RELEASE	IN	PART
B6		

	В0		
Fw: North Ko	rea		
From:	Cheryl Mills		B6
To:	hdr22@clintonemail.cor	1	
Subject:	Fw: North Korea		
See traffic from	n bottom up		
	Sherman horn ; Cheryl Mills1; MillsCD 06 14:48:54 2009	@state.gov ; Kurt Campbell External ; Kurt Campl	bell
	someone more senior than the	be you, Bill Burns or Jim Steinberg if you didn't wa ne person who does the Six-party with Kim Gae Gv	
Wendy R. She	rman		
Principal			
The Albright G	roup LLC		
Albright Capita	al Management LLC		
1101 New Yor	k Avenue NW		

Suite 900

Washington DC 2000E

wasnington, DC 20005	B6
f. +1-202-370-3599	
From: Robert Einhorn Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 11:41 AM To: Wendy Sherman; Cheryl Mills1; MillsCD@state.gov; Kurt Campbell External; Kurt Campbell Subject: RE: North Korea	
Wendy, a few reactions to your ideas:	

What worked in the second Bill Clinton term was having a respected, senior official in State (i.e., Wendy) who could bring other agencies together and lead the North Korea policy effort in Washington. One approach in the current Administration would be to have such a senior official serving both as Washington coordinator and as envoy, in which case s/he could have the stature to interact with Kang Suk Ju or the Dear Leader himself. Another model would be to have a senior line officer (e.g., EAP A/S) serve as Washington policy coordinator with another, equally or even more senior, official serving as envoy. A third model of having a Special Advisor for NE Asia Security (who would coordinate in DC and do some high-level diplomatic missions), a separate envoy (who would not be as senior), and a senior line officer with day-to-day responsibility for NE Asia, like the EAP A/S, strikes me as too many cooks in the kitchen. I would prefer either of the first two models.

I agree that we should have an early, general communication from the President to KJI (a video?) "extending his hand" and outlining the broad parameters of his approach toward the DPRK. But any direct contact with NK – even at a very general, tone-setting level – would need to be previewed to the allies and China in advance, lest we generate early suspicions of what

we're up to. That means we could use HRC's trip to preview such a contact, but not do the contact concurrently. tandem emissary visit would be fine, but also after we had previewed it with our partners.	An HAK/MKA
Bob	
From: Wendy Sherman	B6