Re: USAID				
From:	janpiercy		B6	RELEASE IN PART B6
То:	hdr22@clintonemail.cor	1		
Subject:	Re: USAID			
The S of Defe development, not		t DoD is not best equipped to provide reco opportunity for a new look at how post-co		•
	requiring post-conflict thi	rspective would weigh in on how to procee sking in planning development support i k, and engage strategically.	• •	
I believe this mig	ght intrigue him.			
long-term to have undertaking that leadership from S separate earmark	e major impact. He would is likely to set the framew State/AID and the Adminis	ge for even 18 months would set the right be involved in the foreign assistance act re ork for our engagement for the next decad ration on this Act, it risks ending up worse exible bilateral engagement that takes acc country.	ethinking, a criti e or more. How e than the prese	cally important ever, if there's not strong nt "Christmas tree" of
as part of the	SofState's senior team,			I

-- in the end, even if he won't agree to head USAID, there's a lot to be said for asking him. I don't know your relationship with him which is of course quite an important consideration. But I was very struck in two interviews by how he said that he would do whatever the President asked -- he did not say it in a way that sounded coy or evasive but simply literally true.

Original Message From: H	
To: Jan Piercy ; hdr22@clintonemail.com	
Cc: Nancy Bekavac ; Cheryl Mills Sent: Sat, 31 Jan 2009 8:29 am	
Subject: Re: USAID	
Interesting idea but very unlikely. I will explore w him and report back.	
 From: Jan Piercy	
Date: Fri, 30 Jan 2009 15:32:43 -0600	
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com > Subject: USAID	
Don't laugh me out of the room for this question: is it at all conceivable that you'd entertain idea of	and is it
known whether something else has already been proposed to him? The development assistance advocacy commu	•
unusually unified at present around reform and he has been talked about extensively as possibly the one person development assistance on a par with DoD and State. Sense is that he might do it if framed as a turn-around that	•
accomplished in a year or two, as he might well not want this long-run. We hear over and over (and we concur) to	
must be someone 1) of enormous stature; 2) capable of immediate traction/effectiveness on the hill, 3) high ener leader-manager, 4) someone who cld function broadly as member of senior State team, with your confidence and away.	
I do not know your relationship with him, for starters. He has said that he would do whatever the President asked	·
seeking to join an Administration. I do believe that he is loyal (some might say "to a fault") to lines of command a person, and he believes in development assistance. If you have or could have a rapport, this is not a crazy idea.	as a military
The other counter-intuitive possibility is former Republican Congressman	
Nancy and I were impressed with his substantive range and de ability to navigate Congress, a critical skill with the early prospect of Berman moving out on revamping the Foreig	•
Act. We'd like you to meet him.	ii /issistantee

B6

Which stars will make the biggest headlines in 2009? Get Hollywood news, celebrity photos and more with the PopEater Toolbar