(b)(6)

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Smith, John Friday, September 26, 2014 12:28 PM (b)(6) FW: for your interview today 091214 - Treasury QA - Russia Sectoral Round 3 FINAL.docx; 091214 Press Release Sectoral Round FINAL.docx

From: Chemali, Hagar
Sent: Friday, September 26, 2014 12:15 PM
To: Smith, John
Cc: Esser, Victoria
Subject: for your interview today

Hey John -

Just a couple quick things for your interview today. You'll be chatting with Arshad Mohammed, Anna Yukahananov, and Tim Gardner from Reuters to explain our energy sanctions and how they work generally. Arshad's initial request was about how companies could work around these sanctions and he mentioned Exxon, in particular. Given Bloomberg's story on Exxon today, I wanted to make sure to flag it for you as well as our lines. I'll call you 15 minutes before the interview as well to make sure you're comfortable with everything.

I'm also attaching our Q/A and press release from the last round of sanctions. Let me know if there's anything else you'd like me to pull. The FAQs perhaps?

HHH

Lines used:

My statement (from me):

"We have had discussions with Exxon about the latest round of U.S. sanctions, consistent with our standard practice, and Exxon has assured us that they intend to comply fully with those sanctions. It is important to note that the Kara Sea project will take many years to develop and will require the extensive use of western technology. As long as Russia continues its aggression toward Ukraine, and U.S. sanctions remain in place, Russia will not have access to the U.S. firms and technology that it needs to continue pursuing this project."

DOE - On background from an "energy official:"

"DOE supported granting Exxon's request--for a short additional period of time to conclude operations--because it found there was a credible risk to the environmentally complex and sensitive Arctic region."

State talking points:

• We cannot comment on specific cases or the implementation by specific companies of the sanctions introduced by Treasury on September 12.

• We would note, however, that Treasury has taken all appropriate steps to ensure that companies can comply with these sanctions in a manner that ensures the orderly, safe, and environmentally sound wind down of operations. We would refer you to the Treasury Department for more information.

This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

• We would refer you to ExxonMobil for further questions about the steps it has taken to comply with sanctions issued by the Departments of Treasury and Commerce.

Story:

Exxon's Sanctions Hall Pass Undermines Anti-Putin Push By Indira A.R. Lakshmanan, Alan Katz and Joe Carroll

September 25, 2014 7:05 PM EDT

After Exxon (XOM) Mobil Corp. mounted a campaign to warn U.S. officials that prematurely halting work with Russian oil giant Rosneft on an exploratory oil well could foul the Arctic, the Obama administration last week gave the company a two-week reprieve from sanctions on Russia.

The temporary retreat has handed Russia's leaders a golden public relations opportunity, one they plan to exploit. Today in the Kara Sea off Siberia's northern coast, Igor Sechin, a Putin confidante, ex-Soviet apparatchik and the chief executive officer of Rosneft, the world's biggest publicly traded oil company by production, will announce an update on the \$700 million exploratory well.

"Clearly the Russian government, and by extension big state companies like Rosneft, want to show wherever they can that business as usual is possible despite the sanctions regime, knowing full well" that everything will be harder now, said Andrew Weiss, head of the Russia program for the Washington-based Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

Exxon persuaded top U.S. Treasury and Energy Department officials that it couldn't safely obey a White House order to halt the venture with Russia's national oil company OAO Rosneft by today, according to four people with knowledge of the talks.

Exxon warned that rushing to shut the well could spark an environmental disaster on the scale of the 2010 Deepwater Horizon blowout in the Gulf of Mexico. Treasury Secretary Jack Lew decided Exxon would get two extra weeks; the company had asked for an extra month to wind down its work safely, according to one U.S. official involved.

Trumpeting Sanctions

The U.S. sanctions imposed Sept. 12 were meant to punish Russian aggression against Ukraine by forcing Exxon to halt its \$3.2 billion joint Arctic venture with Rosneft, Russia's second-biggest company by market value, almost immediately.

President Barack Obama trumpeted the new measures on Sept. 11, the night before details were released. He said the new sanctions would increase Russia's political isolation and economic costs in areas important to Putin.

