From: Sent:	Jake Sullivan ₹ Friday, March 19, 2010 9:20 PM	on behalf of Jake Sullivan	RELEASE IN PART B5,B6
To: Cc: Subject:	Schwerin, Daniel B Sullivan, Jacob J Re: Steve Mull comments on Iran sect	ion	В6
that sounds like the righ	nt approach.		
On Fri, Mar 19, 2010 at	t 9:12 PM, Schwerin, Daniel B < <u>SchwerinI</u>	OB@state.gov > wrote:	
Almost ready on new dra to Burns and ask for his		Maybe when I so	end you can forward B5
From: Jake Sullivan [mails Sent: Friday, March 19, 20 To: Schwerin, Daniel B Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J Subject: Re: Steve Mull co	010 9:07 PM		B6
	u should ask bill burns what he thinks. at 8:06 PM, Schwerin, Daniel B < Schwerin	nDB@state gov > wrote:	
Did you look through	,	December 1	B5
Original Message- From: Schwerin, Danie			
Sent: Friday, March 19 To: 'Jake.Sullivan Subject: Steve Mull co	9, 2010 6:05 PM '; 'huma@clintonemail.com' omments on Iran section		В6
Original Message- From: Mull, Stephen I Sent: Friday, March 19 To: Schwerin, Daniel I Subject: FW: AIPAC of	O 9, 2010 6:03 PM B draft v3		
	s for the delay, here are my mark ups of the ou the challenge of drafting this speech.	e Iran	

JNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2016-07895 Do	c No. C06161482	Date: 07/02/2018
I've also suggested a few other tweaks in the text to clarify some things, and have added some language in the last para of the Iran section to address Jack's excellent point.		
Please let me know if you need more.		
Steve		
Original Message From: Schwerin, Daniel B		
Sent: Friday, March 19, 2010 2:43 PM To: Mull, Stephen D		
Subject: FW: AIPAC draft v3		
Steve, am eager to hear your thoughts on this draft. Also, Jack Lew just sent me this question about the Iran section:		
What are your thoughts on how best to address?		
Thanks		
Dan		

B5

B5