's ambassador to the United > Nations, Susan Rice, met with counterparts to line up votes and to ensure

```
> that both Russia and China would withhold their vetoes.
> The resolution passed, 10 to 0, with five countries abstaining.
> Keeping alliance together
> The French air attack that so angered the Italians two days later grew from
> French President Nicolas Sarkozy's desire to launch an early, symbolic
> strike before the official start of the campaign. The White House did not
> object - Sarkozy had been a key advocate of military intervention, and
> French leadership on Libya had boosted the president's popularity at home.
> But the other allies were wary. France had floated the idea of a command
> structure distinct from NATO, that would include some Arabs while excluding > Germany and other opponents of intervention. Italy and Turkey, meanwhile,
> insisted on NATO control and threatened to boycott any other arrangement.
> The early French attack deepened suspicions by the two countries that
> Sarkozy harbored "hidden agendas and different agendas," as Turkish
> President Abdullah Gul would later say.
> With the alliance threatening to unravel, Clinton focused on damage control.
> She spent hours on the phone and in person with Berlusconi and Italian
> Foreign Minister Franco Frattini, who eventually played crucial roles in
> providing air bases as staging grounds for attacks.
> The details of the military command were ultimately decided in a four-way
> conference call between Clinton and Sarkozy, British Foreign Secretary
> William Haque and Turkish Foreign Minister Ahmet Davutoglu.
> Yet even as that conflict cooled, another one was erupting.
> Several Arab states, including Qatar, the United Arab Emirates and Jordan, > had agreed to supply warplanes and pilots to the coalition in a symbolic
> show of support by Muslim countries for military action against Libya.
> But three days into the bombing campaign, the Arabs appeared to be backing
> away, concerned by the possibility of a backlash in their own countries and
> angered by U.S. criticism of the Saudi-led military intervention in Bahrain
> to put down an uprising there. By March 24, Qatar's four promised jets still > had not yet made an appearance over Libya, and the United Arab Emirates and
> Jordan had announced that they would provide only humanitarian assistance.
> In a bid to woo the Arabs back into the alliance, Clinton spoke for 90
> minutes by phone with Sheik Hamad bin Jassim Al-Thani, the Qatari foreign
> minister, while also making repeated calls to the UAE's Sheikh Abdullah bin
> Zayed Al Nahyan and to Jordan's King Abdullah II.
> "This is important to the United States, it's important to the president and
> it's important to me, personally," Clinton told Arab leaders, according to
> one of the State Department official.
> On March 25, Qatari-flagged Mirage 2000 jets flew their first sorties over
> Libya, All three countries eventually would supply military aircraft and
> experienced pilots to the Libyan campaign.
> Getting past stalemate
> The NATO-led air campaign quickly pushed Gaddafi's forces from Benghazi. But
> by May, the alliance's planes were patrolling front lines that barely moved.
> In Washington and in Europe, the word "stalemate" began to creep into
> opinion columns as lawmakers, skeptical of U.S. policy in Libya, began
```

> threatening to block funds for military operations there. Meanwhile, a cash > crunch also loomed for the rebels, who were unable to sell oil and were > legally blocked from tapping into Gaddafi's overseas bank accounts. By early > July, they had run out of money for weapons, food and other critical > supplies. > Clinton, ignoring the advice of the State Department's lawyers, convinced > Obama to grant full diplomatic recognition to the rebels, a move that > allowed the Libyans access to billions of dollars from Gaddafi's frozen > accounts. At a meeting in Istanbul on July 15, she pressed 30 other Western > and Arab governments to make the same declaration. > "She brought everyone over at once," said a Western diplomat who attended > the Istanbul meeting. > Tripoli fell five weeks later, after a relatively small U.S. expenditure of > \$1 billion, and with no regular U.S. troops on the ground. In the air > campaign, U.S. jets flew less than a third of the missions but supplied > critical support in air refueling, surveillance and logistics for sorties > flown by more than a dozen other nations. > Still, no hero's welcome > The political benefits to Clinton and Obama remain far from clear. To many > Libyans and others in the Muslim world, the lasting impression from the > campaign is that of a reluctant America, slow to intervene and happy to let > others take the lead. While Sarkozy and British Prime Minister David Cameron > were given heroes' welcomes during victory laps through Libya last month, > Clinton was confronted during her recent Tripoli visit with questions about > why the United States had not done more. > "Many people feel that the United States has taken a back seat," one student > told her. > U.S. critics of the administration's policy say the administration's Libya > policy, while ultimately successful, is emblematic of a slow and haphazard > response to the Arab Spring uprisings. > "Earlier intervention might have prevented the conflict from ever reaching > that dangerous precipice," said Michael Singh, a former senior director for > Middle East affairs at the National Security Council under President George > W. Bush. "There is a difference between building an international consensus > and following one." > Clinton acknowledged that history's verdict on the Libyan intervention was > far from assured and said that NATO's formula for aiding a popular uprising > against a dictatorship may not be easily applied elsewhere. > "We need to assess where we are, what we accomplished together, what the

> costs were," Clinton said. Meanwhile, she said, "we do have to be more agile > and flexible in dealing with a lot of the challenges we face, and we should > be unembarrassed about that."