They did -- for a week. After the Treasury Department approved the extension, Rosneft announced Sept. 20 that drilling had resumed.

While some U.S. officials had worried that making an exception for Irving, Texas-based Exxon, the world's largest oil company, would undercut their own sanctions, Energy Department engineers concluded that forcing the company to plug the well by the deadline could risk an environmental disaster, people involved in the discussions said. They spoke on condition of anonymity to discuss the internal debate.

On Schedule

The Treasury Department decision to issue Exxon a license to continue the Arctic drilling until Oct. 10 has allowed the company and Rosneft to stick close to the drilling schedule their engineers and logistics staffs had laid out during planning sessions in early 2013, said one person familiar with the planning.

While Rosneft is expected to portray progress on the well as a victory and proof that sanctions can't hurt Russia, the financial and trade restrictions imposed by the U.S. and European Union in the six months since Russian leader Vladimir Putin's annexation of Crimea have hobbled his country's economy and energy exploration efforts far more than Russia

admits, as a falling ruble and shrinking growth indicate, Weiss, a former National Security Council and State Department official, said in an interview.

"Any sanctions regime, particularly with Russia, involves a lot of trial and error," Weiss said. "Exxon is one of the largest foreign investors in Russia," and even if drilling continued for some days after a reprieve was granted, the sanctions will have a long-term and "clear impact on Russia's government and Rosneft, which have staked so much on this," Weiss said.

Going It Alone

Rosneft would have tried to finish the well without the help of Exxon or its subcontractors if necessary, company vice president Larisa Kalanda said Sept. 20. If Rosneft had found a commercially viable oil reservoir without Exxon, the U.S. company would have had to enter new negotiations to rejoin Rosneft to develop it, Kalanda said. Yet an array of Exxon officials, including Chairman and CEO Rex Tillerson, said that protecting the environment, not the cost of renegotiating the joint venture if sanctions are eased, was the reason Exxon needed more time.

Stopping Work

Exxon argued that the original deadline to halt work by today would stop progress on the Universitetskaya-1 well prematurely, creating conditions that could echo the chain of events that led to the Deepwater Horizon well blowout, the worst oil spill in U.S. history. The company made no mention of completing the well or restarting drilling in its Sept. 19 announcement of its temporary exemption from sanctions.

John Hofmeister, CEO of energy policy group Citizens for Affordable Energy, and the former CEO of Royal Dutch Shell Plc's U.S. business, said "risk management is at the top of the list for Exxon."

"The Valdez incident changed Exxon forever," he said, referring to an Exxon oil tanker's spill in Alaska in 1989. "Exxon will always put safety before commerce."

For the U.S. government, as well, safety has to be a more important consideration than sticking to a schedule for sanctions, Hofmeister said.

An Energy Department official who wasn't authorized to be quoted said his department supported giving Exxon more time to halt its operation because there were credible environmental risks.

Frozen Partnership

A Treasury Department spokeswoman stressed that Russia won't reap any benefit from its frozen Arctic partnership with Exxon.

"The Kara Sea project will take many years to develop and will require the extensive use of western technology. As long as Russia continues its aggression toward Ukraine, and U.S. sanctions remain in place, Russia will not have access to the U.S. firms and technology that it needs to continue pursuing this project," Treasury spokeswoman Hagar Chemali said.

The Sept. 12 sanctions barring U.S. and European Union companies from helping Russia exploit oil resources in the Arctic, deep sea and shale formations are part of a six-month campaign of escalating economic penalties aimed at pressuring Putin to abandon his support for armed separatists in Ukraine and punishing him for annexing Crimea.

Above the Target

Exxon ordered workers aboard the West Alpha rig to halt drilling within days after the new sanctions were announced, according to a person who was aboard the rig then. That left the well about 100 meters (328 feet) above the target depth for what geologists expected to be the primary layer of oil-soaked rock. Without drilling deeper, there was no way for Exxon or Rosneft to know for sure what the reservoir held.

While Rosneft might have been able to complete the well on its own, its engineers on the rig were young and inexperienced in handling the big surge in pressure that could come from piercing the reservoir, one of the people familiar with the operation said.

Exxon and Rosneft are exploring a geologic formation never before drilled, which means the pressure changes, layer densities and temperatures at various depths are unknown, increasing the risks of unexpected collapses or pressure bursts. Engineers closing the well need to map the formation a foot at a time as they back out of a well, a process that can take weeks.

Plugging a Well

Exxon executives sought the extension after their engineers warned they needed more time to plug the well with cement and conduct tests to ensure there were no leaks, cracks or faults that could damage the reservoir or allow environmental contamination, one of the people involved in the talks with the Treasury and Energy Departments said.

Once an exploration well is drilled, cement is poured down the hole to seal the oil- and gas-bearing zones to prevent pressure surges that could blast the cap off the well and spew crude into the ocean. Typically, oil companies return to sealed wells months or years later to remove cement plugs and connect the wells to pipelines that carry crude and gas to the surface.

An improperly poured cement job may leave cracks through which pressurized oil and gas can seep, resulting in leaks or even blowouts, Ken Medlock, director of Rice University's Center for Energy Studies at the Baker Institute in Houston, said in a telephone interview.

A faulty cement job was one of the factors investigators blamed for BP Plc (BP/)'s Macondo well blowout in April 2010 that killed 11 rig workers, fouled the Gulf of Mexico with millions of barrels of crude and sank the \$385 million Deepwater Horizon drilling rig.

One overarching question that remains is whether any economic sanctions can persuade Putin to stop supporting armed pro-Russian separatists in Ukraine.

"I'm not convinced these any of these measures will change Russia's calculus in the short-term on an issue that it deems to be of paramount national security," Weiss said.

To contact the reporters on this story: Indira A.R. Lakshmanan in Washington at <u>ilakshmanan@bloomberg.net</u>; Alan Katz in Washington at <u>akatz5@bloomberg.net</u>; Joe Carroll in Chicago at <u>jcarroll8@bloomberg.net</u> To contact the editors responsible for this story: Susan Warren at <u>susanwarren@bloomberg.net</u>; John Walcott at <u>jwalcott9@bloomberg.net</u>; Sara Forden at <u>sforden@bloomberg.net</u> John Walcott by TaboolaSponsored LinksFrom the Web

Hagar Hajjar Chemali Spokesperson U.S. Department of the Treasury O: (b)(6)

Bates Nos. 2018-08-116: 007978 - 007985 Withheld in Full Pursuant to (b)(5)

RE: Application with the Office of Foreign Assets Control for RWC Group Ukraine-EO13661-2015-318183-1

From: To: (b)(6)

Cc: Date: "Blackborow, Davin" (b)(6) Wed, 27 Jan 2016 13:12:58 -0500

Thank you, (b)(6).

(b)(6)

From (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2016 1:08 PM To: (b)(6) Cc: Blackborow, Davin

Subject: FW: Application with the Office of Foreign Assets Control for RWC Group Ukraine-EO13661-2015-318183-1

(b)(5)

Hi (b)(6)

Just an fyi that this application is with you for review.

I'll let the attorney know that the application is still under review.

Thanks, (b)(6)

From: Michael Faucette [mailto:michael.faucette@mbassociateslaw.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2016 12:20 PM To: Blackborow, Davin Cc: (b)(6) Subject: Re: Application with the Office of Foreign Assets Control for RWC Group Ukraine-EO13661-2015-318183-1

Dear Mr. Blackborrow,

It is now approaching a year since this application was submitted. Could you please provide us with a status update? Thank you.

Kind Regards,

Mike Faucette Attorney at Law

Mark Barnes & Associates

1350 I St. N.W. , Suite 260 Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel. (202) 626-0085 Fax (202) 626-0088

This e-mail is confidential and is legally privileged. If you have received it in error, you are on notice of its status. Please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and then delete this message from your system. Please do not copy it or use it for any purposes, or disclose its contents to any other person. To do so could violate state and Federal privacy laws. Thank you for your cooperation. Please contact Mark Barnes & Associates at 202-626-0089 if you need assistance.

On Oct 1, 2015, at 9:55 AM, Michael Faucette <michael.faucette@mbassociateslaw.com> wrote:



Bates No. 2018-08-116: 007987 Duplicative of content in Bates Nos. 2018-08-116: 007959 - 007960

FW: Visit by the Russia Studies Program

From:	(b)(6)						
To:	(b)(6)						
	(b)	(6)	"Kane, Sea (b)(6)	an	(b)(6)	-	
Cc:				(b)(6)			
Date:	Tue, 22 Mar 2016						
Attachments:	BalticWorlds.pdf (157.87 kB); foir4097 (2).pdf (2.3 MB); Journal of Eurasian Studies 7 (2016) 60-70.pdf (356.68 kB)						
Hi everyone,							
	a request from the S 12 from 9-10 am. Y				It looks like the	e below meeting v	vill be set up for
As background	, attached are some	of the pieces t	hey have writte	en.			
$\frac{\text{Thanks.}}{(b)(6)}$							
To: Cc:	sky, Alex Jarch 18, 2016 11:2 (b)(6) (b)(6) Visit by the Russia S		m				
(b)(6) _{and} (b)(6)	,						
to Treasury dur also want to dis	mbassy is bringing t ing the week of IMI scuss "sanctions and or are there others i	World Bank their effects,	Spring Meetin as well as the	gs to meet with u economics of the	s and talk about defense sector	Russia's econon Would you be i	nterested in joining
Thanks,							
Alex							
To: Grohovsky	(b)(6) March 18, 2016 11:0 , Alex; Visit by the Russia S	(b))(6)				
Alex, great! Would	Tuesday 09.00 to 10	0.00 work?					
	ore general aspects ctions and their eff			(b) nics of the defen		would be esp	ecially interested in
l attach two ar	rticles and a report						
Best,							
(b)(6)							
From: <u>Alex.Gro</u> Sent: den 17 r To:	ohovsky@treasury.ge mars 2016 14:14	ov (mailto:Ale	x.Grohovsky@t (b)(6)	reasury.gov]			
	visit by the Russia S	tudies Program	n				-
Dear(b)(6),							
We would be h open.	appy to meet on App	ril 12 or 13. 7	The afternoon o	f the 13 th may be	e difficult but of	herwise I think ou	r calendars are fairly
Best,							
Alex							
From:	(b)(6)	@gov.s	el				

2018-08-116: 007994

Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 4:20 PM To: (b)(6) Subject: RE: Visit by the Russia Studies Program

(b)(6)

thanks!

And hello to all of you!

Thursday 14th is now dedicated to State and the Pentagon. 12th or 13th would therefore be preferable. I hope that will work!

Best,

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6) Sent: den 15 mars 2016 11:54 (b)(6) To: (b)(6) Subject: RE: Visit by the Russia Studies Program
Hi (b)(6)
Thanks for your email. I've copied Alex Grohovsky, (b)(6) and (b)(6) (our Russia team) on this email, and they'll be able to discuss a possible meeting with you.
Best,
(b)(6)
From: (b)(6) @gov.se] Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2016 11:47 AM To: (b)(6) Subject: Visit by the Russia Studies Program
Dear (b)(6) ,
My name is (b)(6) and I am the (b)(6) at the Swedish Embassy. I got your name from (b)(6) here at the Embassy.
On 12th to 14th of April, the Russia Studies Program of the Swedish National Defence Research Agency will be in town.
Would it be possible to set up a meeting with the Russia team at Treasury on one of those days? The persons coming from Stockholm will be $(b)(6)$ and $(b)(6)$. They are economists, specializing in the Russian economy. They will be accompanied by, apart from myself, $(b)(6)$ and $(b)(6)$ and $(b)(6)$ from the MoD.

I attach all our bio's.

With best regards,

(b)(6)

(b)(6)		
	(b)(6)	
Embassy of	f Sweden	
Tel:	(b)(6)	
(b)(6)	@gov.se	